

07 MAY 2024

ErSed Reference: 21003-ERQR-03-240507

Jacob Dixon
Project Manager, Infrastructure
ESR Australia,
88 Phillip St Sydney

Re: SSD 9138102 - Westlink

Environmental Representative: Quarterly Report (ERQR #3)

Condition of Approval A35(k) for SSD 9138102 requires that the ER:

"prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, an Environmental Representative Quarterly Report providing the information set out in the Environmental Representative Protocol under the heading 'Environmental Representative Quarterly Reports'.

The **Environmental Representative Quarterly Report** must be submitted within seven calendar days following the end of each quarter for the duration of the ER's engagement for the development, or as otherwise agreed with the Planning Secretary.

The following report is to be provided to the Planning Secretary.

Please contact me if you require further information.

Sincerely

Carl Vincent

Principal (ErSed Environmental Pty Ltd)
Environmental Representative for SSD -9138102

SSD 9138102 - Westlink (WLNK) Stage 1: Environmental Representative Quarterly Report (ERQR #3) For the period 1 FEB 2024 to 30 APR 2024



1. Construction activities carried out during the reporting period.

Construction activities are currently being performed by two separate contractors:

- JK Williams (JKW) are undertaking civil earthworks.
- Richard Crookes Construction (RCC) are currently constructing Warehouse 1

The following works were being undertaken during the reporting period:

Civil Earthworks (JKW)

- Bulk earthworks, including carting, placement, and compaction of spoil
- Installation and maintenance of erosion and sediment controls, sediment basins, and clean water diversions
- Construction, operation, and maintenance of internal access and haulage roads
- Construction of shotcrete wall on Lot 1 (RCC site)

Warehouse # 1 Construction Works (Lot 1)

- Construction and compaction of base layer for the warehouse floor
- Warehouse roofing, cladding and perimeter precast panel installation
- Building structure (columns, beams etc)
- Internal and external slab construction
- Installation of inground services and permanent drainage
- Maintenance of erosion and sediment controls, sediment basins, clean water diversions

Warehouse 4 Construction Works (Lot 4)

Building works have not commenced at the time of reporting

2. Proposed upcoming construction works (where known)

The following works are expected in the next period.

Civil Earthworks (JKW)

- Bulk earthworks, including carting, placement, and compaction of spoil
- Maintenance of erosion and sediment controls, sediment basins, and clean water diversions
- Operation and maintenance of internal access and haulage roads
- Construction of permanent internal access roads (pending approvals)
- Permanent drainage (pending approvals)

Warehouse # 1 Construction Works (Lot 1)

Permanent landscaping
Internal and external concrete pours
Carpark and office formwork
Installation of inground services and permanent drainage
Windows, glazing and office cladding works
Service installation
Finishing works – tiling and painting.

Warehouse 4 Construction Works (Lot 4)

- Retaining wall construction
- Detail earthworks
- Installation of inground footings
- Erection of structural steel
- 3. ER activities undertaken during this reporting period.

