

# WBL IMPACT AWARD



## JUDGING RUBRIC

The rubric below contains the criteria judges will use to score each submission. They will be using a digital platform designed to collect their scores and comments.

Identifying information, including the academy name and contact person, will be removed so that judges are scoring based on the submission contents. If there is a connection between a particular judge and a submission, the award organizers will ensure that the judge does not score those submissions.

### Scoring:

1 – Room for growth

2 – Average

3 – Good

4 – Excellent

	N/A	1	2	3	4
<b>Connection with ODWBL &amp; Future Ready Skills</b> <i>Did the activity align with the Aspirations, Skills, and Connections outcomes? How did it impact students' development of Future Ready Skills?</i>					
<b>External Partner Engagement</b> <i>To what degree were external partners involved? How substantial was their role in the activity?</i>					
<b>Impact</b> <i>What impact did this activity have on students and partners? What data backs this up?</i>					
<b>Innovation</b> <i>How is this activity innovative for this academy and for the Network overall?</i>					
<b>Alignment with DEIA Principles</b> <i>How did this activity promote or exemplify DEIA? Does the activity provide equitable opportunities for student engagement?</i>					

# WBL IMPACT AWARD



<b>Academy advancement and alignment with academy's mission and goals</b> <i>How did this activity advance/achieve the mission/goals? How did this activity move this academy forward?</i>					
<b>Future growth</b> <i>What plans does the academy have for this activity in the future?</i>					
<b>Replicability</b> <i>Ability for other academies to implement this activity?</i>					
<b>Overall Impression</b>					
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (36 points maximum)					

Comments: