

## ■ CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
<i>Book Features and Conventions</i>	<i>xxix</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxxii</i>

### **PART I ■ INTRODUCTION**

---

## **Chapter 1. An Introduction to American Courts** **3**

---

<b>I. Some Introductory Comments</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II. The Two American Court Systems</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>III. The Structure of State Court Systems</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>IV. The Structure of the Federal Court System</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>V. The Subject Matter Jurisdiction of State Courts: General Principles</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>VI. The Subject Matter Jurisdiction of Federal Courts: General Principles</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>VII. American Courts: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>19</b>

---

## **Chapter 2. A Description of the Litigation Process and Sources of Procedural Law** **21**

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>II. A Description of the Process of a Civil Case</b>	<b>21</b>
A. The Pleading Phase	22
B. Early Motion Practice	24
C. The Discovery Phase	25
D. Judicial Conferences	28
E. Motions for Summary Judgment	28
F. Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR)	29
G. Trial	30
H. Post-Trial Motions	30
I. Appeal	31
J. The Effect of a Judgment on Later Litigation	33

III. Sources of Civil Procedure Regulation: Constitutions, Statutes, and Rules	34
IV. The Substance of Procedure and the Problem of Social Justice	38
A. The Substance of Procedure	38
B. Civil Procedure and Social Justice	39
V. The Litigation Process: Summary of Basic Principles	40

## PART II ■ SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

---

### Chapter 3. Diversity Jurisdiction in the Federal Courts 43

---

I. Introduction	43
II. State Citizenship of Individuals: The Domicile Test	45
<i>Gordon v. Steele</i>	46
III. The Complete Diversity Rule	55
<i>Mas v. Perry</i>	56
IV. State Citizenship of Corporations and Other Entities	63
<i>Hertz Corp. v. Friend</i>	66
V. The Amount-in-Controversy Requirement	76
<i>Diefenthal v. C.A.B.</i>	77
VI. Aggregating Claims to Meet the Amount Requirement	84
VII. The Constitutional Scope of Diversity Jurisdiction Compared to the Statutory Grant of Diversity	87
VIII. Diversity Jurisdiction: Summary of Basic Principles	91

---

### Chapter 4. Federal Question Jurisdiction 93

---

I. Introduction	93
II. The Constitutional Scope of Federal Question Jurisdiction	94
III. The Statutory Scope of Federal Question Jurisdiction: The Well-Pleaded Complaint Rule	95
<i>Louisville &amp; Nashville Railroad Co. v. Mottley</i>	96
IV. Applying <i>Mottley</i> : Justice Holmes's Creation Test	104
V. Beyond the Holmes Test: State Law Claims Involving Substantial Questions of Federal Law	110
<i>Gunn v. Minton</i>	113
VI. Article III and Supreme Court Jurisdiction: <i>Mottley</i> , Round II	125
VII. Federal Question Jurisdiction: Summary of Basic Principles	126

---

## Chapter 5. Removal of Cases from State to Federal Court 129

---

<b>I. Introduction: Concurrent Jurisdiction of the State and Federal Courts</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>II. The Standard for Removal</b>	<b>130</b>
<i>Avitts v. Amoco Production Co.</i>	131
<b>III. Procedure for Removal and Remand</b>	<b>138</b>
A. The “Who, When, Where, and How” of Removal	138
B. The Process of Removal	143
C. Motions to Remand	143
<b>IV. Removal: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>145</b>

### PART III ■ PERSONAL JURISDICTION

---

## Chapter 6. The Evolution of Personal Jurisdiction 149

---

<b>I. An Introduction to Personal Jurisdiction</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>II. Early History: <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i></b>	<b>150</b>
<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	152
<b>III. Social Change and Doctrinal Rigidity: Problems with the <i>Pennoyer</i> Doctrine</b>	<b>163</b>
A. Dealing with Interstate Businesses: Stretching the Concepts of Consent and Presence	163
B. Dealing with a Mobile Public: <i>Hess v. Pawloski</i> and the Fiction of Consent	164
C. Other Doctrinal Modifications	166
<b>IV. The Modern Era Begins: <i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i></b>	<b>166</b>
<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i>	167
<b>V. The Evolution of Personal Jurisdiction: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>180</b>

---

## Chapter 7. Specific In Personam Jurisdiction 181

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>II. The “Contact” Requirement</b>	<b>182</b>
A. Defining a “Contact” and Making Sense of the “Reasonableness” Factors: <i>World-Wide Volkswagen v. Woodson</i>	182
<i>World-Wide Volkswagen v. Woodson</i>	183
B. Contacts in Intentional Torts Cases	198
C. Contracts as Contacts: <i>Burger King v. Rudzewicz</i>	202
<i>Burger King v. Rudzewicz</i>	203

