

---

# CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xxxi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxxiii

## 1

<b>INTRODUCTION TO EVIDENCE LAW</b>	1
A. Good News and Bad News	2
B. The Nature and Development of Evidence Law	5
C. The Anglo-American Trial	8
D. The Role of the Trial Judge	11
1. The Trial Judge's Authority	12
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 104(a)	12
2. The Trial Judge's Discretion	12
Robert C. Park et al., <i>Evidence Law: A Student's Guide to the Law of Evidence as Applied in American Trials</i>	12
Stephen A. Saltzburg, Michael M. Martin & Daniel J. Capra, <i>Federal Rules of Evidence Manual</i>	13
<i>United States v. Walton</i>	14
<i>Bandera v. City of Quincy</i>	14
Problems 1.1 & 1.2	15
E. The Future of Evidence Law	16

## 2

<b>RELEVANCE</b>	17
A. Relevance and Irrelevance	17
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 401	18
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 402	19
<i>Knapp v. State</i>	19
<i>United States v. Dominguez</i>	20
<i>State v. Larson</i>	21
Edmund M. Morgan, <i>Basic Problems of Evidence</i>	22
Graham C. Lilly, <i>An Introduction to the Law of Evidence</i>	23

B. Probative Value and Prejudice	24
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 403	24
Eleanor Swift, <i>One Hundred Years of Evidence Law Reform: Thayer's Triumph</i>	25
<i>United States v. Noriega</i>	26
<i>United States v. Flitcraft</i>	27
<i>Abernathy v. Superior Hardwoods, Inc.</i>	28
<i>United States v. McRae</i>	29
<i>United States v. Mebanna</i>	30
<i>Old Chief v. United States</i>	32
Daniel C. Richman, <i>Old Chief v. United States: Stipulating Away Prosecutorial Accountability?</i>	39
Problem 2.1	40
C. Conditional Relevance	40
Edmund M. Morgan, <i>Basic Problems of Evidence</i>	41
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 104(b)	41
<i>State v. McNeely</i>	42
Vaughn C. Ball, <i>The Myth of Conditional Relevance</i>	43
Problem 2.2	44

3
---

<b>HEARSAY</b>	45
A. The Hearsay Rule and Its Rationale	45
1. Introduction	45
<i>Trial of Sir Walter Raleigh, Knight, for High Treason, by a Special Commission of Oyer and Terminer, at Winchester, 17th November, 1603, 2 James I</i>	46
<i>Leake v. Hagert</i>	48
McCormick on Evidence	50
Laurence H. Tribe, <i>Triangulating Hearsay</i>	51
Problem 3.1	52
2. Nonhearsay Uses of Out-of-Court Statements	53
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(c)	54
<i>Lyons Partnership v. Morris Costumes, Inc.</i>	55
<i>United States v. Parry</i>	56
<i>Subramaniam v. Public Prosecutor</i>	57
<i>United States v. Feliz</i>	58
<i>United States v. Johnson</i>	60
<i>Campbell v. Boston Scientific Corp.</i>	60
<i>United States v. Jefferson</i>	61

