

---

## CONTENTS

<b>Preface to the Fourth Edition</b>	<b>xxxvii</b>
<b>Preface to the Sixth Edition</b>	<b>xxxix</b>
<b>Preface to the Seventh Edition</b>	<b>xli</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xliii</b>
<b>PART 1 JUDICIAL JURISDICTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Subject Matter in International Disputes</b>	<b>7</b>
A. Introduction	7
1. Plenary State Subject Matter and Legislative Jurisdiction	7
2. Limited Federal Legislative and Subject Matter Jurisdiction	8
a. Limited Federal Legislative Authority Under Article I	8
b. Limited Federal Judicial Authority Under Article III	10
3. Overview of Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction in International Cases	11
a. Article III's Grants of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	11
b. Statutory Grants of Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	12
c. Removal	13
d. Practical Considerations Relevant to Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction	13
4. Relationship Between U.S. State and Federal Law	14
a. The <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	14
b. Substantive Federal Common Law	16
5. Relationship Between International Law and U.S. Law	18
a. International Law	18
b. Relationship Between International Agreements and U.S. Law	21
c. Relationship Between Customary International Law and U.S. Law	22
d. Presumption that Congressional Legislation Is Consistent with International Law	24
6. Separation of Powers Considerations	24

B. Alienage Jurisdiction of Federal Courts	28
1. Introduction and Historical Background	28
2. Contemporary Statutory Grants of Alienage Jurisdiction	29
a. Section 1332(a) (2)	29
b. Section 1332(a) (3)	31
<i>Hercules Inc. v. Dynamic Export Corp.</i>	33
Notes on <i>Hercules v. Dynamic</i>	34
C. Federal Question Jurisdiction in International Cases	39
1. Introduction and Historical Background	39
a. Article III’s Grant of Federal Question Jurisdiction	39
b. Statutory Grants of Federal Question Jurisdiction	40
2. Jurisdiction of Federal Courts Under the Alien Tort Statute	42
a. The Alien Tort Statute	42
b. <i>Filartiga</i> and <i>Tel-Oren</i>	43
c. <i>Sosa</i> , <i>Kiobel</i> , and <i>Jesner</i>	45
<i>Filartiga v. Pena-Irala</i>	46
<i>Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain</i>	49
<i>Torture Victim Protection Act</i>	59
Notes on <i>Filartiga</i> , <i>Sosa</i> , and TVPA	59
3. Federal Question Jurisdiction in Cases Arising Under International Law and Federal Common Law	77
<i>Restatement (Fourth) Foreign Relations Law of the United States (2018) §111</i>	78
<i>Filartiga v. Pena-Irala</i>	78
<i>Sequihua v. Texaco, Inc.</i>	79
Notes on <i>Fourth Restatement §111</i> , <i>Filartiga</i> , and <i>Sequihua</i>	80
<b>Chapter 2   Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Parties to International Disputes</b>	<b>87</b>
A. Introduction and Historical Background	88
1. Statutory and Constitutional Requirements for Exercise of Personal Jurisdiction	88
a. Statutory Authorization for the Exercise of Judicial Jurisdiction	88
(1) State Long-Arm Statutes	88
(2) Federal Long-Arm Statutes and Rules	90
b. Due Process Limits on Judicial Jurisdiction: Historical Overview and Vocabulary	90
(1) Territorial Sovereignty and <i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	91
(2) <i>International Shoe</i> and “Minimum Contacts”	93

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xv</b>
(3) <i>Hanson v. Denckla</i> and “Purposeful Availment”	95
(4) <i>World-Wide Volkswagen</i> and Contemporary Due Process Analysis: “Purposeful Contacts” and “Reasonableness”	96
(5) The Reemergence of Territorial Sovereignty: <i>Asahi</i> , <i>Burnham</i> , and <i>Nicastro</i>	97
c. “General” and “Specific” Jurisdiction	98
d. Procedural Posture of Litigation over Personal Jurisdiction	99
2. Selected Materials on the Foundations of Personal Jurisdiction	100
<i>Pennoyer v. Neff</i>	100
<i>Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws §47 (1934)</i>	103
<i>World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson</i>	103
<i>Restatement (Fourth) Foreign Relations Law of the United States §421 (2018)</i>	106
<i>French Civil Code, Art. 14</i>	106
<i>European Council Regulation 1215/2012</i>	106
Notes on <i>Pennoyer</i> , <i>World-Wide Volkswagen</i> , and Legislative Materials	106
B. General Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts in International Cases	119
1. General Jurisdiction Based on Nationality, Domicile, or Residence of the Defendant	120
<i>Blackmer v. United States</i>	121
Notes on <i>Blackmer</i>	122
2. General Jurisdiction Based on Incorporation or Registration to Do Business	125
a. Incorporation	125
b. Registration or Qualification to Do Business	126
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i>	127
Notes on <i>Daimler</i>	131
3. General Jurisdiction Based on Consent or Waiver	132
a. Prorogation Agreements or Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements	133
b. Implied Submissions to Jurisdiction	134
4. General Jurisdiction Based on Personal Service During the Transitory Presence of the Defendant Within the Forum	134
<i>C.S.B. Commodities, Inc. v. Urban Trends (HK) Ltd.</i>	135
<i>Oyuela v. Seacor Marine (Nigeria), Inc.</i>	138
Notes on <i>CSB Commodities</i> and <i>Oyuela</i>	139
C. Specific Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Foreign Defendants	145
1. Specific Jurisdiction in International Product Liability and Tort Cases	145
a. Purposeful Contacts and the Stream of Commerce Doctrine	145

b. Reasonableness	147
c. Defining the Forum “State”: A Preliminary View	148
<i>Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Ct. of Cal., Solano County</i>	148
<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	153
Notes on <i>Asahi</i> and <i>Nicastro</i>	160
2. Specific Jurisdiction in International Contract Disputes	173
<i>Afram Export Corp. v. Metallurgiki Halyps, SA</i>	174
<i>Benton v. Cameco Corp.</i>	177
Notes on <i>Afram Export</i> and <i>Benton</i>	181
D. Jurisdiction Based on Corporate Affiliations or Agency Relationships	189
1. Personal Jurisdiction Based on Alter Ego Status	190
a. Law Governing Alter Ego Status	190
b. <i>Cannon Manufacturing</i> : The Importance of Corporate Formalities	192
c. Alternative Alter Ego Standards	193
<i>In re Telectronics Paper Systems, Inc.</i>	195
Notes on <i>Telectronics</i>	200
2. Personal Jurisdiction Based on Agency Relationship	206
a. Law Governing Agency Relationship for Jurisdictional Purposes	207
b. Agency Standards for Jurisdictional Purposes	207
c. Selected Materials on Agency as a Basis for Personal Jurisdiction in International Cases	208
<i>Frummer v. Hilton Hotels International</i>	209
<i>Cartwright v. Fokker Aircraft U.S.A., Inc.</i>	211
Notes on <i>Hilton Hotels</i> and <i>Fokker</i>	213
E. Personal Jurisdiction in Federal Courts: Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 and the Due Process Clauses	217
1. Introduction	217
a. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4: Amenability and Manner of Service Distinguished	217
b. Rule 4(k)’s Jurisdictional Grants: An Overview	218
c. No Federal Personal Jurisdiction Without Legislative Authorization: <i>Omni Capital</i>	219
d. Due Process Limits: “State” or “National” Contacts?	220
2. Federal Long-Arm Statutes Granting Jurisdiction Based on a Foreign Defendant’s National Contacts	220
<i>Pinker v. Roche Holdings, Ltd.</i>	221
<i>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro</i>	224
Notes on <i>Pinker</i>	224

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xvii</b>
3. Borrowed State Long-Arm Statutes Under Rule 4(k)(1)(A) and the Due Process Clauses	231
4. Personal Jurisdiction Under Rule 4(k)(2)	232
<i>Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 4(k)(1)(A) &amp; 4(k)(2)</i>	233
<i>United States v. Swiss American Bank, Ltd.</i>	233
Notes on Rule 4(k) and <i>Swiss American Bank</i>	237
<b>Chapter 3 Foreign Sovereign Immunity and Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Foreign States</b>	<b>247</b>
A. Introduction	248
1. Historical Background	248
2. The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976: Overview	251
3. International Recognition of the Restrictive Theory of Foreign Sovereign Immunity	252
4. Selected Historical Materials Concerning Foreign Sovereign Immunity	253
<i>The Schooner Exchange v. McFaddon</i>	253
<i>Berizzi Bros. Co. v. SS Pesaro</i>	255
<i>Republic of Mexico v. Hoffman (The Baja California)</i>	257
<i>Letter of Acting Legal Adviser, Jack B. Tate, to Department of Justice, May 19, 1952</i>	258
<i>Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976</i>	259
<i>Republic of Austria v. Altmann</i>	259
<i>U.N. Convention on Jurisdictional Immunities of States and Their Property</i>	263
Notes on <i>Schooner Exchange</i> , <i>Pesaro</i> , <i>Republic of Mexico</i> , <i>Tate Letter</i> , <i>FSIA</i> , <i>Altmann</i> , and <i>U.N. State Immunities Convention</i>	263
B. Entities Entitled to Immunity Under the FSIA	269
1. Foreign States Proper	270
2. Foreign State “Political Subdivisions”	272
3. Agencies and Instrumentalities of Foreign States	272
4. Separate Legal Identities of Foreign Government Entities and Grounds for Disregarding	273
5. Foreign Heads of State and State Officials	274
6. Selected Materials on Foreign State Entities	274
<i>Dole Food Co. v. Patrickson</i>	275
<i>First Nat’l City Bank v. Banco Para el Comercio Exterior de Cuba</i>	277
<i>Samantar v. Yousuf</i>	282
Notes on <i>Dole</i> , <i>Bancec</i> , and <i>Samantar</i>	287

C. Bases for Judicial Jurisdiction over Foreign States Under the FSIA	299
1. Commercial Activity with a U.S. Nexus	301
a. Definition of “Commercial Activity”	301
<i>Republic of Argentina v. Weltover, Inc.</i>	303
<i>MOL, Inc. v. People’s Republic of Bangladesh</i>	307
Notes on <i>Weltover</i> and <i>MOL</i>	309
b. The “Based Upon” and “In Connection” Requirements	313
<i>Saudi Arabia v. Nelson</i>	314
Notes on <i>Nelson</i>	323
c. The “Nexus” Requirements	327
<i>Republic of Argentina v. Weltover, Inc.</i>	328
<i>Saudi Arabia v. Nelson</i>	329
Notes on <i>Weltover</i> and <i>Nelson</i>	330
2. Noncommercial Torts Occurring Within the United States	338
a. Situs Requirement for Noncommercial Torts	340
<i>In re SEDCO, Inc.</i>	340
<i>Olsen ex rel. Sheldon v. Government of Mexico</i>	342
Notes on <i>SEDCO</i> and <i>Olsen ex rel. Sheldon</i>	344
b. The Discretionary Function Exclusion for Noncommercial Torts	346
<i>In re SEDCO, Inc.</i>	347
<i>Letelier v. Republic of Chile</i>	347
Notes on <i>SEDCO</i> and <i>Letelier</i>	349
c. The “Scope of Employment” Requirement	352
<i>Liu v. Republic of China</i>	352
Notes on <i>Liu v. Republic of China</i>	355
3. Expropriation with a U.S. Nexus	357
<i>Siderman de Blake v. Republic of Argentina</i>	357
Notes on <i>Siderman de Blake</i>	361
4. Waiver of Sovereign Immunity	369
<i>Verlinden BV v. Central Bank of Nigeria</i>	370
<i>Cargill Int’l, S.A. v. M/T Pavel Dybenko</i>	374
Notes on <i>Verlinden</i> and <i>Cargill</i>	376
5. Terrorism-Related Acts	387
<i>Price v. Socialist People’s Libyan Arab Jamahiriya</i>	389
Notes on <i>Price</i>	393