SSD 9138102	Key Observations
JK Williams	
02/02/24	 Works have commenced to strip/cut material from the project area for SSD9138102 and place material within the lot immediately south and owned by ESR. This lot is subject to a proposal being assessed for a pending separate SSD and described as stage 2 of the development. It was indicated that this work has progressed under a Complying Development Certificate and limited to the assessed works of cutting and filling within a range of 6m cut and 6m placement. This CDC was issued 30 JAN 2024. Topsoil and shale material has been stockpiled above the cut works at the eastern extent. The elevated stockpiles present a risk of dust being generated and blown out of the project boundary. It is recommended that this area be inspected daily for possible dust issues and especially whenever the real time monitor indicates that wind conditions are of concern.
09/02/24	 Placement of fill currently being undertaken on the adjacent property Erosion, sediment and dust controls are being installed progressively for the fill placement works. As noted in the Quarterly ER Report (January 2024) the works have extended significantly outside the project boundary. An onsite meeting has been organised with the DPHI compliance team. Other aspects inspected included erosion and sediment controls, tree protection and dust management
15/02/24	Inspection and meeting to assist in preparing documentation and information for meeting/joint inspection with DPHI
20/02/24	 Joint inspection with representatives of DPHI and ESR of the works at the southern/eastern boundary and works being undertaken as part of the CDC issued 31 JAN 23. Heavy rain at time of inspection A copy of the revised ESCP is requested (and has now been provided)
1/3/24	 Placement of fill currently being undertaken on the adjacent property (subject to the CDC) Erosion, sediment and dust controls are being progressively implemented for the CDC works Housekeeping was a high standard DPHI investigation relating to project boundary / CDC works ongoing.
08/03/24	 Confirmation that external batters to LOT 1 and retaining wall has been stabilised Finger area – SE corner of works – works and filling progressing in this area and results in removal of previous ex farm dams used for dust suppression HES B is in place – discussions regarding provision of sediment control for excluded catchments to the SE
14/03/24	 It was discussed that all earthworks permitted under existing approvals have been paused due to pending approvals. The reason for this is potential modifications to the building designs and road alignments. Continuation of earthworks could result in redundant works being undertaken. Discussions during the site inspection will relate to expected controls and measures during shut down.
	 It was requested that the next monthly CPESC report consider the shutdown requirements for the project and also present the new arrangements in a revised ESCP.

	21/03/24	 Excess material has been stockpiled at the top the lot 1 cut. It was questioned if this material is within the approved earthworks footprint for the development. This could not be confirmed. It is requested that the location of this pile be confirmed with the surveyed limit of the approved works. Discussions and observations in preparation for expected shut down Resources are to be maintained on site – plant will be parked on site and as required operators made available Staff /Skeleton crew will be made available to do regular inspections and confirmation of required activities The Environmental staff are expecting to be on site ~2 days and as required. Requested a list of responsible personnel during the earthworks pause A revised ESCP is requested for the shutdown period.
	26/03/24	Discussions and observations in preparation for expected shut down The forebay of the high efficiency basin is being de-watered in preparation for clearing of sediment prior to the planned shutdown of the works. A lined swale has been provided to take clean water to bypass the outlet area of the high efficiency Basin. The remaining catchments to the south are directed to either a cut drain leading to the side of the basin and to the inlet and dosing unit of The Basin.
	11/04/24	The site inspection was undertaken following a period of very heavy rainfall which resulted in an exceedance of the design criteria for sediment basins (therefore the overtopping of sediment basins was due to rainfall exceeding the design criteria). HES A • The recent heavy rain has caused the inlet area to the control to be overwhelmed. The diversion on the western side to be surpassed and had failed. • Water also passed over the bund adjacent and over to the dosing point. • Significant quantities of sediment have been deposited within the forebay. • Several decants have been damaged by the flows and water passed over the spillway and over a portion of the western bund to the main bay. HES B • A dirty water drains and drop down is provided leading to the side of the HES and then towards the inlet to the high efficiency Basin. • It was indicated that some failure has occurred in this area and that dirty water would have passed directly to the main bay during the heavy flows. It is requested that this be discussed and detailed by the CPESC in his next inspection report.
	Richard Crooke : 09/02/24	Discussion of main risks and management requirements including Management of erosion and sediment controls to prevent uncontrolled runoff Dust control Housekeeping
	28/2/24	Discussion of main risks and management requirements including Management of erosion and sediment controls to prevent uncontrolled runoff Dust control
	02/04/24	Discussion of main risks and management requirements including
	<u>l</u>	

