Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



Relationship of Serum Lipid Profiles in Preeclampsia and Normal Pregnancy, Kimpese and Lukala Cities, Kongo Central, DR Congo

Malaka AN1, Tuakashikila YM1, Kabamba MM1, Elongi-Moyene JP2,3 and Tuakuila JK1,4*

¹Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, DR Congo

²Faculty of Medicine, University of Mbandaka, Equateur, DR Congo

³General Hospital of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, DR Congo

⁴Faculty of Sciences, University of Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

Abstract

Objective: The present study aims to investigate the lipid profile levels and basal body mass index in preeclampsia and normal pregnancy in Kongo Central women, DR Congo.

Material and methods: This hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Kimpese and Lukala cities with 65 participants among 35 preeclampsia women (case) and 30 normal pregnancies (control) were enrolled from January to July 2025. Blood samples were collected for analysis of total cholesterol, triglyceride and highdensity lipoprotein by enzymatic assays, while low-density lipoprotein by using Fried Ewald's formula in between 20-40 weeks of gestation.

Results: The mean values of BMI, TC and LDL were significantly higher in pre-eclamptic women as compared with controls, respectively. The serum TG levels was higher, but not statistically significant in pre-eclamptic women as compared with controls. No significant difference was observed between two groups for maternal age, gestational age at enrollment, and HDL.

Conclusion: Abnormal lipid profile and increased BMI are regarded as risk factors of preeclampsia. The lipid profile screening as well as BMI monitoring may help reducing the preeclampsia which enhances maternal and fetal outcomes.

Keywords: Preeclampsia; Normal pregnancy; Lipid profile; Basal body mass index

Introduction

Preeclampsia (PE) is generally defined as Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension (PIH, systolic blood pressure > 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure > 90 mm Hg) that occurs after 20 weeks of gestation, exposing pregnant women to increased risks of disabilities, hospitalization, and death.[1-7] It clinically manifests as a combination of symptoms: proteinuria (>300 mg/24h), seizures, placental abruption, disseminated intravascular coagulation,

Citation: Malaka AN, Tuakashikila YM, Kabamba MM, Elongi-Moyene JP, Tuakuila JK. Relationship of Serum Lipid Profiles in Preeclampsia and Normal Pregnancy, Kimpese and Lukala Cities, Kongo Central, DR Congo. Int Case Rep Jour. 2025;4(2):1-8.

Received Date: 15 September, 2025; Accepted Date: 18 September, 2025; Published Date: 19 September, 2025

*Corresponding author at: Tuakuila JK, Analytical Chemistry and Environmental Toxicology Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, The DRC. 16 Tel: +243-81-934-7828

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



cerebral hemorrhage, pulmonary edema, renal failure, hepatic hemorrhage. [8,9] It is the most common cause of maternal and prenatal morbidity and mortality with the overall incidence estimated at 4.6% [1.8 -16.7] with considerable variation between countries. [10-12] Among these risk factors, obesity in women is steadily increasing worldwide and has been regarded as a risk factor for PE since the early last century. [13] Obese pregnant women are known to be at high risk of developing PE. [14] Several studies reported increased in lipid oxidation products and decreased in the levels of antioxidants in the pathogenesis of pre-eclampsia. [15] For example, compared to normal pregnancy, women who develop PE have subsequently elevated levels of Total Cholesterol (TC), oxidized Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL) and Triglycerides (TG) and lower levels of circulating vitamin C. [16,17] The oxidative stress *via* an oxidative conversion of LDL-cholesterol to oxidized LDL form stress could explain the pathogenesis of this syndrome. The profile of lipids (cholesterols) is therefore essential to understanding the overall effect of this phenomenon. The present study aims to investigate the lipid profile levels and basal Body Mass Index (BMI) in PE and normal pregnancy in Kongo Central women, DR Congo.

