



**PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT**

**2023**

**Alberta Investment Management Corporation**

Generated 15-12-2023

# About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

## Disclaimers

### Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

### Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	7
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	32
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	73
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	87
FIXED INCOME (FI)	96
REAL ESTATE (RE)	106
INFRASTRUCTURE (INF)	119
PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)	128
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	135

# SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

## SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

### SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

#### Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

AIMCo has been a PRI signatory since 2010, and for over a decade, we have committed to integrating ESG factors into our investment processes and active ownership strategies. Through our participation in the PRI Reporting Framework, we hope to continue to serve and inspire client confidence in our ability to identify and manage material ESG risks and opportunities across portfolios.

AIMCo was established with a mandate to provide superior long-term investment results for our clients. Central to our fiduciary duty to the 17 pension, endowment and government fund clients we serve is a commitment to systematically integrate environmental, social and governance (ESG) considerations. By formally applying an investment-focused lens to how we integrate ESG risks and opportunities, sustainability considerations are viewed across the business as a contributor to enhancing risk-adjusted returns.

While each asset class requires a tailored approach to ESG integration, all approaches are aligned and span pre-investment, investment decision and post-investment stages. The pre-investment process requires extensive screening and due diligence that keeps clients' concerns, rapidly evolving regulatory requirements and best practices in mind. The due diligence process supports better investment decision-making by providing a holistic understanding of ESG risks and opportunities, using globally recognized materiality frameworks such as SASB.

The results of pre-screening and due diligence form an integral part of what is submitted to AIMCo's Chief Investment Officer, Investment Committee and Board of Directors for approval of an investment. Once an investment has been made, AIMCo investment professionals and the Sustainable Investing (SI) team have ongoing ESG management and monitoring roles. Depending on the asset class, team members across the organization use a variety of strategies and approaches to effectively manage investment-related risks and realize value over time. From holding director roles on the boards of investee companies, to developing and monitoring an asset specific management plan in real estate, monitoring the stated 100-day plans by the Private Equity General Partner, or attending quarterly earning calls and engaging the management teams, asset management is multifaceted. In addition, the SI team supports Investment teams with monitoring of ESG management and performance on an ongoing basis using a variety of tools like proxy voting and engagements with boards and management teams. Doing so amplifies shareholder voice on critical ESG matters and promote best practices that impact sustainability over the long term

## Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
  - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
  - collaborative engagements
  - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2022, AIMCo continued its mission to integrate environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors into our investment decision-making processes to unlock investment opportunities, mitigate risk and identify drivers of long-term growth.

AIMCo continued preparing for the transition to the low-carbon economy over the course of 2022. We furthered investments in renewable energy and in alignment with our core value of transparency, we evolved our climate-related disclosures. Not only has AIMCo expanded its work on broadening the scope of our own footprint, but for the first time in our 2022 TCFD report, we disclosed emissions by asset class and our emissions removals and attributable emissions from sovereign bond investments. We continued to conduct scenario analyses, engage with dozens of companies on their climate risk strategies and contribute as an active member of advocacy and collaboration initiatives such as Climate Action 100+, Climate Engagement Canada and PCAF. Alongside eight Canadian peers, we submitted comments supporting the International Sustainability Standards Board's (ISSB)'s proposed enhancement and standardization of sustainability-related financial information disclosures and climate-related disclosures.

Since 2015, AIMCo has participated in annual Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) assessments. In the last year's GRESB assessment, AIMCo's Canadian Real Estate portfolio maintained its four-star rating, consistently outperforming both GRESB and direct peer averages. Our clients' direct Infrastructure assets achieved their highest GRESB sustainability score of 89/100, outperforming the average of 78/100.

Of course, there are other considerations AIMCo pursues every day, too. During the 2022 proxy season (July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022), AIMCo exercised voice on behalf of our clients at 3,671 shareholder meetings (33% increase year-over-year) on 746 different shareholder proposals (52% increase year-over-year) at meetings on virtually every continent. Over that same period, we conducted 161 engagements with public and private companies on ESG topics ranging from executive compensation to board diversity and management of climate risk. The results were some firms updating their pay policies, wider searches for diverse board members, and enhanced climate-related disclosure.

Lots of work has been done, but there's more to do. As AIMCo continues its Sustainable Investing journey, we will continue to strengthen our ESG integration across all asset classes, expand our engagement and advocacy efforts, and increase transparency by enhancing our ESG reporting practices.

## Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

The journey of responsible investment (now known as Sustainable Investment (SI) at AIMCo) continues to grow at a rapid pace. AIMCo's approach to SI is evolving to reflect regulatory requirements, industry best practices, the growing awareness and materiality of ESG factors, and their impacts. AIMCo's clients are increasingly focused on tracking sustainability-related factors and building more resilient portfolios over the long term which is why AIMCo is focused on evolving our SI reporting over the next couple of years. We've already started by offering more frequent, modular and easier to understand client reports, with additional efforts to highlight the impact of reporting and integrating ESG across the investment process. In the near future, we plan to grow our reporting function to not only increase transparency and awareness, but to better accommodate new and other upcoming legislation, such as Canada's Bill S-211 (An Act to enact the Fighting Against Forced Labour and Child Labour in Supply Chains Act and to amend the Customs Tariff (Act)). There are also plans to streamline our carbon accounting processes by building internal capacity and collaboration with the CFO Office, which will drastically reduce time required to meet increasing client and public demands for customized, timely and decision-useful reports.

Outside of reporting, AIMCo has a few other strategic priorities to increase our commitment to SI in the next couple of years. We are deepening ESG integration across the asset classes. In 2023, we will focus on enhancing our Climate Program and the creation of a Climate Taxonomy. This taxonomy will identify climate-related risks and opportunities in existing and potential investments by classifying them into defined categories of grey, transition and green assets, creating a baseline of where our assets are today with regards to the transition to the low carbon economy. Developing hands-on tools streamlining ESG due diligence across asset classes & focusing on post-investment ESG monitoring and strengthening the link between value creation- ESG- and asset management for our privately own assets remain our focus in the near term. We are also developing asset class dashboards for pre-and post-investment monitoring and continue to enhance our robust due diligence processes.

In addition to enhanced reporting and integration, we have continued to build leadership in our industry and create long-term sustainable value through our active ownership strategies of proxy voting, engagements, advocacy and collaboration. AIMCo's SI team has shifted its engagement framework to become more outcomes-based and with a sector-specific focus. This will improve accountability towards the achievement of outcomes that enhance the long-term value of our clients' investments and drives comparability and benchmarking amongst companies and sector leaders, allowing for more focused and effective engagements. To support this approach, AIMCo will continue building out our third-party engagement management platform, which will allow us to track engagement campaigns, activities and the progress made on the set engagement outcomes for each focus company. In addition, the automated analytic and reporting capabilities will enable efficient reporting for our clients on engagement initiatives.

#### **Section 4. Endorsement**

**'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.**

Name

Marlene Puffer

Position

Chief Investment Officer

Organisation's Name

A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

B

# ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

## ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

### REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2022

## SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

(A) Yes

(B) No

## ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

### ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 99,656,990,000.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

### ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].



	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>10-50%	>0-10%
(B) Fixed income	>10-50%	>0-10%
(C) Private equity	>0-10%	>0-10%
(D) Real estate	>10-50%	>0-10%
(E) Infrastructure	>10-50%	>0-10%
(F) Hedge funds	>0-10%	>0-10%
(G) Forestry	>0-10%	>0-10%
(H) Farmland	>0-10%	0%
(I) Other	>0-10%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

**(I) Other - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:**

Cash and cash equivalents and overlays.

