## CHEADLE TOWNS FUND BOARD MEETING MINUTES

| 5 <sup>th</sup> February 2021 at            | 3.30pm  |   |
|---|---|---|
| MS Teams                                    |   |   |
| Marge Falconer                              | - Executive Director, BMI Alexandra<br>Hospital   |   |
| Cllr. David Meller                          | - Councillor Cheadle Hulme N. Labour  |   |
| Rob Munro<br>Sharon Seville                 | Chair of Cheadle Village Partnership     Stockport Vice-President GM Chamber     of Commerce  |   |
| Caroline Simpson                            | - Deputy Chief Executive, SMBC - GMCA   |   |
| Rosie Jenkins                               | - Cities & Local Growth Unit, BEIS & MHCLG (advisor role)   |   |
| Observers / Presente                        | ers   |   |
| Robert Goulsbra                             | <ul> <li>Head of Development and Regeneration<br/>SMBC</li> </ul>   |   |
| Michael S. Fisher                           | <ul> <li>Development and Regeneration<br/>Manager, SMBC</li> </ul>  |   |
| Sue Stevenson                               | <ul> <li>Head of Highways and Transportation,<br/>SMBC</li> </ul>   |   |
| Dr Viren Mehta<br>Christina Shepherd        | - Cheadle Medical Practice - Cities & Local Growth Unit, BEIS & MHCLG   |   |
| Simon Elliott Joe Hodgkinson David Hodcroft | <ul><li>Head of Rail Programme, TfGM</li><li>TfGM</li><li>GMCA</li></ul>  |   |
| Lou Cordwell                                | - GM LEP  |   |
|   |   | Actions   |
| Welcome and Introd                          | ductions  |   |
|   | •   | Noted   |
|   |   |   |
|   | MS Teams Marge Falconer  Mary Robinson, MP Cllr. David Meller Cllr. Tom Morrison Rob Munro Sharon Seville  Caroline Simpson Simon Noakes Rosie Jenkins  Observers / Presente Robert Goulsbra  Michael S. Fisher  Sue Stevenson  Dr Viren Mehta Christina Shepherd  Simon Elliott Joe Hodgkinson David Hodcroft Lou Cordwell  Welcome and Introd MF chaired the meet Apologies received as | Marge Falconer  Mary Robinson, MP CIIr. David Meller CIIr. Tom Morrison Rob Munro Sharon Seville  Caroline Simpson Simon Noakes Rosie Jenkins  Michael S. Fisher  Dur Viren Mehta Christina Shepherd Christina Shepherd Christina Shepherd Cilr. David Meller CIIr. Tom Morrison Rob Munro Councillor Cheadle Hulme N. Lib Dem Councillor Cheadle Village Partnership Chair of |

| 2. | Actions from Last Meeting, Caroline Simpson, SMBC   |             |
|----|---|-------------|
|    | CS outlined the actions from the last meeting and that, given there was news yet on the TIP submission, the main purpose of today was to provide an update on the Accelerated Fund projects and the Rail Timetable Study.   | Noted       |
| 3. | Accelerated Fund Project Update by Michael Fisher, SMBC   |             |
|    | MSF introduced a summary progress slide of where each project was currently.  | Noted       |
|    | MSF summarised the design and progress for each project as below.   |             |
|    | Jubilee Diamond Park - Equipment Option A with four pieces of play equipment was preferred at the last Board meeting. These items are now on order. To be installed between bowling green and the sports area               | Noted       |
|    | Jubilee Diamond Park – Path Upgrade MSF works now completed.  | Noted       |
|    | Jubilee Diamond Park – Learn to Ride Detailed design being progressed. To be located north end of DJP.  | Noted       |
|    | Jubilee Diamond Park – SuDS (Sustainable Drainage System)   |             |
|    | Technical studies show that the most effective location for the dry Detention Basin is to the north of the park entrance path. This is so there can be inflow / outflow towards Micker Brook.                               |             |
|    | The scheme is at feasibility design stage. The next stage is detailed design.   |             |
|    | MR – asked about the cost of the project. MSF advised that current cost estimates show that the scheme can be afforded within the overall budget because of savings from only going forward with one Parklet at this stage. |             |
|    | RM – asked if the terraced area could be grassed and therefore potentially have a dual use such as for events if the radius allows. MSF to feed back to design team.  | Action: MSF |
|    | DM mentioned that it would be good to consult an emerging group who are taking an interest in DJP. DM to send contact details.  | Action: DM  |
|    | Also that residents in Park Road will require informing.  | Action: MSF |
|    | RM – to invite contact to the next CVP meeting on 10/02/21  | Action: RM  |
|    | The Board supported the proposals   | Noted       |