Methods

Study site and subjects

This hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Kimpese and Lukala cities from Kongo Central province (Southwest of the DR Congo) which were stratified to have prenatal clinics: Kimpese (Lamba Hospital Center and Christ-vie Health Center) and Lukala Bondeko health and maternity center), Lukula (Lunguana Health Center and Munzinga Health Center). Participants (n = 65) were recruited from pregnant women during the pregnancy hospital visit between January to July 2025. Pregnancy information collected in the questionnaires were clinics, socio-demographics, Anthropometrics, current and previous pregnancies, current and previous PE, diabetes mellitus, smoking during pregnancy, and lifestyle. All pregnant women were divided into two groups such as PE women for case group (n=35) and normal pregnancy women for the control group (n=30). The inclusion criteria of the present study were included that all pregnant women from 17-40 years, and having 20-40 gestational weeks. The research protocol was approved by the Bio-ethics Committee of the School of Public Health at the University of Kinshasa.

Data collection

The diagnosis of PE was used by the second-time onset of systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mm Hg after 20 weeks of gestation and associated with proteinuria, if urinary protein excretion \geq 300 mg/24 hours.[7] The Blood pressure was measured and repeated after 5 and 10 min in each pregnant woman using a mercury sphygmomanometer.[7,18] The BMI of all pregnant women was recorded such as normal (18.5 kg/m² to 24.9 kg/m²), overweight (25 kg/m² - 29.9 kg/m²), and obesity (\geq 30 kg/m²) ranges. [7] During their routine hospital checkup, pregnant women provided venous blood samples in 10 mL metal free tubes containing ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid which were immediately centrifuged (10 minutes, 3000 g) and the serum fraction was transferred into 2.5 mL pre-cleaned glass vials (Supelco) and stored at -80°C.

Analytical methods

The analyzed the serum concentration of TC, HDL and TG levels by the method of an automated system (Cobas c 111 Analyzer) with standard enzymatic assays, while LDL was measured by using Fried Ewald's formula.[19] Statistical analysis

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



Statistical data analysis was completed using Prism GraphPad 9.41 (GraphPad Soft - ware, San Diego, CA, USA). The normality of residuals (TC, HDL, LDL and TG) was evaluated using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for continuous variables. For the descriptive statistics, results are presented as mean (± standard deviation), range and p-value from t-test for continuous variables. All results were assessed for data quality based on the coefficient of variation (CV: ± 5%). The Limit of Detection (LOD) was assigned a value of 3 mg/dL, 3 mg/dL, and 4 mg/dL for TC, HDL, and TG respectively. For quality control purposes, the reagent kits and calibrators used in this study were from the Cobas c 111 Analyzer. Each sample was tested according to the manufacturer's instructions with supplied reagents and the results were analyzed statistically performing precision, linearity, accuracy, and reference interval verification of 3 mg/dL - 800 mg/dL for TC, 3 mg/dL - 120 mg/dL for HDL, and 4 mg/dL - 1100 mg/dL for TG.

Results

During the study period from January to July 2025, baseline characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. As compared with controls (normotensive), women who subsequently developed PE had significantly higher BMI (25.7 kg/m² \pm 2.9 kg/m² versus 23.1 kg/m² \pm 2.2 kg/m², p < 0.0001), SBP (15.8 \pm 1.2 mm Hg versus 9.7 \pm 1.0 mm Hg, p = 0.0001), and DBP (10.7 \pm 1 mm Hg versus 6.2 \pm 0.8 mm Hg, p = 0.0001) with no difference in maternal age (28.9 \pm 6.9 years versus 26.8 \pm 7.5 years, p = 0.2046) and gestational age at enrollment (29.6 \pm 5.5 weeks versus 32.0 \pm 5.1 weeks, p = 0.0798).