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.**

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	>75%	>0-10%	>0-10%	>10-50%	>50-75%
(B) Passive	0%	0%	0%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

**Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.**

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	0%	>75%
(C) Fixed income - active	0%	>75%
(E) Private equity	0%	>75%
(F) Real estate	>10-50%	>75%
(G) Infrastructure	0%	>75%
(H) Hedge funds	0%	>75%

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.**

(A) Passive equity 0%

(B) Active – quantitative >10-50%

(C) Active – fundamental >75%

(D) Other strategies 0%

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.**

(A) Passive – SSA 0%

(B) Passive – corporate 0%

(C) Active – SSA >50-75%

(D) Active – corporate >10-50%

(E) Securitised >10-50%

(F) Private debt >10-50%

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.**

(A) Venture capital 0%

(B) Growth capital 0%

(C) (Leveraged) buy-out >75%

(D) Distressed, turnaround or  
special situations 0%

(E) Secondaries >0-10%

(F) Other 0%

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED REAL ESTATE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 RE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed real estate	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed real estate AUM.**

(A) Retail >10-50%

(B) Office >10-50%

(C) Industrial >10-50%

(D) Residential	>10-50%
(E) Hotel	0%
(F) Lodging, leisure and recreation	0%
(G) Education	0%
(H) Technology or science	0%
(I) Healthcare	0%
(J) Mixed use	0%
(K) Other	>10-50%

**(K) Other - Specify:**

TO BE ADDED

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED INFRASTRUCTURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 INF	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed infrastructure	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed infrastructure AUM.**

(A) Data infrastructure	>10-50%
(B) Diversified	0%
(C) Energy and water resources	>10-50%
(D) Environmental services	0%
(E) Network utilities	>10-50%

(F) Power generation (excl. renewables)	0%
(G) Renewable power	>10-50%
(H) Social infrastructure	0%
(I) Transport	>10-50%
(J) Other	0%

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED HEDGE FUND

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 11	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed hedge fund	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed hedge fund assets.**

(A) Multi-strategy	>75%
(B) Long/short equity	0%
(C) Long/short credit	0%
(D) Distressed, special situations and event-driven fundamental	0%
(E) Structured credit	0%
(F) Global macro	0%
(G) Commodity trading advisor	0%
(H) Other strategies	0%

## MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

**What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?**

>50-75%

## GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

**How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?**

### AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(3) >10 to 20%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(1) 0%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(1) 0%
(D) Fixed income – securitised	(1) 0%
(E) Fixed income – private debt	(1) 0%
(F) Private equity	(3) >10 to 20%
(G) Real estate	(2) >0 to 10%
(H) Infrastructure	(3) >10 to 20%

## STEWARDSHIP

### STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(3) Fixed income - active	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Yes, through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, through external managers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



	(8) Hedge funds	(9) Forestry	(10) Farmland	(11) Other
(A) Yes, through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, through external managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9 HF	CORE	OO 5	OO 9	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

**Does your organisation have direct investments in listed equity across your hedge fund strategies?**

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

**Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?**

**(1) Listed equity - active****(3) Hedge funds**

(A) Yes, through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, through external managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

**For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?**

**Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote**

(A) Listed equity – active	(12) 100%
(C) Hedge funds	(1) 0%

# ESG INCORPORATION

## INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

**For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?**

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(B) Listed equity - active - quantitative	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Fixed income - SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Fixed income - corporate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Fixed income - securitised	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Fixed income - private debt	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(J) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(K) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(L) Hedge funds - Multi-strategy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(T) Forestry	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

(U) Farmland	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(V) Other: Cash and cash equivalents and overlays.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

## EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

**For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers?**

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Hedge funds	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Forestry	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Hedge funds	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Forestry	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(C) Fixed income - active	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Hedge funds	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Forestry	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## ESG IN OTHER ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 15	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

### Describe how your organisation incorporates ESG factors into the following asset classes.

#### Internally managed

##### (A) Forestry

Similar to all other classes, all forestry investments undergo a mandatory ESG due diligence, including specialized climate change, community/stakeholder risk assessments. Post investment, assets are monitored similar to all other asset classes with material ESG factors tracked at a portfolio level

##### (B) Farmland

Similar to all other classes, all farmland investments undergo a mandatory ESG due diligence, including specialized climate change, community/stakeholder risk assessments. Post investment, assets are monitored similar to all other asset classes with material ESG factors tracked at a portfolio level

#### Externally managed

##### (D) Forestry

Similar to other externally managed mandates, externally managed forestry investment undergo a mandatory ESG due diligence following an establish process around Fund investments. Post investment, assets are monitored similar to all other asset classes with material ESG factors tracked at a portfolio level

## ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

**Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions.**

Internally managed  
(O) Other

Cash and cash equivalents do not have any specific ESG integration protocols

## ESG STRATEGIES

### LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 LE	CORE	OO 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

**Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?**

**Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity**

(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%

(G) All three approaches combined 0%

(H) None 0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 LE	CORE	OO 17 LE	LE 9	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

**What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?**

**Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied**

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only 0%

(B) Negative screening only >75%

(C) A combination of screening approaches 0%

## FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

**Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?**

**(1) Fixed income - SSA**

**(2) Fixed income - corporate**

**(3) Fixed income - securitised**

(A) Screening alone

0%

0%

0%

(B) Thematic alone

0%

0%

0%



(C) Integration alone	0%	0%	0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%	>75%	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%	0%	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%	0%	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%	0%	0%
(H) None	0%	0%	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 FI	CORE	OO 17 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

**What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?**

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate	(3) Fixed income - securitised
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%	0%	0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%	>75%	>75%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%	0%	0%

# ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

## LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

**Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?**

- (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- (C) **Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds**

## SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

### SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Confidence Building Measures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(B) Listed equity – active – quantitative	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(E) Fixed income – SSA	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(F) Fixed income – corporate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(G) Fixed income – securitised	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(H) Fixed income – private debt	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Private equity	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(J) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(K) Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(L) Hedge funds – Multi-strategy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(V) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - active	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(X) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – private equity	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(Y) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – real estate	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(Z) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – infrastructure	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

(AA) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM)  
– hedge funds

○

○

●

## OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

### PRIVATE EQUITY: SECTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 22	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Sectors	GENERAL

In which sector(s) are your internally managed private equity assets invested?

- (A) Energy
- (B) Materials
- (C) Industrials
- (D) Consumer discretionary
- (E) Consumer staples
- (F) Healthcare
- (G) Financials
- (H) Information technology
- (I) Communication services
- (J) Utilities
- (K) Real estate

### PRIVATE EQUITY: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 23	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your internally managed private equity investments by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)
- (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)
  - Select from the list:
    - (1) >0 to 10%
    - (2) >10 to 50%
- (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)
  - Select from the list:
    - (1) >0 to 10%
    - (2) >10 to 50%
    - (3) >50 to 75%
    - (4) >75%

## REAL ESTATE: BUILDING TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 21	RE 1, RE 9 - 10	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the building type of your physical real estate assets?

- (A) Standing investments
- (B) New construction
- (C) Major renovation

## REAL ESTATE: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 25	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Real estate: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your physical real estate assets by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)
  - Select from the list:
    - (1) >0 to 10%
    - (2) >10 to 50%
    - (3) >50 to 75%
    - (4) >75%
- (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)
  - Select from the list:
    - (1) >0 to 10%
    - (2) >10 to 50%
- (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)
  - Select from the list:
    - (1) >0 to 10%
    - (2) >10 to 50%

## REAL ESTATE: MANAGEMENT TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 26	CORE	OO 21	RE 1, RE 6–8, RE 13	PUBLIC	Real estate: Management type	GENERAL

Who manages your physical real estate assets?

- (A) Direct management by our organisation
- (B) Third-party property managers that our organisation appoints
- (C) Other investors or their third-party property managers
- (D) Tenant(s) with operational control

## INFRASTRUCTURE: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 27	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your organisation's infrastructure assets by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)  
Select from the list:
  - (1) >0 to 10%
  - (2) >10 to 50%
- (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)  
Select from the list:
  - (1) >0 to 10%
  - (2) >10 to 50%
  - (3) >50 to 75%
  - (4) >75%
- (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)  
Select from the list:
  - (1) >0 to 10%
  - (2) >10 to 50%

## INFRASTRUCTURE: STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 28	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Strategy	GENERAL

What is the investment strategy for your infrastructure assets?

- (A) Core
- (B) Value added
- (C) Opportunistic
- (D) Other

## INFRASTRUCTURE: TYPE OF ASSET

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 29	CORE	OO 21	INF 1	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Type of asset	GENERAL

What is the asset type of your infrastructure?

- (A) Greenfield
- (B) Brownfield

## INFRASTRUCTURE: MANAGEMENT TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 30	CORE	OO 21	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Infrastructure: Management type	GENERAL

Who manages your infrastructure assets?

