

GSGB Stats User Group

Understanding the causes for differences in gambling survey estimates

10 September 11-12pm

Presenters to join at 10.55am

Agenda:

██████ to introduce (5 mins)

██████ to present key findings (20-25 mins)

Q&A (15 mins)

Introduction:

Welcome to the GSGB Statistics User Group

This is the 2nd meeting of the user group and has been organised based on a request from the user group at the last meeting to have an opportunity to discuss the results of the research published by Professor Sturgis in August

The research was commissioned to further understand the impact of the GSGB methodology on estimates of gambling behaviours - and follows on from a number of recommendations that Professor Sturgis made in his independent assessment of the GSGB

Will hand over to ██████████ to take us through the key findings, although hopefully everyone has had chance to read the report which was published on August 14. There will be a chance at the end to ask questions.

We'll be recording the webinar, so a version can be circulated to people who couldn't attend the meeting today. For that reason, we haven't enabled cameras for attendees.

The chat function is enabled so please use that to ask questions and we'll pick them up at the end.

Presentation:

██████ to deliver

Q&A: (████████████████████ available to answer questions)

We've had 2 pre submitted questions which we should start with:

For Patrick:

- 1) ██████████, Metropolitan Gaming - Whilst accepting differing approaches generate different outcomes, and most would say are non comparable, on the back of this clarification, does ██████████ have practical examples he is willing to share

where he would advise against any interested party using HSE or APMS results, and to solely focus on GSGB results?

For

- 2) [REDACTED], 17010 Gaming - What is the typical time lapse between research being commissioned to results being made available

Now invite other questions, attendees can either put their hand up to ask a question, or submit questions through the chat.

If we don't cover all questions, we will send a response to all of the questions after the webinar.

Aim to close by 11.45am, but can run till 12pm if necessary

Attendees

51 people currently registered:

A horizontal bar chart titled "U.S. should take action to address climate change" showing the percentage of respondents who believe the U.S. should take action to address climate change, broken down by age group. The x-axis represents the percentage of respondents, ranging from 0 to 100. The y-axis lists the age groups. The bars are black. The data is as follows:

Age Group	Percentage of Respondents
18-29	65%
30-49	58%
50-69	94%
70+	75%
18-29	68%
30-49	55%
50-69	92%
70+	65%
18-29	58%
30-49	72%
50-69	88%
70+	95%
18-29	78%
30-49	85%
50-69	90%
70+	82%
18-29	80%