

Sustainability Report: ESG Risk Overview

Elite Alfred Berg Suomi Fokus



Sustainability Rating



% rank in global category

14

Above Average

Relative to Category

Sustainable Fund by Prospectus

Europe Equity Mid/Small Cap No

Sustainability Score and Rating as of 30.9.2021. Sustainability provides issuer-level ESG Risk analysis used in the calculation of Morningstar's Sustainability Scores. Sustainable Investment mandate information is derived from the fund prospectus.


Controversy Coverage

Coverage (% of AUM)	97,5
Coverage (# of Securities Scored)	37
Coverage (# of Securities Not Scored)	5

Controversy Distribution

Severe Controversies (% of AUM)	0,0
High Controversies (% of AUM)	0,0
Significant Controversies (% of AUM)	10,8
Moderate Controversies (% of AUM)	29,6
Low Controversies (% of AUM)	31,8
No Controversies (% of AUM)	27,9

Portfolio Pillar Risk Score



Environmental	4,6	4,7
Social	5,6	6,3
Governance	5,0	5,7


Historical Score (Trailing 12 Mo Exponential Average)

20,34

Portfolio Score (Recent Portfolio)

20,04

ESG Risk Score Variables



ESG Risk Exposure Score	40,9	41,1
ESG Managed Risk Score	20,9	21,7

ESG Risk Score Coverage

Coverage (% of AUM)	97,5
Coverage (# of Securities Scored)	37
Coverage (# of Securities Not Scored)	

ESG Risk Score Distribution

High (% of AUM)	0,0
Above Average (% of AUM)	2,2
Average (% of AUM)	50,4
Below Average (% of AUM)	47,4
Low (% of AUM)	0,0

Sustainability Report: Holdings Detail

Elite Alfred Berg Suomi Fokus



Top 10 Holdings Details

	Weighting	Sector Icon	Sector	Style	Country	Morningstar Rating	Price/ Fair Value Ratio	P/E Ratio (TTM)	Market Value (Mil)	Market Cap (Mil)
Nordea Bank Abp	7,25		Financial Services		FIN	★★	1,18	12,14	10,61	433 687,90
Sampo Oyj Class A	7,21		Financial Services		FIN			43,40	10,55	24 225,53
Nokia Oyj	6,51		Technology		FIN	★★★	1,06		9,53	29 147,55
Fortum Oyj	6,29		Utilities		FIN			19,47	9,20	22 367,25
Qt Group PLC	5,48		Technology		FIN			168,19	8,02	3 674,94
Harvia PLC Ordinary Shares	4,34		Consumer Cyclical		FIN			36,94	6,35	1 005,37
Metso Outotec Corp	3,73		Industrials		FIN			37,28	5,46	7 927,73
Neste Corp	3,69		Energy		FIN			28,02	5,40	35 126,92
UPM-Kymmene Oyj	3,63		Basic Materials		FIN			14,33	5,31	17 338,36
Valmet Corp	3,53		Industrials		FIN			17,82	5,17	5 582,82

Sustainability Report: Holdings ESG Risk

Elite Alfred Berg Suomi Fokus



Top 10 Holdings ESG Scores

	ESG Risk Score	Risk Exposure	Managed Risk	Peer Group	Classification	Rating Date
Nordea Bank Abp	21	42	22	Diversified Banks	Medium	8.11.2021
Sampo Oyj Class A	18	38	20	Diversified Insurance Services	Low	8.11.2021
Nokia Oyj	12	36	23	Communications Equipment	Low	8.11.2021
Fortum Oyj	23	64	41	Electric Utilities	Medium	8.11.2021
Qt Group PLC	25	30	5	Enterprise and Infrastructure Software	Medium	8.11.2021
Harvia PLC Ordinary Shares	25	36	11	Home Appliances	Medium	8.11.2021
Metso Outotec Corp	29	49	20	Heavy Machinery and Trucks	Medium	8.11.2021
Neste Corp	20	48	28	Oil & Gas Refining and Marketing	Medium	8.11.2021
UPM-Kymmene Oyj	15	37	23	Paper and Pulp	Low	8.11.2021
Valmet Corp	26	48	22	Industrial Machinery	Medium	8.11.2021