	Audits undertaken.	A selection of photographs taken as part of inspections is provided, with comments, at item 14. The following Audits were undertaken by the ER in the period. Audits by Others is detailed at Part 4. following APRIL 2024 A general review of air quality monitoring results was undertaken by the ER including a review of exceedances, dust complaints and dust management practices on site. Please refer to Section 9 for further information.
4.	Audits/	CPESC monthly report as required by Condition B23
	Inspections by Others	No significant issues were identified, with minor recommendations for maintenance (sandbags) and water diversion on site, with a particular focus on the Stage 2 area and entry gates. The basin inlets are performing well and are to be monitored in future events The site is forecasting progression of internal works and minor updates to the erosion and sediment control plan for the progression of Lot 4 and Stage 2 areas. Generally, the site is in a clean and tidy condition and is well presented with good maintenance March It was noted that the site is entering a period of temporary shutdown pending further construction approvals and additional recommendations were made. No significant issues were identified, with minor recommendations for maintenance and diversion on site, with a particular focus on the Stage 2 area entry gate and diversion areas. The basin inlets are performing well and are to be monitored in future events The site is forecasting a temporary shut down period and due to the site being idle for greater than 20 days the erosion and sediment control best practice documents and approvals require disturbed areas are to be stabilized. As such additional measures for diversion and stabilization have been recommended in this report. In addition, a temporary site shut down verification list has been provided to identify the required actions prior to the shut down period April Temporary Shutdown verification audit undertaken which identified actions such as dewatering of sediment basins, batter stabilisation and ensuring functionality of clean water diversions etc
		 In addition to the external CPESC inspections undertaken in accordance with Condtion B23, a Post Rainfall inspection was undertaken by ESR and JKWilliams which noted the following: The site has experienced a significant rainfall event which has exceeded the design criteria of the site erosion and sediment controls. As a result, the basins have overtopped and the site has experienced erosion and sedimentation impacts from the high runoff and failure of site controls (due to exceedance of control design capabilities). The site is considered to have performed very well with localised failures and scour areas. Basins were active with water passage directed to inlets until inundation and the flocculation stations were emptied in the treatment of the event. Maintenance and repairs are recommended for the site to return the implemented controls to an effective operational state for future rainfall events.
5.	Summary of Community Consultation	The CCS includes the register of consultation and communication for the Project. A summarised extract for the period is provided as Attachment 1. Complete records of the register are available if required.

6. Summary of Complaints The following complaints have been received during the period.		complaints have been received during the period.	
		DATE	DETAILS
		14/2/24	A dust complaint was raised by a neighbouring resident (directly to ESR). ESR contacted the JKW Project Manager who directed works to cease in accordance with the CAQMP.
		15/3/24	A traffic complaint was made relating to trucks queuing on Abbots Road creating a safety issue. ESR investigated the complaint and determined the trucks were not related to the ESR site and referred the complaint to the ER.
		The complainant also raised concerns regarding dust and driver conduct the precinct.	
			The ER subsequently informed FKC of the complaint and raised a non- compliance with SSD 10479 (200 Adlington Road)
			Following the initial complaint, subsequent communications were had between ESR and the complainant on the 22/3/24 and the 26/3/24.
7.	Summary of Incidents	The following period.	table provides a summary of incidents Notified to the Department during the reporting
			safety incident reported to the Department.
		· ·	evious quarterly ER report (for the period $01/11/23-31/01/24$) did not include details of an at that was reported to the Department on the $11/12/23$. Details are provided within this
following a heavy rainfall event. T consequent to the basin design of		follow consec	dent/event was self-reported to the NSW EPA on the 5/4/24 relating to release of watering a heavy rainfall event. The ER discussed this with the DPHI at the time. As it was quent to the basin design can capacity being exceeed it was not considered to be an incident compliance. The details of this event are provided below.
		DATE	DETAILS
		7/2/23	A truck exiting site damaged its undercarriage as it was travelling through the wheel wash. This resulted in hydraulic fluid leaking out from the underside of the truck. The incident report notes: • Relevant authorities were notified including EPA and Penrith Council
			The hydraulic leak was cleaned up on the day of the incident
		16/02/24	TOLL HIVE: SAFETY INCIDENT REPORT 002 – Young worker taken to hospital 2:10 PM ESR were notified of an incident on site Prior to commencing work a young worker was found unresponsive An ambulance was called, and he was taken to hospital.
			The incident was notified 16/02/24 to the DPHI in accordance with the SSD reporting requirements
		5/4/24	Self-reported event to EPA:
			 Rainfall volume - 58mm received overnight, 23mm this morning when last checked, with rain continuing and more expected. More sandbags have been deployed and flocculant dosage has been increased.
8.	,		entified following potential non compliances and recommended they be reported in /ith the SSD reporting requirements.
		23/01/24	Note – Commencing Previous Period
			The ER was contacted by DPHI in regard to works being undertaken at the Southern and Western boundaries.
			Potential non compliance was not raised at this time as the ER was overseas and it was agreed to investigate on their return 29/01/24.

