
CONTENTS

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>Preface</i> | xxi |
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> | xxv |
| CHAPTER 1 | |
| Natural Resources Law and the Importance of Place | 1 |
| A. Overview | 2 |
| B. What Are Natural Resources? | 3 |
| <i>In re Tortorelli</i> | 3 |
| What We Know About Climate Change and What Can Be Done | 7 |
| C. The Importance of Place | 10 |
| The Special Importance of Ordinary Places | 10 |
| D. The Trust Notion | 13 |
| The Planetary Trust: Conservation and Intergenerational Equity | 13 |
| We Do Not Hold the Earth in Trust | 15 |
| E. What Is Conservation? | 17 |
| Keeping Faith with Nature | 17 |
| The Conservation Ethic | 19 |
| F. Discussion Problem: Beauty and the Beast | 21 |
| PART 1 | |
| FEDERAL LANDS | 25 |
| <hr/> | |
| CHAPTER 2 | |
| Federal Lands: An Introduction | 27 |
| A. Overview | 28 |
| B. Historical Background | 30 |
| 1. The Birth of the Federal Public Domain | 30 |
| <i>Pollard v. Hagan</i> | 32 |
| <i>United States v. Gardner</i> | 39 |
| 2. Land Grants to States | 43 |
| 3. Grants to Private Parties | 47 |
| <i>Foust v. Lujan</i> | 47 |
| 4. From Grants to Management | 53 |
| <i>United States v. Grimaud</i> | 55 |
| <i>Light v. United States</i> | 58 |
| 5. Environmental Quality | 60 |
| C. The Property Clause: Federal Ownership and Authority | 61 |
| <i>Camfield v. United States</i> | 61 |
| <i>Kleppe v. New Mexico</i> | 66 |
| <i>Minnesota v. Block</i> | 71 |
| D. Discussion Problem: Simmering Discontent in the West and Beyond | 74 |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| Federal Agencies: Authority and Limits | 79 |
| A. Overview | 80 |
| B. The Legal Framework for Federal Land Management Decisions: Some Elements of Place-Based Lawmaking | 80 |
| 1. Statutory Mandates and Organic Acts | 81 |
| Everglades National Park Establishment Act of 1947 | 83 |
| National Park Service Organic Act of 1916 | 84 |
| The National Wildlife Refuge System and the Hallmarks of Modern Organic Legislation | 84 |
| 2. Tiered Decisionmaking: Planning and Implementation | 87 |
| The National Wildlife Refuge System and the Hallmarks of Modern Organic Legislation | 88 |
| 3. The Judicial Enforceability of Plans and Statutory Mandates | 90 |
| <i>Norton v. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance</i> | 90 |
| C. The National Environmental Policy Act: Environmental Impact Assessment by Federal Agencies | 96 |
| 1. Overview | 96 |
| 2. Statutory and Regulatory Excerpts | 96 |
| National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 | 96 |
| Council on Environmental Quality Regulations on NEPA Implementation | 100 |
| 3. Is an EIS Required? The Threshold Issues | 105 |
| <i>Kleppe v. Sierra Club</i> | 105 |
| <i>Standing Rock Sioux Tribe v. United States Army Corps of Engineers</i> | 110 |
| 4. The Scope of Analysis: Cumulative Impacts, Tiering, and Alternatives | 117 |
| <i>Sierra Club v. United States</i> | 117 |
| 5. The Adequacy of the EIS | 123 |
| <i>Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council</i> | 124 |
| <i>Dubois v. U.S. Department of Agriculture</i> | 129 |
| 6. New Information and the Duty to Supplement NEPA Analyses | 133 |
| 7. Adaptive Management and NEPA | 134 |
| Adaptive Management and NEPA: How to Reconcile Predictive Assessment in the Face of Uncertainty with Natural Resource Management Flexibility and Success | 134 |
| 8. NEPA's Impact: Does It Force Better Decisionmaking? | 136 |
| Toward a Smarter NEPA: Monitoring and Managing Government's Environmental Performance | 136 |
| D. Agency Action and the Conduct of Judicial Review Under the APA | 139 |
| 1. Overview | 139 |
| 2. Statutory Excerpts | 140 |
| The Administrative Procedure Act of 1946 | 141 |
| 3. The Right to Judicial Review Under the APA | 143 |
| <i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park, Inc. v. Volpe</i> | 143 |
| <i>Lujan v. National Wildlife Federation (Lujan I)</i> | 148 |
| 4. Judicial Review of Agencies' Exercise of Discretion in Statutory Implementation | 152 |
| <i>Mausolf v. Babbitt</i> | 153 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5. Judicial Review of Agencies' Interpretation of the Statutes They Administer | 157 |
| <i>Wilderness Watch v. Mainella</i> | 157 |
| E. Jurisdictional Limitations on the Availability of Judicial Review | 161 |
| 1. Standing—The “Irreducible Constitutional Minimum” | 161 |
| <i>Sierra Club v. Morton</i> | 162 |
| <i>Center for Biological Diversity v. Environmental Protection Agency</i> | 168 |