Contents	xix
<b>PART 2 CHOICE OF FORUM</b>	<b>401</b>
<b>Chapter 4 Forum Non Conveniens in International Litigation</b>	<b>403</b>
A. Introduction and Background	404
1. Common Law Origins of <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Doctrine	404
2. <i>Gulf Oil Co. v. Gilbert</i> and <i>Koster v. American Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co.</i>	409
3. Section 1404(a): Domestic <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Statute	411
B. The Modern <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Doctrine: Basic Principles	412
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	413
<i>Dow Chemical Co. v. Castro Alfaro</i>	419
Notes on <i>Piper</i> and <i>Castro Alfaro</i>	426
C. Deference to the Plaintiff's Choice of Forum	439
<i>Iragorri v. United Technologies Corp.</i>	439
Notes on <i>Iragorri</i>	443
D. The "Private and Public Interest" Factors	457
<i>Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno</i>	457
<i>Howe v. Goldcorp Investments, Ltd.</i>	457
<i>Harrison v. Wyeth Labs. Div. of Am. Home Prods. Corp.</i>	461
Notes on <i>Piper</i> , <i>Howe</i> , and <i>Wyeth</i>	464
E. The Adequate Alternative Forum Requirement and Public Policy Restrictions	476
1. Adequate Alternative Forum Requirement	476
2. Public Policy as a Basis for Denying <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Dismissals	477
3. Conditions on Dismissals	479
4. Selected Materials on Adequate Alternative Forums, Public Policy, and Conditions	480
<i>In re Union Carbide Corporation Gas Plant Disaster at Bhopal, India in December, 1984</i>	480
<i>Howe v. Goldcorp Investments, Ltd.</i>	483
<i>Laker Airways Ltd. v. Pan American World Airways</i>	483
<i>Harrison v. Wyeth Labs. Div. of Am. Home Prods. Corp.</i>	486
<i>Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Shell Petroleum Co.</i>	487
<i>Ecuadorian Law 55</i>	489

<b>xx</b>		<b>Contents</b>
	<i>Model Blocking Statute Adopted by PARLATINO</i>	489
	Notes on <i>Bhopal, Howe, Laker, Wyeth, Wiwa</i> , and Legislative Materials	489
F.	The Contemporary <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Doctrine: Applicable Law and the <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	508
	<i>Sequihua v. Texaco, Inc.</i>	510
	Notes on <i>Sequihua</i>	510
G.	Venue in International Litigation in U.S. Courts	514
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>International Forum Selection Agreements</b>	<b>517</b>
A.	Introduction and Historical Background	518
	1. Exclusive and Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements	518
	2. Reasons for Entering into Forum Selection Agreements	520
	3. Historical Development of Validity and Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements	521
	a. Historic U.S. Common Law Rule that Forum Selection Agreements Are Unenforceable	522
	b. Rejection of the Historic Rule that Forum Selection Agreements Are Unenforceable	523
	c. <i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws</i>	525
	d. Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements	526
B.	Contemporary Approaches to Forum Selection Agreements in International Cases	527
	1. Contemporary Approaches to Validity and Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements	527
	a. Forum Selection Agreements Per Se Unenforceable	528
	b. <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Analysis	528
	c. Forum Selection Agreements Valid and Enforceable if “Reasonable”	529
	d. Forum Selection Agreements Enforced as Contracts	530
	e. New York General Obligation Law §5-1402	530
	2. Forum Selection Agreements Involving Consumers and Insureds	531
	3. Selected Materials on Approaches to the Validity and Enforceability of International Forum Selection Agreements	531
	<i>Kahn v. Tazwell</i>	531
	<i>Montana Code Annotated</i>	533
	<i>The Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Co.</i>	533
	<i>Smith, Valentino &amp; Smith, Inc. v. Superior Court</i>	537
	<i>New York General Obligations Law §§5-1401 &amp; 1402</i>	540
	<i>European Union Regulation 1215/2012, Art. 25</i>	540



<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<i>Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (2005)</i>	540
Notes on <i>Tazwell, Bremen, Smith</i> , and Legislative Materials	540
C. Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Forum Selection Agreements	547
1. Introduction	547
2. Defects in Formation and Other Contract Law Defenses as Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Forum Selection Agreements	548
<i>Colonial Leasing Co. of New England v. Pugh Brothers Garage</i>	548
<i>Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute</i>	550
<i>European Union Regulation 1215/2012, Arts. 10-25</i>	553
<i>Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (2005),         Arts. 2, 3, 5 &amp; 6</i>	553
Notes on <i>Colonial Leasing, Carnival Cruise</i> , and Legislative Materials	553
3. Unreasonableness or Inconvenience as a Ground for Resisting Enforcement of Exclusive and Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements	561
a. Unreasonableness or Inconvenience as Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Exclusive Forum Selection Agreements	561
b. Unreasonableness or Inconvenience as Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements	564
<i>Copperweld Steel Co. v. Demag-Mannesmann-Boehler</i>	565
<i>New York General Obligations Law §5-1402</i>	567
<i>European Union Regulation 1215/2012, Art. 25</i>	567
<i>Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (2005)</i>	567
Notes on <i>Copperweld</i> and Legislative Materials	567
4. Public Policy as a Ground for Resisting Enforcement of Forum Selection Agreements	576
a. Introduction	576
b. Sources of Public Policy	576
c. Selected Materials on Public Policy	580
<i>The Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Co.</i>	580
<i>Richards v. Lloyd's of London</i>	581
Notes on <i>Bremen</i> and <i>Richards</i>	587
D. Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements: Applicable Law in U.S. Courts	595
1. Introduction	595
2. Approaches to Selecting Law Applicable to Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements	597
a. <i>Bremen</i> : A Federal Common Law Rule Governing Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements in Admiralty Cases	597
b. Section 1404(a) Governs Enforceability in Federal Courts of Forum Selection Agreements Selecting Other Federal Forums	598