A site inspection was held 02/02/24 where the following observations were made and communicated to the Department

Works have commenced to strip/cut material from the project area for SSD9138102 and place material within the lot immediately south and owned by ESR. This lot is subject to a proposal being assessed for a pending separate SSD and described as stage 2 of the development.

It was indicated that this work has progressed under a Complying Development Certificate and limited to the assessed works of cutting and filling within a range of 6m cut and 6m placement. This CDC was issued 30 JAN 2024.

There is no access being used from this lot to Mamre Road.

ESR was informed that this approach may lead to complications in ongoing compliance and control as some works may form part of the existing SSD as well as the CDC works or otherwise the pending SSD.

ESR was requested to provide a list of the documents prepared for the CDC application and also a copy of the CDC issued by the independent certifier.

Works have commenced to strip and place. The contractor was recommended to utilise the existing management controls for the SSD and begin to apply the same level of management to the new works as this will support transition between the current CDC and the SSD works.

The observed works do not appear to have sufficient sediment control for the level of clearing. These works also are in excess of 2500m2 and as do not have sediment controls which are in accordance with the MRP DCP.

It is recommended that the contractor engage with the current project CPESC to develop an ESC strategy that can be immediately implemented to provide sufficient sediment control in advance of the SDD being approved and the area being incorporated in the formal ESCP strategy for the development.

Further requests for information were raised by the DPHI and a site meeting and joint Inspection was held between the applicant and representatives of the DPHI (20/02/24). As this issue was under review and consideration by the DPHI the ER did not recommend a separate non-compliance notification to be raised.

The review and consideration of this mater by the DPHI is still ongoing.

22/03/24

Stockpiling observed potentially outside the approved earthworks boundary but within the Project boundary (site inspection 14/03/24). It is noted that this stockpiling activities had commenced (approximately August 2023) however was not identified through visual observations made by the ER during routine inspections.

The stockpiling activity outside the approved earthworks boundary was confirmed by JKW 20/03/24 following a review of site maps and plans.

Stockpiling in over areas reserved as Undeveloped Land for the purposes of Stormwater management

Potential Non-compliance raised against conditions B27 and B25(h) with applicant requested to confirm if an Alternative Stormwater Management System for the site has been presented and approved by the Planning Secretary otherwise a non-compliance should be raised. The applicant noted that this has not been raised this as it is being dealt with separately with DHPI.

This potential non-compliance was communicated to the DPHI by the ER at time of observation.

It was noted that the expended earthworks being undertaken as part of the CDC for the southern (stage2) lots may also be in non-compliance with the above conditions however as these works were already be reviewed by the DPHI additional NCs were not recommended (see discussion item above).

9. Evaluation of Environmental Performance

The ER's evaluation of Environmental Performance is based on:

- Review of monitoring data for dust, noise and traffic
- Review of complaints and incidents
- Monthly CPESC Audit report
- Stakeholder feedback
- ER site inspections.

See details of Non compliances provided at Section 8 above.

The identified potential non-compliances indicate scope for improved management in respect to adherence to approved limits and scope of works.

Further discussion of environmental performance is presented below.

Noise

Table 3.3 of the Construction Noise and Vibration management plan documents the construction noise management levels applicable to the project. These are as follows:

- Residences 45 dBa (Mondays to Fridays (7am-6pm), Saturdays (8am 1pm)
- Highly Noise Affected level of 75dBA, which represents the point which there may be a strong reaction to community noise.