- (A) Direct management by our organisation
- (B) Third-party infrastructure operators that our organisation appoints
- (C) Other investors, infrastructure companies or their third-party operators
- (D) Public or government entities or their third-party operators

## SUBMISSION INFORMATION

### REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- (B) Publish as ranges

## POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

### POLICY

#### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here

Specify:

Approach to collaboration and advocacy for the advancement of the Principles for Responsible Investment

- (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

**Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?**

- (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

**Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?**

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

- (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

- (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

<https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/55VnlKy69neHZ52zO6wQka/1a7af25232733e5361fa15b56bfdcc2e/AIMCoRealty-GreenFinancingFramework.pdf>

**(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

**(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

**(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold**

**(J) Guidelines on exclusions**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/7bKPMH6O6QpFeo4q3yqds4/545507156d90edea30e2659ec4977d17/AIMCo\\_-\\_Investment\\_Exclusion\\_Guidelines\\_July\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/7bKPMH6O6QpFeo4q3yqds4/545507156d90edea30e2659ec4977d17/AIMCo_-_Investment_Exclusion_Guidelines_July_2023.pdf)

**(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment**

**(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/5oknM1fYtTt9wtsMlm2TJU/9b7fc1f0b47bb3e88141d09422a0c133/RI\\_Engagement\\_Guidelines\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/5oknM1fYtTt9wtsMlm2TJU/9b7fc1f0b47bb3e88141d09422a0c133/RI_Engagement_Guidelines_2023.pdf)

**(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/5oknM1fYtTt9wtsMlm2TJU/9b7fc1f0b47bb3e88141d09422a0c133/RI\\_Engagement\\_Guidelines\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/5oknM1fYtTt9wtsMlm2TJU/9b7fc1f0b47bb3e88141d09422a0c133/RI_Engagement_Guidelines_2023.pdf)

**(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/Lal6WL1d2ipuWqqIVYL6j/955597ef1ac07e7132d06e631b62f7f5/Proxy\\_Voting\\_Guidelines\\_Feb\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/Lal6WL1d2ipuWqqIVYL6j/955597ef1ac07e7132d06e631b62f7f5/Proxy_Voting_Guidelines_Feb_2023.pdf)

**(P) Other responsible investment aspects not listed here**

Add link:

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible\\_Investment\\_Policy\\_2023.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/17FDhCHI0lhGMEfXvxLnqQ/6eb45f274eeec9e9fe2d6a0cc8683cf9/Responsible_Investment_Policy_2023.pdf)

**(Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

**Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?**

(A) Yes

Elaborate:

In our RI policy, we explicitly state that AIMCo recognizes its fiduciary responsibility to play an active role in managing material ESG risk factors that may positively or adversely affect our clients' long-term investment objectives. By advocating for improved corporate ESG disclosure and performance, we play a role in shaping sustainable capital markets.

(B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

**Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?**

- (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- (I) Other
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

## RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

### Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
  - (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
  - (C) Guidelines on social factors
  - (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (7) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

**What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?**

**AUM coverage**

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Specific guidelines on human rights

(1) for all of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

**Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?**

**(A) Listed equity**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

**(11) 100%**

**(B) Fixed income**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(C) Private equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(D) Real estate

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(E) Infrastructure

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(F) Hedge funds

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(G) Forestry

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(H) Farmland

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

(I) Other

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

**What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?**

(A) Actively managed listed equity

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

(11) 100%

# GOVERNANCE

## ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?**

- (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent**
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent**

Specify:

Chief Executive Officer, Chief Investment Officer, Chief Fiduciary Management Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Executive Managing Director, Real Estate, Executive Managing Director, Infrastructure, Renewables & Sustainable Investing, Executive Managing Director, Private Equity and International, Senior Managing Director, Fixed Income, Senior Managing Director, Public Equities, Chief Financial Officer

- (C) Investment committee, or equivalent**

Specify:

Chief Investment Officer, Chief Fiduciary Management Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Executive Managing Director, Real Estate, Executive Managing Director, Infrastructure, Renewables & Sustainable Investing, Executive Managing Director, Private Equity and International, Senior Managing Director, Fixed Income, Senior Managing Director, Public Equities

- (D) Head of department, or equivalent**

Specify department:

Executive Managing Director, Head of Infrastructure, Renewables and Sustainable Investing and Managing Director, Sustainable Investing

- (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

**Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?**



**(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent**

**(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent**

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)

o

o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

**Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?**

(A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

Alberta Investment Management Corporation ("AIMCo") was established in 2008 as a Crown Corporation to provide investment management services in accordance with the Alberta Investment Management Corporation Act ("The Act"). AIMCo operates at arm's length from the Government of Alberta and as such does not garner political influence. In providing investment management services to designated entities ("our clients"), AIMCo acts in the best interest of our clients as AIMCo is, for all purposes, an agent of the Crown in right of Alberta. AIMCo provides investment services to our clients only and is restricted from providing investment management services to any other person or entity or in respect of any fund not designated in The Act.

(B) No

(C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?**

(A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

Investment Committee; CIO; Head of Infrastructure, Renewables & Sustainable Investing; Portfolio Managers; Investment Analysts; Managing Director, Sustainable Investing; Dedicated Sustainable Investing Staff; Client Management; Compliance

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers

Specify:

External Managers; Proxy Service Providers; Membership Organizations (e.g. Canadian Coalition for Good Governance); Other Providers (e.g. ESG data)

- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?**

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Describe: (Voluntary)

AIMCo's Board Experience and Competencies Matrix includes "Corporate Responsibility/ESG" and "Corporate Governance" as competencies. In addition, AIMCo Board Members complete an annual self-assessment evaluating their performance relative to the mandate of their Committee's Terms of Reference. Specific committees have oversight of RI KPIs and activities such as the Audit Committee and Investment Committee. For example, at least annually the Audit Committee reviews the type and presentation of key ESG disclosures and the adequacy and effectiveness of applicable internal controls related to such disclosures. The investment Committee reviews and oversees AIMCo's overall approach to ESG matters and the alignment of this approach with AIMCo's long-term business and investment strategy.

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?**

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- (1) KPIs are linked to compensation

- (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

Each year, AIMCo develops corporate objectives that will enable us to deliver superior returns for our clients, with each objective having key measures of success, key results and initiatives contributing to its achievement. These corporate objectives are approved by the AIMCo Board of Directors and are linked to our corporate incentive plan for all AIMCo team members, including senior executives. For 2022, "Evolve our ESG integration by focusing on climate" was a corporate-wide objective.

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?**

	(1) Board members, trustees or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent
(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- (E) Climate-related commitments
- (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- (G) Human rights-related commitments
- (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures

(E) None of the above

Add link(s):

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmejfno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKlVtUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmejfno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKlVtUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

**During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?**

- (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) **Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year**

## STRATEGY

### CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

**Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?**

- (A) **Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services**
- (B) **Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries**
- (C) **Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact**
- (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

**How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?**

- (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

## STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**(5) Infrastructure****(6) Hedge funds****(7) Forestry****(8) Farmland**

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.



(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

**How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?**



We prioritize based on the following factors: the size of our holdings in the entity or the size of the asset, portfolio company and/or property; the materiality of ESG factors on financial and/or operational performance; specific ESG factors with systemic influence (e.g. climate or human rights); the ESG rating of the entity; proxy voting history or past engagement history with the investee; the adequacy of public disclosure on ESG factors/performance; geographic locale; probability of success or influence; costs of engagement; escalation actions required.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

**Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?**

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- (C) Other
- (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

**Elaborate on your organisation’s default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.**

We recognize that stewardship suffers from a collective action problem, and, as a result, we actively prefer collaborative efforts. AIMCo participates in broader dialogue with other institutional investors in order to contribute to the evolving field of Responsible Investment/Sustainable Investing. AIMCo is a signatory or active member of several organizations and collaborative initiatives committed to Responsible Investment/Sustainable Investing, including:

- Climate Engagement Canada
- Canadian Coalition for Good Governance
- CDP (formerly Carbon Disclosure Project)
- GRESB (formerly Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark)
- G7 Investor Leadership Network
- International Corporate Governance Network
- Pension Investment Association of Canada

- REALPAC (Real Estate Property Association of Canada)
- Responsible Investment Association
- United Nations-backed Principles for Responsible Investment
- The 30% Club
- Climate Action 100+

These organizations often advocate on behalf of their members and may invite AIMCo to play a role in collaborative engagement with investee firms or with regulators. We support the principle of collaborative engagement with institutional investor peers within membership organizations as collaboration is an effective way to encourage transparency and improved ESG performance across AIMCo's portfolio.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

**Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.**

**(A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff**

Select from the list:

- 1
- 5

**(B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable**

Select from the list:

- 4
- 5

(C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers

**(D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities**

Select from the list:

- 3
- 5

**(E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar**

Select from the list:

- 2
- 5

(F) We do not use any of these channels

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

**How are your organisation’s stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?**

AIMCo has partnered with a third-party provider to build a database to track engagement activities and outcomes that will be integrated into our proxy voting platform. Meeting minutes from Sustainable Investing-led engagements are recorded and shared with relevant portfolio managers when egregious issues are unresolved and portfolio managers are invited to take part in engagements alongside the Sustainable Investing team. Information from AIMCo's engagement dashboard is provided to the Investment Committee, clients and select PMs/staff, and more details on engagements, including case studies, are summarized in our annual Stewardship Report publicly available on our website. Insights from engagements are used to inform proxy voting, monitor developing trends for internal and external reporting purposes and identify potential emerging risks/opportunities in portfolio companies.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 28	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

**If relevant, provide any further details on your organisation's overall stewardship strategy.**

AIMCo champions a “voice over exit” approach, preferring to conduct meaningful engagement with the firm to effect positive change where possible, rather than divest so as not to reduce the investible universe. AIMCo is committed to encouraging investee firms (“Investees”) to adopt policies and practices that contribute to long-term performance. We may, when deemed material and appropriate, engage with Investees directly in order to encourage best ESG practices (e.g., policies and protocols) or to address perceived gaps. AIMCo encourages all Investees to practice good governance, to address any potential negative environmental and community impacts of their operations and to uphold best labour practices, including occupational health and safety procedures.