D. Contacts Through the “Stream of Commerce”: <i>Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Court of California</i>	211
<i>Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Court of California</i>	213
<b>III. The “Arises Out of” / Relatedness Element</b>	<b>228</b>
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial District Court</i>	
and <i>Ford Motor Co. v. Adam Bandemer</i>	229
<b>IV. Back to the Future: Does the Internet Pose a New Challenge for Personal Jurisdiction Doctrine?</b>	<b>243</b>
<i>Burdick v. Superior Court</i>	244
<b>V. Issue Analysis</b>	<b>251</b>
A. The Question	251
B. A Suggested Analysis	252
<b>VI. Specific Jurisdiction: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>254</b>

---

## Chapter 8. Other Constitutional Bases for Personal Jurisdiction 255

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>II. General In Personam Jurisdiction: <i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i></b>	<b>255</b>
A. Distinguishing Specific and General Jurisdiction	255
B. Why Is General Jurisdiction Fair?	257
C. General Jurisdiction over Corporations	257
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	259
D. General Jurisdiction over Individuals: Domicile	272
<b>III. The Modern Approach to In Rem and Quasi In Rem Jurisdiction</b>	<b>272</b>
A. Distinguishing In Personam and In Rem / Quasi In Rem Jurisdiction	272
B. Other Types of Attachment	273
C. The Modern Approach to In Rem / Quasi In Rem Jurisdiction: <i>Shaffer v. Heitner</i>	274
<b>IV. “Transient Presence” Jurisdiction: <i>Burnham v. Superior Court</i></b>	<b>278</b>
<i>Burnham v. Superior Court</i>	279
<b>V. Issue Analysis: The Sky’s the Limit?</b>	<b>292</b>
A. The Question	293
B. A Suggested Analysis	293
<b>VI. Consent and Waiver</b>	<b>294</b>
A. Consent	295
B. Waiver	296
<b>VII. Other Constitutional Bases for Personal Jurisdiction: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>296</b>

---

## Chapter 9. Long Arm Statutes 299

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>299</b>
<b>II. Distinguishing Constitutional and Statutory Limitations on Personal Jurisdiction</b>	<b>300</b>
<b>III. Interpreting Long Arm Statutes</b>	<b>306</b>
<i>Bensusan Restaurant Corp. v. King</i>	307
<b>IV. Long Arm Provisions in Federal Courts</b>	<b>312</b>
A. Distinguishing the Fourteenth and Fifth Amendments' Due Process Clauses	313
B. The Federal Long Arm Provision: Rule 4(k)(1)(A)	313
C. Examples of Broader Authority in Rule 4(k)	315
<b>V. Long Arm Statutes: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>315</b>

---

## Chapter 10. The Constitutional Requirement of Notice and Methods of Service of Process 317

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>317</b>
<b>II. <i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank</i>: The Constitutional Standard for Adequate Notice</b>	<b>318</b>
<i>Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank &amp; Trust Co.</i>	319
<b>III. Implementing the Due Process Standard: Statutes and Rules Governing Service of Process</b>	<b>331</b>
A. Some Practicalities of Service: Who, What, and When?	331
B. Serving Natural Persons Under the Federal Rules	332
C. Serving Corporations and Other Entities Under Federal Rule 4	332
D. Avoiding Technicalities: Waiver of Service of Process	335
<b>IV. Service of Process in State Courts</b>	<b>336</b>
<i>Baidoo v. Blood-Dzraku</i>	338
<b>V. The Relation of Service of Process to Personal Jurisdiction</b>	<b>348</b>
<b>VI. Notice and Service: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>350</b>

### PART IV ■ VENUE

---

## Chapter 11. Basic Venue: Statutory Allocation of Cases Within a Court System 355

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>355</b>
A. Venue Basics	355

B. The Purpose of Venue	356
C. Distinguishing Venue, Subject Matter Jurisdiction, and Personal Jurisdiction	357
D. State Venue Statutes	358
<b>II. The General Federal Venue Statute</b>	<b>358</b>
<b>III. The Meaning of “Resident” Under Subsection (1)</b>	<b>361</b>
A. The Definition of “Resident” for Individuals	361
B. The Definition of “Resident” for Corporations and Other Entities	362
<b>IV. The Meaning of “Substantial Part” Under Subsection (2)</b>	<b>364</b>
<i>Uffner v. La Reunion Francaise</i>	364
<b>V. The Fallback Provision</b>	<b>369</b>
<b>VI. Specialized Venue Statutes</b>	<b>370</b>
<b>VII. Basic Venue: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>371</b>