c. <i>Erie</i> Problems: Does State or Federal Law Govern Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements in Federal Diversity Actions?	600
d. Choice-of-Law Rules Applicable to Select Law Governing Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements	601
e. Choice of Law Under Hague Choice of Court Agreements Convention	601
3. Selected Materials on Law Applicable to Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements	602
<i>Royal Bed &amp; Spring Co. v. Famossul Industria e Comercio de Moveis Ltda</i>	602
<i>Instrumentation Assocs., Inc. v. Madsen Elecs. (Canada) Ltd.</i>	605
Notes on <i>Royal Bed</i> and <i>Madsen Electronics</i>	607
E. Scope of Forum Selection Agreements	615
<b>Chapter 6   Parallel Proceedings: <i>Lis Alibi Pendens</i> and Antisuit Injunctions</b>	<b>619</b>
A. Introduction to Parallel Proceedings	620
B. The <i>Lis Alibi Pendens</i> Doctrine	621
1. Introduction	621
2. <i>Colorado River</i> : “Unflagging Obligation” to Exercise Jurisdiction	622
3. <i>Landis</i> : Stays Within the District Court’s “Sound Discretion”	624
4. <i>Quackenbush</i> : “Unflagging Obligation” Reaffirmed	625
5. Selected Materials on <i>Lis Alibi Pendens</i>	625
<i>Ingersoll Milling Machine Co. v. Granger</i>	625
<i>Continental Time Corp. v. Swiss Credit Bank</i>	628
<i>Hague Choice of Court Agreements Convention (2005)</i>	630
<i>ALI’s Proposed Federal Foreign Judgments Recognition and Enforcement Act §11</i>	630
Notes on <i>Ingersoll</i> , <i>Continental Time</i> , and Legislative Materials	631
C. Antisuit Injunctions	641
1. Standards Governing Foreign Antisuit Injunctions in U.S. Courts	642
a. Decisions Applying Stringent Limits on Issuance of Foreign Antisuit Injunctions	643
b. Decisions Applying More Flexible Standards to Issuance of Foreign Antisuit Injunctions	645
2. Selected Materials on Foreign Antisuit Injunctions in U.S. Courts	645
<i>China Trade &amp; Development Corp. v. MV Choong Yong</i>	645
<i>Kaepa, Inc. v. Achilles Corp.</i>	649
Notes on <i>China Trade</i> and <i>Kaepa</i>	652

Contents	xxiii
<b>PART 3 LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTION AND CHOICE OF LAW</b>	<b>667</b>
<b>Chapter 7 Legislative Jurisdiction</b>	<b>669</b>
A. International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction	669
1. Introduction and Historical Background	670
2. Contemporary International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction: The “Effects Test” and Erosion of Territorial Limits	674
3. Selected Materials Concerning the Evolution of International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction	677
<i>Story, Commentaries on the Conflict of Laws §§18, 20 &amp; 23 (2d ed. 1841)</i>	678
<i>Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934) §§55, 63 &amp; 65</i>	678
<i>Restatement (Second) Foreign Relations Law of the United States             (1965) §§17, 18, 30, 33, 39 &amp; 40</i>	678
<i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §9</i>	678
<i>Restatement (Third) Foreign Relations Law of the United States             (1987) §§402, 403 &amp; 441</i>	678
<i>Restatement (Fourth) Foreign Relations Law of the United States             (2018) §§402-406</i>	678
Notes on <i>Story’s Commentaries</i> and <i>Restatements</i>	678
B. International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction in U.S. Courts	685
1. U.S. Federal Law Prevails over Inconsistent Jurisdictional Limits of Customary International Law in U.S. Courts	685
2. Presumptions that Congress Has Not Violated International Law and Has Not Extended U.S. Law Extraterritorially	686
3. Relationship Between U.S. State Law and Jurisdictional Limits of Customary International Law	687
C. Constitutional Limitations on Legislative Jurisdiction in U.S. Courts	687
1. Constitutional Limits on Federal Legislative Jurisdiction	688
<i>United States v. Davis</i>	690
Notes on <i>United States v. Davis</i>	692
2. Constitutional Limits on State Legislative Jurisdiction	697
a. Historic Constitutional Limits	697
<i>Home Insurance Co. v. Dick</i>	698
Notes on <i>Dick</i>	700
b. Contemporary Constitutional Limits on State Legislative Jurisdiction	703
(1) Due Process Limitations on State Choice of Law	704
<i>Allstate Insurance Co. v. Hague</i>	704
Notes on <i>Allstate</i>	712

(2) Foreign Commerce and Other Constitutional Limitations on State Legislative Jurisdiction	717
<i>American Insurance Association v. Garamendi</i>	720
Notes on <i>Garamendi</i>	724
<b>Chapter 8 Choice of Law in International Litigation</b>	<b>733</b>
A. Application of Federal Statutes in International Cases	734
1. Determining the Territorial Reach of Federal Legislation in International Cases: The Problem of Silent or Ambiguous Statutes	734
2. Historical Introduction: The Territoriality Presumption	735
<i>American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.</i>	742
<i>Lauritzen v. Larsen</i>	744
<i>Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Arabian American Oil Co.</i>	750
Notes on <i>American Banana</i> , <i>Lauritzen</i> , and <i>Aramco</i>	756
3. Contemporary Approach to Extraterritorial Application of Federal Antitrust Statutes	767
a. Overview of U.S. Antitrust Laws	767
b. From <i>American Banana</i> 's Territoriality Presumption to <i>Alcoa</i> 's Effects/Intent Test	768
<i>United States v. Aluminum Company of America ("Alcoa")</i>	769
<i>Industrial Investment Development Corp. v. Mitsui &amp; Co.</i>	771
Notes on <i>Alcoa</i> and <i>Mitsui</i>	772
c. Protests Against the Extraterritorial Application of the U.S. Antitrust Laws	776
d. Moderating the Extraterritorial Application of the U.S. Antitrust Laws: <i>Timberlane</i> and <i>Third Restatement</i> §403	779
<i>Restatement (Second) Foreign Relations Law (1965) §40</i>	780
<i>Timberlane Lumber Co. v. Bank of America N.T. &amp; SA</i>	780
<i>Restatement (Third) Foreign Relations Law of the United States (1987) §§402 &amp; 403</i>	783
Notes on <i>Second Restatement</i> , <i>Timberlane</i> , <i>Third Restatement</i> , and <i>Fourth Restatement</i>	783
e. Contemporary Supreme Court Approach to Extraterritorial Application of U.S. Antitrust Laws: <i>Hartford Fire</i> and <i>Hoffmann-LaRoche</i>	790
<i>Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. California</i>	791
<i>F. Hoffmann-LaRoche, Ltd. v. Empagran, SA</i>	798
Notes on <i>Hartford Fire</i> and <i>Hoffmann-LaRoche</i>	802
4. The Extraterritorial Application of Federal Securities Laws	812
<i>Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.</i>	814
Notes on <i>Morrison</i>	822

