



Strengthening and streamlining energy advice and redress

An independent review of the adequacy of energy advice and redress - appendices

> Georgia Klein 07939 541 807 georgia@georgiaklein.co.uk

<u>Appendix</u>

- 1. Document review to determine a definition of adequate energy advice provision
- 2. Document review to determine a definition of adequate energy redress provision
- 3. Information request template
- 4. Interview schedule
- 5. Contributors
- 6. Interviewees' feedback on the proposed outcomes for advice and redress providers

Outcome data from advice providers

- 7. Accessible and visible all those who want/need to use the service can
- 8. Comprehensive and integrated consumers get maximum support for minimal effort
- 9. The different modes of advice provision available
- 10. Expert and professional frontline staff have the skills and knowledge necessary to identify and address clients' needs, operate with the highest standards of customer care and deliver fair decisions
- 11. Adequately resourced the service can deliver in line with need
- 12. Effective and efficient builds clients' confidence and their capacity to successfully navigate the markets for themselves while providing good value to the taxpayer

Outcome data from redress providers

- 13. Accessible and visible all those who want/need to use the service can
- 14. Adequately resourced the service can deliver in line with need
- 15. Effective and efficient builds clients' confidence and their capacity to successfully navigate the markets for themselves while providing good value to the taxpayer

Document review to determine a definition of adequate energy advice provision

Source	Performance criteria					
Final Stage Impact Assessment	ssment Delivery principles:					
for the Green Deal and						
Energy Company Obligation	 Independent – advisors are not tied to any provider so the consumer can use home assessment to shop around other providers 					
(DECC, June 2012)						
	 Expert – home assessors are to be qualified and certified 					
https://www.gov.uk/governme	Impartial and consistent – use approved assessment tools					
nt/uploads/system/uploads/att	 Accessible – telephone, email, digital functions, resources in accessible formats 					
achment data/file/42984/5533	Comprehensive – covers linked issues including Feed-in Tariffs and Renewable Heat Incentive					
-final-stage-impact-assessment-	Outcomes:					
for-the-green-deal-a.pdf						
	Improves trust across the supply chain					
	 Increases customer awareness of Green Deal opportunities 					
	Gives confidence to consumers					
	 Increases consideration of other energy efficiency improvements – Energy Performance Certificates, smart meters, 					
	Renewable Heat Incentive and Feed-in Tariffs					
	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):					
	• Costs					
	 Projected number of customers using service per annum 					
	Communication channels					
Making the Connection	• Comprehensive – covers interlocking and overlapping issues covering the energy supply, electricity and gas distribution and					
(Centre for Consumers and	energy services (energy efficiency, micro-generation and metering) markets					
Essential Services, University of Leicester, 2011 for Consumer	 Integrated – capable of addressing all aspects of consumer queries rather than forcing consumers to contact a series of different organisations. Provision integrated at national level to support local and regional advisors. 					
Focus)	• Trusted and expert – independent of industry and employing staff with the training and skills to deal with increasingly					
	complex consumer. Has the necessary capacity, capability and expertise to meet consumer advice needs in the future. Should					
ttp://www.consumerfocus.org also be consistent and accurate.						
<u>uk/files/2011/10/Making-the-</u> • Accessible – all consumers can access the advice they need in ways that meet their requirements, part						
connection.pdf	consumers in vulnerable circumstances; multiple channels for consumers to communicate, including free/low-charge helpline					
Principles for Coherent	Accessible and visible – recognised and trusted by clients, has convenient entry points and is open at times and in places that					

Source	Performance criteria
Information Advice and	suit clients' needs
Guidance Delivery (National Information Advice and Guidance Board, 2004 for	 Professional and knowledgeable – frontline staff should have the skills and knowledge to identify quickly and effectively the client's needs. They should have the skills and knowledge either to address the client's needs or to signpost or to refer them to suitable alternative provision.
the Secretary of State for Education and Employment)	 Effective connections – clients may be signposted or referred to the services they need; links between services should be clear from the client's perspective; where necessary, clients should be supported in their transition between services Availability, quality and delivery – targeted at the needs of clients, and informed by social and economic priorities at local,
http://www.workabout.org.uk/ aboutus/documents/Principlesf	regional and national levels
	Diversity – the range of services should reflect the diversity of clients' needs
orCoherentIAGDelivery.pdf	 Impartial – should support clients to make informed decisions based on their needs and circumstances Responsive – should reflect clients' present and future needs
	 Friendly and welcoming – should encourage clients to engage successfully with the service
	Enabling – support clients to manage their own way through the sector
	Awareness – adults should be aware of the services that are relevant to them, and have well-informed expectations of those services
Scottish Citizens Advice Bureau	A free service
values and principles	 Confidentiality – nothing learned from clients is shared without consent
http://www.cas.org.uk/about-	 Impartiality – provide information solely on the basis of its potential usefulness to the client, and representations made on behalf of clients will faithfully attempt to express the client's personal intentions and points of view
us/about-bureaux/our-values- and-principles	 Independence – no other individual agency or individual, even if they are giving financial support or other aid to bureaux, will influence the decision-making process
<u></u>	 Accessibility – premises that are centrally located, easy to enter, welcoming in appearance and open at times suited to local demand; publicise services, especially in areas and among groups where the service is apparently underused
	 Effectiveness – measured by the extent to which clients are helped to clarify problems and concerns, the accuracy and completeness of any information provided, the usefulness of any advice given and the appropriateness of any assistance provided to enable clients to carry out the course of action chosen
	 Community accountability – committee of management should include people elected by the local public at its Annual General Meeting or nominated by relevant local bodies giving financial support, organisations working in related fields and bodies representing potential clients
	• Client's right to decide – clients have a right to set their own objectives and to decide whether or not to accept the advice and assistance offered to them
	A voluntary service
	 Empowerment – bureaux seek to assist clients to help themselves
	• Information retrieval – use the evidence collected to exercise a responsible influence on the development of social policies

Source	Performance criteria				
	 and services A generalist service – bureaux provide information, advice and assistance on any topic; no-one calling at a Citizens Advice Bureau will be turned away because it does not deal with that type of problem. Where bureaux do not supplement this generalist service with appropriate specialisms, clients will be put in touch with specialists as required and where possible. Equality of opportunity – obligations under equalities legislation and will work to comply with the guidance issued by the Equality and Human Rights Commission. 				
Scottish National Standards for Information and Advice Providers: A Quality Assurance Framework (Scottish Government, 2009) <u>http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Pu</u> <u>blications/2010/10/08154126/0</u>					
Confidence Code (Ofgem, 2013) https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/info rmation-consumers/domestic- consumers/switching-your-	The Confidence Code is a Code of Practice that governs independent energy price comparison sites. It sets out the minimum requirements that a provider of an internet domestic gas and electricity price comparison service (service provider) must meet in order to be, and remain, accredited by Ofgem. Ofgem took over responsibility for managing the Code from Consumer Focus in March 2013 and is currently reviewing it. The Confidence Code insists that its members follow key principles for how they must operate their service:				
<u>energy-supplier/confidence-</u> <u>code</u>	 Independence and impartiality – from energy suppliers; payments from suppliers are acceptable but there must be transparency about them and it must not influence content Tariffs and price comparisons – ideally comprehensive and for all payment types, but some exceptions are possible, including social tariffs; Ofgem must be notified of requests to remove tariffs still available; time-limited tariffs must come with explicit warning messages; the energy components of bundled tariffs must be separated out 				

Source I	Performance criteria
	 Control and management – of the information on the website is the responsibility of the service provider; other providers can use its calculator but may not claim to be accredited Payment methods – explain standard credit, direct debit and payment by prepayment meter Results and filters – must list 10 cheapest tariffs available; additional filters are permissible; results pages must be readily available but switching online-only results are also permissible as long as clearly labelled Quality of service and energy efficiency – can assign ratings to suppliers' performance and invite consumers' ratings but using an Ofgem-approved methodology that is published on their website; must give energy efficiency advice or signpost to other relevant energy efficiency information or programmes, as a minimum including contact details for the Energy Saving Trust, as well as informing consumers of the availability of grant schemes such as Warm Front, The Energy Assistance Package (Scotland), the Home Energy Efficiency Scheme (Wales) and the Carbon Emissions Reductions Target Accuracy and updating tariffs – date of updates must be on the website and be ideally with 2 days of changes; certain types of discounts are exempt from calculations; displaying current tariff and spend details Annual audit – independent audit to be conducted according to Ofgem's terms of reference; also quarterly and ad hoc audits Complaint handling – must establish and operate an effective consumer complaint and enquiry handling procedure and respond to any complaint or enquiry within seven working days of receipt. Using a site with the Confidence Code logo means that the process of switching energy supplier should be easier, more reliable and reassuring for consumers. The sites covered by the Confidence Code act independently of suppliers, so the options and prices are displayed in a fair and unbiased way. They can help you find the best deal for you

Document review to determine a definition of adequate energy redress provision

Source	Performance criteria
Implementing the Alternative Dispute Resolution Directive and Online Dispute Resolution Regulation (BIS, March 2014)	A Directive on consumer Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) came into force in July 2013. The UK has to transpose the requirements of the ADR Directive into national law by 9 July 2015. Once the Directive is implemented, all ADR schemes must meet the requirements of the Directive. The principal obligation on the UK Government under the Directive is to ensure that ADR provided by a certified ADR body is available for any dispute concerning contractual obligations between a consumer and a business. The Government has to ensure that certified UK ADR providers follow specific operational rules. The main operational rules are:
https://www.gov.uk/governme nt/uploads/system/uploads/att achment_data/file/288199/bis- 14-575-implementing- alternative-dispute-resolution- directive-and-online-dispute- resolution-regulation- consultation.pdf	 Free/nominal charge – the ADR procedure must be free of charge or available at a nominal fee for consumers Timely – disputes must be concluded within 90 days of receiving the complete complaint file; this timeframe can be extended in the case of highly complex disputes; ADR providers have three weeks from receiving a complaint file in which to inform the parties concerned if they are refusing to deal with a case Expert & impartial – individuals who oversee disputes must have the necessary expertise and be independent and impartial Accountable – ADR providers must make available specific information about their organisation, methods and cases they deal with, and provide annual activity reports Accessible – consumers must have the option to submit a complaint (and supporting documentation) and to exchange information, either online or offline
	 To address gaps in redress provision, BIS propose a residual ADR scheme and a consumer complaints helpdesk to signpost consumers (administered by Citizens Advice). BIS propose going beyond the operational rules and favour the ombudsman model for the residual ADR scheme because of these features: Independent – a separate and independent body is more trusted by consumers and generates faith that any decisions made are impartial
	Binding – arrive at a binding decision for one or both of the parties, if this model suits that particular sector Consultees were undecided on whether ADR should be compulsory for business. Outcomes:
	 Increased redress for consumers means that spending can be re-allocated to businesses with a better record for quality (in provision of goods/services) Increased consumer confidence in markets from wider coverage of ADR KPIs:

Source	Performance criteria Monetary benefits to:			
	 Business – reduced costs of preparing for court cases as more cases dealt with through ADR: £0.3m-£1.6m p.a. Government – reduced costs of court system as more cases dealt with through ADR: TBD Consumers – redress from ADR cases in consumers' favour Other key non-monetised benefits to consumers/society: Improved clarity for consumers in navigating the ADR landscape as a result of the complaints helpdesk supporting improved confidence in markets Improved competition in markets supporting lower prices and growth. Arises through: increased redress for consumers, which means that spending can be re-allocated to businesses with a better record for quality (in provision of goods/services) increased consumer confidence in markets from wider coverage of ADR Faster, cheaper and more straightforward means of obtaining redress than the legal system 			
	 Business: Cost of providing ADR/ODR information to consumers: £25.3m-£38.0m (one-off cost), £0.5m-£0.7m p.a. Creation of a competent authority to monitor compliance with the Directive: £0.1m p.a. Fees to residual ADR body as a result of additional ADR cases (from year 1): £0.9m-£9.6m p.a. Cost of preparing additional ADR cases: £0.4m-£2.0m p.a. Redress from additional ADR cases in consumers' favour (transfer to consumers): £0.4m-£2.0m p.a. Government: Creation of a competent authority to monitor compliance with the Directive: £0.2m (one-off cost) Cost of establishing and funding residual ADR body (year 0): £4.0m-£6.0m (one-off cost) Cost of operating consumer-facing complaints helpdesk: £0.1m p.a. (one-off cost of creating helpdesk TBD). Consumers: Cost of preparing additional ADR cases: £0.1m-£0.3m p.a. 			
Effective complaint handling – a discussion document written for Consumer Focus				
(Cosmo Graham, Centre for Consumers and Essentials	• Information – clear information about a consumer's entitlement to a good or service, as well as clear information about complaint processes			

Source	Performance criteria				
Services, 2012)	Accessibility – complaint handling systems should be free of charge and fully accessible to all consumers, including people in vulnerable situations				
http://www.consumerfocus.org	• Consumer support and empowerment – the ability to call on third-party help when making a complaint				
.uk/files/2012/09/Effective-	• Fairness – processes and decisions need to be fair and based on publicly available rules and criteria				
<u>complaint-handling-a-</u> discussion-document.pdf	 Effectiveness and performance – dealing with complaints in a timely fashion, ensuring positive improvements in service delivery and performance monitoring and auditing 				
	• Resolution and redress – the ability to resolve the problem, a range of remedies (including financial compensation) and the ability to tackle systemic issues				
	 Independence – independent of those complained against 				
	 Accountability – publicly available information on how the service works and how it is governed 				
	Resources – adequate resources and flexibility to deal with present and future demands				
	Consumer involvement – complaint handling systems need to be informed by consumers' views and experiences				
Making the Connection	This provides a mixture of principles for adequate provision and recommendations:				
(Centre for Consumers and					
Essential Services, University of	• Clear – energy bills will need to tell consumers who to turn to if things go wrong, this will be much clearer if there is a one-				
Leicester, 2011 for Consumer	stop enquiries and complaints-handling service for all the different energy services				
Focus)	Comprehensive and simplified – the artificial divisions in redress arrangements need to be tackled, with consumers of energy services and products able to access one ombudsman service				
http://www.consumerfocus.org .uk/files/2011/10/Making-the-	 Meeting the needs of vulnerable consumers – the remit of the Extra Help Unit should be expanded to deal with the spectrum of possible consumer problems 				
<u>connection.pdf</u>	 Streamlined and simple – consumers should not be forced to contact a series of different organisations to get their complaints resolved 				
	 An integrated system & timely – with clear protocols and systems for consumer referrals, set timescales for referrals and handling complaints and procedures for identifying and dealing with emerging systemic issues that cross organisational boundaries 				
	• Accessible and affordable – access to complaint handling and redress must be free of charge for consumers				
	• Providing quality assurance – all bodies involved must adhere to commonly accepted principles of good complaint handling;				
	this needs to be accompanied by effective monitoring, supervision and compliance arrangements; proper recording and reporting is required to provide an effective service to clients and to enable systemic problems to be identified				
Criteria for the Recognition of	The British and Irish Ombudsman Association (BIOA) has set out criteria for membership. BIOA has two classes of members:				
Ombudsman Offices	ombudsmen and complaint handlers. The criteria for ombudsmen are:				
	• Independence – demonstrable independence from those being investigated, including employment terms; sole power to				