Sustainability Report: Holdings ESG Risk Pillar

Elite Alfred Berg Suomi Fokus



Top 10 Holdings ESG Pillar Scores

	Environ. Risk Score	Social Risk Score	Govern. Risk Score	Peer Group	Rating Date
Nordea Bank Abp	0,67	10	10	Diversified Banks	8.11.2021
Sampo Oyj Class A	1,58	5	11	Diversified Insurance Services	8.11.2021
Nokia Oyj	0,80	7	4	Communications Equipment	8.11.2021
Fortum Oyj	11,23	7	4	Electric Utilities	8.11.2021
Qt Group PLC				Enterprise and Infrastructure Software	8.11.2021
Harvia PLC Ordinary Shares				Home Appliances	8.11.2021
Metso Outotec Corp	8,58	13	8	Heavy Machinery and Trucks	8.11.2021
Neste Corp	11,20	5	4	Oil & Gas Refining and Marketing	8.11.2021
UPM-Kymmene Oyj	7,61	3	4	Paper and Pulp	8.11.2021
Valmet Corp	8,52	11	7	Industrial Machinery	8.11.2021

Sustainability Report: Carbon Risk Overview

Elite Alfred Berg Suomi Fokus



Date

30.9.2021


Coverage (% of AUM)

72,40

Low Carbon Designation


No

12 Month Average



Carbon Risk Score	11,0	9,5
Fossil Fuel Involvement (% of AUM)	12,8	12,6

Recent Portfolio



Carbon Risk Score	10,7	9,6
Fossil Fuel Involvement (% of AUM)	11,8	12,9


Carbon Risk

Carbon Risk Score	10,7
Carbon Exposure Score	25,7
Carbon Management Score	65,2
Carbon Operations Risk	5,7
Carbon Products & Services Risk	3,5
Stranded Assets Risk	

Emissions

Carbon Intensity - Carbon (metric ton)/Revenue (Mil USD)	413
Scope 1 - Direct (metric tons of CO2)	2 426 147
Scope 2 - Indirect (metric tons of CO2)	397 446
Benchmark Scope 1 - Direct (metric tons of CO2)	1 531 520
Benchmark Scope 2 - Indirect (metric tons of CO2)	383 975
Portfolio vs. Benchmark Scope 1	58%
Portfolio vs. Benchmark Scope 2	4%

Distribution of Carbon Risk



Severe Carbon Risk (% of AUM)		
High Carbon Risk (% of AUM)		
Medium Carbon Risk (% of AUM)	44,4	34,2
Low Carbon Risk (% of AUM)	55,2	61,6
Negligible Carbon Risk (% of AUM)	0,4	4,2

Carbon Risk Category Average


Carbon Risk Score	9,9
Carbon Exposure Score	24,3
Carbon Management Score	67,3
Carbon Operations Risk	4,8
Carbon Products & Services Risk	3,6
Stranded Assets Risk	0,0

Sustainability Report: Carbon Involvement


Elite Alfred Berg Suomi Fokus




Portfolio (%)



Benchmark (%)



Category Average (%)



%

Fossil Fuel	11,8
Arctic Oil & Gas Exploration	0,0
Oil & Gas Generation	6,6
Oil & Gas Products & Service	0,0
Oil & Gas Production	3,9
Oil Sands Extraction	0,0
Thermal Coal Extraction	0,0
Thermal Coal Power Generation	0,0

%

Fossil Fuel	12,9
Arctic Oil & Gas Exploration	0,0
Oil & Gas Generation	4,4
Oil & Gas Products & Service	0,0
Oil & Gas Production	7,2
Oil Sands Extraction	0,0
Thermal Coal Extraction	0,0
Thermal Coal Power Generation	0,0

%

Fossil Fuel	10,0
Arctic Oil & Gas Exploration	0,0
Oil Sands Extraction	0,0
Oil & Gas Generation	3,5
Oil & Gas Products & Services	0,0
Oil & Gas Production	5,0
Thermal Coal Extraction	0,0
Thermal Coal Power Generation	0,0

Portfolio Score (Recent Portfolio)

The Sustainability Score is an asset-weighted average of company-level ESG Risk Scores. The company-level ESG Risk Scores measures the degree to which a company's economic value may be at risk due to ESG issues. The ESG Risk Score is defined as the unmanaged ESG risk exposure of a company and is obtained by subtracting managed risks from the total risk exposure. The rating is rendered on a 0-100 scale, where lower scores are better, with 0 indicating that a company has no unmanaged ESG risk.

