



Contents



| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| <i>Preface</i> | <i>xxi</i> | |
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> | <i>xxiii</i> | |
| | | |
| <i>Introduction</i> | 1 | |
| PART I | | |
| The Nature and Evolution of Environmental Law | 3 | |
| | | |
| <i>1</i> | <i>The Nature of Environmental Problems</i> | 5 |
| A. Pollution | | 6 |
| 1. Air Pollution | | 6 |
| 2. Water Pollution | | 9 |
| 3. Solid and Hazardous Wastes | | 11 |
| 4. Toxic Chemicals | | 14 |
| B. Overuse of Natural Resources | | 15 |
| C. Ecosystem Degradation | | 17 |
| D. Implications for Environmental Law | | 20 |
| Richard J. Lazarus, Restoring What's Environmental About Environmental Law in the Supreme Court | | 20 |
| Notes and Questions On Lazarus Article | | 24 |
| A Continuing Case Study—Climate Disruption | | 24 |
| | | |
| <i>2</i> | <i>Common Law Solutions</i> | 31 |
| A. Common Law Approaches—From Strict Rights to Balance | | 32 |
| Trespass and Nuisance Problem: Carbon Storage and Sequestration | | 33 |
| | | xi |


| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Trespass | 33 |
| 2. | Nuisance | 35 |
| 3. | Trespass or Nuisance? | 37 |
| 4. | Strict Liability for Abnormally Dangerous Activities | 37 |
| B. | The Promise and Limits of Common Law Solutions | 38 |
| 1. | Remedies: Injunctive Relief or Damages? | 38 |
| | <i>Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co.</i> | 38 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Boomer v. Atlantic Cement</i> | 42 |
| 2. | Common Law Goals and Coase | 42 |
| | R.H. Coase, The Problem of Social Cost | 43 |
| | Notes and Questions on Coase | 44 |
| 3. | Public and Private Nuisance | 45 |
| | <i>Georgia v. Tennessee Copper Co.</i> | 45 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Georgia v. Tennessee Copper Co.</i> | 47 |
| 4. | The Usefulness of the Common Law in Addressing Environmental-Mediated Harms | 47 |
| | <i>Missouri v. Illinois</i> | 47 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Missouri v. Illinois</i> | 51 |
| | Garrett Hardin, The Tragedy of the Commons | 52 |
| | Notes and Questions on Hardin | 53 |
| | Climate Disruption Common Law Review Problem | 54 |

