

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 May 2021 15:27
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Mike Hill Claim 60083054 SSV

H [REDACTED]

Hope all's well. Yes we agonised over this question a little while ago. It was agreed by Ian and Directors in the end that the legal costs relating to the grievance were claimable. Here's the entry in the log:

Can the MP claim for legal costs in relation to a grievance brought against him by a former staff member, which has been dealt with via the ICGS process?	Yes, notwithstanding some ethical and the costs relate to his role as an employee of the forthcoming tribunal. We are concerned that the ICGS is supposed to provide a review and wish to revisit this as part of a review
---	--

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

IPSA
www.theipsa.org.uk

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 May 2021 15:15

[REDACTED]

Subject: FW: Mike Hill Claim 60083054 SSV

Hi all

Please see below email regarding a claim by Mike Hill for legal advice.

[REDACTED] suggested that this has already been considered by [REDACTED] and Policy. If so is this an allowable cost?

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 May 2021 14:44
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Mike Hill Claim 60083054 SSV

Hi [REDACTED]

I have put a claim by Mike Hill into the queue for further consideration as it is for legal advice relating to a Parliamentary investigation. It would seem likely that this is relation to an investigation into the personal conduct of Mr Hill for which he resigned from Parliament.

As such should this be an allowable use of public funds or a cost Mr Hill should bear himself?

Should I put this to Policy?

Kind regards
[REDACTED]

IPSA
Independent Parliamentary
Standards Authority

www.theipsa.org.uk
[@ipsauk](#)