Table 1: Comparison between preeclamptic cases and normotensive controls regarding maternal age, gestational age, BMI, SBP, and DBP at enrolment

Parameters	Preeclamptic cases $(n = 35)$	Normotensive controls ($n = 30$)	p-value		
Maternal age (years)					
Mean ± SD	28.9 ± 6.9	26.8 ± 7.5	0.2046		
Range	17-40	17-40	NS		
GA at enrolment (weeks)					
Mean ± SD	29.6 ± 5.5	32.0 ± 5.1	0.0798		
Range	21-40	20-39	NS		
BMI (kg/m²)					
Mean ± SD	25.7 ± 2.9	23.1 ± 2.2	0.0001		
Range	20.4-32.0	18.1-27.2	HS		
SBP (mm Hg)					
Mean ± SD	15.8 ± 1.2	9.7 ± 1.0	0.0001		
Range	14.0-19.3	08-12	HS		
DBP (mm Hg)					
Mean ± SD	10.7 ± 1.2	6.2 ± 0.8	0.0001		
Range	9.0-13.1	5.1-8.2	HS		

BMI-Body mass index, DBP-Diastolic blood pressure, GA-Gestational age, HDL-c- High density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-c- Low density lipoprotein-cholesterol, SD-Standard deviation, SBP-Systolic blood pressure, TC-Total cholesterol, TG-Triglyceride, VLDL-c- Very low density lipoprotein-cholesterol, NS: Non-significant, and HS: Highly significant.

Table 2 reports serum lipid and lipoprotein levels in both groups. The mean values of TC and LDL were significantly higher in pre-eclamptic women as compared with controls (TC=227.1 \pm 54.4 mg/dL versus 187.2 \pm 27.9 mg/dL, p = 0.0003; LDL=122.5 \pm 46.2 mg/dL versus 86.2 \pm 23.3 mg/dL, p = 0.0001), respectively. The serum TG levels was higher, but not statistically significant (TG = 175.7 \pm 67.7 mg/dL versus 162.3 \pm 44.8

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



mg/dL, p = 0.3385) in pre-eclamptic women as compared with controls. No significant difference was observed between two groups for HDL (69.4 \pm 18.4 mg/dL versus 68.4 \pm 15.8 mg/dL, p = 0.8226).

Table 2: Second-trimester serum lipid profile in preeclamptic cases and normotensive controls.

Parameters	Preeclamptic cases $(n = 35)$	Normotensive controls $(n = 30)$	p-value		
TC (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	227.1 ± 54.4	187.2 ± 27.9	0.0003		
Range	133-348	132-244	HS		
TG (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	175.7 ± 67.7	162.3 ± 44.8	0.3385		
Range	67-314	96-311	NS		
HDL (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	69.4 ± 18.4	68.4 ± 15.8	0.8226		
Range	32-107	37-103	NS		
LDL (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	122.5 ± 46.2	86.2 ± 23.3	0.0001		
Range	55.2-253.2	42.8-133.6	HS		

TC=Total cholesterol, TG= Triglyceride, HDL= High density lipoprotein, LDL= Low density lipoprotein, NS: Non-significant, and HS: Highly significant.

In Table 3, TC (234.8 \pm 53.8 mg/dL versus 178.6 \pm 28.1 mg/dL, p = 0.0055) and LDL (128.4 \pm 46.3 mg/dL versus 86.1 \pm 25.6 mg/dL, p = 0.01518) levels were significantly higher in severe preeclamptic cases (urinary protein excretion >300 mg/24 hours) compared to mild cases of PE (urinary protein excretion = 300 mg/24 hours); on the other hand, there was no significant difference between both groups for either TG (181.4 \pm 69.0 mg/dL versus 140.2 \pm 50.7 mg/dL, p = 0.3385) or HDL level (70.1 \pm 18.7 mg/dL versus 64.4 \pm 17.4 mg/dL, p = 0.5234).

Table 3: Second-trimester serum lipid profile in mild and severe preeclamptic cases.

Parameters	*Mild preeclamptic cases (n = 10)	**Severe preeclamptic cases (n = 25)	p-value		
TC (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	178.6 ± 28.1	234.8 ± 53.8	0.0055		
Range	133-205	146-348	HS		
TG (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	140.2 ± 50.7	181.4 ± 69.0	0.1569		
Range	94-217	67-314	NS		
HDL (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	64.4 ± 17.4	70.1 ± 18.7	0.5234		
Range	42-88	32-107	NS		
LDL (mg/dL)					
Mean ± SD	86.1 ± 25.6	128.4 ± 46.3	0.01518		
Range	55.2-430.6	67.8-253.2	S		

TC=Total cholesterol, TG= Triglyceride, HDL= High density lipoprotein, LDL= Low density lipoprotein, NS:

Non-significant, S: significant, HS: Highly significant.