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>B. Choice of Law Applicable to Torts</b>	<b>828</b>
1. Traditional Approach: Territoriality and Vested Rights	828
a. The Territorial Rule: “Place of the Wrong”	828
b. Selected Materials on the Traditional “Place of Wrong” Rule	830
<i>Story, Commentaries on the Conflict of Laws §§18, 20, 23, 29, 32, 33, 35 &amp; 38 (2d ed. 1841)</i>	830
<i>Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934) §§1, 6, 377 &amp; 378</i>	830
<i>Alabama Great Southern Railroad Co. v. Carroll</i>	830
Notes on <i>Story’s Commentaries, First Restatement, and Carroll</i>	832
c. Characterization, Escape Devices, and Procedural Laws	837
<i>Victor v. Sperry</i>	838
<i>Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934)</i>	
§§384, 585, 610, 611 & 612	840
Notes on <i>Victor and First Restatement</i>	840
2. Contemporary Approaches to Choice of Law Applicable to Torts	845
a. Criticism of Vested Rights Doctrine	845
b. Contemporary Approaches: Interest Analysis	846
c. Contemporary Approaches: <i>Second Restatement’s</i> “Most Significant Relationship” Standard	848
d. Contemporary Lower Court Approaches to Choice of Law Applicable to Tort	849
<i>Currie, Notes on Methods and Objectives in the Conflict of Laws</i>	850
<i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§6, 10, 122, 145, 146 &amp; 156</i>	853
<i>Tramontana v. SA Empresa de Viação Aerea Rio Grandense</i>	853
Notes on <i>Currie, Second Restatement, and Tramontana</i>	858
<b>C. Choice of Law Applicable to Contracts</b>	<b>869</b>
1. Party Autonomy and Choice-of-Law Clauses	869
a. Traditional U.S. Approach: Choice-of-Law Clauses Not Enforceable	870
b. Contemporary Approach: Choice-of-Law Clauses Are Presumptively Enforceable	871
c. Public Policy	872
d. Reasonable Relationship	874
e. Interpretation of Choice-of-Law Clauses	874
f. Selected Materials on Party Autonomy	875
<i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§187 &amp; 204</i>	876
<i>The Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Co.</i>	876
<i>Richards v. Lloyd’s of London</i>	876
<i>New York General Obligations Law §5-1401</i>	876

<i>Lehman Brothers Commercial Corp. v. Minmetals Int'l     Non-Ferrous Metals Trading Co.</i>	876
Notes on <i>Second Restatement, Bremen, Richards, and Minmetal</i>	881
2. Traditional Approach to Choice of Law Governing Contracts in the Absence of Choice-of-Law Agreement: Territoriality and Vested Rights	891
<i>Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934) §§332 &amp; 358</i>	892
<i>Milliken v. Pratt</i>	892
<i>Louis-Dreyfus v. Paterson Steamships, Ltd.</i>	895
Notes on <i>First Restatement, Milliken, and Louis-Dreyfus</i>	897
3. Contemporary Approach to Choice of Law Governing Contracts in the Absence of Choice-of-Law Agreement: “Most Significant Relationship”	900
<i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§188, 198, 202 &amp; 206</i>	901
<i>Lilienthal v. Kaufman</i>	901
Notes on <i>Second Restatement and Lilienthal</i>	905
D. <i>Erie</i> and the Choice-of-Law Rules in Federal Courts	908
<i>Day &amp; Zimmermann, Inc. v. Challoner</i>	909
<i>Liu v. Republic of China</i>	910
Notes on <i>Day &amp; Zimmermann</i> and <i>Liu</i>	910
E. Proof of Foreign Law	913
<i>First National City Bank v. Compania de Aguaceros, SA</i>	914
<i>Bodum USA, Inc. v. La Cafetiere, Inc.</i>	916
Notes on <i>Aguaceros</i> and <i>Bodum</i>	922
<b>Chapter 9 Act of State and Foreign Sovereign Compulsion</b>	<b>929</b>
A. Act of State Doctrine: Introduction and Historical Background	930
B. Contemporary Formulations of the Act of State Doctrine	934
1. The <i>Sabbatino</i> Decision	934
<i>Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino</i>	935
Notes on <i>Sabbatino</i>	942
2. Elements of the Act of State Doctrine	952
a. Definition of “Act of State”	953
<i>Alfred Dunhill of London, Inc. v. Republic of Cuba</i>	953
Notes on <i>Dunhill</i>	955
b. “Sitting in Judgment” on an Act of State: Validity Versus Motivations	959
<i>W.S. Kirkpatrick &amp; Co. v. Environmental Tectonics Corp.</i>	960
Notes on <i>Environmental Tectonics</i>	964

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
c. The Situs Requirement	970
<i>Braha v. Bancomer, S.N.C.</i>	971
Notes on <i>Bancomer</i>	972
C. Exceptions to the Act of State Doctrine	977
1. The <i>Bernstein</i> Exception	977
<i>W.S. Kirkpatrick &amp; Co. v. Environmental Tectonics Corp.</i>	978
Notes on <i>Environmental Tectonics</i>	979
2. The “Commercial” Exception	982
<i>Alfred Dunhill of London, Inc. v. Republic of Cuba</i>	983
Notes on <i>Dunhill</i>	985
3. The “Treaty” Exception	988
<i>Kalamazoo Spice Extraction Co. v. Provisional Military Gov’t</i> <i>of Socialist Ethiopia</i>	989
<i>Kuwait Airways Corp. v. Iraqi Airways Co.</i>	991
Notes on <i>Kalamazoo Spice</i> and <i>Kuwait Airways</i>	995
4. The Second Hickenlooper Amendment	998
D. Foreign Sovereign Compulsion Doctrine	999
<i>Interamerican Refining Corp. v. Texaco Maracaibo, Inc.</i>	999
<i>Restatement (Fourth) Foreign Relations Law (2018) §442</i>	1001
<i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §202</i>	1001
Notes on <i>Texaco Maracaibo</i> and <i>Restatements</i>	1002
<b>PART 4      INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE</b>	<b>1009</b>
<b>Chapter 10    Service of U.S. Process on Foreign Persons</b>	<b>1013</b>
A. Introduction and Historical Background	1014
1. Choice of Law	1014
2. Service of Process in the United States: An Historical Overview	1015
B. Contemporary U.S. Rules Governing Service of Process on Foreign Defendants	1018
1. Service of Process in Federal Court Proceedings	1018
a. Service of Complaint and Summons Under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1018
b. Service of Summons and Complaint as a Jurisdictional Requirement Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1019
c. Service of Complaint and Summons as a Means of Notice Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1019
d. Service as Commencing an Action and Tolling Statutes of Limitations Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1019