	<i>United States v. Saavedra</i>	61
	<i>Hanson v. Johnson</i>	62
	<i>Creaghe v. Iowa Home Mutual Casualty Co.</i>	62
	<i>United States v. Montana</i>	63
	Problems 3.2-3.11	64
3.	Implied Assertions	65
	<i>United States v. Zenni</i>	65
	<i>State v. Dullard</i>	69
	Laurence H. Tribe, Triangulating Hearsay	72
	Problems 3.12-3.18	73
B.	Hearsay and Confrontation	74
	<i>Crawford v. Washington</i>	75
	<i>Ohio v. Clark</i>	83
	Problems 3.19-3.21	91
C.	Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule	91
	Laurence H. Tribe, Triangulating Hearsay	91
	Advisory Committee Note to Article VIII of the F.R.E.	92
1.	Prior Statements by Witnesses	93
	<i>Albert v. McKay &amp; Co.</i>	93
	Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(d)(1)	94
	Report of the Senate Judiciary Committee	96
	Report of the Conference Committee	96
	Report of the Senate Judiciary Committee	96
	<i>United States v. Owens</i>	97
	Problems 3.22-3.26	98
2.	Admissions by Opposing Parties	99
a.	Direct Admissions	100
i.	Generally	100
	<i>Salvitti v. Throppe</i>	100
	Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(d)(2)	101
	Edmund Morgan, Admissions	101
	<i>United States v. McGee</i>	102
	McCormick on Evidence	102
	<i>United States v. Phelps</i>	103
ii.	Admissions and Multiple Hearsay	103
	<i>Reed v. McCord</i>	103
	<i>Foster v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	104
	Stephen A. Saltzburg, Michael M. Martin & Daniel J. Capra, Federal Rules of Evidence Manual	105

iii. Admissions and Completeness	105
<i>Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey</i>	105
Problem 3.27	108
b. Adoptive Admissions	108
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(d)(2)(B)	108
<i>United States v. Fortes</i>	109
<i>Southern Stone Co. v. Singer</i>	110
<i>Moss v. Commonwealth</i>	112
Problem 3.28	113
c. Authorized Admissions	113
<i>Hanson v. Waller</i>	113
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(d)(2)(C)	114
Problem 3.29	114
d. Agent and Employee Admissions	114
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(d)(2)(D)	114
<i>Mablandt v. Wild Canid Survival &amp; Research Center, Inc.</i>	115
<i>Sea-Land Service, Inc. v. Lozen International, LLC</i>	118
Problems 3.30–3.33	119
e. Co-Conspirator Admissions	120
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 801(d)(2)(E)	120
Report of the Senate Judiciary Committee	120
Joseph H. Levie, Hearsay and Conspiracy	120
<i>Bourjaily v. United States</i>	121
Advisory Committee Note to 1997 Amendment to F.R.E. 801(d)(2)	126
Problem 3.34	126
f. Admissions and the <i>Bruton</i> Rule	126
<i>Bruton v. United States</i>	127
<i>Gray v. Maryland</i>	131
3. Spontaneous and Contemporaneous Statements	137
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803	138
<i>United States v. Obayagbona</i>	139
<i>People v. Cummings</i>	141
<i>United States v. Elem</i>	142
Graham C. Lilly, An Introduction to the Law of Evidence	143
Robert M. Hutchins & Donald Slesinger, Some Observations on the Law of Evidence	144

Jon R. Waltz, The Present-Sense Impression Exception to the Rule Against Hearsay: Origins and Attributes	145
Problems 3.35-3.37	145
4. State of Mind	145
a. Generally	145
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(3)	146
<i>United States v. Harris</i>	146
b. The <i>Hillmon</i> Doctrine	148
<i>Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Hillmon</i>	148
Brooks W. MacCracken, The Case of the Anonymous Corpse	151
Robert A. Dykstra, Sallie Hillmon and the Bizarre Origins of the <i>Hillmon</i> Rule	152
<i>Shepard v. United States</i>	153
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(3)	155
Report of the House Judiciary Committee	156
<i>United States v. Houliban</i>	156
Problems 3.38-3.41	158
5. Injury Reports	159
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(4)	160
<i>Rock v. Huffco Gas &amp; Oil Co.</i>	160
<i>Ward v. State</i>	162
Problems 3.42 & 3.43	166
6. Recorded Recollection	167
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(5)	167
<i>Fisher v. Swartz</i>	168
<i>United States v. Riccardi</i>	170
Problem 3.44	174
7. Business Records	174
a. Generally	175
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(6)	175
<i>State v. Acquisto</i>	175
Advisory Committee Note to the 2000 Amendment to F.R.E. 803(6)	177
b. Qualifying “Businesses”	177
Report of the House Judiciary Committee	177
Report of the Conference Committee	178
<i>Keogh v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	178
<i>United States v. Gibson</i>	180