Source	Performance criteria			
(British and Irish Ombudsman Association, 2011) <u>http://www.ombudsmanassociation.org/criteria.php</u>	 h and Irish Ombudsman ation, 2011) Fairness – impartial and in accordance with legislation and good practice; provide reasons for decision Effectiveness – adequate staffing and funding; service publicised by those subject to investigation; straightforward procedures; free of charge to complainants; able to pursue complaints without permission of those being investigated 			
Principles of Good Complaint Handling (Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman, 2009) <u>http://www.ombudsman.org.u</u> <u>k/ data/assets/pdf file/0005/ 1040/0188-Principles-of-Good- Complaint-Handling- bookletweb.pdf</u>	 Good complaint handling by public bodies means: Getting it right – compliance with legislation; supportive leadership; integral part of service; focus on outcomes; signposting though the procedure Being customer focused – clear and simple procedures; accessible; dealing with complainants promptly and sensitively understand the complaint and the outcome sought; responding flexibly and in a co-ordinated fashion Being open and accountable – publishing clear, accurate and complete information about how to complain, how and when to take complaints further, and service standards for handling complaints; providing honest, evidence-based explanations and giving reasons for decisions; and keeping full and accurate records Acting fairly and proportionately – treating the complainant impartially; investigate complaints thoroughly and fairly; decisions are proportionate, appropriate and fair; decision-maker is independent and fair Putting things right – acknowledging mistakes and apologising where appropriate; providing prompt, appropriate and proportionate remedies; considering all the relevant factors of the case when offering remedies; taking account of any injustice or hardship that results from pursuing the complaint as well as from the original dispute Seeking continuous improvement – using all feedback and the lessons learnt from complaints to improve service design and delivery; having systems in place to record, analyse and report on the learning from complaints; regularly reviewing the lessons to be learnt from complaints; where appropriate, telling the complainant about the lessons learnt and changes made to services, guidance or policy 			

Source	Performance criteria				
Approval criteria for redress	The Consumers Estate Agents and Redress (CEAR) Act 2007 enables the Secretary of State to make an Order that requires				
schemes in the energy sector	regulated electricity and gas suppliers, and operators of certain gas and electricity networks, to be a member of an				
(Ofgem, 2008)	approved redress scheme to investigate and determine complaints relating to the activities of those suppliers and network				
http://webarchive.nationalarchi	operators. The Act makes Ofgem responsible for approving redress schemes for the energy sector, while having regard to				
ves.gov.uk/20080806055225/ht	certain operational aspects and the principles of best practice. The Energy Ombudsman achieved statutory status as it met				
tp:/www.ofgem.gov.uk/MARKE	the following criteria:				
TS/RETMKTS/COMPL/CONSREP					
<u>/Documents1/Redress%20Sche</u> <u>mes%20Decision.pdf</u>	 Independence, governance and fees for redress scheme members – independent of regulated providers and consumer and safeguards to ensure impartiality including: procedures governing appointment, termination, length of appointment; management oversight; terms of reference; determining if complaint within jurisdiction; fee structure; reallocation of case fees Accessibility – need high levels of awareness among consumers; free/nominal charge; internal complaint handling procedures; 8 weeks for resolution; procedures for engaging with scheme must be straightforward; explanations of the procedure provided to consumers; translation and hearing/visual impairment support; multiple communication channels; staff can be authorised to complete forms on consumers' behalf Effectiveness – prompt; cost-effective; fair and sufficient scope in terms of the type of complaint it can consider and range of enforceable remedies, including such things as resourcing, expertise, dedicated referral process for systemic issues and signposting Public accountability – for ensuring consumer confidence in its decision-making; requires transparency on decisions, scheme performance and readily understandable reports such as annual reports 				
The Gas and Electricity	The Consumers Estate Agents and Redress (CEAR) Act 2007 sought to encourage energy industries to take greater responsibility for				
(Consumer Complaints	their customers' complaints, and so required the introduction of new complaint handling standards for energy companies (excluding				
Handling Standards)	Northern Ireland). The resulting regulations encompass the following:				
Regulations 2008	Standards for handling complaints from domestic and micro-business customers:				
http://www.legislation.gov.uk/					
uksi/2008/1898/pdfs/uksi 200	Regulated providers' complaints handling procedure – each step must set out and be easily understood; permit oral and written submissions, provide contact details of independent sources of help and the right to refer to a gualifying redross.				
<u>81898_en.pdf</u>	written submissions; provide contact details of independent sources of help and the right to refer to a qualifying redress scheme if the complaint remains unresolved or a timescale has lapsed; set out the remedies available				
	 Recording complaints upon receipt – files must contain key contact details, date of contact, summary of issues, action taken, preferred mode of communication, explanation if provider considers complaint resolved 				

Source	Performance criteria
	 Recording handling of complaints – dates of resolution and informing consumers of their right to use a redress scheme Signposting consumers to the redress scheme if complaints cannot be resolved – sets out the nature of remedies and that it is free of charge to the consumer; to be communicated the first working day after the provider has established it is unable to resolve the complaint Allocation and maintenance of adequate resources for complaints handling Section 12 and 13 of CEAR complaints (micro-enterprises and domestic customers that are disconnected, threatened with disconnection or PPM failure or considered vulnerable) – additional procedures need to be agreed with the National Consumer Council (responsibilities now with Citizens Advice) for supporting vulnerable complainants Referral of consumers from Consumer Direct – must make arrangements for effective referrals from Consumer Direct (helpline now residing with Citizens Advice)
	Supply of information to consumers:
	 Information to be provided to consumers – complaints procedure information must be prominently on its website; annually all consumers must be informed of the existence of the procedure; a leaflet should be available on request Publication of information on complaints – publish an annual report prominently on their website and provide a copy on request

Information request template

Organisation profile			
Organisation name			
Discrete funded activity reviewed here (if applicable, for example, because under government contract). Please repeat exercise for each activity in a new column.	Funded activity X		
Contact in case of queries (name, tel no, email)			
Accessibility & visibility of the service			
Levels of awareness – domestic consumers (Data from ad hoc/routine surveys completed by yours or another organisation. Please use most recent data.)	Add details and relevant hyperlinks as text		
Levels of awareness – micro-enterprises	Add details and relevant hyperlinks as text		
Projected number of clients p.a. for the last 3 years	201 clients 201 clients 201 clients		
Usage levels for domestic consumers including: - clients p.a. (average over last 3 years, last full years' actual) - top 5 issues contacted about and volume for most recent complete year	domestic clients p.a. (average over last 3 years) domestic clients in last full year (state year) - 20 1 (issue), (volume) in 20 2 (issue), (volume) in 20 3 (issue), (volume) in 20 4 (issue), (volume) in 20 5 (issue), (volume) in 20		

Usage levels for micro-enterprises including:	micro-enterprise clients p.a. (average over last 3 years)		
 clients p.a. (average over last 3 years, last full years' actual) 	micro-enterprise clients in last full year (state year) - 20		
 top 5 issues contacted about and volume for most recent complete year 	1	(issue),	(volume) in 20
	2	(issue),	(volume) in 20
	3	(issue),	(volume) in 20
	4	(issue),	(volume) in 20
	5	(issue),	(volume) in 20
Charges to clients (including telephone)	£	for	
Option to conduct communication online or offline	YES/NO (delete as appropriate)		
PLUS details of communication channels available	Add details and relevant hyperlinks as text		
(suggestions include: face-to-face, telephone, email, digital functions e.g. website,			
web-chat, social media)			
Details of services for vulnerable consumers	Add details	and relevant hyperlinks as t	ext
(suggestions include: centrally located premises; service is open at times to suit			
demand; resources in accessible formats; interpreters and support for those with			
sensory impairments; third-party representation permitted; compliant with			
obligations under equalities legislation and guidance; tailored service for			
vulnerable consumers)			
Independence & impartiality			
Levels of client, industry and policy-makers trust in service provider	Add details	and relevant hyperlinks as t	ext
(From ad-hoc/routine survey conducted by your organisation/another. If			
available, please provide details for stakeholders separately.)			