2. Mechanisms for Serving Foreign Defendants with U.S. Process Within the United States	1020
3. No Service of U.S. Process Abroad by U.S. Consuls	1022
4. Service of U.S. Process Abroad Under Old Rule 4(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1022
5. Service of U.S. Process Abroad on Foreign Defendants Under Rule 4(f) of the Current Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	1023
a. Rule 4(f) (1): Service Abroad Pursuant to Hague Service Convention and Other “Internationally Agreed Means”	1023
b. Rule 4(f) (2): Alternative Mechanisms of Service Abroad	1025
c. Rule 4(f) (3): Service as Directed by District Court	1026
6. Waivers of Service Under Rule 4(d)	1026
7. Service of Process on Foreign Defendants in State Court Proceedings	1028
8. Due Process Clause: Reasonable Notice Requirements for Service	1029
C. Service of Process in Foreign Legal Systems	1030
1. Rules Governing Service of Process in Foreign Courts	1030
2. Restrictions on Service of Foreign Process Within National Territory	1031
3. Selected Materials on Foreign Service Restrictions and Letters Rogatory	1033
<i>Swiss Penal Code, Article 271</i>	1033
<i>Diplomatic Note of January 10, 1980 from the Embassy of France to the U.S. Department of State</i>	1033
<i>Diplomatic Note Dated September 27, 1979 from the Embassy of the Fed. Republic of Germany to the U.S. Dep’t. of State</i>	1033
<i>28 United States Code §§1696 &amp; 1781</i>	1034
<i>U.S. Department of State, Preparation of Letters Rogatory</i>	1034
Notes on Article 271, Diplomatic Notes, and Letters Rogatory	1034
D. A Closer Look at Service of U.S. Process Abroad: Selected Issues	1038
1. Service of U.S. Process Abroad Under New Rule 4(f) and Other Legislative Grants Where Foreign Law Restricts U.S. Service	1038
a. Congress May Authorize Service Abroad in Violation of International Law	1039
b. Presumption that Congress Does Not Intend to Authorize Service Abroad in Violation of International Law	1039
c. Rule 4(f)’s Provisions for Service Abroad in Violation of Foreign Law	1040
<i>Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Nahas</i>	1040
<i>Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 4(f)</i>	1045
<i>Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio International Interlink</i>	1045
Notes on <i>Nahas</i> , Rule 4(f), and <i>Rio</i>	1050



<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxix</b>
2. Service of Process Abroad Pursuant to the Hague Service Convention	1064
a. The Hague Service Convention Is Not a Basis for Personal Jurisdiction	1065
b. Historical Background of the Hague Service Convention	1066
3. Overview of the Hague Service Convention	1067
4. Purposes of the Hague Service Convention	1068
5. Scope of the Hague Service Convention: “Civil or Commercial”	1070
6. Service of Process by Central Authorities Under Article 5 of the Hague Service Convention	1070
a. Service Under Article 5(a) in the Manner Used for Service in Domestic Actions	1071
b. Service by Central Authority Pursuant to Article 5(b)	1072
c. Service by the Central Authority Pursuant to Article 5’s “Second Paragraph”	1073
7. “Exclusivity” of the Hague Service Convention	1074
a. The Hague Service Convention Preempts Service Mechanisms Under Local Law	1074
b. The Hague Service Convention Provides Service Mechanisms Supplementing Domestic Law	1075
<i>Kadota v. Hosogai</i>	1075
Notes on <i>Kadota</i>	1078
8. Alternative Mechanisms for Service Under Articles 8, 10, and 19 of the Hague Service Convention	1082
a. Direct Service by Consular and Diplomatic Channels Under Article 8	1082
b. Indirect Service by Consular and Diplomatic Channels Under Article 9	1083
c. Alternative Service Mechanisms Under Article 10	1083
(1) Article 10(a): “Sending” Judicial Documents by Mail	1083
(2) Articles 10(b) and 10(c): Service by “Competent Persons”	1084
d. Article 19’s Savings Provision	1084
<i>Water Splash, Inc. v. Menon</i>	1085
<i>Vasquez v. Sund Emba AB</i>	1089
Notes on <i>Water Splash</i> and <i>Vasquez</i>	1092
9. Scope of the Hague Service Convention: When Is “Service Abroad” Required and When Can Service Be Effected Within the United States on Foreign Defendants?	1098
<i>Volkswagenwerk AG v. Schlunk</i>	1098
Notes on <i>Schlunk</i>	1106
10. Default Judgments Under Article 15 of the Hague Service Convention	1112