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| | <i>From Common Law to Administrative Law</i> | 59 |
| A. | The Idea of Statutory Schemes | 60 |
| 1. | <i>Boomer v. Atlantic Cement: A Reprise</i> | 60 |
| 2. | Statutory Responses: The Clean Air Act as an Example | 62 |
| | a. Setting Standards for Clean Air | 63 |
| | b. Setting Standards for Particular Source Controls | 63 |
| | c. Planning for Overall Air Quality | 63 |
| B. | The Role of Administrative Agencies and Judicial Review | 63 |
| 1. | The Scope of Administrative Agency Authority (Delegation and Nondelegation) | 64 |
| 2. | Agency Decision Procedures and the Role of Outside Parties | 65 |
| | Notes and Questions on Agency Procedures | 67 |
| 3. | The Role of the Courts | 68 |
| | a. The Availability of Judicial Review | 69 |
| | b. Forcing Agency Action | 71 |
| | c. Challenges on the Merits—Standards of Judicial Review | 72 |
| | <i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe</i> | 73 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Overton Park</i> | 75 |
| | <i>Chevron, U.S.A. v. Natural Resources Defense Council</i> | 78 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Chevron</i> | 81 |
| | Climate Disruption Administrative Law Problem | 82 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| PART II | | |
| Establishing Environmental Standards | | 85 |
| A. A Conceptual Roadmap | | 86 |
| 1. | Criteria for Standard Setting | 86 |
| 2. | The Means of Environmental Protection | 88 |
| 3. | Allocation of Responsibility | 89 |
| B. Criteria for Standard Setting | | 89 |
| <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> 4 <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> | | |
| <i>Effects-Based Standards</i> | | 91 |
| A. | Defining “Effects”—Concepts of Risk and Precaution | 92 |
| | <i>Ethyl Corporation v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 92 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Ethyl Corporation</i> | 97 |
| B. | Ambient Effects-Based Standards | 98 |
| 1. | National Ambient Air Quality Standards | 99 |
| | <i>Lead Industries Ass’n, Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 99 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Lead Industries</i> | 104 |
| 2. | Critiques of National Ambient Air Quality Standards | 106 |
| | James E. Krier, The Irrational National Air Quality Standards: Macro- and Micro-Mistakes | 106 |
| | Notes and Questions on Krier Critique | 108 |
| | Clean Air Act Trail Guide | 108 |
| 3. | Water Quality Standards | 111 |
| | <i>Mississippi Commission on Natural Resources v. Costle PUD No. 1 of Jefferson County v. Washington Department of Ecology</i> | 112 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Jefferson County</i> and <i>Mississippi Commission</i> | 114 |
| C. | Effects-Based Output Standards (Release Limits) | 122 |
| | <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA</i> (1987) | 122 |
| | <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA</i> (2008) | 128 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Section 112 Cases | 130 |
| D. | Issues in Risk Assessment and Decision Making | 132 |
| | Climate Disruption, Toxics, and Risk | 133 |
| E. | Postscript: A Segue from Effects-Based to Technology-Based Regulation | 134 |
| | <i>Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO v. American Petroleum Institute</i> [Benzene] | 134 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Benzene</i> | 148 |
| <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> 5 <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> | | |
| <i>Technology-Based Standard Setting</i> | | 151 |
| A. | Feasibility | 151 |
| | <i>American Textile Manufacturers Institute, Inc. v. Donovan</i> [Cotton Dust Case] | 152 |
| | Notes and Questions on the <i>Cotton Dust</i> Case | 155 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|------------|
| 1. | Technical Feasibility | 156 |
| | a. Demonstrated Technology | 156 |
| | <i>National Lime Association v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 156 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>National Lime</i> | 163 |
| | A Note on the Follow-the-Leader Principle, the Problem of Categorization, and Variances | 164 |
| | A Note on the Value of Uniformity | 167 |
| | b. Technology-Forcing | 167 |
| | <i>Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 168 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>NRDC v. EPA</i> and Technology-Forcing | 172 |
| 2. | Economic Feasibility | 173 |
| | <i>Association of Pacific Fisheries v. EPA</i> | 174 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Pacific Fisheries</i> and Economic Feasibility | 179 |
| | A Trail Guide to the Clean Water Act (CWA) | 183 |
| B. | The Variety of Technology-Based Standards | 187 |
| | Technology-Based Standard Setting's Problem | 188 |
| C. | Technology-Based Standard Settings Record | 193 |
| <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> | | |
| | 6 <i>Cost-Benefit Approaches</i> | 197 |
| A. | CBA in the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, and the Safe Drinking Water Act | 198 |
| | Asbestos; Manufacture, Importation, Processing, and Distribution in Commerce Prohibitions | 200 |
| | <i>Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA</i> | 204 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Corrosion Proof Fittings</i> , TSCA, FIFRA, and the SDWA | 211 |
| B. | Review Under the Executive Orders | 213 |
| | <i>Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper, Inc.</i> | 215 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper</i> | 223 |
| C. | The Policy Debate | 223 |
| | 1. Tradeoffs | 223 |
| | <i>Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill</i> | 224 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Endangered Species Act | 231 |
| | 2. The Supermandate Idea | 233 |
| | 3. Priority Setting and Dollars Per Life Saved Tables | 233 |
| | 4. Quantifying Risk and Ecological Harm | 234 |
| | 5. Preferences and Statistical Life | 234 |
| | Climate Disruption Problem: A Cost-Benefit Analysis of EPA's Emission Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Existing Power Plants | 235 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| C. | The Means of Environmental Protection | 241 |
| | | |
|  | <i>Traditional Regulation</i> | 243 |
| | | |
| A. | Performance Standards | 243 |
| | <i>Sierra Club v. Costle</i> | 244 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Performance Standard in <i>Sierra Club v. Costle</i> | 244 |
| | Richard Stewart, Regulation, Innovation, and Administrative Law: A Conceptual Framework | 245 |
| | Notes and Questions on Technological Innovation | 246 |
| B. | Work Practice Standards | 247 |
| | <i>Adamo Wrecking Co. v. United States</i> | 248 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Adamo Wrecking</i> and Work Practice Standards | 253 |
| C. | Bans | 254 |
| | 1. Absolute Bans | 254 |
| | 2. Partial Bans | 256 |
| | <i>Environmental Defense Fund v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 257 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Environmental Defense Fund</i> | 264 |
| | 3. Conditional Bans | 265 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Endangered Species Act as a Ban | 266 |
| | | |
|  | <i>Economic Incentives</i> | 269 |
| | | |
| A. | Eco-Taxes | 270 |
| B. | Pollution Trading | 271 |
| | 1. Offset Programs | 272 |
| | <i>Citizens Against the Refinery's Effects v. EPA</i> | 273 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Citizens Against the Refinery's Effects</i> | 276 |
| | 2. Cap and Trade | 277 |
| | Byron Swift, Command Without Control: Why Cap-and-Trade Should Replace Rate Standards for Regional Pollutants | 277 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Acid Rain Program | 280 |
| | 3. The Hybrid Model and Climate Disruption | 282 |
| | Climate Disruption Trading Problem | 283 |
| | 4. Policy Issues | 285 |
| | a. Environmental Justice, Hot Spots, and Currency | 285 |
| | James Salzman & J.B. Ruhl, Currencies and the Commodification of Environmental Law | 287 |
| | Notes and Questions on Hot Spots and Currency | 288 |

| | | |
|------|---|------------|
| b. | Emissions Trading versus Best Available Technology | 289 |
| | Bruce A. Ackerman & Richard B. Stewart, Comment: Reforming Environmental Law | 289 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Ackerman and Stewart Critique | 293 |
| | | |
| |  9 <i>Information-Based Approaches</i> | 295 |
| A. | Information in Government Decision Making | 295 |
| 1. | NEPA and Its Analogues | 295 |
| | Trail Guide to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Guidelines | 296 |
| a. | Is an EIS Required? | 300 |
| | <i>Department of Transportation v. Public Citizen</i> | 300 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Public Citizen</i> | 306 |
| b. | What Information Must an EIS Consider? | 308 |
| i. | What Environmental Effects Must Be Considered, and How? | 308 |
| | <i>Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council</i> | 308 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council</i> | 316 |
| ii. | How and When Should Agencies Consider Cumulative Impacts and Alternatives to the Proposed Action? | 320 |
| | <i>Muckleshoot Indian Tribe v. U.S. Forest Service</i> | 321 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Muckleshoot Indian Tribe</i> | 328 |
| c. | Mitigating Environmental Injustice and Cumulative Impacts | 330 |
| | <i>Council of Environmental Quality, Guidance Under the National Environmental Policy Act</i> | 331 |
| | <i>Department of Transportation (DOT) Order to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations</i> | 331 |
| d. | NEPA's Record | 332 |
| 2. | TSCA and FIFRA Testing Requirements and Other Provisions Informing Pollution Control Decisions | 333 |
| | Problem: Testing Requirements for Atrazine Under FIFRA | 336 |
| B. | Information Motivating Private Action | 339 |
| 1. | Community Right to Know | 339 |
| 2. | Proposition 65 and Labeling | 340 |
| 3. | Radon | 340 |
| 4. | Green Lights | 341 |
| 5. | Certification Regimes | 341 |
| 6. | Some Theoretical Perspectives on Information-Based Regimes Problem: Information Approaches to Climate Disruption | 343 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 10 | <i>Moving Upstream: Pollution Prevention and Recycling</i> | 347 |
| A. | The RCRA Scheme | 350 |
| | A Trail Guide to RCRA | 351 |
| B. | The Solid Waste Definition and Recycling Policy | 353 |
| | <i>United States v. ILCO, Inc.</i> | 354 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>United States v. ILCO</i> | 359 |
| | A Note on the Closed Loop Recycling Exemption | 360 |
| C. | RCRA, CERCLA, and the Role of Regulatory Liability in Pollution Prevention | 361 |
| | Notes and Questions on Liability and Source Reduction | 363 |
| | Atrazine Source Reduction Problem | 364 |
| 11 | <i>Environmental Restoration</i> | 367 |
| A. | The Purpose and Role of Restoration | 367 |
| 1. | Whether Restoration? | 367 |
| | Notes and Questions on When Restoration Is Proper | 368 |
| 2. | Whither Restoration? | 368 |
| | Notes and Questions on the Nature of Restoration | 370 |
| | A Note on Terminology | 370 |
| B. | Remediation of Contaminated Waste Sites | 371 |
| 1. | Site Remediation Under CERCLA | 371 |
| | <i>State of Ohio v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 374 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Ohio v. EPA</i> | 378 |
| C. | Compensatory Restoration as a Condition of Future Development | 379 |
| 1. | Reclamation Under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act | 380 |
| | <i>National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel</i> | 381 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel</i> | 384 |
| 2. | Compensatory Mitigation Under Clean Water Act Section 404 | 385 |
| | Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources | 386 |
| | Compensatory Mitigation Problem | 389 |
| | Notes and Questions on Compensatory Mitigation | 390 |
| D. | Large-Scale Ecosystem Restoration | 390 |
| | The State of the Colorado River Ecosystem in Grand Canyon: A Report of the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center 1991-2004 | 391 |
| | <i>Grand Canyon Trust v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation</i> | 394 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Grand Canyon Trust</i> | 403 |
| | A Note on Climate Disruption and Restoration | 405 |

PART III
Allocation of Responsibility **407**

12 *Private Cleanup Responsibility* **409**

- A. Apportioning Statutory Liability for Environmental Harm 410
 - 1. Strict Liability Under *CERCLA* 411
 - State of New York v. Shore Realty* 411
 - Notes and Questions on *Shore Realty* 416
 - 2. Joint and Several Liability Under *CERCLA* 421
 - O'Neil v. Picillo* 421
 - Notes and Questions on *O'Neil v. Picillo* 427
 - 3. Categories of Responsible Parties 430
 - United States v. Bestfoods* 430
 - Notes and Questions on *Bestfoods* 436
 - American Cyanamid Co. v. Capuano* 438
 - Notes and Questions on *American Cyanamid* 444
 - Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway* 444
 - Company v. United States* 446
 - Notes and Questions on *Burlington Northern* 450
 - Atrazine Superfund Liability and Counseling Problem 450

13 *Allocation of Government Responsibility* **453**

- A. Introduction 453
- B. Federal versus State and Local Control 453
 - 1. Setting Ambient Effects-Based Standards 454
 - 2. Output Standards and State Planning 455
 - a. Attaining Ambient Environmental Standards: SIPs and TMDLs 455
 - Union Electric Co. v. Environmental Protection Agency* 457
 - Notes and Questions on *Union Electric* and SIPs 460
 - b. Assessment of the SIP Process 463
 - Arnold W. Reitze, Jr., Air Quality Protection Using State Implementation Plans—Thirty-Seven Years of Increasing Complexity 463
 - Notes and Questions on Reitze Critique 468
 - c. The Clean Water Act (CWA) Planning Process 468
 - Pronsolino v. Nastri* 469
 - Friends of Pinto Creek v. U.S. EPA* 474
 - Notes and Questions on *Pronsolino* and *Pinto Creek* 477
 - Problem: An Atrazine TMDL 480
 - A Note on the Scope and Coverage of Federal Regulation 481
 - 3. Delegating Federal Programs to States 486
 - Save the Bay v. Administrator, EPA* 486

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Save the Bay</i> | 491 |
| C. | Enforcing State Obligations | 494 |
| | <i>New York v. United States</i> | 494 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>New York</i> | 505 |
| | Federalism and Climate Disruption | 506 |
| D. | Recapitulation: The Residual Role of the Common Law in a Statutory World | 507 |
| | <i>City of Milwaukee v. Illinois</i> | 508 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Milwaukee II</i> | 513 |

PART IV
Compliance and Enforcement 517

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| | <i>Clifford Rechtschaffen, Deterrence vs. Cooperation and the Evolving Theory of Environmental Enforcement</i> | 518 |
|--|--|-----|

14

***Detecting Violations: Permitting, Monitoring,
Record Keeping, and Reporting*** 525

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| A. | Permitting | 525 |
| | <i>Atlantic States Legal Found. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> | 526 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Atlantic States</i> | 529 |
| | <i>Northwest Env'tl. Advocates v. City of Portland</i> | 530 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Northwest Environmental Advocates</i> | 533 |
| B. | Monitoring, Record Keeping, and Reporting | 534 |
| 1. | Monitoring | 535 |
| | <i>United States Steel Corp. v. Train</i> | 535 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>U.S. Steel</i> | 536 |
| | A Note on the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments | 537 |
| 2. | Reporting | 538 |
| | A Note on Audit Privileges | 540 |

15

Government Enforcement 543

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| A. | Civil Penalties | 543 |
| 1. | Maximum Penalty | 543 |
| 2. | Gravity-Based Penalty | 544 |
| 3. | Economic Benefit | 544 |
| 4. | Collection | 545 |
| | A Note on Supplemental Environmental Projects | 546 |
| B. | Criminal Penalties | 548 |
| | <i>United States v. Weitzenhoff</i> | 548 |
| | Notes and Questions on Knowing Violations | 555 |
| | <i>United States v. Ahmad</i> | 556 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| | Additional Notes and Questions on the “Knowingly Violated” Standard | 559 |
| | Climate Disruption Enforcement Problem | 561 |
| <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> | <i>Citizen Enforcement</i> | 563 |
| A. | Relationship to Government Enforcement | 563 |
| | <i>Jones v. City of Lakeland</i> | 565 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Jones</i> | 566 |
| B. | Standing and the <i>Gwaltney</i> Problem | 567 |
| | <i>Gwaltney of Smithfield, Ltd. v. Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Inc.</i> | 568 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Gwaltney</i> | 571 |
| | <i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> | 573 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Defenders of Wildlife</i> | 584 |
| | <i>Steel Company v. Citizens for a Better Environment</i> | 585 |
| | <i>Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental Services, Inc.</i> | 589 |
| | Notes and Questions on <i>Laidlaw</i> and <i>Steel Company</i> | 598 |
| C. | Attorneys’ Fees | 599 |
| D. | Enforcement: A General Assessment | 601 |
| | Climate Disruption Citizen Suit Problem | 602 |
| | <i>Table of Cases</i> | 603 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 609 |