

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTix
IMPORTANT INFORMATION	1
PLEADINGS	3
Complaint	5
Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims	11
Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Counterclaims	17
TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS	21
Memorandum of Agreement	23
Asset Purchase Agreement	25
Personal Guaranty of Payment and Performance	31
Dillon's Personal Financial Statement March 23, YR-3	33
Dillon's Personal Financial Statement December 23, YR-3	35

MATERIALS FOR A'S

STATEMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE	39
Statements of Parties and Witnesses	41
Statement of Michelle Paul	43
Statement of J.J. Lyons	49
Memo re: Sal Duane	53
Correspondence	55
Email from Norris to Paul, June 12, YR-3	57
Email chain, Norris and Paul, July 22, YR-3	59
Email from Norris to Paul, September 19, YR-3	61
Email from Norris to Paul, November 11, YR-3	63
Email chain, Paul and Norris, November 18 & 19, YR-3	65
Social media post by Arthur Dillon, December 1, YR-3	67
Email chain, Paul and Norris, December 29, YR-3	69

MOTIONS AND MATERIALS FOR PROGRAM

ONE: MOTION TO DISMISS 73

 I. EXERCISE 73

 II. MEMORANDUM OF LAW 75

 A. Factual background 75

 B. Law 75

 III. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR HANSON 79

 IV. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR PAUL 81

 V. CASE LAW 83

FDIC v. Ezratty, 132 F. Supp. 3d 369 (2015) 83

TWO: MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY—THE CRIME-FRAUD EXCEPTION 89

 I. EXERCISE 89

 II. MEMORANDUM OF LAW 91

 III. INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO COMPEL 95

 Exhibit A—Privilege Log Prepared on Behalf of Arthur Dillon, Sam Hanson, and
Dynamo Sporting Goods 97

 Exhibit B—Declaration of Michelle Paul 99

 Exhibit C—Arthur Dillon’s Personal Financial Statement, dated March 23, YR-3 101

 Exhibit D—Arthur Dillon’s Personal Financial Statement, dated December 23, YR-3 103

 Exhibit E—Email from Sam Hanson to Jamie Norris, December 29, YR-3 104

 IV. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR PAUL 107

 V. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR DILLON AND DYNAMO 111

 VI. CASE LAW 113

 A. *United States v. Zolin*, 491 U.S. 554 (1989) 113

 B. *In re Grand Jury Investigation*, 974 F.3d 1068 (9th Cir. 1992) 115

 C. *In re Grand Jury*, 705 F.3d 133 (3d Cir. 2012) 116

 D. *United States v. Boender*, 639 F.3d 650 (7th Cir. 2011) 117

THREE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS—TRADE SECRETS 123

 I. EXERCISES 123

 A. Preliminary Injunction Argument without Live Testimony 123

 B. Preliminary Injunctions Argument with Live Testimony 124

 II. MEMORANDUM OF LAW 125

 A. Factual Background 125

 B. The Law 126

III. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR DILLON	131
IV. POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS FOR PAUL	135
V. UNIFORM TRADE SECRETS ACT AND THE RESTATEMENT, RULE 65	139
A. Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Section 1	139
B. Restatement of the Law (Third), Unfair Competition, Section 39	139
C. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 65	141
VI. CASE LAW.	143
A. <i>Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.</i> , 555 U.S. 7 (2008)	143
B. <i>Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell</i> , 632 F.3d 1127 (9th Cir. 2011).	145
C. <i>North Atlantic Instruments, Inc. v. Haber et al.</i> , 188 F.3d 38 (2d Cir. 1999)	152
D. <i>American Red Cross v. Palm Beach Blood Bank, Inc.</i> , 143 F.3d 1407 (11th Cir. 1998)	156