Discussion

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy are one of the major causes of severe morbidity, long-term disability, and maternal and neonatal mortality.[14] In Africa and Asia in general, and in the DR Congo in particular, nearly one-tenth of maternal deaths are associated with hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, while in Latin

^{*}Mild preeclamptic cases: urinary protein excretion =300 mg/24 hours

^{**}Severe preeclamptic cases: urinary protein excretion >300 mg/24 hours

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



America, a quarter of these deaths are related to such complications.[9] Among the hypertensive disorders that are complications of pregnancy, pre-eclampsia is one of the major causes of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. The majority of deaths due to these complications are preventable if affected women receive timely and effective care.[9,20]

Although its main risk factors are studied (obesity, multiparity, nulliparity, gestational diabetes, chronic hypertension, family history, smoking, low socioeconomic status, past PE, advanced age (> 35 years) and race, [7] obesity in women is steadily increasing worldwide,[13] and has been regarded as a risk factor for PE since the early last century.[14]

In a systematic review and meta-analysis regarding maternal lipid profile and risk of PE in African pregnant women, Tesfa et al., [21] reported that the maternal serum levels of TG, TC, and LDL were significantly associated with the risk of PE. However, HDL- cholesterol was not significantly associated but it was lower in pre-eclamptic women.

There was a significant rise in TC and LDL levels in PE as compared to normal pregnancy in this study, which was similar to other reports. [21-24] During pregnancy, the plasma cholesterol level increases in response to an increase estrogen induced hepatic synthesis or failure of lipoprotein lipase to clear the plasma lipids. [14,25] High levels of cholesterol may produce free radicals via an oxidative conversion of LDL- cholesterol to oxidized LDL form stress (abnormal lipid profile) could explain the pathogenesis of PE. [9,14] Moreover, LDL-cholesterol transports cholesterol to the peripheral tissue and plays significant role in the development of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. [14,26] Conversely, the mean serum TG level of the current study was higher, but not statistically significant in pre-eclamptic women as compared with controls, probably due to a small number of control (normotensive pregnant women) as reported elsewhere. [21,27,28]

In this study, the mean serum HDL-c level was similar in preeclamptic women as compared to normotensive pregnant women, respectively, similar results were reported in the studies conducted elsewhere, including in Africa.[21,23,24] HDL carries cholesterol from peripheral tissues to the liver, where it is broken down for excretion and used for synthesis of biomolecules. Higher levels of HDL lipoprotein have protective effect against hypertension and cardiovascular diseases.[14,29]

The Present study also observed significant elevated levels of the lipid parameters (TC and LDL), with insignificant altered levels of TG and HDL in the severe preeclamptic participants compared with the mild PE. Several studies observed significant elevated levels of the lipid parameters (TC, TG, LDL), with insignificant altered levels of HDL in the severe preeclamptic participants compared with the mild PE.[23,30,31] On the other hand, women with the most severe form of PE had TG levels similar to normotensive controls.[31]

Obesity and PE are associated with oxidative stress, dyslipidemia, hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, and impaired endothelial function.[14,32] Although BMI was significantly higher in preeclamptic cases compared to controls in the present study as also reported elsewhere,[21,23,24] dyslipidemia which is significantly evident among preeclamptic group for the comparable weights may serve as a marker of the pathogenic process of PE.[9,14]

The main limitations of this study are single measurement of lipid profile and the small number of case group (n=35) and normal pregnancy women for the control group (n=30); however, as a pilot study this shows promising results for future larger scale studies to confirm abnormal lipid profile and increased BMI as risk factors of PE.