Month	Noise Levels	ER Comment
February	Average of 42 dBA (LA _{eq}) at the Northern Boundary Average value of 53 dBA(LA _{eq}) at Lot 4 (SW Corner)	All recorded levels are below the 75dBA – highly impacted NML Exceedance of residential noise management level at Lot 4 (SW Corner of site)
March	Average of 36 dBA (LA _{eq}) at the Northern Boundary Average value of 51 dBA(LA _{eq}) at Lot 4 (SW Corner)	All recorded levels are below the 75dBA – highly impacted criteria Exceedance of residential noise management level at Lot 4 (SW Corner of site)
April	Average of 37 dBA (LA _{eq}) at the Northern Boundary Average value of 50DB(LA _{eq}) at Lot 4 (SW Corner)	All recorded levels are below the 75dBA – highly impacted criteria Exceedance of residential noise management level at Lot 4 (SW corner of site)

In relation to the recorded exceedances at the (Lot 4) site, the ER notes that the noise monitor is located in an area where little or no work is being undertaken. There is potential for the noise levels recorded to be affected by extraneous sources. Site observations made by JKW during monitoring events conclude that other noise sources that may impact the noise monitoring results include:

- Neighbour mowing lawn
- Aeroplane noise
- Wind and rain
- Neighbours vehicles

Recommendations - Noise

- While there has been some exceedance of the residential noise management levels during the
 reporting period, as noted above the noise levels may also be potentially influenced by other
 sources such as traffic and rainfall (which may affect the noise monitoring equipment). It is also
 noted that there have not been any noise complaints during the reporting period.
- It is therefore recommended that should any complaints be received, attended monitoring is
 undertaken at the complaints residence to accurately determine whether (or not) the noise
 management levels are complied with and whether any further management actions are
 required.

Dust (Dust Depositional Gauge Results)
The Dust Deposition criteria as described in the AQMP are presented below.

	Pollutant	Averaging Period	Air Quality Criteria	Application
l	Deposited Dust (DD)	Annual	4g/m2/month	Off-site receiver

A summary of dust monitoring results for the `reporting period is provided below.

Month	Details of Exceedances	ER comment
JK William		
Jan 24 ¹	The monthly change for criteria of 2g/m2 were exceeded at DDG 5 and DDG 6, with increases of 2.2 mg/m2 and 5.7g/m2 respectively. Monthly changes for mineral dust were compliant with all criteria. The rolling averages for DDG 4 and 6 exceeded the criteria of 4g/m2 with average concentrations of 6.3 g/m2 at both locations The rolling average for mineral dust remains compliant at all locations.	The January dust monitoring report (CHEC) notes that the observed increase in insoluble solids is primarily attributed and increase in combustible solids, which includes pollen and plant matter rather than actual mineral dust. The report also notes that the rolling averages for mineral dust remains compliant with all criteria. This indicates that elevated levels are likely to be attributed to non-project related sources such as pollen. Refer to Note (2) below for discussion
		regarding compliance.
Feb 24	The rolling averages for DDG 4 and DDG 6 exceeded the criteria of 4g/m2/month with average concentrations of 5.6 and 6.3 g/m2 respectively.	The February dust monitoring report (CHEC) notes that "dust concentrations have generally reduced since last month and no monthly change of insoluble solids or non-combustible solids exceeded the monthly change criteria. The report also notes that the rolling averages for mineral dust remains compliant with all criteria. This indicates that elevated levels are likely to be attributed to non-project related sources
		such as pollen. Refer to Note (2) below for discussion regarding compliance.
Mar 24	The rolling averages for DDG4 and DDG6 exceeded the criteria of 4 g/m2 with average concentrations of 5 g/m2 and 5.8 g/m2 respectively. The rolling averages for mineral dust remains compliant at all locations.	The CHEC report notes "Whilst dust concentrations generally decreased over the month, it is noted that the average dust concentrations at DDG4 and DDG6 continue to exceed the monthly average criteria. The averages are primarily attributed to significant concentrations reported in October 2023 and have continued to decrease since. It is expected that if compliant concentrations continue to be measured, the rolling average will eventually become compliant" The report also notes that the rolling averages for mineral dust (non-combustible matter) remains compliant with all criteria. This indicates that elevated levels are likely to be attributed to non-project related sources such as pollen. Refer to Note (2) below for discussion regarding compliance.
APR 24	Not available at the time of reporting	
	Crookes Construction	
JAN 24 ¹	Compliant	N/A
FEB 24	Compliant	N/A
	Compliant	N/A

APR 24	Not available at the time of reporting	N/A	i

Notes to table:

- 1. January 2024 results were not available at the time of the previous ER report.
- 2. The ER notes that the assessment criteria (for dust) is based on a 12 month rolling average and a full years data is required to confirm compliance. The ER notes that (as documented in air quality monitoring reports), that should current trends continue then it is likely that compliance with the air quality criteria will be achieved.