c. Qualifying Records	180
<i>Palmer v. Hoffman</i>	180
<i>Lewis v. Baker</i>	181
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(6)	183
d. Sources of Information	184
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(6)	184
<i>Wilson v. Zapata Off-Shore Co.</i>	185
e. Absence of Record	186
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(7)	186
<i>United States v. Gentry</i>	186
Problems 3.45 & 3.46	187
8. Public Records	187
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(8)	188
<i>Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey</i>	189
<i>Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts</i>	194
<i>Bullcoming v. New Mexico</i>	203
<i>United States v. Torralba-Medina</i>	210
<i>State v. Davis</i>	211
<i>United States v. Lundstrom</i>	212
9. Former Testimony	213
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 804	214
Robert C. Park et al., Evidence Law: A Student's Guide to the Law of Evidence as Applied in American Trials	215
<i>United States v. Bollin</i>	215
<i>Kirk v. Raymark Industries, Inc.</i>	216
<i>Clay v. Johns-Manville Sales Corp.</i>	218
<i>Volland-Goland v. City of Chicago</i>	219
Glen Weissenberger, The Former Testimony Hearsay Exception: A Study in Rulemaking, Judicial Revisionism, and the Separation of Powers	221
<i>United States v. Salerno</i>	222
Problems 3.47 & 3.48	225
10. Dying Declarations	226
McCormick on Evidence	226
<i>Shepard v. United States</i>	226
<i>United States v. Sacasas</i>	228
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 804(b)(2)	229
Report of the House Judiciary Committee	229
<i>Davis v. State</i>	229
Problem 3.49	232

11. Declarations Against Interest	232
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 804(b)(3)	232
Report of the House Judiciary Committee	233
<i>United States v. Duran Samaniego</i>	234
<i>United States v. Jackson</i>	236
Problems 3.50–3.52	237
12. Forfeiture by Wrongdoing	238
Advisory Committee Note to 1997 Amendment to F.R.E. 804	238
<i>Giles v. California</i>	238
13. Residual Exception	243
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 807	244
Glen Weissenberger & James J. Duane, Federal Rules of Evidence	245
<i>United States v. Slatten</i>	245
<i>United States v. Boyce</i>	247
Victor Gold, The Three Commandments of Amending the Federal Rules of Evidence	248
14. Review	248
Problems 3.53–3.78	248
D. Hearsay and Machine-Generated Proof	252
<i>People v. Lopez</i>	252
Andrea Roth, Machine Testimony	253
Edward Cheng and Alex Nunn, Beyond the Witness: Bringing a Process Perspective to Modern Evidence Law	255
E. Hearsay and Due Process	256
<i>Chambers v. Mississippi</i>	256
<i>Fortini v. Murphy</i>	265
<b>4</b> <b>CHARACTER EVIDENCE</b>	267
A. The Basic Rule and Its Exceptions	267
<i>People v. Zackowitz</i>	268
<i>Cleghorn v. New York Central &amp; Hudson     River R.R. Co.</i>	271
<i>Berryhill v. Berryhill</i>	272
<i>Larson v. Klapprodt</i>	272
<i>United States v. Baez</i>	273
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 404(a)	273

McCormick on Evidence	274
Justin Sevier, Legitimizing Character Evidence	275
Problems 4.1–4.5	275
B. Methods of Proving Character	276
<i>Michelson v. United States</i>	276
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 405	281
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 803(21)	282
<i>Government of the Virgin Islands v. Roldan</i>	282
<i>United States v. Krapp</i>	283
<i>United States v. Setien</i>	285
<i>United States v. Ford</i>	285
McCormick on Evidence	286
Problems 4.6–4.8	286
C. Other Uses of Specific Conduct	287
1. Permissible Purposes	287
<i>United States v. Beechum</i>	287
<i>United States v. Boyd</i>	290
<i>United States v. DeJohn</i>	290
<i>Lewis v. United States</i>	291
<i>United States v. Crocker</i>	291
<i>United States v. Dossey</i>	292
<i>United States v. Wright</i>	293
<i>United States v. Davis</i>	295
<i>United States v. Ekiyor</i>	297
Advisory Committee Note to 1991 Amendment to F.R.E. 404	299
Daniel J. Capra & Liesa L. Richter, Character Assassination: Amending Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b) to Protect Criminal Defendants	299
Paul F. Rothstein, Intellectual Coherence in an Evidence Code	300
Mirko Bagaric & Kumar Amarasekara, The Prejudice Against Similar Fact Evidence	301
2. Requisite Proof	301
<i>Huddleston v. United States</i>	302
Problems 4.9 & 4.10	305
D. Character and Habit	307
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 406	307
Report of the House Judiciary Committee	308
<i>Kornberg v. United States</i>	308



<i>Ortiz v. City of New York</i>	309
Thomas M. Mengler, The Theory of Discretion in the Federal Rules of Evidence	311
Problem 4.11	311
E. Sexual Assault and Child Molestation	312
1. Character of the Victim	312
John Henry Wigmore, A Treatise on the Anglo-American System of Evidence in Trials at Common Law	313
<i>Graham v. State</i>	314
Remarks of Rep. Elizabeth Holtzman	314
Advisory Committee Note to 1994 Amendments to F.R.E. 412	315
<i>Olden v. Kentucky</i>	317
<i>United States v. Willis</i>	320
<i>United States v. Thompson</i>	321
<i>Polo-Calderon v. Corporacion Puertorriqueña de Salud</i>	323
2. Character of the Defendant	325
Remarks of Rep. Susan Molinari	326
Report of the Judicial Conference of the United States on the Admission of Character Evidence in Certain Sexual Misconduct Cases	327
Stephen A. Saltzburg, Michael M. Martin & Daniel J. Capra, Federal Rules of Evidence Manual	329
<i>United States v. LeCompte</i>	329
<i>United States v. Majeroni</i>	330
Note, Searching for a Better Constitutional Guarantor for FRE 413–415: The Conflict Among Circuits in Applying the FRE 403 Balancing Test and a New Solution	332
Tom Lininger, Evidentiary Issues in Federal Prosecutions of Violence Against Women	332
<i>United States v. Cunningham</i>	333
<b>5 OTHER FORBIDDEN INFERENCES</b>	335
A. Subsequent Remedial Measures	336
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 407	336
<i>Clausen v. Storage Tank Development Corp.</i>	336
<i>In re Asbestos Litigation</i>	338
<i>Diehl v. Blaw-Knox</i>	339

B. Settlement Efforts	342
1. Civil Settlements	342
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 408	342
<i>United States v. Davis</i>	343
<i>Ramada Development Co. v. Rauch</i>	344
<i>Carney v. American University</i>	346
<i>PRL USA Holdings, Inc. v. United States Polo Association, Inc.</i>	348
2. Criminal Settlements	350
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 410	350
<i>United States v. Mezzanatto</i>	350
<i>United States v. Mergen</i>	355
Christopher B. Mueller, “Make Him an Offer He Can’t Refuse” — <i>Mezzanatto</i> Waivers as Lynchpin of Prosecutorial Overreach	356
John W. Strong, Consensual Modifications of the Rules of Evidence: The Limits of Party Autonomy in an Adversary System	356
C. Medical Payments and Liability Insurance	358
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 409	358
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 411	358
<i>Charter v. Chleborad</i>	358
<i>Higgins v. Hicks Co.</i>	359
Charles Alan Wright, Kenneth W. Graham, Jr., & Victor Gold, Federal Practice and Procedure: Evidence Problems 5.1–5.4	360
	361
<b>6 TRIAL MECHANICS</b>	363
A. Order of Proof	363
1. Generally	364
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 611	364
Robert C. Park et al., Evidence Law: A Student’s Guide to the Law of Evidence as Applied in American Trials	364
<i>Stone v. Peacock</i>	365
<i>Elgabri v. Lekas</i>	365
<i>United States v. Wilford</i>	366
<i>United States v. Carter</i>	367
2. Rule of Completeness	368
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 106	368
Stephen A. Saltzburg, Michael M. Martin & Daniel J. Capra, Federal Rules of Evidence Manual	368