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



Conclusion

The findings of this study suggest that the women who develop PE had disturbed lipid profile. The lipid profile screening as well as BMI monitoring may help preventing the PE in normal pregnancy women (control group) or reducing the PE in case group for enhancing maternal and fetal outcomes.

Declarations

Ethical Approval

The research protocol was approved by the Bio-ethics Committee of the School of Public Health at the University of Kinshasa. Kinshasa, DR Congo.

Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Authors' contributions

The first draft of this manuscript has been written by the first author A.N.M. The co-authors Y.M.T. and M.M.K. prepared Tables 1 and 2. And reviewed equally the manuscript. The co-authors JP.E-M, supervised all the manuscript. The J.K.T contributed to supervise all the work and to correspond with the Journal.

Funding

No funding. No specific funds were received for conducting this study.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable. However, the study results will report to individuals sample donors with proper explanations.

Acknowledgements

We are highly indebted to the study participants and to the staff of investigators, as well as all the local health services and health centers of the Kimpese and Lukula Public Health System that supported the field work. This work was received no financial support.

References

- Sibai BM, Ewell M, Levine RJ, Klebanoff MA, Esterlitz J, Catalano PM, et al. Risk factors associated with preeclampsia in healthy nulliparous women. The Calcium for Preeclampsia Prevention (CPEP) Study Group. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1997;177(5):1003-10.
- 2. Tanaka M, Jaamaa G, Kaiser M, Hills E, Soim A, Zhu M, et al. Racial disparity in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in New York State: a 10-year longitudinal population-based study. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(1):163-70.
- 3. Duley L. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Semin Perinatol. 2009;33(3):130-7.
- 4. <u>Ikechukwu IC, Ojareva OIA, Ibhagbemien AJ, Okhoaretor OF, Oluwatomi OB, Akhalufo OS, et al.</u> <u>Blood lead, calcium, and phosphorus in women with preeclampsia in Edo State, Nigeria. Arch Environ Occup Health. 2012;67(3):163-9.</u>
- Smith JM, Lowe RF, Fullerton J, Currie SM, Harris L, Felker-Kantor E. An integrative review of the side effects related to the use of magnesium sulfate for preeclampsia and eclampsia management. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013:13:34.

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



- 6. Naghavi M, Wang H, Lozano R, Davis A, Liang X, Zhou M, et al. Global, regional, and national agesex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global burden of disease study 2013. Lancet. 2015;385(9963):117-71.
- 7. ACOG (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists). Hypertension in pregnancy. Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Washington, DC.
- 8. Raijmakers MTM, Dechend R, Poston L. Oxidative stress and preeclampsia: rationale for antioxidant clinical trials. Hypertension. 2004;44(4):374-80.
- 9. ACOG (The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists). Gestational hypertension and preeclampsia: ACOG practice bulletin, Number 222. Obstet Gynecol. 2020;135(6):e237-60.
- 10. Enquobahrie DA, Williams MA, Butler CL, Frederick IO, Miller RS, Luthy DA. Maternal plasma lipid concentrations in early pregnancy and risk of preeclampsia. Am J Hypertens. 2004;17(7):574-81.
- 11. Abalos E, Cuesta C, Grosso AL, Chou D, Say L. Global and regional estimates of preeclampsia and eclampsia: a systematic review. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2013;170(1):1-7.
- 12. Osungbade KO, Ige OK. Public health perspectives of preeclampsia in developing countries: implication for health system strengthening. J Pregnancy. 2011:2011:481095.
- 13. Mitchell AB, Cole JW, McArdle PF, Cheng Y-C, Ryan KA, Sparks MJ, et al. Obesity increases risk of ischemic stroke in young adults. Stroke. 2015;46(6):1690-2.
- Henderson JT, Whitlock EP, O'Connor E, Senger CA, Thompson JH, Rowland MG. Low-dose aspirin
 for prevention of morbidity and mortality from preeclampsia: a systematic evidence review for the U.S.
 Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2014;160(10):695-703.
- 15. <u>Gupta S, Aziz N, Sekhon L, Agarwal R, Mansour G, Li J, et al. Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status in preeclampsia: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2009;64(11):750-9.</u>
- 16. Qiu C, Phung TTT, Vadachkoria S, Muy-Rivera M, Sanchez SE, Williams MA. Oxidized low-density lipoprotein (Oxidized LDL) and the risk of preeclampsia. Physiol Res. 2006;55(5):491-500.
- 17. <u>Timalsina S, Gyawali P, Bhattarai A. Comparison of lipid profile parameters and oxidized low-density lipoprotein between normal and preeclamptic pregnancies in a tertiary care hospital in Nepal. Int J Womens Health. 2016:8:627-31.</u>
- 18. <u>Kirkendall WM, Burton AC, Epstein FH, Freis ED. Recommendations for human blood pressure</u> determination by sphygmomanometers. Circulation. 1967;36(6):980-8.
- 19. <u>Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem. 1972;18(6):499-502.</u>
- 20. Cissé CT, Dieme MEF, Ngabo D, Mbaye M, Diagne PM, Moreau JC. Therapeutics indications and prognosis of eclampsia at Dakar University Teaching Hospital. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2003;32(3 Pt 1):239-45.
- 21. Tesfa E, Nibret E, Munshea A. Maternal lipid profile and risk of preeclampsia in African pregnant women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2020;15(12):e0243538.
- 22. de Lima VJ, de Andrade CR, Ruschi GE, Sass N. Serum lipid levels in pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia. Sao Paulo Med J. 2011;129(2):73-6.