In addition to Dust Depositional Monitoring, JKW have installed real time dust monitors to provide an instantaneous measure of potential air quality impacts. A summary of results for the reporting period is provided below:

Month	Details of Exceedances	ER Comment
FEB 24	Compliance achieved for all parameters at both the Northern Boundary and the SW Corner of the site	No comment
MAR 24	 Compliance achieved at the Northern Boundary, Lot 1 Eight exceedances recorded of the PM₁₀ daily average of PM₁₀ at the SW corner of the site (compliance with PM _{2.5} criteria achieved) 	Refer to recommendations below.
APR 24	Compliance achieved for all parameters at both the Northern Boundary and the SW Corner of the site	No comment

Recommendations – Dust

- One complaint was received due to dust generation during the reporting month, and while
 appropriate actions (including stopping the works), appear to be undertaken, a more proactive approach to dust management may potentially avoid future complaints. This may
 include:
 - Improved planning for works in conditions that are likely to result in dust generation and complaints. This may include increased watering (e.g. additional water carts), avoiding work in windy conditions and visual surveillance to ensure works are stopped in a timely manner.
 - Where practical to do so, accelerating the installation of final landscaping and paved surfaces to prevent dust generation.
 - Installing an off-site dust gauge to obtain reliable benchmark air quality data (for discussion at the Mamre Road Working group meeting)

Traffic

Construction traffic limits as described in the CTMP are as follows:

- Site AM Peak 103 movements per hour (movements, in and out combined)
- Site PM Peak 75 movements per hour (movements, in and out combined)
- Daily 580 movements per day (movements, in and out combined)

Details of exceedances with the traffic limits as noted above are provided below.

Date	Details of Exceedences	ER comment
JK Williams		
FEB	Nil	N/A
MAR	Nil	N/A
APR	Nil	N/A

Richard Crookes Construction		
FEB	Nil	N/A
MAR	Nil	N/A
APR	Nil	N/A

In summary, recorded traffic values are below the predictions made in the CTMP.

Recommendations - Traffic.

- Although the recorded traffic volumes at the site are less than the predicted levels in the CTMP, there have been several incidents/non compliances (by others) within the Mamre Road Precinct involving non -compliant queuing of vehicles prior to entering another site and non-compliant traffic manoeuvres. It is therefore recommended that the requirements of the traffic management plan and approved vehicle routes are re-communicated to the relevant personnel, contractors and suppliers to minimise the risk of noncompliance or incident.
- Review the traffic reporting template to assist interpretation with prescribed traffic limits. The ER may provide examples of other project that may assist.

10. Analysis of Lesson Learnt and Opportunities for improvement

Specific lessons learned and opportunities for improvement relating to dust, noise ad traffic are described in Section 9 above). Other opportunities for improvement may include:

- Planning for wet weather events and installation of end of day controls to minimise the risk
 of sediment laden water discharging from the site, particularly for high rainfall events when
 the design criteria is exceeded
- Provision of expert commentary from the specialist Air Quality/Acoustic consultants
 regarding the likely cause of any exceedance including the likelihood of the exceedance
 being caused by extraneous sources to include specific reference to the management plans
 and compliance with the mgt plans.

11. Any changes to the project including changes to CEMP and other Project Documentation

One modification (MOD 3) was approved by DPHI on the 9^{th} of April 2024 for minor changes to Warehouse 1. Further details are provided at the DPHI website.

MOD 3 - Warehouse 1 minor design changes | Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment (nsw.gov.au)

During the Audit period, the following documents have been amended for the construction activities associated with Warehouse #3 to be constructed on Lot 4.