B. Mode of Questioning	369
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 611	369
<i>United States v. Nabors</i>	370
<i>Ellis v. City of Chicago</i>	371
Problems 6.1-6.3	372
C. Sequestering Witnesses	373
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 615	373
Report of the Senate Judiciary Committee	373
<i>United States v. Machor</i>	374
D. Questioning by Judge	374
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 614	375
<i>United States v. Tilghman</i>	375
E. Questioning by Jurors	380
<i>United States v. Hernandez</i>	380
<i>State v. Fisher</i>	383
<i>United States v. Tavares</i>	385

## 7

<b>IMPEACHMENT AND REHABILITATION</b>	387
A. Introduction	387
McCormick on Evidence	388
B. Character for Untruthfulness	389
1. In General	390
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 608	390
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 610	390
<i>United States v. Lollar</i>	391
<i>Glaze v. Childs</i>	392
<i>United States v. Rosa</i>	392
<i>United States v. White</i>	393
<i>United States v. Aponte</i>	394
Problems 7.1-7.4	394
2. Prior Criminal Convictions	395
a. Admissible and Inadmissible Convictions	395
<i>United States v. Wong</i>	395
<i>United States v. Estrada</i>	397
<i>United States v. Amaechi</i>	401
<i>United States v. Sanders</i>	402
<i>United States v. Oaxaca</i>	404
<i>United States v. Hernandez</i>	405

Richard D. Friedman, Character Impeachment Evidence: The Asymmetrical Interaction Between Personality and Situation	406
Anna Roberts, Reclaiming the Importance of the Defendant's Testimony: Prior Conviction Impeachment and the Fight Against Implicit Stereotyping	406
b. Preserving Claims of Error	407
<i>Luce v. United States</i>	407
<i>Obler v. United States</i>	409
Problems 7.5 & 7.6	410
C. Prior Inconsistent Statements	410
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 613	411
<i>United States v. Lebel</i>	411
<i>United States v. Truman</i>	412
<i>United States v. Ince</i>	414
<i>United States v. Webster</i>	416
<i>People v. Freeman</i>	417
Problems 7.7-7.10	419
D. Bias and Incapacity	420
<i>United States v. Abel</i>	420
<i>United States v. Sasso</i>	424
<i>Henderson v. Detella</i>	425
E. Specific Contradiction	426
<i>Simmons, Inc. v. Pinkerton's, Inc.</i>	427
<i>United States v. Copelin</i>	429
Kevin C. McMunigal & Calvin William Sharpe, Reforming Extrinsic Impeachment	432
Problems 7.11 & 7.12	433
F. Rehabilitation	434
1. In General	434
<i>United States v. Lindemann</i>	434
2. Character for Truthfulness	437
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 608	437
<i>Beard v. Mitchell</i>	437
<i>United States v. Daneby</i>	438
<i>United States v. Drury</i>	439
<i>United States v. Murray</i>	439
Problems 7.13 & 7.14	441
3. Prior Consistent Statements	442
<i>Tome v. United States</i>	442

