

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
IMPORTANT INFORMATION	1
PLEADINGS	3
Complaint	5
Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims	11
Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Counterclaims	17
TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS	21
Memorandum of Agreement	23
Asset Purchase Agreement	25
Personal Guaranty of Payment and Performance	31
Dillon's Personal Financial Statement March 23, YR-3	33
Dillon's Personal Financial Statement December 23, YR-3	35

MATERIALS FOR B'S

STATEMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE	39
Statements of Parties and Witnesses	41
Memo to the Attorney File re: Dillon, March 8, YR-1	43
Statement of Arthur Dillon	45
Memo to the Attorney File re: Dillon, March 15, YR-3	51
Real Estate Internet Page	53
Statement of Pat Hingle	55
Memo re: Sal Duane	59
Correspondence	61
Letter from Dillon to Hanson, March 24, YR-3	63
Letter from Hanson to Dillon, June 12, YR-3	65
Letter from Hanson to Dillon, July 22, YR-3	67
Letter from Dillon to Hanson, September 20, YR-3	69

Letter from Dillon to Hanson, November 10, YR-3	71
Social media post by Arthur Dillon, December 1, YR-3	73
Letter from Dillon to Hanson, December 26, YR-3	75
Email from Hanson to Dillon, December 28, YR-3	77
Email chain, Hanson and Dillon, December 28, YR-3	79
Email from Hanson to Norris December 29, YR-3	81

MOTIONS AND MATERIALS FOR PROGRAM

ONE: MOTION TO DISMISS	85
I. EXERCISE	85
II. MEMORANDUM OF LAW	87
A. Factual background	87
B. Law	87
III. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR HANSON	91
IV. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR PAUL	93
V. CASE LAW	95
<i>FDIC v. Ezratty</i> , 132 F. Supp. 3d 369 (2015)	95
TWO: MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY—THE CRIME-FRAUD EXCEPTION	101
I. EXERCISE	101
II. MEMORANDUM OF LAW	103
III. INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO COMPEL	107
Exhibit A—Privilege Log Prepared on Behalf of Arthur Dillon, Sam Hanson, and Dynamo Sporting Goods	109
Exhibit B—Declaration of Michelle Paul	111
Exhibit C—Arthur Dillon’s Personal Financial Statement, dated March 23, YR-3	113
Exhibit D—Arthur Dillon’s Personal Financial Statement, dated December 23, YR-3	115
Exhibit E—Email from Sam Hanson to Jamie Norris, December 29, YR-3	117
IV. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR PAUL	119
V. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR DILLON AND DYNAMO	123
VI. CASE LAW	125
A. <i>United States v. Zolin</i> , 491 U.S. 554 (1989)	125
B. <i>In re Grand Jury Investigation</i> , 974 F.3d 1068 (9th Cir. 1992)	127
C. <i>In re Grand Jury</i> , 705 F.3d 133 (3d Cir. 2012)	128
D. <i>United States v. Boender</i> , 639 F.3d 650 (7th Cir. 2011)	129

THREE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS—TRADE SECRETS	135
I. EXERCISES	135
A. Preliminary Injunction Argument without Live Testimony	135
B. Preliminary Injunctions Argument with Live Testimony	136
II. MEMORANDUM OF LAW	137
A. Factual Background	137
B. The Law	138
III. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR DILLON	143
IV. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR PAUL	147
V. UNIFORM TRADE SECRETS ACT AND THE RESTATEMENT, RULE 65	151
A. Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Section 1	151
B. Restatement of the Law (Third), Unfair Competition, Section 39	151
C. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 65	153
VI. CASE LAW	155
A. <i>Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i> , 555 U.S. 7 (2008)	155
B. <i>Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell</i> , 632 F.3d 1127 (9th Cir. 2011).	157
C. <i>North Atlantic Instruments, Inc. v. Haber et al.</i> , 188 F.3d 38 (2d Cir. 1999)	164
D. <i>American Red Cross v. Palm Beach Blood Bank, Inc.</i> , 143 F.3d 1407 (11th Cir. 1998)	168