Documentation		Version and Date	
		(Author)	
Construction	Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)	R 02 14/03/2024	
ESR_Westlin	k Kemps Creek_Stage 1 – Stage 3 CEMP_Rev02	(ASPECT)	
Appendix A	Construction Traffic Management Plan	R02 14/03/24	
	1323r09v02 CTMP_Westlink, Stage 1 – Stage 3, Issue II	(ANSON)	
Appendix B	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	V1 10/01/24	
	P99_OEM_ESCP_WESTLINK_LOT4_REV A-001-SSD-9138102	(OCRE)	
Appendix C	Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan	V1.2 25/01/24	
	TM755-08F02 Westlink Stage 1 (Stage 3) CNVMP (r2)	(RENZO TONIN)	
Appendix D	Construction Air Quality Management Plan	R 02 14/03/24	
	ESR_Westlink Kemps Creek Stage 1 – Stage 3 CAQMP_Rev02	(ASPECT)	
Appendix E	Construction Waste Management Plan ESR_Westlink Kemps Creek	R 02 14/03/24	
	Stage 1 – Stage 3 CWMP_Rev02	(ASPECT)	
Appendix F	Contamination Unexpected Finds Protocol		
Appendix	ESR Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Induction Training	R 02 14/03/24	
G		(ASPECT)	
Appendix H	Wildlife Management Plan	R 03 22/05/23	
	ESR Westlink Kemps Creek Stage 1 – WMP_Rev03	(EMM)	
Appendix I	Community Consultation and Complaints Handling strategy I.1	V2 24/05/23	
	Westlink Industry Park: Stage 1 – SSD-9138102 CSCS_Rev2.0	(ETHOS URBAN)	
Appendix J	Aboriginal and Cultural Heritage Management Sub Plan	V6 17 MAY 23	
		(ARTIFACT)	

ER endorsement of the amended plans was provided on the 20/3/24.

12.	Any meetings attended by ER.	The ER has been involved in the following meetings.						
		DATE	DETAILS					
		4/2/23	recinct Working Group					
		20/2/23	Meeting with DPHI, ESR and JKW to discuss DPHI concerns regarding the placement of spoil material outside the approved project boundary.					
		12/4/24		Mamre Road Precinct Working Group – Extraordinary Meeting to discuss lessons learnt and planning for major wet weather events.				
13.	Summary of documents issued by the ER.	The following	g documents were	issued by the ER.				
		21003 ERMR-34-0-240206		ER Quarterly Report for period to 01 OCT 23 to 31 JAN 24 (uploaded to the DPHI Portal)				
		24004-ESLR4-CEMP-240320		ER letter of endorsement for the amendment of CEMP and subplans for the construction of Warehouse #3.				
14.	Closing Remarks	the 5/3/24, E	This report constitutes the final quarterly report. In accordance with the Direction issued from DPHI on the 5/3/24, ER reporting will now be submitted to DPHI on the 7 th calendar day following the end of each month. Every third monthly report will be expanded to cover quarterly trends.					

Photo	Location and comment	Resolution/Action
	2 FEB 24 Lot 1 – RCC Toll Works to extend the formation for lot 1 are progressing. Interface with the building works were discussed. It was indicated that there have been no further issues of water passing from the upper formation into the ongoing works or access areas.	NA
	2 FEB 24 - Road frontage to Aldington Work to construct the benched retaining wall around the western and southern boundary has been completed.	JKW to confirm when site has been Polymered (confirmed as treated 20th FEB 24)
7 July 200	The surface is to be left bare pending landscaping works. Sediment fence is in place at the boundary fence.	
	It is a requirement of the DCP and referenced ESC guidance that all unstable areas in excess of 2500m2 be made to report to a type A or B sediment control. This requirement forms part of condition B21	
TESS PRINTED	Alternatively, the surface may be stabilised with application of a suitable polymer pending completion of landscape works.	
	15 FEB 24 – SE Boundary	NA
	The location of the break /corner in the SE boundary of the site was discussed.	
	It was indicated that this approximates the corner of the fence line and not the alignment of the sediment fence.	
A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	This is need to be clarified with during the meeting with the DPE.	