Details of procedures used to ensure independence from industry	Separate organisation – YES/NO (delete as appropriate) Procedures to ensure independence: Management structures – YES/NO Employment terms – YES/NO Use of independently approved tools/standards/legislation/good practice to aid impartiality and consistency – YES/NO Client confidentiality – YES/NO Details of other measures, commentary and relevant hyperlinks as text
Expertise & professionalism	
Level of complaints about advice provider by domestic consumers (last 3 years ending with last complete year)	201 complaints 201 complaints 201 complaints
Level of complaints about advice provider by micro-enterprises (last 3 years ending with last complete year)	201 complaints 201 complaints 201 complaints
Level of client satisfaction for domestic consumer clients with the process (not outcome) (From ad-hoc/routine survey conducted by your organisation/another)	In 20, _% of domestic clients were satisfied with the process
Level of client satisfaction for micro-enterprise consumer clients with the process (not outcome) (From ad-hoc/routine survey conducted by your organisation/another)	In 20, _% of micro-enterprise clients were satisfied with the process
Details of significant service quality issues identified (either through internal or independent assessments)	Add details and hyperlinks as text
Comprehensiveness of service & integration with other providers	
Advice or redress provider	Advice/redress provider (delete as appropriate)
Geographical responsibility	England/Ireland/Scotland/Wales (delete as appropriate)
Consumer issues and markets covered as part of formal remit	Add details and hyperlinks as text
Consumer type served as part of formal remit	Domestic/micro-enterprise/vulnerable/advisor (delete as appropriate)

Key omissions identified in remit	 Add details and hyperlinks as text For each issue assign RED/AMBER/GREEN to indicate perceived negative impact on consumers, industry and the economy In 201, _% and _ (number) were signposted to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit 			
Signposting – % and volume of clients that are signposted to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues are outside of remit (last complete year)				
Referrals – organisations clients referred on to – details of top 5, providing % and volume	In 201, top 5 referrals from our service were: 1 (provider)% , (volum			
	2 (provider)% , (volume)			
	3(provider)% ,(volume)			
	4 (provider)% , (volume)			
	5% ,(volume)			
Warm transfers – % and volume of customers handed immediately over (warm transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded)	In 201, _% and (number) were handed immediately over (warm transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit			
• •	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided withi			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded)	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1 (provider)%, (volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1 (provider)%, (volume) 2 (provider)%, (volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one,	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1 (provider)%, (volume) 2 (provider)%, (volume) 3 (provider)%, (volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1(provider)%,(volume) 2(provider)%,(volume) 3(provider)%,(volume) 4(provider)%,(volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1 (provider)%, (volume) 2 (provider)%, (volume) 3 (provider)%, (volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1(provider)%,(volume) 2(provider)%,(volume) 3(provider)%,(volume) 4(provider)%,(volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your organisation) Adequately resourced Funding – who funds the organisation's advice/redress activities (e.g. through	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1(provider)%,(volume) 2(provider)%,(volume) 3(provider)%,(volume) 4(provider)%,(volume) 5(provider)%,(volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your organisation) Adequately resourced Funding – who funds the organisation's advice/redress activities (e.g. through licence fee, directly from industry, via government fund paid for by consumers	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1(provider)%,(volume) 2(provider)%,(volume) 3(provider)%,(volume) 4(provider)%,(volume) 5(provider)%,(volume)			
transfers) to signposted organisation (e.g. calls put through, emails forwarded) Top 5 sources of referrals to your service – % and volumes for each; state year (suggestions include energy company, another agency – stating which one, internal referral if you supply more than one discretely funded service within your organisation) Adequately resourced Funding – who funds the organisation's advice/redress activities (e.g. through	transferred) to other providers (including discrete services provided within your organisation) because their issues were outside of our remit In 201, top 5 referrals to our service were: 1(provider)%,(volume) 2(provider)%,(volume) 3(provider)%,(volume) 4(provider)%,(volume) 5(provider)%,(volume)			

Cost – annual including average over last 3 years and last full years' actual Details of current/future resourcing shortfalls identified (e.g. money, staff, premises)	In 201 running costs were £ In 201 running costs were £ In 201 running costs were £ Resourcing shortfalls identified include Assign red/amber/green to each issue to indicate significance Add details, commentary, hyperlinks as text			
Effectiveness & Efficiency				
% and volume of client issues resolved where they are within remit	In 201% and(number) of client issues were resolved			
Cost – per client and by issue	In 201 running cost per client was £ (no. of clients/running costs for same year) In 201 running cost per issue was £ (no. of issues/running costs for same year)			
Performance – please report performance against own targets/KPIs/dashboard for most recent complete year, stating what year. Please be as candid as possible, going beyond annual report assessments to include internal targets (confidentiality will be protected in the final report). Please also report on key findings from others' evaluations of your performance, providing commentary as appropriate.	Add details as text and hyperlinks			
For redress providers only, are decisions binding on energy companies?	Yes/No/NA (delete as appropriate)			
For redress providers only, what remedies are available?	Yes/No/NA (delete as appropriate)			
Responsiveness & future-proof				
Details of unmet consumer needs identified (from your own market intelligence/management information/issues around remit and referrals/others' research)	Add details as text and hyperlinks			
Planned service developments to respond to unmet needs	Add details as text and hyperlinks			
For redress providers, % of disputes concluded within 90 days of receiving complaint file	In 201,% of disputes concluded within 90 days of receiving complaint file OR N/A			

For redress providers, % of cases outside of remit that are informed within 3 weeks of receipt of file	In 201,% of cases that are informed that they are outside of remit within 3 weeks of receipt of file OR N/A
Transparency & accountability	
What legislation, guidance and/or licence conditions underpin your role and companies' requirements to refer to your organisation, if any? If none, please state that this is the case.	Add details as text and hyperlinks
Provision of publicly available information about the organisation, including its legal constitution, its governance, funding, methods and cases they deal with and impact (e.g. annual activity reports)	 Please provide hyperlinks to and indicate if information is also available offline for the following: legal constitution governance funding procedures/methods cases you deal with impact including annual activity reports

Interview schedule

	your experience, how effective do you consider your organisation to be in delivering these outcomes?
Cons	sumer needs – current and future
4	What do you think are the greatest areas of consumer need
	for advice and redress across the energy sector?
5	Do you see this changing in the future? If so how?
6	What do you think are the areas of greatest unmet need? Why? What needs to be done to address it?
7	How well do you think the needs of vulnerable consumers
	are being met?
8	How well do you think the needs of energy advisors are
	being met?
9	In an ideal world, what changes in support/provision would
	you make to ensure that there was adequate
	advice/redress? What would be of greatest value? What do you think is feasible?

Contributors

Organisation	Advice/redress schemes	Contributor
Citizens Advice	Extra Help Unit	Natasha Murray (information request)
Citizens Advice	Complaints Helpdesk	Julia Durham (information request)
Citizens Advice	Energy Best Deal Extra	David Jones (information request)
Citizens Advice	Citizens Advice consumer service	Julia Durham (information request)
Citizens Advice	Overview	Audrey Gallacher & Sue Russell (interview)
		Andrew Regan (background information)
Citizens Advice	Overview	Fraser Stewart
Scotland		
Ofgem	Renewable Heat Incentive	Paul Heigl (queries on domestic line)
	Enquiry Line	
Energy UK	Home Heat Helpline	Kerry Levan (information request & interview)
Energy Saving Trust	Energy Saving Advice Service	David Weatherall (background information to support information request & interview)
Energy Saving Trust	Home Energy Scotland	Laura McGadie (background information to support information request & interview)
Zero Waste Scotland	Resource Efficient Scotland	Anna Gooding (information request)
	Advice and Support Service	
DECC	Big Energy Saving Network	David Newton (background information to support information request & interview)
Department for	Alternative Dispute Resolution	Nick Mawhinney (interview)
Business, Innovation	Directive, Consumer &	Peter Lovitt (update Nick Mawhinney's successor)
& Skills	Competition Policy	
Welsh Government	Business Wales	Sion Jones (information request)
Welsh Government	Overview	Marcus Hill (interview)
Micro-generation	Micro-generation Certification	Tom Chapman (information request & interview)
Certification Scheme	Scheme	

Organisation	Advice/redress schemes	Contributor
Renewable Energy	Renewable Energy Consumer	Virginia Graham (interview)
Association Limited	Code	John Munton, CEDR (information request)
		Sarah Rubinson (information request)
Ombudsman	Energy Ombudsman	David Jones (interview)
Services		Steve Campbell (information request)
Ombudsman	Green Deal Ombudsman	John Baguley & Mark Glover (background information to inform information request & interview)
Services		
Ofgem	Overview	Marcus Clements (background information)

N.B. The reviewer was unsuccessful in attempts to gain information from or interview the Scottish Government and Welsh Government, and was unsuccessful in attempts to interview Ofgem.