---

## Chapter 12. Challenges to Venue: Transfers and Dismissals 373

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>373</b>
<b>II. Statutory Transfers and Dismissals in Federal Court</b>	<b>374</b>
A. Transfers and Dismissals Under § 1406	375
B. Section 1404 Transfers	376
<i>MacMunn v. Eli Lilly Co.</i>	377
<b>III. Common Law Dismissals: Forum Non Conveniens</b>	<b>384</b>
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	385
<b>IV. Transfers and Dismissals in State Court</b>	<b>399</b>
<b>V. Challenges to Venue: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>400</b>

## PART V ■ PLEADING

---

## Chapter 13. Basic Pleading 405

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>405</b>
<b>II. Antecedents of Modern Pleading</b>	<b>407</b>
A. Common Law Pleading	407
B. Equity Pleading	409
C. Code Pleading	409
<b>III. The Original Federal Baseline: “Notice Pleading”</b>	<b>412</b>
<i>Dioguardi v. Durning</i>	414
<b>IV. Nuances: Inconsistent and Heightened Pleading</b>	<b>421</b>
<b>V. The (Still) Evolving Standard of Plausible Pleading</b>	<b>427</b>

<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i>	430
<i>Swanson v. Citibank, N.A.</i>	441
<b>VI. Basic Pleading: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>452</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Chapter 14. Responding to the Complaint (or Not?)</b>	<b>453</b>
<hr/>	
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>453</b>
<b>II. Doing Nothing—The Default Option</b>	<b>454</b>
<i>Virgin Records America, Inc. v. Lacey</i>	455
<b>III. Moving to Dismiss—Rule 12 Motion Practice</b>	<b>464</b>
A. The Rule 12 Motions	464
<i>Matos v. Nextran, Inc.</i>	465
B. The Rule 12 Waiver Trap	474
<i>Hunter v. Serv-Tech, Inc.</i>	475
<b>IV. Answering the Complaint</b>	<b>481</b>
<i>Reis Robotics USA, Inc. v. Concept Industries, Inc.</i>	483
<i>Ingraham v. United States</i>	489
<b>V. Further Pleading</b>	<b>496</b>
<b>VI. Responding to the Complaint (or Not?): Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>496</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Chapter 15. Care and Candor in Pleading</b>	<b>499</b>
<hr/>	
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>499</b>
<b>II. Reasonable Inquiry</b>	<b>500</b>
<i>Hays v. Sony Corp. of America</i>	501
<b>III. Good Faith Arguments for Changes in the Law</b>	<b>510</b>
<i>Hunter v. Earthgrains Co. Bakery</i>	511
<b>IV. Proper Purpose</b>	<b>518</b>
<b>V. Procedure for Rule 11 Sanctions</b>	<b>519</b>
<b>VI. Care and Candor in Pleading: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>522</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Chapter 16. Amending Pleadings</b>	<b>525</b>
<hr/>	
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>525</b>
<b>II. Amending Without Leave of Court</b>	<b>527</b>
<b>III. Amending Before Trial with Leave of Court</b>	<b>529</b>
<i>Beeck v. Aquaslide 'N' Dive Corp.</i>	531
<b>IV. Amending During and After Trial with Leave of Court</b>	<b>539</b>

V. Amending Claims or Defenses After the Limitations Period	541
<i>Bonerb v. Richard J. Caron Foundation</i>	542
VI. Amending Parties After the Limitations Period	550
<i>Krupski v. Costa Crociere S.P.A.</i>	552
VII. Amending Pleadings: Summary of Basic Principles	563

## PART VI ■ JOINDER AND SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION

---

### Chapter 17. Joinder of Claims and Parties 567

---

I. Introduction	567
II. Joinder of Multiple Claims Under the Federal Rules	568
III. Joinder of the Parties to the Original Action	572
<i>Hohlbein v. Heritage Mutual Insurance Co.</i>	575
IV. Counterclaims Under the Federal Rules	583
<i>King v. Blanton</i>	584
V. Crossclaims Against Coparties	591
VI. Joinder by Defending Parties: Impleader Under Rule 14	594
<i>Erkins v. Case Power &amp; Equipment Co.</i>	595
VII. Asserting Additional Claims Under Rule 14	601
VIII. Joinder of Claims and Parties: Summary of Basic Principles	604