Advisory Committee Note to 2014 Amendment to F.R.E. 801	452
Problem 7.15	453

**8**

<b>COMPETENCE</b>	455
A. In General	455
James B. Thayer, Select Cases on Evidence at the Common Law	456
<i>Rosen v. United States</i>	457
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 601	458
<i>United States v. Lightly</i>	459
B. Personal Knowledge	460
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 602	461
<i>United States v. Hickey</i>	461
C. Oath or Affirmation	463
<i>United States v. Ward</i>	463
<i>United States v. Allen J.</i>	465
Victor Gold, Do the Federal Rules of Evidence Matter? Problems 8.1-8.3	468
D. Dead Man Statutes	469
Report of the House Judiciary Committee	469
McCormick on Evidence	469
Alabama Code §12-21-163	471
California Evidence Code §1261	471
E. Competence and the Constitution	471
<i>Rock v. Arkansas</i>	471
Problem 8.4	476
F. Judges, Jurors, and Lawyers	477
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 605	478
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 606	478
<i>Warger v. Shauers</i>	479
<i>Pena-Rodriguez v. Colorado</i>	483
<i>United States v. Ewing</i>	489
Problems 8.5-8.7	492

**9**

<b>OPINIONS, EXPERTS, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE</b>	493
A. Lay Opinions	493
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 701	494

Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 704	494
<i>Government of the Virgin Islands v. Knight</i>	495
<i>Robinson v. Bump</i>	496
<i>United States v. Houston</i>	497
Advisory Committee Note to 2000 Amendment to F.R.E. 701	497
<i>United States v. Ayala-Pizarro</i>	498
<i>United States v. Freeman</i>	501
B. Expert Testimony	504
1. Permissible Subjects and Scope of Expert Testimony	504
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 702	504
<i>Hatch v. State Farm Fire &amp; Casualty Co.</i>	505
Marten Transport Ltd. v. Plattform Advertising, Inc.	506
Report of the Senate Judiciary Committee on Rule 704	508
2. Relating the Basis of and Expert Opinion to the Jury	508
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 703	509
Advisory Committee Note to 2000 Amendment to F.R.E. 703	510
<i>Williams v. Illinois</i>	510
<i>People v. Sanchez</i>	513
3. Court-Appointed Experts	515
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 706	515
<i>LeBlanc v. PNS Stores, Inc.</i>	515
John Shepard Wiley, Jr., Taming Patent: Six Steps for Surviving Scary Patent Cases	516
4. Special Reliability Requirements for Experts	517
a. Introduction	517
b. The <i>Daubert</i> Revolution	518
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	518
<i>General Electric Co. v. Joiner</i>	524
<i>Kumho Tire Company, Ltd. v. Carmichael</i>	528
c. The Current Legal Landscape	534
Advisory Committee Note to 2000 Amendment to F.R.E. 702	534
Eleanor Swift, One Hundred Years of Evidence Law Reform: Thayer's Triumph	534
David L. Faigman, David H. Kaye, Michael J. Saks & Joseph Sanders, How Good Is Good Enough? Expert Evidence Under <i>Daubert</i> and <i>Kumho</i>	535
Richard D. Friedman, "E" Is for Eclectic: Multiple Perspectives on Evidence	536

Barbara Pfeffer Billauer, <i>Daubert</i> Debunked: A History of Legal Retrogression and the Need to Reassess “Scientific Admissibility”	536
<i>Marsh v. Valyou</i>	537
Problem 9.1	539
C. Current Controversies in Scientific Evidence	539
1. Lie Detection	540
<i>United States v. Scheffer</i>	540
<i>State v. Sharpe</i>	544
<i>United States v. Semrau</i>	550
Problem 9.2	552
2. Social Science Evidence	553
<i>State v. Young</i>	553
<i>Commonwealth v. Walker</i>	554
<i>State v. Salazar-Mercado</i>	557
<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	559
D. Michael Risinger, Navigating Expert Reliability: Are Criminal Standards of Certainty Being Left on the Dock?	561
Christopher Slobogin, Doubts About <i>Daubert</i> : Psychiatric Anecdotes as a Case Study	562
3. Probabilistic Evidence	563
a. A Probability Primer	563
b. “Trial by Mathematics”	566
<i>People v. Collins</i>	566
Roger C. Park, Evidence Scholarship, Old and New	572
<i>United States v. Veysey</i>	573
<i>Ivey v. Commonwealth</i>	576
Problem 9.3	579
4. DNA Identification	580
<i>United States v. Davis</i>	581
<i>United States v. McCluskey</i>	591
<i>People v. Pike</i>	594
<i>People v. Wakefield</i>	596
Erin Murphy, The New Forensics: Criminal Justice, False Certainty, and the Second Generation of Scientific Evidence	599
Andrea Roth, Safety in Numbers?: Deciding When DNA Alone Is Enough to Convict	601
Jonathan J. Koehler, Forensics or Fauxrensic? Assertaining Accuracy in the Forensic Sciences	602