AIMCo is committed to effective engagement with Investees. AIMCo proactively considers opportunities to add value to Investees, such as by promoting improved corporate governance and corporate responsibility measures. AIMCo may pursue engagements with Investees independently or in collaboration with other investors. Engagement methods include e-mails, letters, telephone calls and face-to-face meetings with executives or board members.

Proxy voting is an important component of active ownership. Recognizing the importance of good corporate governance in enhancing long-term shareholder value, AIMCo is committed to exercising proxy voting rights on behalf of our clients. AIMCo's bespoke Proxy Voting Guidelines and Corporate Governance Principles describe AIMCo's voting rationale and potential voting stance for interested stakeholders. AIMCo evaluates ballot proposals primarily based on our broad guidelines and principles but takes unique and specific circumstances into account. We fully expect Investees to comply with applicable laws and internationally determined standards of business behaviour and encourage companies to disclose material ESG information.

## STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

**When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?**

**(A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes**

Select from the below list:

- (1) in all cases**
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases

**(B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear**

Select from the below list:

- (1) in all cases**
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases
- (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

**How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?**

**(A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items**

- (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- (C) Other
- (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes

Add link(s):

<https://viewpoint.glasslewis.com/WD/?siteId=AIMCo>

- (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

**In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?**

- (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

**After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?**

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale	(1) for all votes	(1) for all votes
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company		

(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information

o

o

(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year

o

o

**(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale - Add link(s):**

<https://viewpoint.glasslewis.com/WD/?siteId=AIMCo>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 35	PLUS	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

**How does your organisation ensure vote confirmation, i.e. that your votes have been cast and counted correctly?**

AIMCo works collaboratively with its service provider, Glass Lewis, to ensure that votes have been cast by the voting deadline. A daily holdings file from our custodians is cross referenced by Glass Lewis Operations staff to ensure that ballots we should be receiving and voting on are in place. If any proxies are not voted (especially when a manual vote or review is required) by the end of the business day, Glass Lewis notifies AIMCo's Stewardship team to ensure the vote is cast. AIMCo receives KPI reporting from Glass Lewis on a quarterly basis on the application of our voting policy, which serves as another mechanism to ensure our votes are cast and counted correctly.

## STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

**For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?**

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Direct listed equity holdings in hedge fund portfolios
(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Divesting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Litigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

**For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?**

- (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one
- (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- (C) Not investing
- (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- (E) Divesting
- (F) Litigation



(G) Other

(H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

## STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

(A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly

(B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI

(C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI

(D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

(A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters

(B) We responded to policy consultations

(C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

Describe:

AIMCo provided technical input via the Sustainable Finance Action Council Net Zero Capital Allocation Working Group and the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (PCAF) Morgages & Commercial Real Estate, Emission Removals, and Sovereign Debt Working Groups and the PCAF global Core team.

(D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative

(E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

(A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions

Add link(s):

<https://www.aimco.ca/insights>

(B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers

Add link(s):

<https://www.aimco.ca/insights>

(C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

## STEWARDSHIP: EXAMPLES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 40	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Examples	2

Provide examples of stewardship activities that you conducted individually or collaboratively during the reporting year that contributed to desired changes in the investees, policy makers or other entities with which you interacted.

(A) Example 1:

Title of stewardship activity:

Canadian Tire

(1) Led by

(1) Internally led

(2) External service provider led

(3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

(1) Environmental factors

(2) Social factors

(3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

(1) Listed equity

(2) Fixed income

(3) Private equity

(4) Real estate

(5) Infrastructure

(6) Hedge funds

- (7) Forestry
- (8) Farmland
- (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

In 2022, AIMCo led a 30% Club Canada Investor Group collaborative engagement with Canadian Tire Corporation, a group of companies that includes a retail segment, a financial services division and CT REIT. Some members of the 30% Club Canada Investor Group, including AIMCo, have proxy voting guidelines that incorporate gender and racial diversity metrics for boards which may have impacted votes at the Canadian Tire AGM in May 2022. In fall 2022, the 30% Club Canada Investor Group met with Canadian Tire to learn more about their approach to DEI. We learned the Board was exploring the possibility of implementing a DEI policy and a board diversity target in advance of their 2023 AGM. The 30% Club Canada Investor Group offered to send resources on best practice diversity policies and targets to Canadian Tire, which were shared in a follow up email after the engagement. In the context of its board renewal and succession planning, and based on feedback from shareholders including the 30% Club Canada, the Board adopted a written diversity policy codifying its commitment to diversity. In adopting the policy, the Board set a target that it be comprised of at least 30% women by the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and thereafter.

The 30% Club Canada Investor Group was pleased to see two female directors elected at the 2023 Canadian Tire AGM, taking the composition of women on the board from 19% to 31%. Since 2021, three women have been nominated to the Board. In addition, over that period, two members of visible minorities have been added to the Board. We were pleased with Canadian Tire's responsiveness to shareholder feedback and look forward to future engagement opportunities with the iconic Canadian retailer as it continues on its evolving DEI journey.

(B) Example 2:

Title of stewardship activity:

Methanex

(1) Led by

- (1) Internally led
- (2) External service provider led
- (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- (1) Environmental factors
- (2) Social factors
- (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- (1) Listed equity
- (2) Fixed income
- (3) Private equity
- (4) Real estate
- (5) Infrastructure
- (6) Hedge funds
- (7) Forestry
- (8) Farmland
- (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

Methanex is the world's largest producer and supplier of methanol to major international markets in North America, Asia Pacific, Europe and South America. In 2022, AIMCo sent an invitation for dialogue to Methanex as a result of voting against a director during the previous proxy season for lack of alignment with Paris Agreement goals and TCFD reporting. We engaged with Methanex in fall 2022, emphasizing the importance of clear ESG links to executive compensation and providing shareholders with enhanced disclosure on how the company is managing climate-related risks and opportunities in alignment with the TCFD framework. Methanex demonstrated a willingness to improve, and following the engagement, AIMCo shared best practice examples of sustainability reporting and ESG metrics incorporated into compensation programs with the company.

In advance of their 2023 AGM, Methanex proactively reached out to AIMCo to share how their disclosure had improved since our last engagement, demonstrating their commitment to transparency and further alignment with TCFD reporting standards. In addition, for 2023, the company disclosed that the individual performance for Methanex's CEO (30% weighting in the executive compensation plan) will be measured against goals that include progress towards their commitment to a reduction of 10% emissions intensity by 2030. We commend Methanex on these disclosure and executive compensation improvements and look forward to ongoing dialogue on their role in the transition to the low-carbon economy.

(C) Example 3:

Title of stewardship activity:

DTS Corporation

(1) Led by

- (1) Internally led
- (2) External service provider led
- (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- (1) Environmental factors
- (2) Social factors
- (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- (1) Listed equity
- (2) Fixed income
- (3) Private equity
- (4) Real estate
- (5) Infrastructure
- (6) Hedge funds
- (7) Forestry
- (8) Farmland
- (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

AIMCo and Asset Value Investors (AVI) completed a co-investment in DTS Corporation, a systems integration and information services company in Japan. AVI worked closely with DTS management and the board to rectify its undervaluation by offering detailed suggestions on critical drivers to its long-term success such as corporate governance, employee remuneration, diversity, environmental management, balance sheet efficiency and growth strategy. AVI's suggestions were accepted by DTS and included in their comprehensive mid-term 2025 plan and long-term 2030 vision announced in May 2022, in which they set out a strategy to double EBITDA by 2030, increase ROE 16% and focus on high value-added IT services. DTS also doubled its diversity targets and set ambitious environmental targets for validation by the Science Based Targets initiative in January 2023. DTS strengthened their corporate governance by adopting an Audit and Supervisory Committee Structure, improving board diversity and establishing a Sustainability Committee. DTS' positive share price performance and significant outperformance versus the market is a testament to the efforts of our partner AVI and the power of active engagement to create positive financial outcomes for our clients.

