Acute Aorta – Endovascular Management

EMERGENCY RADIOLOGY 2023

May 8th - May 11th, 2023

8th Nordic Course in Emergency Radiology, Aarhus, Denmark

Arindam Bharadwaz, MD, EBIR

Senior Consultant & Assoc. Professor – Aarhus University Hospital President, Danish Society of Interventional Radiology

Acute Aorta Acute Vascular Conditions





Acute aorta syndrome and its Mechanisms

(a)

Dissection

- Intramural haematoma
- Penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer
- Aneurysm leak/ Aorta rupture
- Trauma transection

Acute aortic syndrome classifications

From left to right: aortic dissection (AD), consisting on an intimal tear creating two channels of blood circulation; intramural haematoma (IMH), with bleeding is limited to the vasa vasorum of the media layer and penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU) (Reprinted with permission from Braverman et al. Braunwald's Heart Disease: a Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine, Elsevier Philadelphia.).



Surgery (Oxford) Volume 39, Issue 3, March 2021, Pages 147-155

Cardiothoracic surgery Major aortic surgery: from root to diaphragm

Ana Lopez-Marco, Aung Ye Oo







In the 1990s, the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissections (**IRAD**) was developed

Aortic dissection account for 80%–90% of acute aortic syndromes)

Incidence of acute aortic syndromes is 3.5 to 6.0 per 100,000 patient-years, and contemporary in-hospital mortality rate for acute aortic syndromes derived from the IRAD registry is $\sim 21\%$

Acute Aortic Syndromes 🔊 🔁

R. Kevin Rogers MD, MSc, T. Brett Reece MD, Marc P. Bonaca MD, MPH and Connie N. Hess MD, MHS Cardiology Clinics, 2021-11-01, Volume 39, Issue 4, Pages 495-503, Copyright © 2021 Elsevier Inc.



The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD): new insights into an old disease

P G Hagan¹, C A Nienaber, E M Isselbacher, D Bruckman, D J Karavite, P L Russman, A Evangelista, R Fattori, T Suzuki, J K Oh, A G Moore, J F Malouf, L A Pape, C Gaca, U Sechtem, S Lenferink, H J Deutsch, H Diedrichs, J Marcos y Robles, A Llovet, D Gilon, S K Das, W F Armstrong, G M Deeb, K A Eagle

	Type A Manageme	(n = 289) ent, No. (%)	Type B Manageme	(n = 175) ent, No. (%)
	Surgical	Medical	Surgical	Medical
No.	208 (72)	81 (28)	35 (20)	140 (80)
n-hospital mortality	54 (26)	47 (58)	11 (31.4)	15 (10.7)
Total*	101 (34.9)		26 (14.9)

*Total mortality for both groups was 127 (27.4%). For definitions of type A and B dissections, see footnote to Table 1.

Figure. Thirty-Day Mortality by Dissection Type and Management



Peripheral Vascular Disease (WS Jones, Section Editor) Published: 14 January 2022

Diagnosis and Management of Acute Aortic Syndromes: Dissection, Penetrating Aortic Ulcer, and Intramural Hematoma

Rebecca Sorber & Caitlin W. Hicks

Current Cardiology Reports 24, 209–216 (2022) Cite this article

Autopsy ~ 50% of patients with a Type A die before ever reaching a hospital

<1 in 4 with Type A are alive one month later

Delayed recognition - mortality rate of 1–2% per hour over the first 24 h and exceeding 75% in the first 2 weeks

Type A dissection is a cardiothoracic surgical emergency regardless of patient's symptoms or clinical stability.

Type B aortic dissection (TBAD) less common than Type A (TAAD) 30 days mortality rate –TAAD 50%, TBAD only 13%

Typical target systolic blood pressure < 120 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure < 80 mmHg, heart rate < 80 beats per minute





CT sensitivity of 95% to 100%; **specificity** of 98% to 100%

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) sensitivities and specificities as low as 60% for Stanford type A dissections and even lower for type B dissections.

> Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) -Sensitivity 89% and the specificity close to 99%.