Interviewees' feedback on the proposed outcomes for advice and redress providers

N.B. The interviews were conducted on the basis that all comments would remain anonymous.

Out	comes	Interviewee's comments
1	All those who want/need to use the service can	Awareness and low barriers to use through good accessibility is very important. Without this, usage remains low and potential benefits are not achieved. Energy companies need to work with consumer organisations to support this. The ADR Directive raises the bar on this. Links with Outcome 5.
2	The service is trusted, which in turn enhances usage and industry responsiveness	Very important. Industry initiatives are treated with suspicion by consumers. Industry initiatives drive consumers back to suppliers who should be supporting them and, if recognised as industry initiatives (rather than being lost in a funding pot), can help build trust.
3	Advice/decisions on complaints help improve standards of service from energy providers	Agreed. Industry will be more responsive to redress providers' feedback if their decisions are seen as fair. An important function of a redress provider is to give feedback to industry to help them improve their processes. No – it is the regulator's role to drive industry improvements. Is this more applicable to redress?
4	Frontline staff have the skills and knowledge necessary to identify and address clients' needs, operate with the highest standards of customer care and deliver fair decisions. This in turn enhances all outcomes for consumers, energy providers and the economy.	Definitely. It is important to be able to level the playing field between consumers and energy suppliers by helping 'translate' the issues for both parties.
5	Consumers get maximum support for minimal effort	Very much agree. The need to go through an energy company's complaints procedure prior to using the redress scheme may set the effort level too high.

6	Service can deliver in line with expectations and	Needs refinement.
	need	The ADR Directive suggests a 90-day deadline for redress providers to resolve complaints. Some providers
s		say this is too short. For consumers it is too long.
		Services should align with consumer expectations but not their expectations of a complaint decision, as
		these may be unrealistic.
		Expectations management is important.
		Consumers expect to have to call one organisation only.
		Service contracts may not align with consumer need – cost is a common constraint (e.g. restrictions on the
		advice topics, call length, the number of users).
		Provision should align with policy objectives. Policy objectives should align with consumer need.
		Align with need, not expectations. Where contractual restrictions restrict responding to need, it is for
		providers to raise this with policy-makers.
		Links with Outcome 10.
7	Build clients' confidence and their capacity to	Definitely (advice provider).
	successfully navigate the markets for themselves	Unsure (redress provider).
		Signposting is an important benefit (redress provider).
8	Low cost per client/issue versus other	Cost-efficiency evaluations need to consider the nature of the service provided – more intensive services
	advice/redress providers	with vulnerable consumers cost more.
		Competition risks focusing on cost as the key outcome and losing out on other benefits as a result.
		Alternative suggested – good value to the taxpayer.
9	Service provided aligns with consumer needs	Repeats Outcome 6.
10	Continual improvement – advice/redress	Agreed but difficult to deliver.
	providers' service standards are driven upwards	Again, government funding contracts may limit improvements possible.
		A chain of sub-contractors and delivery partners may also be involved in providing advice, fragmenting
		accountability for service standards.

Accessible and visible - all those who want/need to use the service can

Advice initiative	Outcome measures					
	Awareness	Usage levels - domestic	Usage levels - micro- business	Charges to clients	Communication channels	Services for vulnerable consumers
Great Britain and Er	ngland only					
Citizens Advice consumer service	Usage at 99% of target. 21% found out about the service via the internet, 13% from energy bills, 6% supplier website, 2% used service previously.	69,658 issues/cases in 2014. N.B. This includes micro- business.	Approximately 9% of clients are micro- business	Depending on use of landline or mobile and 08 or 03 number, calls may be free or cost in excess of 8p-£3.20 for an average of 8 min call waiting	Webform, telephone, textphone, letter	Benchmarked against the Inclusive Service Provision British Standard (unpublished report – confidential)
Energy Saving Advice Service	Usage 32% of target (calculated using targets set out in DECC/EST contract for the provision of ESAS and actual use figures- public document but provided by DECC)	290,000 in 2014 (combined with micro- enterprises) (see <u>ESAS data</u> <u>published by</u> <u>DECC</u>)	See domestic	Call charges at national rates	Telephone, email and digital	Contract requires all channels to be accessible, e.g. braille and large print; textphone; website to meet with COI guidance and advise compliance with BS8878; range of languages including Welsh
Home Heat Helpline	No data	25,000	N/A		Telephone	Permits third-party representation; minicom
Extra Help Unit	Usage at 100% of target	6,238	750	0800 for landlines and 0141 for mobiles so they	Telephone, letter, fax, email	Benchmarked against the Inclusive Service Provision British Standard (unpublished) – major elements met

Advice initiative	Outcome measures					
	Awareness	Usage levels - domestic	Usage levels - micro- business	Charges to clients	Communication channels	Services for vulnerable consumers
				are charged at a local rate		with action plan to address shortfalls. Use interpreters, third party representation, staff do not have strict time limits on calls, consistent named caseworker, asking the right questions of callers is important to support them effectively.
Energy Best Deal Extra	Usage of 290% exceeds target	4,376	N/A		Face-to-face in over 3,500 locations, phone and email	Support levels vary as each bureau is an independent organisation
Big Energy Saving Network	Usage of 125% exceeds target (see grant offer fund)	Figure shared is confidential	N/A		Face-to-face as a minimum	No data
Micro-generation Certification Scheme Helpdesk	No data	1,682 (combined with micro- enterprises	See domestic	0207 number	Telephone, email	Support consumers to complete forms (but rarely completed form for them), will send out paperwork in the post
Wales						
Nest	Usage of 137% exceeds target	20,600 (see Annual report 2013-14)	N/A	No data	No data	No data. Remit includes to ensure that Welsh Assembly Government support and advice is inclusive and takes people's needs into account (for example, ensure that advice meets accessibility standards and that programmes are delivered with cultural sensitivities in mind)
Resource Efficient Wales	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
Business Wales	Usage at 100% of target	N/A	170	Fully subsidised	Primarily face-to-face,	Majority of meetings at client

Advice initiative	Outcome measures							
	Awareness	Usage levels - domestic	Usage levels - micro- business	Charges to clients	Communication channels	Services for vulnerable consumers		
					email and telephone, social media, website	premises		
Scotland								
Home Energy Scotland	No data	312,000 (see Annual Review 2014)	840 in 2012/13	No data	No data	Outreach, changed from 0800 to 0808 number to make cheaper for mobile calls		
Resource Efficient Scotland Advice and Support Service	• •	No data	377	No data	No data	No data		