(D) Example 4:

Title of stewardship activity:

Igua Saneamento

(1) Led by

- (1) Internally led
- (2) External service provider led
- (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager

(2) Primary focus of stewardship activity

- (1) Environmental factors
- (2) Social factors
- (3) Governance factors

(3) Asset class(es)

- (1) Listed equity
- (2) Fixed income
- (3) Private equity
- (4) Real estate
- (5) Infrastructure
- (6) Hedge funds
- (7) Forestry
- (8) Farmland
- (9) Other

(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

For one of our clients' infrastructure assets, Igua, a water and wastewater service company with 18 concessions across Brazil, ESG considerations were integrated into overall corporate strategy. Igua's management-approved ESG strategy is guided and monitored by a standalone ESG committee where AIMCo now has two representatives. Overall, the ESG strategy included a range of actions aimed at mitigating ESG risks and capitalizing on opportunities. These actions are closely linked with the capital and operational budgets of the company and are captured in an ESG scorecard that tracks 21 material indicators. Specific actions are grouped into eight key areas including climate change, circular economy, innovation and supply chain. The climate change pillar includes measures around ensuring third-party verification of Igua's greenhouse gas emissions, mapping of climate physical and transition risks aimed at better understanding of water security and resilience of the assets, and estimating capital expenditure needed to potentially commit to a net-zero target. As part of the innovation pillar of the ESG strategy, pilots were initiated around smart metering, the use of renewable energy in operations, exploring solutions around biomethane and bio-fertilizers, adopting the latest know-how for better leak detection and prevention, and digitization of services. These actions target cost-savings and/or developing new sources of revenue for Igua, while ensuring stringent regulatory and internal operational excellence requirements are met or exceeded.

(E) Example 5:

Title of stewardship activity:

Marathon Petroleum

- (1) Led by
  - (1) Internally led
  - (2) External service provider led
  - (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
  - (1) Environmental factors
  - (2) Social factors
  - (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
  - (1) Listed equity
  - (2) Fixed income
  - (3) Private equity
  - (4) Real estate
  - (5) Infrastructure
  - (6) Hedge funds
  - (7) Forestry
  - (8) Farmland
  - (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

Invitation for dialogue sent in 2022 as a result of voting against all members of the Nominating Committee in 2022 for having fewer than 30% directors, and no improvement in its board gender diversity year-over-year with no stated commitments. Through a positive engagement with Marathon in 2022, we learned more about their organization-wide DEI efforts such as employee network chapters, external recruitment targets, post secondary partnerships and ESG performance metrics tied to compensation. We discussed investor expectations around board gender diversity, sharing best practices, opportunities such as the adoption of a formal board diversity policy and targets, and a curated list of resources to support the next stage of their DEI journey, which includes the search for a new board member due to an unexpected vacancy. Since the engagement, the company appointed a female director and included a 30% gender diverse ambition. They also proposed to increase the maximum board size in a ballot item at the 2023 AGM, which will provide for additional near term opportunities to add diverse directors to the board.

## CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon  
Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Teams across AIMCo strive to identify material climate risks at various stages of the investment process and across the fund to ensure an integrated, coordinated approach. We identified several short, medium, and long-term risks, including risks related to investment in high-emitting sectors, which could lead to counterparty and higher stranded asset risk. AIMCo uses tools and processes to help quantify climate-related risks and opportunities such as carbon footprinting and FTSE Green Revenues on shorter time horizons, sharing the results of these analyses with our clients on an annual basis. ESG due diligence conducted on prospective investments uses tools such as MSCI Climate Value at Risk to identify physical climate risks and transition policy risks.

**(B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon**

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

AIMCo continues to use MSCI's quantitative Climate Value at Risk (Climate VaR) tool for our Public Equities and Corporate Debt portfolios and added the Real Estate portfolio in 2022. Climate VaR integrates physical risk and transition risk scenarios out to 2100 and offers various temperature warming scenarios for analysis. Climate change was first introduced into our 2021 long-term forecast and the baseline economic scenario in our 2022 forecast continues to incorporate an assumption of one additional degree of warming to 2050, globally, from levels observed at year-end 2019.

- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?**

**(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities**

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

In alignment with our corporate strategy/corporate objectives/division objectives and investment strategy, in 2022 AIMCo developed a climate roadmap to organize the execution of our climate strategy to deliver superior, risk-adjusted net returns through the Customizable Investment Platform pillar of our corporate strategy. The pillars of this roadmap are: Due Diligence & Risk Management, Investing, Engagement & Value Creation, Reporting & Disclosure and Transition Finance. We have assembled a multi-disciplinary team with leads for each pillar, with current state and future state analyses to be conducted to inform objectives, key results and tasks. Our 2022 TCFD Report discloses our approach to climate-related governance, strategy, risk management and metrics and targets. For example, climate-related analysis is part of the ESG due diligence conducted for potential deals; we use tools and processes to quantify climate-related risks and opportunities including carbon footprinting, MSCI Climate Value at Risk and FTSE Green Revenues; we are a signatory or active member of several climate-related advocacy and collaborative initiatives; and we engage with investee companies to encourage disclosure and alignment with TCFD standards.

- (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Which sectors are covered by your organisation’s strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?**

- (A) Coal
- (B) Gas
- (C) Oil
- (D) Utilities
- (E) Cement
- (F) Steel
- (G) Aviation
- (H) Heavy duty road
- (I) Light duty road
- (J) Shipping
- (K) Aluminium
- (L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (M) Chemicals
- (N) Construction and buildings
- (O) Textile and leather
- (P) Water

(Q) Other

Specify:

Climate Taxonomy

Describe your strategy:

In late 2022, AIMCo began creating a data-driven Energy Transition Taxonomy to identify value-enhancing investment opportunities that are funding the transition across asset classes and in new and emerging industries. With expertise developed through multiple years of carbon emissions footprinting, Sustainable Investing in collaboration with Investment teams will transform existing metrics developed for climate reporting purposes into a framework categorizing investments by their contribution to climate change. The taxonomy will be delivered in 2023 and will inform AIMCo's Climate Program.

- o (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?**

- (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario

(D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

AIMCo's TCFD working group elected to use the Asia-Pacific Integrated Model (known as AIM/CGE) under 1.5°C, 2°C and 3°C temperature options to inform our assessment of the risks and opportunities in our current investment portfolios. The model assumes that we would maintain the same holdings and asset mix through the end of this century. While that is unlikely, the analysis provides insights in determining where vulnerabilities may exist and what alternative asset mix and other attributes would make the portfolio more resilient to a changing climate.



- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?**

**(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

Teams across AIMCo strive to identify material climate risks at various stages of the investment process and across the fund to ensure an integrated, targeted approach. We identified several short, medium and long-term risks, including risks related to high emitting sectors, which could lead to counterparty and/or higher stranded asset risk. We use various tools and processes to quantify climate-related risks and opportunities including carbon footprinting, MSCI Climate Value at Risk and FTSE Green Revenues.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Our quarterly risk reports discuss and include climate-related risks such as physical climate change risk and energy transition risk. In addition, asset classes such as Real Estate and Renewable Resources have been identifying climate or ESG-related risks in their bottom-up risk registry since 2022.

**(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

Climate-related risks are managed through investments in low-carbon assets, by researching best practices in climate mitigation, via climate-related pre-investment and post-investment analysis, through stewardship activities, including active management, engagements and proxy voting, and by delivering climate-related reporting to our clients.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Our quarterly risk reports discuss and include climate-related risks such as physical climate change risk and energy transition risk. In addition, asset classes such as Real Estate and Renewable Resources have been identifying climate or ESG-related risks in their bottom-up risk registry since 2022.

- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?**

**(A) Exposure to physical risk**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

**(B) Exposure to transition risk**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

**(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

(C) Internal carbon price

**(D) Total carbon emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

**(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

**(E) Weighted average carbon intensity**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

**(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

**(F) Avoided emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

**(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

(G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)

(H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals

**(I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

**(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

(J) Other metrics or variables

(K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

(A) Scope 1 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

o (1) Metric disclosed

● (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

(B) Scope 2 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

o (1) Metric disclosed

● (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

o (1) Metric disclosed

● (2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

[https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo\\_2022\\_TCFD\\_Report.pdf](https://assets.ctfassets.net/lyt4cjmfjno/2FCawzpti9DfgBKivTUcl5/4649f40f113729ddb33c7f808a0d79ac/AIMCo_2022_TCFD_Report.pdf)

o (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

## SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

● (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?**

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) **The UNFCCC Paris Agreement**
- (C) **The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)**
- (D) **OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors**
- (E) **The EU Taxonomy**
- (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- (G) **The International Bill of Human Rights**
- (H) **The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions**
- (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- (J) **Other international framework(s)**  
Specify:  
GRESB, PCAF
- (K) Other regional framework(s)
- (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?**

- (A) **Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities**
- (B) **Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities**
- (C) **Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character**
- (D) **Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues**
- (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (G) Other method
- (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?**

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48.1	PLUS	PGS 48	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?**

- (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons
- (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries
- (D) We want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments that are increasingly addressing sustainability outcomes
- (E) We want to protect our reputation, particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes connected to investments
- (F) We want to enhance our social licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients, and other stakeholders)
- (G) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes in parallel to financial return goals has merit in its own right
- (H) Other

## HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

**During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?**

**(A) We assessed the human rights context of our potential and/or existing investments and projected how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes**

Explain how these activities were conducted:

As part of our ESG due diligence process, we evaluate all material ESG issues including human rights. We use a variety of information sources to do so including company disclosures, ESG ratings agencies, media reports, reports from NGOs/other institutions, third-party service provider reports, data providers/benchmarks, investor networks, etc. Post-investment, AIMCo has asset class monitoring such as private equity scorecards, public equity external partnerships ESG assessments, asset management and benchmarking activities to assess the ESG performance over time, including on human rights and other social issues.

(B) We assessed whether individuals at risk or already affected might be at heightened risk of harm

(C) We consulted with individuals and groups who were at risk or already affected, their representatives and/or other relevant stakeholders such as human rights experts

**(D) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities**

Specify:

We use our influence, most often in the case by having seats on the board of directors of our investee companies and participating in globally recognized ESG benchmarks to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities.

Explain how these activities were conducted:

AIMCo also participates in annual assessments such as GRESB for our real assets, using insights from this globally recognized ESG benchmark to drive positive financial outcomes for our clients. We do this by implementing market-leading sustainability initiatives in partnership with our asset managers, operators and co-investors. The GRESB assessment includes social indicators such as tenants & community and stakeholder engagement which can inform actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities.

(E) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.1	PLUS	PGS 49	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

**During the reporting year, which stakeholder groups did your organisation include when identifying and taking action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?**

**(A) Workers**

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- (1) Energy
- (2) Materials
- (3) Industrials
- (4) Consumer discretionary
- (5) Consumer staples
- (6) Healthcare
- (7) Finance
- (8) Information technology
- (9) Communication services
- (10) Utilities
- (11) Real estate

(B) Communities

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- (1) Energy
- (2) Materials
- (3) Industrials
- (4) Consumer discretionary
- (5) Consumer staples
- (6) Healthcare
- (7) Finance
- (8) Information technology
- (9) Communication services
- (10) Utilities
- (11) Real estate

(C) Customers and end-users

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- (1) Energy
- (2) Materials
- (3) Industrials
- (4) Consumer discretionary
- (5) Consumer staples
- (6) Healthcare
- (7) Finance
- (8) Information technology
- (9) Communication services
- (10) Utilities
- (11) Real estate

(D) Other stakeholder groups

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.2	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities?

(A) Corporate disclosures

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(B) Media reports**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(E) Data provider scores or benchmarks**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(F) Human rights violation alerts**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(G) Sell-side research**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(H) Investor networks or other investors**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(I) Information provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

**(J) Social media analysis**

**(K) Other**

Specify:

Third-party due diligence providers/consultants, Industry reports

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used as inputs into the ESG due diligence process.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 50	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2



During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities
- (B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities

Describe:

Once the investment has been made, AIMCo investment professionals and the Sustainable Investing team have management and monitoring roles with respect to material ESG issues identified during due diligence, including any negative human rights outcomes. Depending on the asset class, investment professionals use a variety of strategies and approaches to effectively manage investment-related risks and realize value over time. From holding director roles on the boards of investee companies, to developing and monitoring asset-specific management plans in real estate, monitoring the stated 100-day plans by the Private Equity General Partner or attending quarterly earning calls and engaging management teams, asset management is multifaceted. Some areas of influence related to human rights in the private assets space we've used our influence may include advocating for the company to adopt policies that protect and respect human rights such as a whistleblower policy or responsible sourcing policy. In the public markets space, we use the stewardship tools of proxy voting and engagements with boards and management teams to amplify shareholder voice on critical ESG matters and promote best practices, including those related to human rights. We supported human rights shareholder proposals in 2022 that centered around harassment transparency, discrimination support, enhanced whistleblowing strategies and policies, disclosure on median racial and gender pay gaps, addressing working conditions, paid sick leave policies and risks from increasing labour market pressures.

- (C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year

## MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

### OVERALL APPROACH

#### EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
<b>Organisation</b>				
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>People and Culture</b>				
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Investment Process</b>				
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Stewardship</b>				
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(O) Results of stewardship activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Performance and Reporting</b>				
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

- (A) Incorporation of their responsible investment policy into advisory services
- (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- (E) Other
- (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
- (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

## SELECTION

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 5	CORE	SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

During the reporting year, what responsible investment aspects did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

#### Organisation

**(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

#### People and Culture

**(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

#### Investment Process

**(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates**
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks)**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates**
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**Performance and Reporting**

**(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates**

(K) We did not review and evaluate any of the above responsible investment aspects when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

## STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 6	CORE	OO 8, SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	4

**During the reporting year, which aspects of the stewardship approach did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?**

**(A) The alignment of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with the investment mandate**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(B) Evidence of how they implemented their stewardship objectives, including the effectiveness of their activities**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates**
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(C) Their participation in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates**
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(D) Details of their engagements with companies or issuers on risks connected to systematic sustainability issues**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates**
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

**(E) Details of their engagement activities with policy makers**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

(F) Their escalation process and the escalation tools included in their policy on stewardship

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

- (G) We did not review and evaluate any of the above aspects of the stewardship approach when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 7	CORE	OO 9, SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	4

**During the reporting year, which aspects of (proxy) voting did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?**

(A) The alignment of their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting with the investment mandate

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

- (B) Historical information on the number or percentage of general meetings at which they voted
- (C) Analysis of votes cast for and against
- (D) Analysis of votes cast for and against resolutions related to risks connected to systematic sustainability issues
- (E) Details of their position on any controversial and high-profile votes
- (F) Historical information of any resolutions on which they voted contrary to their own voting policy and the reasons why
- (G) Details of all votes involving companies where the external investment manager or an affiliate has a contractual relationship or another potential conflict of interest
- (H) We did not review and evaluate any of the above aspects of (proxy) voting when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; our organisation did not select new external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers for listed equity and/or hedge funds that hold equity.

# APPOINTMENT

## SEGREGATED MANDATES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 8	CORE	OO 5.2, OO 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Segregated mandates	4

Which responsible investment aspects do your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, explicitly include in clauses within your contractual agreements with your external investment managers for segregated mandates?

- (A) Their commitment to following our responsible investment strategy in the management of our assets**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates**
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (B) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their investment activities**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (C) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their stewardship activities**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (D) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their investment activities**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (E) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their stewardship activities
- (F) Exclusion list(s) or criteria**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates**
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (G) Responsible investment communications and reporting obligations, including stewardship activities and results**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (H) Incentives and controls to ensure alignment of interests**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our segregated mandates
  - (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
  - (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- (I) Commitments on climate-related disclosure in line with internationally-recognised frameworks such as the TCFD



**(J) Commitment to respect human rights as defined in the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
- (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates

**(K) Their acknowledgement that their appointment is conditional on the fulfilment of their agreed responsible investment commitments**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates**
- (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates

(L) Other

- (M) We do not include responsible investment aspects in clauses within our contractual agreements with external investment managers for segregated mandates

## MONITORING

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

**For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?**

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
<b>Organisation</b>				
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) Governance structure and senior level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)

---

### People and Culture

---

(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)

(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)

---

### Investment Process

---

(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)

**Performance and Reporting**

(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)

(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements

(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

**For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?**

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) At least annually	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Less than once a year	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(C) On an ad hoc basis

## STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 13	CORE	OO 8, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

**For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' stewardship practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?**

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) The degree of implementation of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) How they prioritise material ESG factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) How they prioritise risks connected to systematic sustainability issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Their investment team's level of involvement in stewardship activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Whether the results of stewardship actions were fed back into the investment process and decisions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) Whether they used a variety of stewardship tools and activities to advance their stewardship priorities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(H) The deployment of their escalation process in cases where initial stewardship efforts were unsuccessful	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(I) Whether they participated in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(J) Whether they had an active role in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(K) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(L) We did not monitor our external investment managers' stewardship practices during the reporting year	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

**What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?**

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## VERIFICATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

