

This document is an **exemplary grading sheet** that describes which criteria supervisors use to evaluate your bachelor's or master's thesis. It is a compilation of the criteria used by **globally top-ranked universities**.

The criteria and scales may vary from university to university.

For more thesis advice go to www.rockyourthesis.com

Exemplary Grading Sheet for Bachelor's and Master's Theses

Formal Aspects of the Thesis

Extent

1	2	3	4	5
Too short				too long

Spelling, Grammar and Punctuation

1	2	3	4	5		
Insufficier	nt	consistently correct				

Language Academically Appropriate (Style and Terminology)

1	2	3	4	5
Insufficier	nt	highly appropriat		

Table of Contents, Indexes and Annexes

1	2	3	4	5
Insufficier	nt	complete and correc		

Citations and References

1	2	3	4	5
Insufficier	nt	CC	mplete a	nd correct

Presentation and Caption of Tables and Figures

1	2	3	4	5		
Insufficier	nt	complete and correct				

Structure

Structural Organization (Chapters, Sections and Titles)

1	2	3	4	5
Insufficient			الصرير	tructured

Logic and Structure

1	2	3	4	5
<u> </u>)	4	

Insufficient

highly comprehensible



Introduction (Generates Interest, Thematic Classification of the Topic, Overview of Objectives and Structure)

1	2	3	4	5
Insufficier	nt		very w	ell written

Theoretical Background and Related Work (Understanding and Explanations)

1	2	3	4	5

Insufficient

very well written

Conclusion (Comprehensiveness and Central Aspects Considered)

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Insufficient

very well written

Topic and Approach

Degree of Difficulty

1	2	3	4	5
Too low	-			verv high

Definition of Problem and Objectives

1 2	3	4	5
-----	---	---	---

Insufficient

highly comprehensible

Relevance of the Topic

	2	3	4	5	
Very low				verv high	

Methodological Competence

1	2	3	4	5
Incufficion	+			von high

Insufficient

very high

Presentation of Results

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Very poor

very good



	Sources 4 ace of L 4 Reflectio	very good iterature Used 5 very good	d
Fopicality and Relevan 1 2 3 Very low Fopicality and Relevan 1 2 3 Very low Evaluation and Critical Resources Used 1 2 3 Very low	Sources 4 ace of L 4 Reflectio	very good iterature Used 5 very good n of Literature an	d
1 2 3 Very low Topicality and Relevan 1 2 3 Very low Evaluation and Critical Resources Used 1 2 3 Very low	4 Aceflectio	very good iterature Used 5 very good n of Literature an	d
1 2 3 Very low Topicality and Relevan 1 2 3 Very low Evaluation and Critical Resources Used 1 2 3 Very low	4 Aceflectio	very good iterature Used 5 very good n of Literature an	d
Very low Topicality and Relevan 1 2 3 Very low Evaluation and Critical Resources Used 1 2 3 Very low	eflectio	very good iterature Used 5 very good n of Literature an	d
Topicality and Relevan 1 2 3 Very low Evaluation and Critical Resources Used 1 2 3 Very low	eflectio	iterature Used 5 very good n of Literature an	d
1 2 3 Very low Evaluation and Critical R Sources Used 1 2 3 Very low	4 Reflectio	5 very good n of Literature an	d
Very low Evaluation and Critical R Sources Used 1 2 3 Very low	Reflectio 4	very good n of Literature an	d
Evaluation and Critical R Sources Used 1 2 3 Very low	Reflectio 4	n of Literature an	d
Sources Used 1 2 3 Very low	4	5	d
1 2 3 Very low			
1 2 3 Very low Overall Evaluation of			
		very good	
Overall Evaluation o	c		
Weaknesses of the Thesi	is		
Grade			

Innovative and Creative Content