| 2. Standing: The Zone-of-Interests Test | 173 |
| <i>Bennett v. Spear</i> | 173 |
| 3. Finality | 177 |
| 4. Ripeness | 177 |
| <i>Ohio Forestry Association v. Sierra Club</i> | 177 |
| 5. Exhaustion of Agency Remedies | 181 |
| F. Discussion Problem: Siting Utility-Scale Solar Generating Facilities on BLM Lands | 182 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 4 | |
| Non-Federal Power on Federal Lands | 185 |
| A. Overview | 186 |
| B. The Authority of State Law on Federal Land | 186 |
| 1. Federal Preemption of State Regulation | 186 |
| <i>Omaechevarria v. Idaho</i> | 187 |
| <i>Ventura County v. Gulf Oil Corp.</i> | 190 |
| <i>California Coastal Commission v. Granite Rock Co.</i> | 193 |
| 2. Non-Federal Interests in Federal Land: The R.S. 2477 Example | 199 |
| <i>Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance v. Bureau of Land Management</i> | 200 |
| 3. Statutory Federalism: Congressionally Defined Roles for States | 209 |
| Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 | 209 |
| <i>California v. Norton</i> | 211 |
| C. Collaborative Management of Federal Natural Resources | 216 |
| <i>National Parks & Conservation Association v. Stanton</i> | 217 |
| D. Discussion Problem: Burying Nuclear Waste on Federal Land in Nevada | 223 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5 | |
| Forests | 227 |
| A. Overview | 228 |
| B. Forest Management: Goals and Techniques | 231 |
| C. Foundations of Federal Management: Congress Sets the Stage | 233 |
| Forest Service Organic Act of 1897 | 235 |
| The Multiple-Use Sustained-Yield Act of 1960 | 237 |
| D. The Courts Intervene | 239 |
| <i>Izaak Walton League v. Butz</i> | 240 |
| E. Congress Strikes the Balance? | 244 |
| National Forest Management Act of 1976, §1604 | 245 |
| F. The Courts Intervene, Again | 248 |
| 1. The Planning Idea | 249 |
| National Forest System Land Management Planning Regulations | 250 |
| <i>Alliance for the Rockies v. U.S. Forest Service</i> | 252 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2. NFMA's Substantive Standards | 257 |
| <i>NFMA Standards Go to Texas: A Drama in Five Acts</i> | 258 |
| <i>Sierra Club v. Marita</i> | 263 |
| G. Discussion Problem: The 2012 Forest Planning Regulations | 270 |
| National Forest System Land Management Planning Regulations | 270 |
| H. State and Private Forestry Regulation | 273 |
| 1. Regulatory Permitting Schemes: The Example of California | 274 |
| 2. Financial Incentives: The Example of Maine | 275 |
| 3. Certification Systems: A Global Example | 276 |
| I. Wildland Fire | 277 |
| 1. Overview | 278 |
| 2. Discussion Problem: The New Fire Dilemma | 282 |
| 3. Legislative Responses to the Fire Dilemma | 285 |
| The Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003 | 286 |
| <i>Biodiversity Associates v. Cables</i> | 291 |
| 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery from and Response to Terrorist Acts | 294 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 6 | |
| Rangelands | 299 |
| A. Overview | 300 |
| B. The BLM and the Management of Public Lands Under FLPMA | 300 |
| Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 | 302 |
| C. The Statutory Framework for Federal Rangeland Management | 305 |
| <i>Public Lands Council v. Babbitt</i> | 306 |
| Taylor Grazing Act of 1934 | 308 |
| Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 | 311 |
| Public Rangelands Improvement Act of 1978 | 313 |
| D. The Grazier's Interest in Public Lands | 315 |
| <i>United States v. Fuller</i> | 315 |
| <i>Public Lands Council v. Babbitt</i> | 319 |
| E. Protecting the Ecological Resources of the Federal Range | 324 |
| <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. Morton</i> | 324 |
| <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. Hodel</i> | 327 |
| <i>Idaho Watersheds Project v. Hahn</i> | 332 |
| <i>Western Watersheds Project v. BLM</i> | 337 |
| F. Discussion Problem: Grazing Buyouts in the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument | 344 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 7 | |
| Hard Rock Minerals | 347 |
| A. Overview | 348 |
| B. The Mining Law of 1872: Allocation by Self-Initiated Location | 351 |
| 1. The Mechanics of the Mining Law | 351 |
| The Mining Law of 1872 | 351 |
| 2. The Rights of Mining Claimants Before Discovery | 356 |
| <i>Cole v. Ralph</i> | 356 |
| 3. The Discovery of Valuable Minerals: The Marketability Test | 360 |
| <i>United States v. Coleman</i> | 360 |

