



CSCI 340: Computational Models

# Decidability

# Decidability

- ① How can we tell whether two CFGs define the same languages?
- ② Given a CFG, how can we tell whether it is ambiguous?
- ③ Given an ambiguous CFG, how can we tell there exists a non-ambiguous CFG accepting the same language?
- ④ How can we tell whether the complement of a CFG is also context-free?
- ⑤ How can we tell whether the intersection of two CFGs is also context-free?
- ⑥ Given two CFGs, how can we tell whether they have a word in common?
- ⑦ Given a CFG, how can we tell whether there are any words it *does not* generate?

# Decidability

- ① How can we tell whether two CFGs define the same languages?
- ② Given a CFG, how can we tell whether it is ambiguous?
- ③ Given an ambiguous CFG, how can we tell there exists a non-ambiguous CFG accepting the same language?
- ④ How can we tell whether the complement of a CFG is also context-free?
- ⑤ How can we tell whether the intersection of two CFGs is also context-free?
- ⑥ Given two CFGs, how can we tell whether they have a word in common?
- ⑦ Given a CFG, how can we tell whether there are any words it *does not* generate?

Which of these questions are *decidable*?

# Decidability

**None** of the prior questions are *decidable*!

There are no algorithms to answer any of these *for any CFG*

## What Exists

- What is known
- What will be known
- What might have been known but nobody will ever care enough to figure it out

## What Does Not Exist

- Married bachelors
- Algorithms for Questions 1-7
- A good 5-cent cigar
- A funny joke from Professor Killian

So what questions can we answer about Context-Free Grammars?

# Three Fundamental Questions We Can Answer

## ① **Emptiness**

Given a CFG, can we tell whether or not it generates any words at all?

## ② **Finiteness**

Given a CFG, can we tell whether or not the language it generates is finite or infinite?

## ③ **Membership**

Given a CFG and a particular string of characters  $w$ , can we tell whether or not  $w$  can be generated by the CFG?

# Emptiness

## Theorem

*Given any CFG, there is an algorithm to determine whether or not it can generate **any** words at all.*

## Proof.

- We can already show whether or not  $\Lambda$  can be produced
- Convert the grammar to CNF
- If there is a production of the form  $S \rightarrow t$ , then  $t$  is a word in the language
- If there are no such productions, then we propose the following:
  - Step 1 For each Nonterminal  $N$  that has productions of the form  $N \rightarrow t$  where  $t$  is a terminal **or** string of terminals, replace  $N$  with  $t$  across all productions
  - Step 2 Repeat Step 1 until either  $S$  is eliminated or no new terminals are eliminated. If  $S$  has been eliminated, then the CFG produces some words; if not, then it does not.

# Emptiness

## Proof (Continued).

- The algorithm is finite since we will at most run Step 1 for every unique non-terminal in the original CNF form of the grammar.
- The string of nonterminals that will eventually replace  $S$  is a word that could be generated by the CFG.
- Some sequence of these “backwards replacements” (Step 1) will eventually reach back to  $S$  if there is **any** word in the language.

□

## Example

$$S \rightarrow XY$$

$$X \rightarrow AX \mid AA$$

$$Y \rightarrow BY \mid BB$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

$$S \rightarrow XY$$

$$X \rightarrow AX$$

$$Y \rightarrow BY \mid BB$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

# Usage of a Nonterminal Production (Uselessness)

## Theorem

*There is an algorithm to decide whether or not a given nonterminal  $X$  in a CFG is ever used in the generation of words.*

## A Clever Trick

Just for a minute, reverse  $S$  and  $X$  in all the production rules in the grammar. Use the “emptiness” algorithm to see whether we can derive a working string involving  $X$  that leads to a word.