---

### Chapter 18. Complex Joinder: Intervention, Interpleader, and Required Parties 607

---

I. Introduction	607
II. Joinder of Parties Under Rule 19	608
<i>Torrington Co. v. Yost</i>	612
III. Intervention Under Rule 24	619
<i>Michaels Stores, Inc. v. Castle Ridge Plaza Associates</i>	621
IV. An Introduction to Interpleader	629
V. Complex Joinder: Summary of Basic Principles	633

---

### Chapter 19. Class Actions 635

---

I. Introduction	635
II. The Due Process Requirements for Class Actions	637
<i>Hansberry v. Lee</i>	639



<b>III. Certifying a Class Action</b>	<b>648</b>
<i>Floyd v. City of New York</i>	649
<b>IV. Class Action Jurisdiction and Conduct</b>	<b>665</b>
<b>V. Class Actions: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>669</b>

---

## Chapter 20. Supplemental Jurisdiction in the Federal Courts 671

---

<b>I. Introduction: Related State Law Claims in Federal Court</b>	<b>671</b>
<b>II. The Constitutional Framework for Supplemental Jurisdiction:</b>	
<i>United Mine Workers v. Gibbs</i>	<b>672</b>
<i>United Mine Workers v. Gibbs</i>	673
<b>III. A Further Problem: The Need for Statutory Authority</b>	<b>683</b>
<i>Owen Equipment &amp; Erection Co. v. Kroger</i>	685
<b>IV. Congress Steps In: Supplemental Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. § 1367</b>	<b>694</b>
<b>V. Complex Applications: <i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Services</i></b>	<b>700</b>
A. Did the Supplemental Jurisdiction Statute Change the Aggregation Rules?	700
B. The <i>Allapattah</i> Decision	701
<b>VI. Supplemental Jurisdiction: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>704</b>

## PART VII ■ DISCOVERY

---

## Chapter 21. Informal Investigation and the Scope of Discovery 709

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>709</b>
<b>II. Informal Investigation</b>	<b>710</b>
<i>Gaylard v. Homemakers of Montgomery, Inc.</i>	711
<b>III. The Scope of Discovery</b>	<b>719</b>
A. Discoverable “Matter”	719
B. . . . That Is Not Privileged	720
C. . . . That Is Relevant to Any Party’s Claim or Defense	722
D. . . . That Is Proportional to the Needs of the Case	723
E. . . . That Need Not Be Admissible	725
F. Work Product	725
<i>Hickman v. Taylor</i>	727
G. Expert Work Product	745
<b>IV. Informal Investigation and the Scope of Discovery: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>749</b>

---

**Chapter 22. Discovery Tools** **751**


---

I. Introduction	751
II. Mandatory Discovery Procedures	752
III. Discovery Sequencing and Interrogatories	755
IV. Requests for Production of Documents and Things	762
V. Electronic Discovery (“e-discovery”)	766
<i>Waskul v. Washtenaw County Community Mental Health</i>	767
VI. Depositions	777
VII. Physical and Mental Examinations	785
VIII. Requests for Admission	786
IX. Discovery Tools: Summary of Basic Principles	787

---

**Chapter 23. Discovery Control and Abuse** **789**


---

I. Introduction	789
II. Tools for Controlling Discovery	790
<i>Chudasama v. Mazda Motor Corp.</i>	791
III. Discovery Control and Abuse: Summary of Basic Principles	806

## PART VIII ■ CHOICE OF LAW

---

**Chapter 24. State Law in Federal Courts: The *Erie* Doctrine** **811**


---

I. Introduction: The Era of <i>Swift v. Tyson</i>	811
<i>Black &amp; White Taxicab &amp; Transfer Co. v. Brown &amp; Yellow Taxicab &amp; Transfer Co.</i>	813
II. The <i>Erie</i> Decision	821
<i>Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins</i>	822
III. <i>Erie</i> Guesses: Federal Judges Applying State Law	829
IV. <i>Erie</i> and State Choice of Law: The Persistence of Forum Shopping	835
<i>Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Electric Manufacturing Co.</i>	837
V. Federal Common Law: Federal Judges Making Federal Law	841
<i>United States v. Standard Oil Co. of California</i>	842
VI. The <i>Erie</i> Doctrine: Summary of Basic Principles	850