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 4. The Rights of Mining Claimants After Discovery | 365 |
| <i>United States v. Locke</i> | 365 |
| <i>United States v. Rizzinelli</i> | 370 |
| C. Environmental Regulation of Mining Activities on Unpatented Claims | 374 |
| 1. Hard Rock Mining on National Forest Lands | 375 |
| <i>Okanogan Highlands Alliance v. Williams</i> | 375 |
| 2. Hard Rock Mining on BLM Lands | 379 |
| <i>Mineral Policy Center v. Norton</i> | 379 |
| D. The Future of the Mining Law: Minerals, Climate Change, and the Renewable Energy Transition | 384 |
| The Energy Transition and Mining: Reconciling the Growth of Renewable Energy with the Need for New Mineral Development | 384 |
| E. Discussion Problem: The White Gold Rush for Lithium on the Public Lands | 387 |
| CHAPTER 8 | |
| Energy and the Federal Lands: Making Policy for the Future | 389 |
| A. Overview | 390 |
| B. A Starting Point: The U.S. Energy Landscape | 391 |
| C. Onshore Oil and Gas Development | 395 |
| 1. Oil and Gas Development on Private Lands | 397 |
| 2. Oil and Gas Development on Federal Lands | 398 |
| Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as Amended, Subchapter IV— | |
| Oil and Gas | 400 |
| <i>WildEarth Guardians v. Zinke</i> | 402 |
| <i>Wyoming v. U.S. Department of Interior</i> | 412 |
| D. Offshore Oil and Gas Development | 417 |
| The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management’s Five-Year Leasing Program for Offshore Oil and Gas Leasing: History and Proposed Program for 2017-2022 | 419 |
| <i>Defenders of Wildlife v. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement</i> | 423 |
| E. Coal: A Resource in Transition | 429 |
| <i>WildEarth Guardians v. BLM</i> | 432 |
| F. Renewable Energy Development | 437 |
| 1. Onshore Wind and Solar Energy Resources | 439 |
| Siting Renewable Energy on Public Lands: Existing Regulations and Recommendations | 442 |
| <i>Protect Our Communities Foundation v. Salazar</i> | 445 |
| <i>Western Watersheds Project v. Salazar</i> | 452 |
| 2. Offshore Wind Energy | 459 |
| Wind Energy: Offshore Permitting | 461 |
| <i>Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility v. Hopper</i> | 463 |
| G. Discussion Problem: The Federal Lands and Energy Policy | 468 |
| CHAPTER 9 | |
| Parks, Monuments, Wilderness, and the Roadless Resource | 469 |
| A. Overview | 470 |
| B. A National Park “System” | 472 |
| Discussion Problem: The National Park Service Mandate | 474 |
| <i>Sierra Club v. Department of the Interior</i> | 477 |

| | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | <i>The Fund for Animals v. Norton</i> | 480 |
| | <i>International Snowmobile Manufacturers Association v. Norton</i> | 485 |
| C. | National Monuments: Delegation of Congressional Authority | 488 |
| | Antiquities Act of 1906 | 490 |
| 1. | What Happened at Jackson Hole | 491 |
| | 89 Congressional Record 2233 | 491 |
| | <i>State of Wyoming v. Franke</i> | 493 |
| 2. | Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument | 496 |
| | Presidential Proclamation 6920: Establishment of the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument | 496 |
| D. | Wilderness: Congressional Limitation on Executive Control | 501 |
| | Wilderness Act of 1964 | 502 |
| | Discussion Problem: What Is Wilderness For? | 505 |
| 1. | Wilderness Selection | 507 |
| 2. | Prohibited Activities | 510 |
| | <i>The Wilderness Society v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service</i> | 510 |
| E. | The Roadless Resource and the Roadless Rule | 515 |
| | Special Areas: Roadless Area Conservation | 516 |
| | <i>Wyoming v. U.S. Department of Agriculture</i> | 519 |
| F. | Access to Nature, Urban Conservation, and Park Overcrowding | 524 |
| 1. | Access to Nature | 525 |
| 2. | Urban Parks and Conservation | 525 |
| 3. | Overcrowding in Parks | 526 |
| G. | Discussion Problem: Climate Change, Parks, and Wilderness | 528 |

PART 2

NON-FEDERAL LANDS 531

CHAPTER 10

Tribal Lands and Resources 533

| | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A. | Overview | 534 |
| B. | Discussion Problem: Standing Rock and the Dakota Access Pipeline | 535 |
| C. | Native American Sovereignty and Federal Trust | 537 |
| | Felix S. Cohen's Handbook of Federal Indian Law | 538 |
| | <i>United States v. Mitchell</i> | 542 |
| D. | Native American Resources on the Federal Conservation Estate | 549 |
| | <i>Lyng v. Northwest Indian Cemetery Protective Association</i> | 549 |
| | <i>Bear Lodge Multiple Use Association v. Babbitt</i> | 554 |
| E. | Natural Resources Crossing Tribal-State Borders | 558 |
| | <i>Puyallup Tribe, Inc. v. Washington Department of Game (Puyallup III)</i> | 559 |
| | <i>Minnesota v. Mille Lacs Band of Chippewa Indians</i> | 564 |
| F. | Discussion Problem: Approaches to Adjusting the Boundaries of Indigenous/State/Federal Authority over Natural Resources | 568 |

