



# STOCKPORT

METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

**Stockport public Cycle User Group**  
**Thursday 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019**  
**Conference Room 1 Stopford House**

**PRESENT:**

Roger Hubbard (South Manchester CTC)	Rob Clark (local cyclist)
Helen Pidd (WalkRideGM)	Mike Padfield (Green Party)
Janet Bellingham (local cyclist)	Joanna Hulme (local cyclist)
Charlie Hulme (local cyclist)	Dave Butler (GMCC/Cycling UK)
Andy Shaw (SCCC)	Max Wild (local cyclist)
Amy Beasley (SMBC Transport Strategy Manager)	
Don Naylor (SMBC-chair)	Nick Robinson (SMBC)

**APOLOGIES:** Kathy England; Ian Barker; Nick Harris

**1. Minutes of previous meeting:**

**Action 1:** in communication re lighting and antisocial behaviour

**Action 2:** completed-detail in post meeting note to previous minutes

**Action 3:** this has been raised with the TCAP team and suggestions made.

**Action 4:** this is now part of a prospective MCF bid

**Action 5:** A555 signage– CUG members to further notify of any signs they feel are still not in situ. **Action 1:** Cycling officers to inspect

**Action 6:** these issues will be addressed during the scheme application process and design phase.

**Action 7:** previous and subsequent suggestions gratefully received.

**Action 8:** additional issues raised. RoW officers to inspect.

**No matters arising.** Previous minutes approved.

**2. Joint Walking and Cycling Forum.**

This matter has been suggested for quite some time and was formally proposed at the December CUG meeting.

There is a structure showing how such a forum would fit into the range of forums already existing (**Action 2:** circulate this with the minutes)

Pros:

There is a shared interest

The Bee Network program is Walking AND Cycling

Con: it could lead to a situation where some parties are at least initially discouraged by apparently irreconcilable perspectives being tabled

Pro: it would be better to have a forum to *discuss* rather than have isolated antagonisms (particularly in relation to actual schemes)

**Q:** how would it change the forum? Not sure yet.

**Q:** who do we reach and how? Ideally everyone. We need to work on communication.

**Q:** Do we want 100 people a week? Why not? It would surely settle down but might become more area based: we could use different venues around the borough in an attempt to be inclusive, as well as to address specific local issues

We would like to have members from every area-maybe we could reach people via the Walking and Cycling Plan/Bee Network workshops.

**Action 3:** Suggestions, ideas and opinions to be submitted before the next meeting.

**Action 4: send a reminder with the terms of reference doc.**

### **3. Walking and Cycling Plan consultation.**

The plan is out for consultation now via the Stockport “Have Your Say” webpage

<https://consultation.stockport.gov.uk/policy-performance-and-reform/stockport-cycling-and-walking-plan/>

More detailed responses, including specific infrastructure suggestions, are welcome via email, but there is space to write in these online.

It was suggested that the Council could still investigate wider options for posting on community Facebook pages. Several people stated that they would do so. The issue has been raised with the council’s comms team since the meeting.

It was suggested that “and to improve safety” be removed from objective 3 – this has been added to the consultation response spreadsheet

### **4. NPIF Roscoe’s Roundabout.**

The current plans for this scheme were shown. The discussion was generally favourable with some queries raised about directness and usage.

Details of February to April 2019 meetings are posted on the Council website