---

## Chapter 25. Substance and Procedure Under the *Erie* Doctrine 851

---

<b>I. Introduction: The Problem Emerges</b>	<b>851</b>
<b>II. The Court Gropes for a Test: <i>Guaranty Trust Co. of New York v. York</i></b>	<b>852</b>
<i>Guaranty Trust Co. of New York v. York</i>	853
<b>III. Two Tracks of the <i>Erie</i> Doctrine: <i>Hanna v. Plumer</i></b>	<b>862</b>
<i>Hanna v. Plumer</i>	863
<b>IV. Track Selection After <i>Hanna</i>: Assessing Direct Conflicts with a Federal Rule</b>	<b>875</b>
<i>Walker v. Armco Steel Co.</i>	876
Substance and Procedure Under the Rules Enabling Act	881
Switching Tracks: Shady Grove	886
<b>V. Substance and Procedure: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>889</b>

## PART IX ■ TRIAL AND PRETRIAL

---

## Chapter 26. Pretrial Case Management 893

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>893</b>
<b>II. General Pretrial Authority</b>	<b>894</b>
<i>J.F. Edwards Construction Co. v. Anderson Safeway Guard Rail Corp.</i>	895
<b>III. The Effect of the Pretrial Order</b>	<b>901</b>
<i>Davey v. Lockheed Martin Corp.</i>	901
<b>IV. Facilitating Settlement: Alternative Dispute Resolution</b>	<b>907</b>
A. Reducing Expense and Delay	907
B. Major Forms of ADR	912
<b>IV. Pretrial Case Management: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>916</b>

---

## Chapter 27. Dispositions Without Trial 919

---

<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>919</b>
<b>II. Voluntary Dismissal</b>	<b>920</b>
<i>In Re Bath and Kitchen Fixtures Antitrust Litigation</i>	921
<b>III. Involuntary Dismissal</b>	<b>930</b>
<b>IV. Summary Judgment</b>	<b>930</b>
A. Introduction to Summary Judgment	930

B. The Fundamental Purpose of Summary Judgment: Flushing Out the Absence of a Genuine Dispute of Material Fact	932
<i>Slaven v. City of Salem</i>	934
<i>Haley v. Amazon.com Services, LLC</i>	944
C. Relationship to Other Motions: Summary Judgment's Cousins	952
D. The Relationship Between the Movant's Burden and the Burden of Proof at Trial	954
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i>	956
V. Dispositions Without Trial: Summary of Basic Principles	966

---

## Chapter 28. The Right to Jury Trial 969

---

I. Introduction: The Seventh Amendment Conundrum	969
II. A Short History of Law and Equity	971
A. The Law Courts	971
B. The Equity Courts	972
C. Court Procedure in Law and Equity	973
III. Determining the Right to Jury Trial After the Merger of Law and Equity	975
<i>Dairy Queen, Inc. v. Wood</i>	977
IV. Applying the Seventh Amendment to New Statutory Rights	984
<i>Curtis v. Loether</i>	985
V. The Evolving Nature of the Right to Jury Trial	992
A. Control of the Jury by Directed Verdict	992
B. The Size of the Jury	993
C. Partial New Trial	993
D. Questions of Law and Fact	993
VI. Administering Jury Trial	994
A. Claiming Jury Trial	994
B. Advance Waivers of Jury Trial	995
C. Selecting the Jury	995
D. Racial Bias in Jury Selection	997
E. Scheduling Jury Trial	998
VII. Current Perspectives on Jury Trial	999
A. Can Juries Try Complex Cases?	999
B. Is Jury Trial Desirable?	1000
VIII. The Right to Jury Trial: Summary of Basic Principles	1001