> > MRI - sensitivity of 95% to 98% and specificity of 94% to 98%



JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging Volume 7, Issue 4, April 2014, Pages 406-424

State-of-the-Art Paper

The Role of Imaging in Aortic Dissection and Related Syndromes

Ragavendra R. Baliga MD, MBA * A ⊠, Christoph A. Nienaber MD, PhD[†], Eduardo Bossone MD, PhD[‡], Jae K. Oh MD[§], Eric M. Isselbacher MD[¶], Udo Sechtem MD[¶], Rossella Fattori MD, PhD[#], Subha V. Raman MD^{**}, Kim A. Eagle MD^{††}

CT is invaluable for treatment planning – The essence is TIME & ACCURACY



What the image should include??

- 1. Should be ECG triggered
- 2. Non-contrast, Arterial and Venous phases
- 3. Include iliac-common femoral arteries for extension and possible endovascular treatment
- 4. Neck vessels included in the scan
- 5. Must include reconstructions

What shold you look for??



2. Type A or B - Type A mortality



EMERGENCY RADIOLOGY 2023

May 8th - May 11th, 2023

Endovascular Treatment

8th Nordic Course in Emergency Radiology, Aarhus, Denmark





www.regionmidtjylland.dk

Fase 2 (Preparation before the patient arrives in the HYBRID-OR)



Endo-specialist Makes reconstruktion Measures graft, find components Makes stent planning sketch	 OR Nurse Makes things ready for operation Fetch 2 rEVAR packets from shelf Flowchart for OR nurse 	 Radiographers Fetch lead aprons & other gears Make pressure injector ready Scrub sterile Flowchart for Endo-radiographers 	 Anesthesia Nurse + Doctor Make the OR ready for operation according to instructions Book blood products BAC test
Briefing in the • Patient check • See CT scann • Go through th • Do through th	e OR list ing images ne treatment plan ne stent-graft sketch	Impact of an Emergency Endov Ruptured Abdom	vascular Aneurysm Repair Protocol or hinal Aortic Aneurysm Mortality htudy 376 RAAA patients
		Anatomic Inclusion for Emergency EVAR* Anatomic inclusion criteria for emergency EVAR 1. Aortic neck =32 mm in diameter 2. Infrarenal neck =10 mm in length 3. Neck angulation =60° 4. Calcification =40% 5. Nonreverse funnel shaped neck 6. liaic diameter =20 mm, ≥6 mm	30-Day MortalityPre-ProtocolPost62.4%Unstable Patients SBP<80mmhg P=.01936

Vascular Surgery

VS

Jones et al. J Vasc Surg September 2022

Copyright © 2022 by the Society for Vascular Surgery®

Linked in f@TheJVascSurg y@JVascSurg

When & How to treat ??





Isselbacher EM, Preventza O, Hamilton Black J 3rd, Augoustides JG, Beck AW, Bolen MA, Braverman AC, Bray BE, Brown-Zimmerman MM, Chen EP, Collins TJ, DeAnda A Jr, Fanola CL, Girardi LN, Hicks CW, Hui DS, Schuyler Jones W, Kalahasti V, Kim KM, Milewicz DM, Oderich GS, Ogbechie L, Promes SB, Gyang Ross E, Schermerhorn ML, Singleton Times S, Tseng EE, Wang GJ, Woo YJ. 2022 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Aortic Disease: A Report of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2022 Dec 13;146(24):e334-e482. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000001106. Epub 2022 Nov 2. PMID: 36322642; PMCID: PMC9876736.

When & How to treat -simplified

1.

2.

3.

4.

6.



A-dissection – Immediate Open Surgical

B-dissection – Uncomplicated –

Medical

B-dissection – Complicated –

Endovascular

B-dissection – When is it Complicated ?

- Rupture
- Impending rupture
- **Size** > 6cm (male) or > 5.5cm (female) in thoracic aorta;
 - >5.5cm (male) or >5 cm (female) in abdominal aorta
- Rapid increase in size (~ >5-10mm increase within weeks or a few months)
- 5. Compromizing vital /visceral arteries
 - Symptomatic Pain



Type –B dissection with rupture and visceral artery compromise



Goal:

- Block entry/re-entry
- Increase True-lumen dimension
- Restore perfusion













17 www.regionmidtjylland.dk

















European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery Volume 48, Issue 3, September 2014, Pages 285-291



Endovascular Repair of Acute Uncomplicated Aortic Type B Dissection Promotes Aortic Remodelling: 1 Year Results of the ADSORB Trial

J. Brunkwall^a A ⊠ , P. Kasprzak^b, E. Verhoeven^c, R. Heijmen^d, P. Taylor^d ADSORB Trialists^e

the ADSORB trial, 61 patients with uncomplicated type B dissection were randomized to best medical treatment alone or to endovascular stent grafting

primary outcome

favored the endovascular therapy



Randomized comparison of strategies for type B aortic dissection: the INvestigation of STEnt Grafts in Aortic Dissection (INSTEAD) trial

Christoph A Nienaber ¹, Hervé Rousseau, Holger Eggebrecht, Stephan Kische, Rossella Fattori, Tim C Rehders, Günther Kundt, Dierk Scheinert, Martin Czerny, Tilo Kleinfeldt, Burkhart Zipfel, Louis Labrousse, Hüseyin Ince; INSTEAD Trial



VIRTUE Investigators. Mid-term outcomes and aortic remodelling after thoracic endovascular aortic repair for acute, subacute and chronic aortic dissection: the VIRTUE Registry. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2014;48(4):363–71.

Zipfel B, Czerny M, Funovics M, et al. Endovascular treatment of patients with types A and B thoracic aortic dissection using Relay thoracic stent-grafts: results from the RESTORE patient registry. J Endovasc Ther. 2011;18(2):131–43.

•• Lombardi JV, Gleason TG, Panneton JM, et al. STABLE II clinical trial on endovascular treatment of acute, complicated type B aortic dissection with a composite device design. J Vasc Surg. 2020;71(4):1077–87. Device trial investigating the application of dissection specific hybrid TEVAR devices for acute TBAD.

region**mid**

TEVAR in Type B **complicated** dissection

8% perioperative mortality <mark>survival rate of 84–88% at 1–2 years</mark>

20% reintervention at 30 days, mostly due to false lumen growth on imaging, development of a malperfusion syndrome, or retrograde dissection

Composite device design (covered stent graft and bare metal stent) with partial or complete false lumen thrombosis seen in 100% of the stent grafted segments and 97.7% of the bare mental stented segments at 1 year

unclear if TEVAR is superior to open surgery however, the morbidity reduction associated with TEVAR has been demonstrated for emergent operations, as well as for older, more frail patients

Trauma - Aorta

86 yr old lady after road traffic accident

Full-thickness aortic lesion











JMV-Journal de Médecine Vasculaire Volume 45, Issue 5, September 2020, Pages 254-259





Original article

Endovascular repair of traumatic aortic isthmic rupture: Early and mid-term results

M. Ben Hammamia^a A 🛛 M. Ben Mrad^a, J. Ziadi^a, B. Derbel^a, R. Miri^a, E. Ben Abdelaziz^a, Z. Daoud^a, N. Krarti^a, M.A. Koubaa^a, M. Tarzi^a, Y. Khadhar^a, A. Lagha^a, F. Ghedira^a, S. Ben Omrane^a, T. Kalfat^a, I. Bounawes^b, R. Denguir^a

The procedural success rate was 100%.

The average length of stay was 6 days (range 4–10).

The mean follow-up period was 40.41 months (range 6.5–96).

No endoleak was diagnosed and no re-intervention was performed

At one month and during the follow-up, **mortality** and **paraplegia** rates were both **0%**.

The average systolic pressure in the right arm was 110 mmHg (range 100–160) while the left systolic pressure was 100 mmHg (range 90–110).

No patients reported arm claudication during follow-up.

did not perform any subclavian artery bypass.



Draped aorta (yellow arrows) & Hyperdense Crescent (black arrows)







Before the storm – Impending Rupture



Significant contour irregularity and soft tissue reaction

Pre- and Post- TEVAR





When the Storm has arrived – Rupture REVAR



Direct sign of rupture





Mycotic Aneurysm



Aorto-caval fistula - Direct Sign of Rupture



Direct Sign of Rupture







region **midt**ivlland



Journal of Vascular Surgery Volume 71, Issue 4, April 2020, Pages 1148-1161

Clinical research study Abdominal aortic and iliac artery aneurysms

Outcomes after ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm repair in the era of centralized care

Presented as an oral presentation at the 2018 Vascular Annual Meeting of the Society for Vascular Surgery, Boston, Mass, June 20-23, 2018.

Erin K. Greenleaf MD ^a, Christopher S. Hollenbeak PhD ^{a b c}, Faisal Aziz MD, DFSVS, FACS ^a 🙁 📨





Thanks for your attention



shutterstock.com · 1164664042