| Abney Park wildlife Wildlife works have commenced.  | Noted       |
|---|-------------|
| Ashfield Road – Cycling & Walking   | Noted       |
| Detailed design being progressed.   |             |
| Street Pilot Cycling & Walking  |             |
| Detailed design being progressed.   |             |
| MSF confirmed that the local school had been directly consulted, and responded in support, subject to access for teachers to reach the staff car park.  | Noted       |
| RM raised the point again about having a design which could allow temporary access into Massie Street car park during one-off events.   |             |
| MSF confirmed that he was still consulting the Highways Team on this possibility.   | Action: MSF |
| Parklets – Wilmslow Road & High Street  |             |
| Project in detailed design.   |             |
| MSF confirmed that, given the issues raised by residents regarding a Parklet on Wilmslow Road, that detailed design has only progressed for a Parklet on the High Street. This was agreed at the last Board meeting. Further consultation has now been completed on the High Street option. | Noted       |
| Once installed, piloted and lessons learnt then a parklet could be considered for Wilmslow Road under the main TIP.   |             |
| Savings that have arisen from undertaken one Parklet have been used to off-set minor cost estimate increases across the other projects.   | Noted       |
| MF asked about the Parklet design. MSF confirmed that the design is baseless so the Parklet can be easily even adapted or relocated if required.  | Noted       |
| Councillor Lane Improvements  | Noted       |
| Project in detailed design stage.   |             |
| DM expressed his support for this project. DM asked if the bench to be installed can be on a trail basis in case of anti-social behaviour. It could then be relocated.  |             |
| MSF to raise with the design team.  | Action: MSF |

|    | Community Digital Information Points   |       |
|----|--|-------|
|    | MSF outlined the current specification for a Digital information Point on the High Street  | Noted |
|    | A road safety audit will determine the precise location and orientation.   |       |
|    | Quotes have been sought and are to reviewed w/c 08/02/21.  |       |
|    | Work is progressing to agree the preferred connection type, the content management system, back of office arrangements, the IT software and the possibility of advertorial income to off-set operational costs. This is to ensure that the DIP is sustainable, compliant with the Council's systems, and as a pilot, sets the standard for the future. |       |
|    | MSF noted that costs are likely to be more than the current budget allocation and that this will be reviewed as quotes for other projects are received.  |       |
|    | MF explained that the current spend forecast was just within budget. Contingencies have been built into estimates. There will be further clarity once more quotes have been received.  | Noted |
|    | MF & DM – suggested that if there was insufficient budget then the specification could be descoped.  |       |
| 4. | Rail Timetable Study Findings, Sue Stevenson, SMBC   |       |
|    | Sue Stevenson introduced her role as Head of Highways and Transportation at Stockport Council and that she has been working with Simon Elliot of TfGM on the rail station project.   | Noted |
|    | SS outlined progress to date on the feasibility work and confirmed that there was no feedback yet from New Stations Fund bid submitted June 2020.  |       |
|    | SS explained that Rail Timetable Study is about showing what is possible to gain approximately two minutes on the Mid Cheshire Line to allow trains to stop at a new station in Cheadle. This principle is important to gaining NR support.  |       |
|    | The rail timetable study, using May 2020 timetable, shows that time can be gained by changes to service patterns further along the MCL. Current capacity restrictions at Chester and Manchester Piccadilly mean that these stations are not an option.   |       |
|    | SS explained that the timetable study is a technical piece of work that shows that trains could stop at Cheadle.   |       |
|    | SS explained that, outside the scope of the timetable study, there is also opportunity to gain time through further line improvements and would lift speed restrictions.   |       |