CHAPTER 11

Public Trust Doctrines 573

| | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A. | Overview | 574 |
| B. | In the Beginning: Oyster Wars and Railroads | 575 |
| | <i>Martin v. Waddell's Lessee</i> | 575 |
| | <i>Illinois Central Railroad Co. v. Illinois</i> | 579 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| C. Application of the Public Trust Doctrine: The Concept of Navigability | 584 |
| <i>The Daniel Ball</i> | 585 |
| <i>Utah v. United States</i> | 586 |
| <i>PPL Montana LLC v. Montana</i> | 589 |
| D. The Duty of the Trustee and the Scope of Trust Purposes | 597 |
| <i>National Audubon Society v. Superior Court (Mono Lake)</i> | 597 |
| <i>Ross v. Acadian Seaplants, Ltd.</i> | 604 |
| <i>Buending v. Town of Redington Beach</i> | 608 |
| E. Onto Dry Land and Into the Air? | 614 |
| <i>Protect Our Parks v. Chicago Park District</i> | 614 |
| <i>Chernaik v. Brown</i> | 621 |
| F. Discussion Problem: Climate Change and Rising Seas | 625 |
| CHAPTER 12 | |
| Private Lands: Conservation Transactions | 629 |
| A. Overview | 630 |
| Land Trusts: Key Elements in the Struggle Against Sprawl | 631 |
| B. What Is a Conservation Easement? | 636 |
| Uniform Conservation Easement Act | 637 |
| C. Crafting and Enforcing Conservation Easements | 640 |
| Deed of Gift of Conservation Easement | 640 |
| <i>Wetlands America Trust, Inc. v. White Cloud Nine Ventures, L.P.</i> | 642 |
| <i>Hicks v. Dowd</i> | 647 |
| Rethinking the Perpetual Nature of Conservation Easements | 652 |
| D. Land Trusts, Conservation Easements, and Tax Incentives | 656 |
| Charitable Contributions and Gifts | 657 |
| <i>Great Northern Nekoosa Corp. v. United States</i> | 660 |
| E. Discussion Problem: Options for a Rancher | 664 |
| PART 3 | |
| ACROSS THE BOUNDARIES | 667 |
| CHAPTER 13 | |
| Wildlife and the Endangered Species Act | 669 |
| A. Overview | 670 |
| Some Principles of Conservation Biology, as They Apply to Environmental Law | 671 |
| B. Constitutional Foundations of Wildlife Law | 675 |
| 1. A Place to Start | 675 |
| <i>Geer v. Connecticut</i> | 675 |
| 2. The Treaty Power | 680 |
| <i>Missouri v. Holland</i> | 680 |
| 3. The Property Clause | 683 |
| 4. The Commerce Clause | 685 |
| C. The Endangered Species Act of 1973 | 691 |
| 1. Listing Under Section 4 (Deciding What to Protect) | 691 |
| The Endangered Species Act of 1973 | 692 |
| <i>Western Watersheds Project v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service</i> | 698 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vertebrate Population Policy | 702 |
| <i>Defenders of Wildlife v. Salazar</i> | 704 |
| Policy for Evaluation of Conservation Efforts When Making Listing Decisions (<i>PECE Policy</i>) | 712 |
| Discussion Problem: The Decision Not to List the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard | 715 |
| 2. Consultation, Jeopardy, and Adverse Modification Under Section 7 | 717 |
| The Endangered Species Act of 1973 | 717 |
| <i>Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill</i> | 719 |
| <i>National Association of Home Builders v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> | 727 |
| <i>Butte Environmental Council v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i> | 732 |
| Discussion Problem: The Canada Lynx and National Forest Management | 737 |
| 3. The Take Prohibition of Section 9 | 740 |
| The Endangered Species Act of 1973 | 740 |
| <i>Babbitt v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a Great Oregon</i> | 743 |
| 4. Putting It All Together, and Permitting Under the Act | 750 |
| <i>WildEarth Guardians v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service</i> | 750 |
| D. Discussion Problem: Climate Change, Polar Bears, and the Endangered Species Act | 755 |
| CHAPTER 14 | |
| Water | 759 |
| A. Overview | 760 |
| B. Discussion Problem: Creating a Water Rights System | 763 |
| C. Property Rights in Water | 764 |
| 1. The Riparian Doctrine | 764 |
| <i>Hoover v. Crane</i> | 765 |
| The Restatement (Second) of Torts | 768 |
| 2. Regulated Riparianism | 770 |
| <i>Southwest Florida Water Management District v. Charlotte County</i> | 770 |
| The Regulated Riparian Model Water Code | 771 |
| 3. The Prior Appropriation Doctrine | 773 |
| <i>Irwin v. Phillips</i> | 775 |
| <i>R.J.A., Inc. v. Water Users Association</i> | 777 |
| <i>Thornton v. Fort Collins</i> | 781 |
| 4. Groundwater | 786 |
| Water Follies: Groundwater Pumping and the Fate of America's Fresh Waters | 786 |
| <i>Wisconsin v. Michels Pipeline Construction, Inc.</i> | 789 |
| Discussion Problem: Comparing Groundwater Doctrines | 793 |
| 5. Federal Reserved Rights | 795 |
| <i>Winters v. United States</i> | 796 |
| <i>United States v. New Mexico</i> | 798 |
| D. Regulatory Overlays | 803 |
| 1. The Dormant Commerce Clause | 804 |
| <i>Sporhase v. Nebraska ex rel. Douglas</i> | 804 |
| 2. Federal Water Pollution Prevention and Control | 808 |
| Clean Water Act of 1972 | 809 |
| <i>South Florida Water Management District v. Miccosukee Tribe of Indians</i> | 810 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3. Federal Protection of Endangered Species | 816 |
| <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. Jewell</i> | 817 |
| E. Discussion Problem: Climate Change and Water Resources | 821 |
| Climate Impacts on Water Resources | 821 |
| CHAPTER 15 | |
| Wetlands and Streams | 823 |
| A. Overview of Section 404 of the CWA | 824 |
| Clean Water Act of 1972 | 826 |
| B. Which Waters Does Section 404 Regulate? | 829 |
| Clean Water Act of 1972 | 831 |
| Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Regulations | 831 |
| <i>United States v. Riverside Bayview Homes, Inc.</i> | 832 |
| <i>Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i> | 836 |
| <i>Rapanos v. United States</i> | 841 |
| C. Which Activities Does Section 404 Regulate? | 849 |
| Clean Water Act of 1972 | 850 |
| Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Regulations | 851 |
| <i>United States v. Cundiff</i> | 852 |
| <i>Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Southeast Alaska Conservation Council</i> | 856 |
| D. The Section 404 Permit Process | 862 |
| Clean Water Act of 1972 | 863 |
| Environmental Protection Agency 404(B)(1) Guidelines | 864 |
| Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Regulations | 865 |
| Army Corps of Engineers Reissuance of Nationwide Permits | 865 |
| <i>National Wildlife Federation v. Whistler</i> | 867 |
| <i>Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition v. Hurst</i> | 870 |
| E. Discussion Problem: Mitigation | 876 |
| CHAPTER 16 | |
| Natural Resources and Fifth Amendment Takings | 879 |
| A. Overview | 880 |
| B. A Brief Review of Takings Classics | 881 |
| 1. The Foundational Doctrine | 882 |
| 2. Exactions | 884 |
| 3. Takings and Legislatures | 884 |
| C. Takings and Natural Resources | 885 |
| 1. The Antecedent Inquiry: Identifying the Property Interest | 885 |
| <i>Conti v. United States</i> | 885 |
| 2. Property Interests in Wetlands | 889 |
| <i>Palazzolo v. Rhode Island</i> | 889 |
| 3. Water Rights | 894 |
| <i>Edwards Aquifer Authority v. Bragg</i> | 894 |
| 4. Flooding | 901 |
| <i>Arkansas Game & Fish Commission v. United States</i> | 901 |
| 5. Property Interests in Forests | 905 |
| <i>Seiber v. United States</i> | 905 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| D. Discussion Problems: Mining, Flooding, Water Rights, and Takings | 908 |
| 1. Mining Pumice | 908 |
| 2. Flooding Eagle Lake | 909 |
| 3. Tribes, Fish, and Farmers on the Klamath River | 910 |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | 911 |
| <i>Table of Statutes</i> | 919 |
| <i>Index</i> | 927 |