xxx	Contents
E. Service of Process Abroad Pursuant to the Inter-American Convention on Letters Rogatory	1113
F. Service of U.S. Process on Foreign States	1113
1. FSIA’s Regime for Service on Foreign States	1114
2. Alternative Mechanisms for Service Prescribed for “Foreign States Proper” and for Foreign State “Agencies and Instrumentalities”	1114
3. Selected Materials on Service Under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act	1115
<i>Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act</i>	1115
<i>Magness v. Russian Federation</i>	1116
Notes on FSIA and <i>Magness</i>	1119
G. Service of Foreign Process in the United States	1123
1. No Direct U.S. Legal Restrictions on Service of Foreign Process in the United States	1123
2. Absence of Direct U.S. Legal Restrictions on Foreign Service of Process Mechanisms Does Not Imply that U.S. Courts Will Recognize Resulting Foreign Judgments	1124
3. U.S. Judicial Assistance in Serving Foreign Process in the United States	1124
a. Section 1696	1124
b. Section 1781	1126
4. Ensuring that Foreign Process Is Served in a Manner that Will Permit U.S. Recognition of a Foreign Judgment	1126
 <b>Chapter 11 Extraterritorial Discovery and Taking Evidence Abroad</b>	 <b>1127</b>
A. Overview of U.S. Discovery of Materials Located Abroad	1128
B. Foreign Reactions to Unilateral Extraterritorial U.S. Discovery	1131
1. Foreign “Discovery” Systems	1131
2. Foreign Diplomatic Objections to U.S. Discovery	1134
3. Foreign Blocking Statutes	1136
4. Selected Materials on Foreign Diplomatic Notes and Blocking Statutes	1138
<i>Diplomatic Note from the Secretary of State for External Affairs of Canada to the Ambassador of the United States</i>	1138
<i>French Penal Code Law No. 80-538, Arts. 1A &amp; 2</i>	1139
<i>Swiss Penal Code, Art. 273</i>	1139
<i>Philippine Presidential Decree No. 1718</i>	1140
Notes on Foreign Diplomatic Protests and Blocking Statutes	1140
C. Direct U.S. Discovery of Materials Located Abroad: Judicial Power	1142
1. Direct Extraterritorial Discovery of Documents from Parties to U.S. Litigation	1142

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxxi</b>
2. Direct Extraterritorial Discovery from Parties by Deposition upon Oral Examination	1143
a. Standards for Ordering Depositions of Foreign Persons	1144
b. An Introduction to the Mechanics of Conducting Foreign Depositions	1147
3. Selected Materials on Direct Extraterritorial Discovery from Parties	1148
<i>In re Uranium Antitrust Litigation</i>	1148
<i>Dubai Islamic Bank v. Citibank, NA</i>	1150
Notes on <i>Uranium Antitrust</i> and <i>Dubai Islamic Bank</i>	1154
4. Direct Extraterritorial Discovery from Nonparty Witnesses	1161
<i>Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 45</i>	1161
28 U.S.C. §§1783 & 1784	1161
<i>Laker Airways Limited v. Pan American World Airways</i>	1162
Notes on Rule 45, <i>Pan American World Airways</i> , and §§1783 and 1784	1164
D. Direct U.S. Discovery of Materials Located Abroad: Resolving Conflicts Between U.S. Discovery Orders and Foreign Law	1169
1. Extraterritorial Discovery in Violation of Foreign Law: Historical Introduction and Judicial Power	1170
a. Historical Introduction	1170
b. <i>Société Internationale v. Rogers</i>	1170
<i>Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934) §94</i>	1172
<i>Restatement (Second) Foreign Relations Law (1965) §§39 &amp; 40</i>	1172
<i>United States v. First National City Bank</i>	1172
Notes on <i>Restatements</i> and <i>City Bank</i>	1176
2. Extraterritorial Discovery in Violation of Foreign Law: Contemporary Approaches	1183
<i>Restatement (Fourth) Foreign Relations Law of the United States §§426, 442 (2018)</i>	1183
<i>Restatement (Third) Foreign Relations Law of the United States (1987) §442</i>	1183
<i>Reins. Co. of Am., Inc. v. Administratia Asigurarilor de Stat</i>	1184
<i>In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation</i>	1189
Notes on <i>Restatements §442</i> , <i>Reinsurance Company</i> , and <i>Air Cargo</i>	1191
E. Discovery Pursuant to Customary International Judicial Assistance	1198
F. Discovery Abroad Under the Hague Evidence Convention	1200
1. Overview of the Hague Evidence Convention	1200
a. Scope of the Convention	1201
b. Central Authority Mechanism	1203
c. Article 23's Exception for Pretrial Document Requests	1204

xxxii	Contents
d. Alternative Methods of Taking Evidence Pursuant to the Hague Evidence Convention	1206
e. Execution of Letters of Request	1207
2. “Exclusivity” of the Hague Evidence Convention: <i>Aérospatiale</i>	1208
<i>Société Nationale Industrielle Aérospatiale v. U.S. District Court</i>	1209
Notes on <i>Aérospatiale</i>	1217
3. Exclusivity of the Hague Evidence Convention: Post- <i>Aérospatiale</i> Experience	1222
<i>Article 23 Reservations of France, Germany, and Sweden</i>	1222
<i>Benton Graphics v. Uddeholm Corp.</i>	1222
<i>In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation</i>	1225
<i>U.S. Department of State, Preparation of Letters Rogatory</i>	1230
Notes on Article 23 Reservations, <i>Uddeholm</i> , <i>In re Vitamins</i> , and Department of State Circular	1230
G. Taking of Evidence in the United States in Aid of Foreign Proceedings	1238
1. Taking of Evidence in the United States Without Court Assistance	1238
2. Taking of Evidence in the United States with Court Assistance	1239
<i>Intel Corp. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.</i>	1240
Notes on <i>Intel</i>	1246
<b>Chapter 12 Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments</b>	<b>1257</b>
A. Introduction	1258
1. Recognition and Enforcement Distinguished	1258
2. Recognition and Enforcement of Sister State Judgments Under the U.S. Full Faith and Credit Clause	1259
B. Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments by U.S. Courts	1260
1. No Express Federal Law Governing Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	1260
2. Contemporary Approaches to Recognition of Foreign Judgments in the United States	1262
a. <i>Hilton v. Guyot</i> : International Comity and the Presumptive Recognition of Foreign Judgments	1262
b. Statutory Mechanisms for the Recognition of Foreign Judgments: Uniform Foreign Money Judgments Recognition Act and Uniform Foreign-Country Money Judgments Recognition Act	1264
3. Foreign Approaches to the Recognition of U.S. and Other Judgments	1266
4. Efforts at the Hague Conference and ALI’s Proposed Judgments Legislation	1268