Review Article (ISSN: 2770-9647)



- 23. Khouly NIE, Sanad ZF, Saleh SA, Shabana AA, Elhalaby AF, Badr EE. Value of first-trimester serum lipid profile in early prediction of preeclampsia and its severity: A prospective cohort study. Hypertens Pregnancy. 2016;35(1):73-81.
- 24. Salma U. Relationship of serum lipid profiles in preeclampsia and normal pregnancy, Bangladesh. Afr Health Sci. 2022;22(2):475-9.
- 25. Spracklen CN, Smith CJ, Saftlas AF, Robinson JG, Ryckman KK. Maternal hyperlipidemia and the risk of preeclampsia: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 2014;180(4):346-58.
- 26. <u>Busso D, Rigotti A. Blood lipids during pregnancy: A progressively appreciated subject in basic and clinical research.</u> Atherosclerosis. 2018:276:163-5.
- 27. Yeboah FA, Ngala RA, Bawah AT, Asare-Anane H, Alidu H, Hamid AWM, et al. Adiposity and hyperleptinemia during the first trimester among pregnant women with preeclampsia. Int J Womens Health. 2017:9:449-54.
- 28. Vanderjagt DJ, Patel RJ, El-Nafaty AU, Melah GS, Crossey MJ, Glew RH. High-density lipoprotein and homocysteine levels correlate inversely in preeclamptic women in northern Nigeria. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2004;83(6):536-42.
- 29. <u>Flaquer A, Rospleszcz S, Reischl E, Zeilinger S, Prokisch H, Meitinger T, et al. Mitochondrial GWA analysis of lipid profile identifies genetic variants to be associated with HDL cholesterol and triglyceride levels. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0126294.</u>
- 30. Setareh A, Mitra MG, Sedigheh B, Shoaleh S, Vahid Y, Siroos S. Maternal plasma lipid concentrations in first trimester of pregnancy and risk of sever preeclapmsia. Pak J Med Sci. 2009;25(4):563-7.
- 31. <u>Baker AM, Klein RL, Moss KL, Haeri S, Boggess K. Maternal serum dyslipidemia occurs early in pregnancy in women with mild but not severe preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol.</u> 2009;201(3):293.e1-4.
- 32. Couillard C, Ruel G, Archer WR, Pomerleau S, Bergeron J, Couture P, et al. Circulating levels of oxidative stress markers and endothelial adhesion molecules in men with abdominal obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90(12):6454-9.