5. Traditional Forensic Science	603
<i>United States v. Hebsbie</i>	603
<i>Commonwealth v. Gambora</i>	605
<i>State v. McPhaul</i>	609
Brandon Garrett, The Reliable Application of Fingerprint Evidence	610
National District Attorney's Association, November 16, 2016, Letter to White House in Response to PCAST Report	611
Paul Giannelli, Forensic Science: <i>Daubert's</i> Failure	612
Jennifer L. Mnookin, The Uncertain Future of Forensic Science	612
David A. Sklansky & Stephen C. Yeazell, Comparative Law Without Leaving Home: What Civil Procedure Can Teach Criminal Procedure, and Vice Versa	613
6. Digital Forensics	614
<i>United States v. Pembroke</i>	614
<i>State v. Pratt</i>	617

10
----

<b>PRIVILEGES</b>	619
A. In General	619
McCormick on Evidence	620
Christopher B. Mueller & Laird C. Kirkpatrick, Evidence	621
B. Attorney-Client Privilege	622
1. Introduction	622
<i>Swidler &amp; Berlin v. United States</i>	622
<i>United States v. Jicarilla Apache Nation</i>	626
Jeremy Bentham, Rationale of Judicial Evidence	630
William H. Simon, The Kaye, Scholer Affair: The Lawyer's Duty of Candor and the Bar's Temptations of Evasion and Apology	631
Benjamin H. Barton, Do Judges Systematically Favor the Interests of the Legal Profession?	632
2. Elements of the Privilege	632
a. Communication	632
<i>United States v. Kendrick</i>	632
<i>Tornay v. United States</i>	633
b. In Confidence	635
<i>United States v. Gann</i>	635
<i>United States v. Evans</i>	636



	<i>United States v. Lawless</i>	637
	<i>Smithkline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp.</i>	637
c.	Between Attorney and Client	638
	<i>United States v. Kovel</i>	638
	<i>United States v. McPartlin</i>	641
	<i>Pasteris v. Robillard</i>	642
	<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	643
	<i>United States v. Ruehle</i>	650
d.	To Facilitate Legal Service	652
	<i>Hughes v. Meade</i>	652
	<i>United States v. Davis</i>	654
	<i>Wartell v. Purdue University</i>	654
	<i>Luv n' Care, Ltd. v. Williams Intellectual Property</i>	656
	Problems 10.1-10.6	658
3.	Waiver	659
	<i>United States v. Bernard</i>	659
	<i>Tasby v. United States</i>	660
	<i>Hollins v. Powell</i>	661
	<i>In re von Bulow</i>	662
	Christopher B. Mueller & Laird C. Kirkpatrick, Evidence	665
4.	Crime-Fraud Exception	666
	<i>United States v. Zolin</i>	666
C.	Spousal Privileges	671
	<i>Trammel v. United States</i>	671
	Problems 10.7 & 10.8	678
D.	Other Privileges	678
	McCormick on Evidence	678
	<i>Jaffee v. Redmond</i>	680
	<i>In re Grand Jury</i>	690
	<i>Under Seal v. United States</i>	696
	Mirjan Damaška, Evidence Law Adrift	697