5. Selected Materials on the Presumptive Recognition of Foreign Judgments	1269
<i>Hilton v. Guyot</i>	1269
<i>Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§92 &amp; 98</i>	1273
<i>Uniform Foreign Money Judgments Recognition Act (1962)</i>	1273
<i>European Union Regulation (EC) No. 1215/2012</i>	1273
<i>American Law Institute Proposed Foreign Judgments Legislation</i>	1273
Notes on <i>Hilton</i> , <i>Second Restatement</i> , and Legislative Materials	1273
6. The Reciprocity Requirement	1279
<i>Hilton v. Guyot</i>	1280
<i>Somportex, Ltd. v. Philadelphia Chewing Gum Corp.</i>	1280
<i>Reading &amp; Bates Constr. Co. v. Baker Energy Resources Corp.</i>	1281
Notes on <i>Hilton</i> , <i>Somportex</i> , and <i>Baker Energy</i>	1283
7. The Revenue Rule	1288
<i>Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of British Columbia v. Gilbertson</i>	1288
Notes on <i>Her Majesty</i>	1290
8. Applicable Law and the <i>Erie</i> Doctrine	1298
<i>Somportex, Ltd. v. Philadelphia Chewing Gum Corp.</i>	1298
<i>Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of British Columbia v. Gilbertson</i>	1298
Notes on <i>Somportex</i> and <i>Her Majesty</i>	1298
C. Exceptions to the Presumptive Recognition of Foreign Judgments in U.S. Courts	1303
1. Adequate Notice and Proper Service	1304
<i>Ackermann v. Levine</i>	1304
Notes on <i>Ackermann</i>	1306
2. Personal and Subject Matter Jurisdiction of Rendering Court	1311
<i>Mercandino v. Devoe &amp; Reynolds, Inc.</i>	1311
<i>S.C. Chimexim S.A. v. Velco Enterprises Ltd.</i>	1312
Notes on <i>Mercandino</i> and <i>S.C. Chimexim</i>	1314
3. Public Policy	1325
<i>Ackermann v. Levine</i>	1326
<i>Telnikoff v. Matusevitch</i>	1329
<i>Southwest Livestock &amp; Trucking Co., Inc. v. Ramon</i>	1332
Notes on <i>Ackermann</i> , <i>Telnikoff</i> , and <i>Southwest Livestock</i>	1333

<b>xxxiv</b>	<b>Contents</b>
4. Fairness of Foreign Judicial System	1340
<i>Society of Lloyd's v. Ashenden</i>	1341
<i>Bridgeway Corp. v. Citibank</i>	1343
Notes on <i>Ashenden</i> and <i>Bridgeway</i>	1346
<b>Chapter 13 International Commercial Arbitration and U.S. Courts: An Overview</b>	<b>1353</b>
A. Overview of International Arbitration	1353
1. Introduction	1353
2. Overview of International Legal Framework for Arbitration	1358
a. The New York Convention	1358
b. National Legislation Concerning International Commercial Arbitration	1359
c. U.S. Legislation Concerning International Commercial Arbitration	1360
B. Validity and Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements Under the New York Convention and the FAA	1362
1. Introduction to Validity and Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements	1363
a. Historical Background	1363
b. Overview of Validity and Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements Under the FAA	1364
c. Separability of International Arbitration Agreements	1366
d. Validity and Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements in U.S. Courts Under the New York Convention	1367
e. Who Decides Challenges to Arbitral Jurisdiction: Competence- Competence and <i>First Options v. Kaplan</i>	1368
2. Selected Materials on Enforcement of International Arbitration Agreements in U.S. Courts	1370
<i>Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§1-16, 201-210, &amp; 301-307</i>	1371
<i>UNCITRAL Model Law</i>	1371
<i>Rhone Mediterranee Compagnia Francese di Assicurazioni e</i> <i>Riassicurazioni v. Achille Lauro</i>	1371
<i>Republic of Nicaragua v. Standard Fruit Co.</i>	1373
<i>Nokia Maillefer S.A. v. Mazzer</i>	1376
Notes on Statutory Materials, <i>Rhone</i> , <i>Standard Fruit</i> , and <i>Nokia</i>	1378
3. The Non-Arbitrability Doctrine in U.S. Courts	1387
<i>Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.</i>	1389
<i>Richards v. Lloyd's of London</i>	1395
Notes on <i>Mitsubishi</i> and <i>Richards</i>	1395

<b>Contents</b>	<b>xxxv</b>
4. Interpretation of International Commercial Arbitration Agreements	1398
<i>Apollo Computer, Inc. v. Berg</i>	1399
<i>Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.</i>	1401
Notes on <i>Apollo</i> and <i>Mitsubishi</i>	1403
C. Recognition and Enforcement of International Arbitral Awards	1406
1. Enforceability of International Arbitral Awards Under the New York Convention	1407
2. Selected Materials on the Enforceability of International Arbitral Awards	1409
<i>Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§1-16, 201-210, 301-307</i>	1409
<i>UNCITRAL Model Law, Articles 34-36</i>	1409
<i>Parsons &amp; Whittemore Overseas Co. v. Societe Generale de l'Industrie             du Papier</i>	1409
<i>Northrop Corp. v. Triad International Marketing S.A.</i>	1413
Notes on Statutory Materials, <i>Parsons &amp; Whittemore</i> , and <i>Triad</i>	1414
D. Professional Responsibility in International Arbitration	1423
1. Introduction	1423
2. Selected Materials on Professional Responsibility	1424
<i>Michigan Compiled Laws §600.916 (1961)</i>	1424
<i>Williamson v. John D. Quinn Construction Co.</i>	1425
<i>Lawler, Matusky &amp; Skeller v. Attorney General of Barbados</i>	1425
<i>Bidermann Indus. Licensing, Inc. v. Avmar NV</i>	1426
<i>Swiss Penal Code, Articles 271 &amp; 307</i>	1427
<i>French Penal Code Law No. 80-538</i>	1428
<i>ABA Model Rules on Professional Conduct, Rules 5.5(C), 8.5(B) &amp;             Comments</i>	1428
Notes on <i>Williamson</i> , <i>Lawler</i> , <i>Bidermann</i> , and Legislative Materials	1429
 <b>Index</b>	 <b>1439</b>