Comprehensive and integrated - consumers get maximum support for minimal effort

Advice initiative	Referrals IN	Referrals OUT
Great Britain and England only		
Citizens Advice consumer service	Have formal referral protocol arrangements with: all trading standards in GB; Energy Ombudsman; 'big 6' energy suppliers; Extra Help Unit Internet search for consumer service (21%), energy bill (13%), energy supplier website (6%) used service before (2%),	4,061 signposts, including (greatest first): Energy Ombudsman; Consumer Focus website, ESAS, Shelter. Primarily done to complement advice already provided, not because issues are out of scope. In 2014, top 5 referrals were to: Extra Help Unit (12%), followed by all energy suppliers (7%), and Ombudsman Services (1%). In 2014, 3,879 warm transfers
Energy Saving Advice Service	Internet (36%), energy bill (17%), word of mouth (14%), other (8%), previous contact (6%), Warm Front (6%)	Signposting/referrals are required as part of the contract instead of warm transfers Green Deal complaints – may be referred back to the company, then certification scheme, then Green Deal Ombudsman Micro-generation complaints – referred to company then MCS or RECC ECO – voluntary agreement with ECO-obligated companies to follow up referrals from ESAS Benefits advice – the Citizens Advice consumer service Switching – Ofgem website
Home Heat Helpline	This changes each month depending on PR activity but the top 5 are: press; word of mouth; Age UK; Citizens Advice; MPs	Signposting/referrals is part of the remit of the helpline, especially to energy suppliers – its USP – drives back to suppliers In 2014, top 5 referrals were to: energy supplier (52%); ESAS (18%); Green Deal (7%); the Turn2us and local councils. 30% were warm transferred to energy supplier.
Extra Help Unit	In 2014, top 5 referrals to our service were: Citizens Advice consumer service (90%, 6,364), Ombudsman Services: Energy (3%, 237), Consumer (2%, 144), Ofgem (2%, 139), Citizens Advice Bureaux (1%, 68)	Refer routinely to local bureaux for debt advice, food banks in extreme circumstances, Samaritans, social services and police where threat to life

Advice initiative	Referrals IN	Referrals OUT
Energy Best Deal Extra	We have referral guidelines in place with the Citizens Advice consumer service and Ombudsman Services: Energy to ensure that consumers are referred to the Extra Help Unit 46% came from another service in Citizens Advice (14% via Energy Best Deal group, 27% recommendation of someone who had used the service, 5% Financial capability group)	N/A
Big Energy Saving Network	No data	No data
Micro-generation Certification Scheme Helpdesk Wales	Anecdotally, the Energy Saving Advice Service, energy suppliers and Ofgem	No data logged
Nest	No data	Over 7,000 referrals made in 2013/14 Income maximisation – have formalised referral arrangements Benefit entitlement checks. In 2013/14, nearly 1,900 referred. Also referred for Warm Homes Discount, winter fuel payment and energy tariff advice. Money management and debt advice – referrals to Money Advice Trust Energy efficiency measure installation – British Gas Local referrals – enabling care & repair services (472), fire service (115) ECO & Green Deal – ESAS; over 6,000 referred to ESAS (32% were likely to be ECO eligible customers and 68% Green Deal) (see <u>Annual report 2013- 14</u>)
Resource Efficient Wales	Integrated with Business Wales	Business Wales
Business Wales	No data	In 2014, top 5 referrals were to: internal business advisors; Carbon Trust; Natural Resource Wales: Workboost Wales; British Gas Micro Business Fund
Scotland Home Energy Scotland	No data	RES on Green Deal, micro-business. Have links with local authorities and community liaison officers to set up referral network to try to reach out advice to those who need it.
Resource Efficient	In 2013/14, top referrals to the service were:	In 2013/14, 99 organisations were referred to one of our partner

Advice initiative	Referrals IN	Referrals OUT
Scotland Advice and	1. Visit Scotland – 47 (58%)	organisations (including Energy Savings Trust (ESAS) – 83%/50, Business
Support Service	Energy Savings Trust – 29 (36% of referrals)	Gateway – 13%/8; HES; SEPA – 3%/2; Scottish Enterprise – 1%/1) because
	3. SEPA – 4 (5% of referrals)	they required additional support with other areas of their business that are
	 Scottish Enterprise – 1 (1% of referrals) 	not within our remit. All referrals are made as warm transfers.

The different modes of advice provision available

Advice initiative	Telephone	Face-to-face & one-to-one	Face-to-face & group	One-off	Long-term	Outreach
Great Britain and	England only					
Citizens Advice consumer service	✓	×	×	✓	×	×
Energy Saving Advice Service	\checkmark	×	×	\checkmark	×	×
Home Heat Helpline	\checkmark	×	×	\checkmark	×	×
Extra Help Unit	\checkmark	×	×	\checkmark	✓	×
Energy Best Deal Extra	×	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	×
Big Energy Saving Network	×	 ✓ 	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	✓
Micro-generation Certification Scheme Helpdesk	~	×	×	~	×	×
Wales						
Nest	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	✓	✓	×
Resource Efficient Wales	✓	✓	×	\checkmark	×	×
Business Wales	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	×	×
Scotland			·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Home Energy Scotland	✓	✓	×	√	\checkmark	✓
Resource Efficient Scotland Advice &	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	×

Support Service			
1 10 10			

Expert and professional - frontline staff have the skills and knowledge necessary to identify and address clients' needs, operate with the highest standards of customer care and deliver fair decisions

Advice initiative	Outcome measures		
	Complaints about advice provider	Satisfaction with service	Significant service quality issues identified
Citizens Advice consumer service	Began monitoring last year; in 2014, 25 complaints	87% satisfied; this will in future be split for domestic and micro- enterprises	 28% of cases reviewed do not achieve a good quality status. This is on target. However, current priorities for service improvement include the following: Coding – specifically the use of clarity of bill issue code Improving the depth of advice offered Improving quality case notes to support increased partner reliance on notes (general issue, not consumer specific) Criminal law training – CPR referrals lack specificity (general issue, not consumer specific) Focus on quality is making call control more important Complete advice – increasing added value by exploring ADR schemes and support organisations Focus on trader information
Energy Saving Advice Service	No data	No data	No data
Home Heat Helpline	None	In 2014, 90% satisfaction and net promoter score of 70- 90% (50% is good)	No data
Extra Help Unit	In 2012, 22 domestic	In 2014, 88% domestic	Looking to have a dedicated quality role

Advice initiative	Outcome measures		
	Complaints about	Satisfaction with	Significant service quality issues identified
	advice provider	service	
	& 5 micro-enterprise	& 86% micro-	
	In 2013, 17 domestic	enterprises	
	& 3 micro-enterprise		
	In 2014, 10 domestic		
	& 1 micro-enterprise		
Energy Best Deal Extra	No data	No data	
Big Energy Saving	Confidential data	Confidential data	Confidential data provided on independent evaluation
Network	provided on	provided on	
	independent	independent	
	evaluation	evaluation	
Micro-generation	No data		
Certification Scheme			
Helpdesk			
Nest	No data	No data	No data
Resource Efficient Wales	No data	No data	No data
Business Wales	No data	No data	No data
Home Energy Scotland	No data	No data	No data
Resource Efficient	None	No data; plan to bring	
Scotland Advice and		satisfaction evaluation	
Support Service		this year	

Adequately resourced - the service can deliver in line with need

Advice initiative	Source of funding	Annual cost (funding organisation)
Great Britain and Eng	land only	
Citizens Advice	Consumer – industry levy administered by BIS	Funding is on an agreed cost per contact basis
consumer service		Confidential data has been withheld
Energy Saving Advice	Taxpayer via DECC	Green Deal Impact Assessment projects £12.1m p.a. based on 1.4m
Service		calls, but the actual number of calls is considerably less (see Green Deal
		Impact Assessment)
Home Heat Helpline	Consumers of the 7 largest energy suppliers	£700,000 in 2013/14. Costs have varied annually in accordance with
		number of contributing suppliers and call volumes
Extra Help Unit	Consumers via industry levy administered by DECC	No data provided
Energy Best Deal Extra	Consumers - via Ofgem, including both from Energy UK and	£550,000 for 6 months; £3.6m until April 2016
	redistributed company fines	
Big Energy Saving	DECC	£900,000-£1 m in 2013/14 (DECC), about £1m in 2014/15
Network		
Micro-generation	Fees paid by trade organisations through their membership fees	£150k set-up costs in 2008/9; operational costs withheld.
Certification Scheme		
Helpdesk		
Wales		
Nest	Welsh Government	Funding for advice component not separated from the whole of Nest funding
Resource Efficient	Welsh Government	No data
Wales		
Business Wales	European Regional Development Fund and Welsh Government-	£6m but timescale unknown
	matched funding	
Scotland		