Historical Score

The historical portfolio Sustainability Score is a weighted average of the trailing 12 months of portfolio Sustainability Scores. The calculation gives higher weight to more recent observations and lower weight to older observations.

Sustainability Rating (Globe Rating)

Based on their historical Sustainability Scores, portfolios are assigned absolute category ranks and percent ranks within their Morningstar Global Categories. The Sustainability Rating is normally distributed where the best 10 % are given five globes, the next 22,5 % four globes, the next 35 % three globes, the next 22,5 % two globes and the worst 10 % one globe.

Emission Scopes 1, 2 & 3

Scope 1 emissions are companies' direct emissions such as the company's factory and vehicle emissions. Scope 2 emissions are indirect emissions generated by the production of energy used by the company. Scope 3 emissions are indirect emissions that occur upstream and downstream in the value chain.

Controversies

Controversial events are those that have a negative impact on the environment or society, such as data breaches, allegations of accounting irregularities and negative environmental supply chain incidents. The impact of controversial events on a firm's ESG score depends on the severity of the controversy. The more serious an event, the larger the impact on a company's overall ESG Risk Score.

ESG Risk Score

The ESG Risk Score measures the unmanaged ESG risk exposure of a company and is obtained by subtracting managed risks from the total risk exposure. The score includes two components: unmanageable risk and the management gap. Unmanageable risks are those that cannot fully be managed, such as airlines' fuel consumption. The management gap represents risks that a company could manage but has not yet managed. The rating is on a 0-100 scale and values are usually under 50. Lower scores are better.

ESG Pillar Risk Scores

The ESG Pillar Risk Scores measure the degree to which a company's economic value may be at risk due to environmental, social and governance issues. The Environmental Risk Score assesses a company's climate change risk and other environmental risks. The Social Risk Score shows to what degree a company is exposed to for instance human rights, diversity and consumer protection risks. Lastly, the Governance Risk Score measures a company's corporate governance risks such as issues in management and employee relations. A lower score is better.

Risk Exposure Score

The Risk Exposure Score measures material ESG issues that are likely to have a significant effect on a company. Lower exposure scores are better.

Managed Risk Score

The Managed Risk Score measures the extent to which a company has managed its ESG risk exposure. Managed Risk Scores equal to the Risk Exposure Score would indicate that a company has no unmanaged ESG risk.

Carbon Risk Score

The portfolio Carbon Risk Score is the asset-weighted average of company-level carbon risk scores. Lower scores are better, where values under 10 indicate low risk and values over 30 indicate high risk.

The company's carbon risk rating measures the degree to which a company's economic value is at risk in the transition to a low-carbon economy. The rating is based on an assessment of a company's overall carbon exposure and its management of that exposure. The carbon risk rating is the remaining carbon exposure that has not been managed.

Carbon Exposure Score

The Carbon Exposure Score measures the degree to which carbon risks are material across the entire value chain (Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions). The Carbon Exposure Score is the sum of the Carbon Operations Risk and the Carbon Products & Services Risk. Lower scores are better.

Carbon Management Score

The Carbon Management Score measures a company's ability to manage and reduce carbon risks.

Carbon Operations Risk

The Carbon Operations Risk is the asset-weighted average of company-level carbon operations risk ratings. The rating refers to companies' carbon risk in its own operations. For instance, auto manufacturers Tesla and Ford both have higher carbon-emissions intensity levels than their peer group average. However, Tesla's carbon products & services risk is lower than Ford's since the emissions of Tesla's electric cars are much lower than the average car from Ford.

High operations carbon risk levels are common in industries such as integrated oil & gas production, steel manufacturing and airlines.

Carbon Products & Services Risk

Carbon Products & Services Risk measures the fossil fuel involvement in a company's products and services. It also measures a company's ability to transition its product mix as a way to reduce its carbon impact or to produce new carbon solutions.

Stranded Assets Risk

The Stranded Assets Risk is an asset-weighted average of company-level carbon stranded assets risks. Stranded assets risk is related to companies' oil and gas reserves that will not be used in a lower carbon economy. A lower score is better.

Fossil Fuel Involvement

The portfolio's percentage involvement in fossil fuels, which is calculated as a trailing 12 months average. Companies that derive over 5 % of their revenue from thermal coal extraction, thermal coal power generation, oil and gas production or oil and gas power generation are considered as having fossil fuel involvement. Additionally, companies deriving over 50 % of their revenue from oil and gas services are also included.