## Definition

A nonterminal that *cannot* ever produce a string of terminals is **unproductive**



# Usage of a Nonterminal Production (Uselessness)

## Algorithm (Deciding if $X$ is Useless)

- 1 Find all nonproductive nonterminals
- 2 Purify the grammar by eliminating all productions from Step 1
- 3 Paint all  $X$ 's blue
- 4 If any nonterminal is the left side of a production with **anything** blue on the right hand side, paint it (and any occurrences) blue
- 5 Repeat Step 4 until nothing blue is painted
- 6 If  $S$  is blue, then  $X$  is a useful member of the CFG. If not,  $X$  is useless

## Example

$$S \rightarrow ABa \mid bAZ \mid b$$

$$A \rightarrow Xb \mid bZa$$

$$B \rightarrow bAA$$

$$X \rightarrow aZa \mid aaa$$

$$Z \rightarrow ZAbA$$

# Finiteness

## Theorem

*There is an algorithm to decide whether a given CFG generates an infinite language or a finite language*

## Proof.

- There exists a procedure (next slide)
- If any word in the language is long enough to apply the pumping lemma to, we can produce an infinite sequence
- If the language is infinite, then the pumping lemma must be applicable
- We must find a self-embedded nonterminal  $X$  in our algorithm

□

# Finiteness

## Algorithm

- ① Use the “usefulness” algorithm to determine which nonterminals are useless. Eliminate all productions involving them
- ② Use the following algorithm to test each of the remaining nonterminals, in term, to see whether they are self-embedded. When a self-embedded one is discovered, stop. To test  $X$ :
  - i Change all  $X$ 's on the left side of productions into the Russian letter  $\mathcal{K}$ , but leave all  $X$ 's on the right hand side of productions alone.
  - ii Paint all  $X$ 's blue.
  - iii If  $Y$  is any nonterminal that is the left side of any production with **some** blue on the right, paint all  $Y$ 's blue.
  - iv Repeat step 2(iii) until nothing new is painted blue
  - v If  $\mathcal{K}$  is blue, then  $X$  is self-embedded; if not, then it is not.
- ③ If any nonterminal left in the grammar after step 1 is self-embedded, the language generated is infinite. If not, then the language is finite.

# Finiteness

## Example

$$S \rightarrow ABz \mid bAZ \mid b$$
$$A \rightarrow Xb \mid bZA$$
$$B \rightarrow bAA$$
$$X \rightarrow aZa \mid bA \mid aaa$$
$$Z \rightarrow ZAbA$$

# Membership

## Theorem

*Given a CFG and a string  $x$  in the same alphabet, we can decide whether or not  $x$  can be generated by the CFG.*

- Strategy created by Cocke, Kasami, and Younger (CKY)
- Out of scope for this class (Compilers)

# Homework 10a

- 1 Decide whether or not the following grammars generate any words. Show work! (2 points each)

i

$$S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb$$

ii

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow XY \mid SY \\ X &\rightarrow SY \mid a \\ Y &\rightarrow SX \mid b \end{aligned}$$

iii

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow AB \\ A &\rightarrow BC \mid b \\ B &\rightarrow CD \\ C &\rightarrow DA \\ D &\rightarrow a \end{aligned}$$

iv

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow XS \\ X &\rightarrow YX \mid a \\ Y &\rightarrow YY \mid XX \end{aligned}$$

v

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow AB \\ A &\rightarrow BSB \mid CC \mid a \mid b \\ B &\rightarrow AAS \mid CC \\ C &\rightarrow SS \mid b \mid bb \end{aligned}$$

## Homework 10a

- 2 Decide whether or not the following grammars generate finite or infinite languages. Show work! (2 points each)

i

$$S \rightarrow XS \mid b$$

$$X \rightarrow YZ$$

$$Y \rightarrow ab$$

$$Z \rightarrow XY$$

ii

$$S \rightarrow XY \mid bb$$

$$X \rightarrow YX$$

$$Y \rightarrow XY \mid SS$$

iii

$$S \rightarrow XY$$

$$X \rightarrow AA \mid YY \mid b$$

iv

$$S \rightarrow XY$$

$$X \rightarrow AA \mid XY \mid b$$

$$A \rightarrow BC$$

$$B \rightarrow AC$$

$$C \rightarrow BA$$

$$Y \rightarrow a$$

v

$$S \rightarrow SS \mid b$$

$$X \rightarrow SS \mid SX \mid a$$