Home Energy Scotland	Scottish Government	£3m in 2013/14 (see HEEPS delivery report 2013-14)
Resource Efficient	Scottish Government	£2.6m in 2013/14 with £59k set-up costs
Scotland Advice and		
Support Service		

Effective and efficient - builds clients' confidence and their capacity to successfully navigate the markets for themselves while providing good value to the taxpayer

Advice initiative	Provider performance indicators	Performance against indicators
Great Britain and	l England only.	
Citizens Advice	% considering issues resolved	54% (2014/15 first survey)
consumer service	Contacts and files monitored are categorised as 'good'	73% (target 70%)
	Contact centre availability, Mon-Fri, 9am-5pm	100% (target 99.5%)
	Customer wait time (from end of recorded message)	84% (target 80%)
	Abandonment rate (from 5 seconds after IVR)	3% (target 5%)
	Online form response time (from receipt)	95% (target 100%
	Overall customer satisfaction	97% (target 80%)
	Overall service partner satisfaction	66% (target 70%)
	Consumer detriment (OFT/TSI method)	£11 saved for every £1 spent (£3.50 saving)
	Also procedural performance indicators:	
	Complaints	
	Data completion	
	QM benchmarking	
	% of quality and performance reviews late	
Energy Saving	ESAS reported to the ECC select committee that it reports to DECC on the	Data withheld
Advice Service	following:	
	• Call volumes, including: calls offered and answered, calls	
	answered within service level and average call handle time	
	• Contract service levels, including: customer satisfaction,	
	complaints and number of referrals	

Advice	Provider performance indicators	Performance against indicators
initiative		
	 CRM customer details, including: customer details (inc. local authority and country), how caller heard about ESAS, and the reason for call and call outcome Referrals data to ECO Affordable Warmth, participating energy suppliers and previously CERT suppliers 	
	EST can provide evidence to demonstrate the service is helping callers	
	 towards energy saving action through: outcome indicators such as referral and installed measures through monthly reports and follow up communications with callers what is effective in relation to Green Deal take-up through analysis of reasons for high conversion rate to referrals /installations indications of distributional impact through analysis of calls, referrals and installations by segment or geographical area unintended impacts of the service picked up through follow-up communications with caller and analysis of low conversion rate of referrals cost avoided on bills due to Green Deal measures installed measures installed outside of Green Deal but influenced by Green Deal infrastructure. (see EST submission to select committee) 	
	According to the tender materials, DECC has agreed high and minimum performance service levels for technical performance and customer service, and these are reported monthly. Under-performance at defined levels results in money being paid back to DECC. These include: call waiting times, response times for emails, caller satisfaction, complaints about service, % of calls leading to referrals. Other KPIs include: response time to emails, timescales for complaint resolution, timescale for providing information on ECO eligibility.	

Advice	Provider performance indicators	Performance against indicators
initiative	The Green Deal tender document – service efficiency, customer satisfaction, customer outcomes and management information (see tender)	
Home Heat Helpline	Call centre KPIs in relation to call handling times, pick-up, mid-call transfer, target group, benefits and low income are all being met Call volumes are below target and therefore the cost per call will be below target	Within target Met Below target – possibly due to mild weather; they are using a contingency to try to drive calls through increased PR
Extra Help Unit	Quarterly reporting to BIS has evolved and is driven by the Extra Help Unit (confidential)	Extra Help Unit finding it difficult to cope with spike in numbers
Energy Best Deal Extra	% of clients finding session helpful Interviews to assess helpful aspects of service	 92%; there was evidence in the interview responses that the multi-faceted approach offered by Citizens Advice Bureaux through Energy Best Deal Extra, in terms of support regarding benefit and debt advice, switching, and energy efficiency, was a successful and much appreciated strategy. Signposting to additional help and resources was of significant benefit to some consumers. This included resources such as electrical appliances courtesy of energy companies, or in calling landlords about essentials like cookers. Evaluation of energy best deal - the scheme had a significant and positive impact on the consumers and frontline workers who attended the sessions. Virtually all the consumers said they found the advice useful and most said they would take positive action, such as contacting an energy supplier.
Big Energy Saving Network	 Confidential independent survey evaluation looked at: % reporting feeling more informed and empowered % taking subsequent action and what actions these were (e.g. light bulbs, change tariff, ECO application) Target consumers (non-British, low income, benefit claimants, prepayment meter users, disabilities, off gas grid, over 65, with dependent children, no internet access, no experience of switching, chronic illness) Advice providers targeting consumers more effectively 	Confidential
Micro- generation Certification	No data	No data

Advice	Provider performance indicators	Performance against indicators
initiative		
Scheme		
Helpdesk		
Wales		
Nest	 Since Nest is largely a mechanism to encourage take-up of government schemes, the accuracy of referrals is important. This is reflected in the KPIs: % referred to ESAS eligible to take up government schemes (referral accuracy) % referred for WHD eligible % getting home safety reports after referral to fire service Energy Bill savings Potential income increases identified (average phh/year total/scheme total) 	 In 2013/14, of those referred to ESAS, 32% were likely to be ECO-eligible customers and 68% Green Deal 11% qualified 18% £475phh £2,084 average phh/ £730K this year /£1.4m over 3 years Over the last year Nest has improved the screening process for referrals to third parties, aiming to improve the quality of the lead provided. This has led to a reduction in the volume of some third-party referrals but increased the number of successful outputs. In 2012/13, focused on developing partner referral network and improving the experience. Stakeholder Board also established to help identify and target vulnerable and hard to reach households that are most in need of the support Nest can provide (see <u>Annual report 2013-14</u>).
Resource	Post-service follow up to measure outcomes. Currently developing	No data
Efficient Wales	evaluation framework.	
Business Wales	No data	No data
Scotland Home Energy Scotland	Performance reporting is completed for the entirety of the fuel poverty programme, with advice only being one component of this. Key measures: Climate change impact (tonnes of CO2 saved over lifetime of measures) Net gain in HH income over lifetime of measures £saved to £spent = HH income gearing Advice translating into measure installation, receipt of bill reduction or benefited from a payment method switch.	 3.8 in 2012/3 but area-based home insulation scheme better (as well as climate change impact), possibly reflecting less-effective targeting of national schemes Delivery lesson – front-end integration (i.e. arrangements that ensure the customer has access to all relevant assistance triggered through first contact) is an important factor in ensuring maximum benefit and minimum effort for the customer. 5% conversion of advice into installation
Resource		In the first year of operation (2013/14 financial year):

Advice	Provider performance indicators	Performance against indicators
initiative		
Efficient Scotland	Individuals receiving programme communications	149,000 with a target of 40,000 – 373% of target
Advice and	Number of one-to-one supports	554 with a target of 770 – 72% of target
Support Service	Identified savings	£12m with a target of £21m – 57% of target

Appendix 13

Accessible and visible - all those who want/need to use the service can

N.B. All data was compiled from completed information requests, calculations based on figures provided in the information requests and publicly available information. Unless referenced, all data was provided by the organisations.