**For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?**

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) We did not verify the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices during the reporting year	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## LISTED EQUITY (LE)

### OVERALL APPROACH

### MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

**Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?**

(2) Active - quantitative

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors

## MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?



(2) Active - quantitative

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses

(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses

(1) for all of our AUM

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion

o

o

(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies

o

o

**(B) Yes, we have a formal process but it does not include scenario analysis - Specify: (Voluntary)**

Periodic briefs and commentary sent to Public Equity PMs where the SI team reports on ESG trends

## PRE-INVESTMENT

## ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

**How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?**

(1) Active - quantitative

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes

●

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

**(2) Active - quantitative**

**(3) Active - fundamental**

---

(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors

(2) in a majority of cases

---

(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors

---

(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability

(2) in a majority of cases

---

(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors

(2) in a majority of cases

---

(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

●

○

## ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

**Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.**

For BERY thesis, we identified that recent changes in board members suggested a positive signal that the management is willing to listen to its investors to de-leverage and return cash to shareholders. We also expect BERY to perform well in the long run as customers emphasize sustainability and circular plastics that will put pressure on smaller and privately owned packaging companies as more sustainable products require investment in R&D and equipment. Key ESG issues for the industry were identified and we evaluated BERY performances against its peer for each key issue. We also took into account that BERY's return will be impacted by tighter ESG related policy in various jurisdictions that it operates.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

**How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?**

**(2) Active - quantitative**

**(3) Active - fundamental**

(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(1) for all of our AUM

(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

o

o

**(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process - Specify:**

AIMCo's exclusionary screening applies to internally managed portfolios, as a result of client mandates and to comply with federal legislations. Exclusionary screening is applied to securities involved in the production of tobacco, cluster munitions, anti-personal mines, dedicated weapons systems integration services, nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction, as well as companies prescribed by the Special Economic Measures Act and the United Nations Act with respect to the Suppression of Terrorism.

## POST-INVESTMENT

### ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

**What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?**

- (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

**For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?**

	(1) Active - quantitative	(2) Active - fundamental
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion



(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process



## PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 11	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

**Provide an example of how the incorporation of ESG factors in your listed equity valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.**

Environmental: A large part of our due diligence, with respect to this specific idea, revolved around the environmental sustainability of the plastic products the company creates. While the company is moving towards a portfolio of full recyclable products, we could not ignore the long-term risk of plastic products. While plastic is widely prevalent in today's world, there can be a future where paper or aluminum products displace plastics. As such, the revenue growth rate expected of this company is low. We have decided to wait for lower price to establish an investment. This decision has impaired returns, although it has been a very short period of time.

## DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

## FIXED INCOME (FI)

### OVERALL APPROACH

### MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM



(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
---	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------

(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	○	○	○	○
---	---	---	---	---

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	○	○	○	○
--	---	---	---	---

## MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

**Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?**

**(1) SSA**

**(2) Corporate**

**(3) Securitised**

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
---	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------

(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses			
--	--	--	--

(C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	○	○	○
---	---	---	---

(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our fixed income assets

○ ○ ○

## PRE-INVESTMENT

### ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

**For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?**

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

**Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?**

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector	○	○	○	○
(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers	○	○	○	○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

**How does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase?**

- (A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) in all cases**
  - (2) in a majority of cases
  - (3) in a minority of cases
- (B) We assess quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) in all cases**
  - (2) in a majority of cases
  - (3) in a minority of cases
- (C) We check whether the target company has its own responsible investment policy, sustainability policy or ESG policy**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) in all cases
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases

(D) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities are not available

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) in all cases
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases

(E) We require the review and sign-off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee, or the equivalent function

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) in all cases
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases

(F) We use industry-recognised responsible investment due diligence questionnaire (DDQ) templates

(G) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence process

Specify:

We have developed our own proprietary Due Diligence Questionnaire that has been heavily influenced by the PRI. Each questionnaire is specific to the industry or asset manager or investment opportunity. Some of the topics the questionnaires discuss are: Outstanding reputational concerns and plans for remediation, ongoing ESG monitoring, ESG performance targets, environmental compliance, ESG integration into security selection and ESG policies.

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) in all cases
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases
- (H) We do not incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

**How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?**

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Private debt
(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG factors may evolve	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(C) We do not incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors	o	o	o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 7	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

At what level do you incorporate material ESG factors into the risks and/or returns of your securitised products?

- (A) At both key counterparties' and at the underlying collateral pool's levels  
Explain: (Voluntary)
- (B) At key counterparties' level only
- (C) At the underlying collateral pool's level only

## ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways			
(F) Our security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors	o	o	o

## POST-INVESTMENT

### ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process				
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process	○	○	○	○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

**For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?**

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate	(3) Securitised	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion

○ ○ ○ ○

(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

○ ○ ○ ○

## PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

**During the reporting year, how did your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments?**

**(A) We used a qualitative ESG checklist**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) in all cases**
- (2) in the majority of cases
- (3) in the minority of cases

**(B) We assessed quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) in all cases**
- (2) in the majority of cases
- (3) in the minority of cases

- (C) We hired third-party consultants to do technical assessment on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities were not available
- (D) We used industry body guidelines
- (E) We used another method to incorporate material ESG factors into the monitoring of private debt investments
- (F) We did not incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments

## DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 18	CORE	OO 17 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

**For all your fixed income assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?**

- (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as any deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- (D) We do not share the above information for all our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

## REAL ESTATE (RE)

### POLICY

### INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 21, OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

**What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?**

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail and education) and geography
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- (E) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value creation efforts
- (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party property managers
- (J) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (K) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- (L) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

## FUNDRAISING

### COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

**For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters, or other constitutive fund documents?**

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon a client's request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon a client's request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) **Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years**

## PRE-INVESTMENT

### MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	OO 21	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

**During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?**

- (A) **We assessed ESG materiality for each property, as each case is unique**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) **for all of our potential real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (B) We performed a mix of property level and property type or category level ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the property type or category level only
- (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analysis for our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We used green building certifications to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (I) We engaged with the existing owners and/or managers (or developers for new properties) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (J) Other

## DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence your selection of real estate investments?

- (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential real estate investments?

(A) We conduct a high-level or desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target properties

(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(D) We conduct site visits

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analysis and/or engagement

(G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(I) Other

- (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential real estate investments

# SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

## SELECTION PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 21, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process of third-party property managers	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include material ESG factors in all of your selections of third-party property managers?

- (A) We requested information from potential third-party property managers on their overall approach to material ESG factors
- (B) We requested track records and examples from potential third-party property managers on their management of material ESG factors
- (C) We requested information from potential third-party property managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders
- (D) We requested documentation from potential third-party property managers on their responsible procurement practices, including responsibilities, approach and incentives
- (E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential third-party property managers
- (F) Other
- (G) We did not include material ESG factors in our selection of third-party property managers

## APPOINTMENT PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 21, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process of third-party property managers	1, 4

How did you include material ESG factors when appointing your current third-party property managers?

- (A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (C) We set clear targets on material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
- (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
- (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (D) We set incentives related to targets on material ESG factors
  - Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts
- (F) Other
- (G) We did not include material ESG factors in the appointment of third-party property managers

## MONITORING PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 8	CORE	OO 21, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process of third-party property managers	1, 4

How do you include material ESG factors when monitoring current third-party property managers?

- (A) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material environmental factors
  - Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (B) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material social factors
  - Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (C) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material governance factors
  - Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants
- (E) We require formal reporting at least yearly
  - Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (F) We have discussions about material ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders at least yearly
  - Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

- (G) We conduct a performance review of third-party property managers against targets on material ESG factors and/or a financial incentive structure linked to material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (H) We have internal or external parties conduct site visits at least yearly
- (I) Other
  - (J) We do not include material ESG factors in the monitoring of third-party property managers

## CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT

### CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 9	CORE	OO 21, OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Construction requirements	1

What ESG requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?

- (A) We require the management of waste by diverting materials (e.g. from construction and demolition, reusable vegetation, rocks and soil) from disposal
- (B) We require the minimisation of light and noise pollution that would affect the surrounding community
- (C) We require the performance of an environmental and social site impact assessment
- (D) We require the protection of the air quality during construction
- (E) We require the protection and restoration of the habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- (F) We require the protection of surface water, groundwater and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- (G) We require constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site
- (H) We require engagement with local communities and other stakeholders during the design and/or planning process
- (I) Other
  - (J) We do not have ESG requirements in place for development projects and major renovations



## MINIMUM BUILDING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 10	CORE	OO 21, OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Minimum building requirements	1

### What minimum building requirements do you have in place for development projects and major renovations?

- (A) We require the implementation of the latest available metering and internet of things (IoT) technology
- (B) We require the building to be able to obtain a recognised green and/or healthy building certification for new buildings**
  - Select from dropdown list:
    - (1) for all development projects and major renovations**
    - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
    - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (C) We require the use of certified (or labelled) sustainable building materials**
  - Select from dropdown list:
    - (1) for all development projects and major renovations**
    - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
    - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (D) We require the installation of renewable energy technologies where feasible**
  - Select from dropdown list:
    - (1) for all development projects and major renovations**
    - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
    - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (E) We require that development projects and major renovations become net-zero carbon emitters within five years of completion of the construction
- (F) We require water conservation measures**
  - Select from dropdown list:
    - (1) for all development projects and major renovations**
    - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
    - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (G) We require common health and well-being measures for occupants**
  - Select from dropdown list:
    - (1) for all development projects and major renovations**
    - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
    - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (H) Other
  - (I) We do not have minimum building requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

## POST-INVESTMENT

### MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11	CORE	OO 21	RE 11.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your real estate investments?

(A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors

Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors

Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors

Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, what ESG building performance data did you collect for your real estate assets?

(A) Energy consumption

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(B) Water consumption

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(C) Waste production

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(D) Other

- (E) We did not collect ESG building performance data for our real estate assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 21, OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your real estate investments?**

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(B) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(C) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(D) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures

(E) We collaborate and engage with our third-party property managers and/or tenants to develop action plans

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(F) We develop minimum health and safety standards

(G) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users

(H) Other

- (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 14	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period?**

**(A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

**(B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

**(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our real estate investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(D) Other

(E) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 17	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

**What proportion of your real estate assets has obtained a green or sustainable building certification?**

- (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- (B) A majority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification**
- (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

## STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 18	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stakeholder engagement	1, 2

### How does your third-party property manager(s) engage with tenants?

- (A) They engage with real estate tenants on energy, water consumption and/or waste production**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties**
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (B) They engage with real estate tenants by organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties**
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (C) They engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties**
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (D) They engage with real estate tenants by identifying collaboration opportunities that support targets related to material ESG factors**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties**
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (E) They engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades
- (F) Other
- (G) Our third-party property manager(s) do not engage with tenants

## EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 20	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

**During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments?**

- (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD or GRESB**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment of the property(s)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (F) Key ESG performance data on the property(s) being sold**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (G) Other
  - (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments during the reporting year
  - (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

## DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 21	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We reported through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that serious ESG incidents were reported
- (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

## INFRASTRUCTURE (INF)

### POLICY

#### INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 1	CORE	OO 21, OO 29, OO 30	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to each infrastructure sector and geography where we invest
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to greenfield investments
- (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to brownfield investments
- (D) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- (H) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to the workforce
- (I) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party operators
- (J) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to contractors
- (K) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to other external stakeholders, e.g. governments, local communities, and end-users
- (L) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover infrastructure-specific ESG guidelines

## FUNDRAISING

### COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

**For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters, or other constitutive fund documents?**

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon a client's request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon a client's request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) **Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years**

## PRE-INVESTMENT

### MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3	CORE	OO 21	INF 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

**During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential infrastructure investments?**

- (A) **We assessed ESG materiality at the asset level, as each case is unique**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) **for all of our potential infrastructure investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and asset-level ESG materiality analyses
- (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
- (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analysis for our potential infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 3.1	CORE	INF 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1



During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential infrastructure investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used theGRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used the environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or similar standards used by development finance institutions) in our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (I) We engaged with existing owners and/or managers (or developers for new infrastructure assets) to inform our infrastructure ESG materiality analysis
- (J) Other

## DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your infrastructure investments?

- (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

- (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

**Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential infrastructure investments?**

(A) We conduct a high-level or desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target assets

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(D) We conduct site visits

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential infrastructure investments

(I) Other

(J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential infrastructure investments

## POST-INVESTMENT

### MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 9	CORE	OO 21	INF 9.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your infrastructure investments?

(A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors

Percentage of infrastructure assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors

Percentage of infrastructure assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors

Percentage of infrastructure assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 9.1	PLUS	INF 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

**Provide examples of KPIs on material ESG factors you tracked across your infrastructure investments during the reporting year.**

(A) ESG KPI #1

GRESB Performance

(B) ESG KPI #2

Carbon Emissions and Portfolio Carbon Intensity

(C) ESG KPI #3

Health & Safety Stats

(D) ESG KPI #4

Absolute Emissions

(E) ESG KPI #5

Renewable Energy Generation Capacity

(F) ESG KPI #6

Carbon Removal

(G) ESG KPI #7

Share of Assets Committed to Net Zero

(H) ESG KPI #8

(I) ESG KPI #9

(J) ESG KPI #10

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 10	CORE	OO 21, OO 30	INF 10.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your infrastructure investments?**

**(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments**
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

- (B) We implement international best practice standards such as the IFC Performance Standards to guide ongoing assessments and analyses**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments**
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments**
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments**
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments**
- (G) We develop minimum health and safety standards**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments**
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (H) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments**
- (I) Other
  - (J) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our infrastructure investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 11	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?**

- (A) We develop asset-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings**
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
    - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments**
    - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our infrastructure investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(D) Other

(E) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 14	CORE	OO 21	INF 14.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the asset level?**

(A) We assign our board responsibility for ESG matters

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by our board at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to C-suite executives only

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the asset to employees (excl. C-suite executives)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(E) We support the asset by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(F) We share best practices across assets, e.g. educational sessions and the implementation of environmental and social management systems

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments

(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(G) We apply penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments

(3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(H) Other

- (I) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the asset level

## EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 16	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments?

(A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory

Select from dropdown list

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD or GRESB

Select from dropdown list

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)

Select from dropdown list

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)

Select from dropdown list

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company

Select from dropdown list

(1) for all of our infrastructure investments

- (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
- (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments

(F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our infrastructure investments
  - (2) for a majority of our infrastructure investments
  - (3) for a minority of our infrastructure investments
- (G) Other
  - (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of infrastructure investments during the reporting year
  - (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

## DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
INF 17	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We reported through a publicly-disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the asset level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- (G) Other
  - (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

## PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)

### POLICY

#### INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the sector(s) and geography(ies) where we invest
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the strategy(ies) and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture capital, buy-out and distressed
- (C) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, ESG opportunities and ESG incidents
- (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
  - (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity-specific ESG guidelines



## FUNDRAISING

### COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

**For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?**

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) **Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years**

## PRE-INVESTMENT

### MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3	CORE	OO 21	PE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

**During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?**

- (A) **We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique**  
Select from dropdown list
  - (1) **for all of our potential private equity investments**
    - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
  - (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company-level ESG materiality analyses
  - (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
  - (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3.1	CORE	PE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential private equity investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards used by development-focused financial institutions) in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We engaged with the prospective portfolio company to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (I) Other

## DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?

- (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
    - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
    - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
    - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
    - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
    - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?

(A) We do a high-level or desktop review using an ESG checklist for initial red flags

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(D) We conduct site visits

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

(G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

- (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (I) Other
  - (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential private equity investments

## POST-INVESTMENT

### MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6	CORE	OO 21	PE 6.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?

- (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors
- (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors
- (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors
- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7	CORE	OO 21	PE 7.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?

- (A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established
- (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures

- (F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users
- (G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes
- (H) Other
- (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 9	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?**

**(A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

**(B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

**(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our private equity investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment

(E) Other

(F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12	CORE	OO 21	PE 12.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?**

**(A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

**(B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least yearly**

- Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our private equity investments
  - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
  - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only
- (D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)
- (E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors
- (G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems
- (H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level

## EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 13	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

**During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?**

- (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
  - Select from dropdown list
    - (1) for all of our private equity investments
    - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
    - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company
- (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold
- (G) Other

- (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

## DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 14	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We used a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

## CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

### CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

#### APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy

- (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI**
- (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

## INTERNAL AUDIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 4	CORE	OO 21, CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal audit	6

**What responsible investment processes and/or data were audited through your internal audit function?**

- (A) Policy, governance and strategy**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) Data internally audited
  - (2) Processes internally audited
  - (3) Processes and data internally audited**
- (B) Manager selection, appointment and monitoring
- (C) Listed equity
- (D) Fixed income
- (E) Private equity
- (F) Real estate
- (G) Infrastructure

## INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

**Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?**

- (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent**  
Sections of PRI report reviewed
  - (1) the entire report
  - (2) selected sections of the report**
- (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year