**11**

	<b>PHYSICAL EVIDENCE</b>	699
A.	Authentication	700
	Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 901	700
1.	Authentication of Traditional Documents and Physical	701
	<i>United States v. Long</i>	701

	<i>United States v. Casto</i>	702
	<i>United States v. Grant</i>	703
2.	Authentication of Digital Evidence	704
	Advisory Committee Note to 2017 Amendments to F.R.E. 902	704
	<i>United States v. Vayner</i>	705
	<i>United States v. Browne</i>	709
	<i>United States v. Bertram</i>	714
	<i>State v. Brown</i>	716
	Problems 11.1–11.5	718
B.	The Best Evidence Rule	718
1.	Scope and Purpose	719
	John H. Langbein, Historical Foundations of the Law of Evidence: A View from the Ryder Sources	719
	<i>Meyers v. United States</i>	719
	Advisory Committee Notes to F.R.E. 1001–1002	722
	<i>United States v. Gonzales-Benitez</i>	723
	Dale A. Nance, The Best Evidence Principle	724
2.	Exceptions	725
	Advisory Committee Notes to F.R.E. 1003–1008	725
	<i>United States v. Stockton</i>	726
	<i>United States v. Standing Soldier</i>	727
	<i>Seiler v. Lucasfilm, Ltd.</i>	727
	Problems 11.6–11.8	731
C.	Demonstrative Evidence	732
1.	Traditional Demonstrative Evidence	733
	McCormick on Evidence	733
	Jennifer L. Mnookin, The Image of Truth: Photographic Evidence and the Power of Analogy	733
	<i>Baugh v. Cuprum S.A. de C.V.</i>	735
	<i>State v. Coulter</i>	739
	<i>United States v. Weeks</i>	740
	<i>United States v. Humphrey</i>	741
	<i>Roland v. Langlois</i>	742
	<i>United States v. Wood</i>	742
	<i>Bannister v. Town of Noble, Oklahoma</i>	743
2.	The Digital Courtroom	745
	<i>Verizon Directories Corp. v. Yellow Book USA, Inc.</i>	745
	<i>State v. Denton</i>	750

## 12

Hon. Herbert B. Dixon, Jr., #AI, #VR, and #IoT Are Coming to a Courtroom Near You!	752
Jennifer Mnookin, Repeat Play Evidence: Jack Weinstein, “Pedagogical Devices,” Technology, and Evidence	753
Maureen Howard & Jeffery Barnum, Bringing Demonstrative Evidence in from the Cold: The Academy’s Role in Developing Model Rules	754
<b>BURDENS, PRESUMPTIONS, AND JUDICIAL NOTICE</b>	757
A. Burdens and Presumptions in Civil Cases	757
<i>In re Yoder Co.</i>	759
Law Revision Commission Comment to California Evidence Code §601	762
Christopher B. Mueller & Laird C. Kirkpatrick, Evidence Problems 12.1–12.3	764
B. Burdens and Presumptions in Criminal Cases	765
<i>Patterson v. New York</i>	765
<i>County Court of Ulster County v. Allen</i>	771
<i>Sandstrom v. Montana</i>	776
<i>State v. Harrison</i>	779
Problems 12.4 & 12.5	780
C. Judicial Notice	781
Advisory Committee Note to F.R.E. 201	782
<i>United States v. Gould</i>	784
<i>United States v. Lewis</i>	787
<i>Carley v. Wheeled Coach</i>	788
<i>United States v. Bowers</i>	789
<i>United States v. Bello</i>	790
<i>Allstar Marketing Group, LLC v. United States</i>	791
Jeffrey Bellin & Andrew Guthrie Ferguson, Trial by Google: Judicial Notice in the Information Age	792
Problems 12.6–12.11	794
<i>Table of Cases</i>	797
<i>Table of Rules, Statutes, and Constitutional Provisions</i>	807
<i>Index</i>	813