Redress scheme	Outcome measures					
	Awareness	Usage - domestic	Usage - micro- business	Charges to clients	Communications channels	Services for vulnerable consumers
Ombudsman Services: Energy	No data but Ofgem (2013) survey commissioned research: 34% domestic consumers and 27% micro-businesses were not aware that they could take their complaint to an Independent Energy Ombudsman.	38,519 in 2014 Annual report says 2013/14 26,700, 132% more than previous year and 67% more than forecasted (see <u>Annual report 2013-14</u>) 87,542 initial contacts Resourced to resolve in excess of 70K cases for 2015 financial year	2,450 in 2014	03 telephone number to make cheaper from mobiles too	Telephone, letter, email and via an online form available on their website. They are also exploring the use of social media including Twitter, Facebook and LinkedIn.	vulnerable consumers can access its services, including: • TypeTalk
Green Deal Ombudsman	Badged as Ombudsman Services: Energy on energy bills and uses the					

Redress scheme	Outcome measures							
	Awareness	Usage - domestic	Usage - micro- business	Charges to clients	Communications channels	Services for vulnerable consumers		
	same phone number							
Renewable Energy Consumer Code	Total of 1,595 customer satisfaction surveys were returned in 2013. Of those consumers who did not give such positive feedback, 23% said they were not made aware of a complaints procedure and 18% said they were not made aware of the Consumer Code before they signed the contract.	In 2013, 8% of domestic consumers who complained to RECC about a RECC member resolved their complaint through the Independent Arbitration Service 1,301 complaints in 2013 (domestic and micro-business combined) to RECC. Of these, one-third fell within RECC's remit and have either been resolved or are still in the process of being resolved. Of those that have been resolved, 7 were referred to the conciliation service and 18 were referred to the independent arbitration service. More details of complaint type are available online (see Annual report 2013).	In 2013, 1% of complaints were by non- domestic consumers	£100+VAT for domestic, £200+VAT for micro- business; refundable if found in their favour	Telephone, email, fax, post, website	RECC requires completion of online forms, which can be printed off and posted to them by an advisor The consumer may give their permission for a friend, relative or other agent (e.g. Citizens Advice Bureau) to deal with a complaint on their behalf		
Micro-	No data	2012 – 2,734 clients	See	0207	A helpdesk phone line that	Can submit complaints by phone as		

Redress scheme	Outcome measures						
	Awareness	Usage - domestic	Usage - micro- business	Charges to clients	Communications channels	Services for vulnerable consumers	
generation Certification Scheme		2013 – 3,552 clients 2014 – 1,682 clients Complaints are a subset of client numbers 374 in 2013; 199 in 2014 – both consumer types	domestic	telephone number only	is open weekdays 9am-5pm A helpdesk email inbox Enquiries can be submitted via the website using a form or directly to mcs@gemserv.com	•	

Appendix 14

Adequately resourced - the service can deliver in line with need

N.B. All data was compiled from completed information requests, calculations based on figures provided in the information requests and publicly available information. Unless referenced, all data was provided by the organisations.

Redress scheme	Sources of funding	Annual costs
Ombudsman	Energy companies via subscription and case fees. Case fees vary	2013/14 – £10.534m
Services:	according to product complexity.	2012/13 – £7.557m
Energy		2011/12 – £6.184m
		Cashflow is in a strong position and the company employs a very
		prudent approach to retaining cash in its surplus to account for
		'Risks' logged on its Corporate Risk Register.
Green Deal	DECC pay for set-up costs and fixed running costs for first 2 years.	Green Deal Impact Assessment £0.3m for first two years to
Ombudsman	Case fees payable by Green Deal providers and will be dependent on	Government and £1.3 to providers (GDIA)
	the complexity of the cases (see Green Deal Impact Assessment).	
Renewable	Consumer complainant	Publicly available data is as follows:
Energy	Industry	RECC pays £350 excluding VAT for each conciliation (there were only
Consumer		7 in 2013)
Code		Each arbitration costs £450 excluding VAT (£100 excluding VAT
		payable by each of the consumer and member, with RECC paying
		the balance)
Micro-	Product manufacturers and installers. Annual members fee and per-	Set-up £150K in 2008/9. No funding shortfalls identified. Other data
generation	installation fee	withheld.
Certification		
Scheme		

Appendix 15

Effective and efficient - builds clients' confidence and their capacity to successfully navigate the markets for themselves while providing good value to the taxpayer

N.B. All data was compiled from completed information requests, calculations based on figures provided in the information requests and publicly available information. Unless referenced, all data was provided by the organisations.

Redress scheme	Provider indicators	Performance against indicators
Ombudsman	Time to resolve dispute:	
Services: Energy	42 days	65% (increased to 99% as recruitment and training took effect)
	56 days	040/
	Beyond SLAs	81%
	% energy companies required to make financial awards	19%
	Total complaints resolved 15,031, pre-investigation case closure 3%, early resolution 3%, mutually acceptable settlement 50%, OS decision 44% KPIs:	76%
	Calls answered in less than 2 mins – 82%	
	In less than 5 mins – 94%	
	Correspondence within 10 days – 76%	
	Also:	
	Total initial contacts and how they got in touch	
	Complaints received inside and OTOR	
	Total complaints resolved and stage of resolution	
	Total awards and remedies – by type	
	Complaint types	

Redress scheme	Provider indicators	Performance against indicators
	The number of complaints resolved	
	Types of new contacts received	
	The total contacts responded to	
	Channels that contacts used (phone, email)	
	Complaint types	
	Reasons for accepting a complaint	
	Complaints forms issued and returned	
	Informally and formally resolved %	
	Awards and financial breakdown.	
Green Deal	The number of complaints resolved	
Ombudsman	Types of new contacts received	
	The total contacts responded to	
	Channels that contacts used (phone, email)	
	Complaint types	
	Reasons for accepting a complaint	
	Complaints forms issued and returned	
	Informally and formally resolved %	
	Awards and financial breakdown.	
Renewable Energy	RECC has been approved under the Trading Standard Institute's Consumer	Data provided is not in the same format as TSI's
Consumer Code	Code Approval Scheme. This makes recommendations for KPIs and wants to	recommendations. It includes:
	encourage use of a KPI on consumer detriment. Suggestions include:	
		Complaint numbers – 1,301
	Compliance	Number referred to conciliation – 7
	Complaints	Number referred to independent arbitration service
	Customer satisfaction	 – 18 (1 to micro-business arbitration)
	Demographics of member sub-groups	Customer satisfaction with members – 50% rated
	Assess feedback from consumers obtained through consumer satisfaction	members, with an average 10/10 on the functioning
	surveys	of the system, and 53% on the way it was sold. Other
	Analyse cases it is aware of in which Code members have not complied with	details also available.
	the Code	Number of organisations complained about – 521
	Carry out regular audit compliance checks of Code members' performance	Number of complaints per organisation complained
	Analyse conciliation and arbitration case outcomes	about – 366 had just one complaint, with 9 having 20
	Carry out mystery shopping exercises to judge Code members' performance	or more
	Code administrator will publish the results of this monitoring in an annual	Complaints outside of remit – e.g. 18 per cent of all

Redress scheme	Provider indicators	Performance against indicators
	report, which it will make available	complaints were for RECC
		Complaint resolution rates by solution type – e.g.
	(see <u>full core criteria and guidance</u> , the <u>compliance</u> and <u>performance</u>	complaint handlers managed to resolve 4 out of 5 of
	measurement guidelines and guidance notes	the complaints allocated to them
		Average time to achieve resolution – 18.6 weeks.
		However, when another organisation was involved
		(such as an MCS Certification Body), it took on
		average 23.6 weeks to resolve each one.
		Outcomes for conciliation – e.g. for 2 cases the
		consumer then pursued the case through the courts
		(see <u>Annual report 2013</u>)
Micro-generation	Customer satisfaction	8.5/10
Certification	Cases resolved	100%
Scheme (MCS)	% resolved within 90 days	69% (Aug 2012-Dec 2014)
	% cases informed outside of remit within 3 weeks	100% (Aug 2012-Dec 2014)
	 Their consultation to introduce an arbitration framework indicates other future KPIs: Demand – what level of demand has there been for arbitration? Cost – does the cost model work? Independent inspections and technical reports – are any additional independent inspections and technical reports required? Trigger points – is it clear when disputes can be referred to arbitration? Outcomes of arbitration – what sort of issues have been referred to arbitration and what has been the outcome? Stakeholder feedback – what is the feedback from the arbitrators, CBs, installers and customers? Installer behaviour – has there been any noticeable change in installer behaviour as a result of arbitration being introduced? Customer satisfaction – are customers more satisfied with MCS as a result of arbitrative support – how much administrative support is required by the MCS Administrator? Claim value – what is the average claim value? How many disputes 	

Redress scheme	Provider indicators	Performance against indicators
	exceed the limit prescribed for MCS?	
	 Disputes referred to arbitration and outcome 	
	(see Arbitration consultation document)	