|    | SS explained that any suggested solutions will need to align  |   |
|----|---|---|
|    | with the wider strategy work being undertaken by the Manchester Recovery Task Force. Consultations finish early March 2021.   |   |
|    | Also, any potential solutions will need to be looked at in consultation with Northern Rail, the Cheshire East, Cheshire West and Chester authorities and Cheshire and Warrington LEP.   | Action: SE  |
|    | CS suggested that it might be appropriate to arrange, with MR possibly, convening, a briefing between the MPs along the Mid Cheshire Line to show the wider benefits for everyone.  | Noted   |
|    | MR agreed to this strategic approach and proposal. Stating that not well used stops may actually increase in patronage if the Cheadle station became available. Also, that dualling was the long term strategic solution for better stopping frequencies.           | Action: MR<br>with TfGM &<br>SMBC                     |
|    | MR emphasised the need for positive narrative that shows the benefit of having time savings across the whole line.  |   |
|    | MF agreed given that the whole point of the TIP is to make Cheadle more attractive.   |   |
|    | RM suggested that CVP could play a role in mobilising community support, as and when required.  |   |
|    | DM also stressed that any case needs to include the benefits of linking communities to the Town Centre Mayoral Development Corporation Area and Stockport Station / town centre.  |   |
|    | MR also raised the point that the station is a transport project within MHCLG's portfolio. Therefore, the wider socio-economic benefits of the station and line improvements also need to be stressed in any future business case.                                  |   |
| 5. | Capacity Fund Update, Caroline Simpson, SMBC  |   |
|    | CS outlined the current position with the Towns Fund Capacity Fund with approximately £29.5k remaining.   | Noted   |
|    | CS asked whether there would be any revenue support to assist with the Stage 2 business cases of the Towns Fund.  |   |
|    | Since the Board meeting an announcement has been made that Town Centre Partnerships can make the case for further capacity funding in line with demonstrating need. This is expected to be between £50,000 - £120,000 with a submission date of 17th February 2021. | Action:<br>SMBC to<br>assess and<br>make a<br>request |
|    | •   |   |

| 6. | Town Deal - Next Stages, Rosie Jenkins, BEIS                                |       |
|----|---|-------|
|    | RJ confirmed that TIP submissions were still being assessed                 | Noted |
|    | and recommendations to the Minister will be made shortly.                   |       |
|    | Following any offer to the Town Fund Partnership there will be 2            |       |
|    | months by which Heads of Terms need to be signed.                           |       |
|    | A full business case, that is HMT Green Book compliant, for                 |       |
|    | each project element will then require to be prepared within 10             |       |
|    | months of the signing of the Heads of Terms. Summaries will                 |       |
|    | require to be submitted and spot checks will be undertaken.                 |       |
|    | RJ confirmed that the Delivery Partner Team advisory support                |       |
|    | will currently continue to October 2021. The Team will be in                |       |
|    | contact to discuss how they can support the Partnership.                    |       |
|    | RM asked how the announcement will be managed. RJ                           |       |
|    | confirmed that the announcement will be made centrally by                   |       |
|    | Government.   |       |
|    | DM asked if the new revised Green Book guidance will be used.               |       |
|    | It was confirmed that this is the case.                                     |       |
| 7. | Looking Forwards, Caroline Simpson, SMBC                                    |       |
|    |   |       |
|    | Next Towns Fund Board meeting was arranged for <u>Friday 5<sup>th</sup></u> |       |
|    | March 2021 at <b>3.30pm</b> via MS Teams.                                   |       |
|    |   |       |
|    |   |       |

## Abbreviations:

AF – Accelerated Fund (Towns Fund)

BCR - Benefit Cost Ratio

BEIS - Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy

CVP - Cheadle Village Partnership

DIP – Digital Information Point

DJP - Diamond Jubilee Park

DfT – Department for Transport

Green Book – a five point business case tool used by Government

HMT - Her Majesties Treasury

LEP - Local Economic Partnership

MCL - Mid Cheshire Line (railway)

MHCLG - Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government

NR - Network Rail

NSF – New Station Fund (Round 3)

PF - Pell Frischmann

RYR - Restoring Your Railway (Ideas Fund)

SMBC - Stockport Metropolitan Council

SOBC - Strategic Outline Business Case

SuDS - Sustainable Drainage System

TfGM – Transport for Greater Manchester

TIP - Town Investment Plan

ToR - Terms of Reference