---

<b>Chapter 29. Judgment as a Matter of Law (Directed Verdict and JNOV)</b>	<b>1003</b>
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>1003</b>
A. Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law Under Rule 50(a) (Directed Verdict)	1004
B. Renewed Motions for Judgment as a Matter of Law Under Rule 50(b) (JNOV)	1005
<b>II. Defining a “Legally Sufficient Evidentiary Basis”</b>	<b>1008</b>
A. Granting a Judgment as a Matter of Law: An Example <i>Pennsylvania Railroad Co. v. Chamberlain</i>	1008 1009
B. Denying a Judgment as a Matter of Law: An Example <i>Lane v. Hardee’s Food Systems, Inc.</i>	1019 1019
<b>III. Procedural Technicalities of Rule 50</b>	<b>1025</b>
<b>IV. Judgment as a Matter of Law: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>1030</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Chapter 30. Jury Instructions and Verdicts</b>	<b>1031</b>
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>1031</b>
<b>II. Rulings on the Admissibility of Evidence</b>	<b>1032</b>
<b>III. Jury Instructions</b>	<b>1032</b>
<i>Hardin v. Ski Venture, Inc.</i>	1034
<b>IV. Jury Verdicts</b>	<b>1043</b>
<i>Turyna v. Martam Construction Co.</i>	1044
<b>V. Jury Instructions and Verdicts: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>1054</b>
<hr/>	
<b>Chapter 31. New Trial and Relief from Judgment</b>	<b>1057</b>
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>1057</b>
<b>II. New Trials for Weight-of-the-Evidence Errors</b>	<b>1059</b>
<i>Trivedi v. Cooper</i>	1060
<b>III. New Trials for Process Errors</b>	<b>1073</b>
<i>Wilson v. Vermont Castings, Inc.</i>	1075
<b>IV. Relief from Judgment</b>	<b>1084</b>
<b>V. New Trial and Relief from Judgment: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>1085</b>

## PART X ■ AFTER FINAL JUDGMENT

<b>Chapter 32. Appeals</b>	<b>1089</b>
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>1089</b>
<b>II. The Process of Presenting and Deciding an Appeal</b>	<b>1091</b>
<b>III. What to Appeal: Reviewability</b>	<b>1092</b>
<i>MacArthur v. University of Texas Health Center at Tyler</i>	1093
<b>IV. When to Appeal: Appealability and the Finality Principle</b>	<b>1101</b>
<i>In Re Recticel Foam Corp.</i>	1103
<b>V. Some Exceptions to the Finality Principle</b>	<b>1110</b>
<i>In Re Recticel Foam Corp.</i>	1110
<b>VI. The Extraordinary Exception: Mandamus</b>	<b>1120</b>
<i>In Re Recticel Foam Corp.</i>	1121
<b>VII. Standards of Appellate Review</b>	<b>1124</b>
A. The <i>De Novo</i> Standard of Review	1126
B. The Clearly Erroneous Standard of Review	1127
C. The Abuse of Discretion Standard of Review	1129
D. Applying the Spectrum	1130
<b>VII. Appeals: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>1131</b>
<b>Chapter 33. Claim Preclusion</b>	<b>1133</b>
<b>I. Introduction</b>	<b>1133</b>
A. Claim Preclusion's Importance	1133
B. Distinguishing Claim Preclusion from Other Doctrines	1134
<b>II. Defining a Claim: <i>River Park, Inc. v. City of Highland Park</i></b>	<b>1135</b>
<i>River Park, Inc. v. City of Highland Park</i>	1136
<b>III. Valid, Final Judgments on the Merits</b>	<b>1146</b>
A. Validity of the Judgment	1146
B. Finality of the Judgment	1147
C. A Judgment on the Merits	1148
D. This Requirement Is Like Rhode Island	1149
<b>IV. Non-Party Preclusion: <i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i></b>	<b>1149</b>
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i>	1150
<b>V. Exceptions to Claim Preclusion</b>	<b>1160</b>
<i>River Park, Inc. v. City of Highland Park</i>	1161
<b>VI. Claim Preclusion: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>1165</b>

---

**Chapter 34. Issue Preclusion: Further Limits to Relitigation 1167**

---

<b>I. Introduction: The Logic and Elements of Issue Preclusion</b>	<b>1167</b>
<b>II. Claim vs. Issue Preclusion: How Are They Different?</b>	<b>1169</b>
<i>Felger v. Nichols</i>	1170
<b>III. Applying the Elements of Issue Preclusion</b>	<b>1175</b>
<i>Otherson v. Dep't of Justice, INS</i>	1176
<b>IV. Non-Mutual Issue Preclusion</b>	<b>1189</b>
A. Non-Mutual <i>Defensive</i> Issue Preclusion	1189
B. Non-Mutual <i>Offensive</i> Issue Preclusion	1191
<i>Parklane Hosiery Co. v. Shore</i>	1192
<b>V. Another Confusing Problem: Inter-System Preclusion</b>	<b>1201</b>
A. Interstate Application of Preclusion Principles: Variations on a Theme	1201
B. Inter-System Preclusion: State Courts Honoring Federal Judgments and Federal Courts Honoring State Judgments	1203
A Final Exercise: A Memo to the Partner	1204
<b>VI. Issue Preclusion: Summary of Basic Principles</b>	<b>1206</b>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	1209
<i>Table of Statutes and Rules</i>	1215
<i>Index</i>	1223