	20 FEB 24 - STAGE 1 – Catchments leading to access road / exit to Abbots	JW confrm that bags are made available during rain shut down to direct catchments across access ways
	Surface water from the upper catchments are generally managed to the main HES-A control.	to unect catchinents across access ways
	A portion of the access road drains on the northern side of the main access – and then passes towards the entrance and bypasses the main HES-A control.	
	Works should be undertaken to maximise the flows to the HES-A.	
	08 MAR 24 Lower batter below Lot1 Retaining Wall It was confirmed that the lower batter to the retaining wall and all internals to the ATF have been handed over to Richard crooks construction.	NIL
Control of the second	It was indicated that the bare batter below the retaining wall has been treated with a clear polymer.	
	Polymer was applied 20 th FEB 2024	
	O8 MAR 24 HES Basin B Issues associated with interaction at boundary. It was confirmed that water flowing from catchments within the SSD must be managed by controls approved within the SSD. As such catchments to the south east corner must be managed to the high efficiency Basin and not allowed to pass to the southern boundary. Additional controls will be required to accommodate these catchments or otherwise a culvert provided to engage the high-efficiency Basin.	Updated ESCP pending
	This issue should be discussed with the CPESC and the erosion and sediment control plan updated	
The state of the s	14 MAR 24 Lot 1 earthworks extent and hand over	NIL
	It was discussed that the works at lot 1 have progressed to the eastern extent.	
	Prior to shut down the lot will be handed over to RCC except for the final 20-30m to the final cut at the eastern end.	

	14 MAR 24 Stockpiling – eastern extent Excess material has been stockpiled at the top the lot 1 cut. It was questioned if this material is within the approved earthworks footprint for the development. This could not be confirmed. It is requested that the location of this pile be confirmed with the surveyed limit of the approved works.	JKW confirm with arial image overlaid with site boundaries that stockpiles are in reserved land. ESR requested to confirm if a noncompliance should be reported in respect to condition B27 and B25(h).
General JKW have completed all works possible under current approvals. Project to be soft shut down pending progress of next stage of development approvals.	 21 MAR 24 Discussion had with JKW to confirm arrangements for shut down Resources are to be maintained on site – plant will be parked on site and as required operators made available Staff /Skeleton crew will be made available to do regular inspections and confirmation of required activities The Environmental staff are expecting to be on site ~2 days and as required. Please confirm who is responsible for monitoring/and reporting during shut down A revised ESCP is requested for the shutdown period. 	Revised ESCP pending
	26 MAR 24 – HES A The forebay of the high efficiency basin is being de-watered in preparation for clearing of sediment prior to the planned shutdown of the works. The forebay is being a pumped across to the main bay -adjacent to the decant units. This pumping should be moved to immediately adjacent to the central to maximize the time for this water to settle prior to passing out through the decant outlet.	Pumped moved during inspection
	11 APRIL 24 – HES A The recent heavy rain has caused the inlet area to the control to be overwhelmed. The diversion on the western side to be surpassed and had failed. Water from the side flowed partially to the HES Basin dosing but also through the site to the west and underneath the site compound area. A full inspection and report from the project CPESC is requested detailing performance and areas of failure within the erosion and settlement controls.	April CPESC report expected to be discussed in June ERMR



11 APRIL 24 – HES B

Dosing of the forebay has occurred, however the water within the main bay of the basin appears to be untreated.

It was suggested that water be circulated from the main bay back into the forebay as it appears that excessive flocculant has been added here.

Maintenance of the forebay is required to clear sediment accumulated at the inlet.

NA			

Attachment 1 – Extract of Consultation and Communication Register

Date	Responsible Rep	In/Out/ Meeting	Initial Communication Method/Tool	Contact Name/ Organisation	Nature of Complaint/Enquiry/ Communication	Summary of Issues/Details of Communication	Resolution
14/2/24	ESR	In	Test message	Neigbour	Complaint	A dust complaint was raised by a neighbouring resident (directly to ESR).	JKW ceased works immediately in line with the CAQMP
14/2/24	ESR	In	Email	Neighbour	Complaint	A traffic complaint was made relating to trucks queuing on Abbots Road creating a safety issue. ESR investigated the complaint and determined the trucks were not related to the ESR site and referred the complaint to the ER. The complainant also raised concerns regarding dust and driver conduct broadly within the precinct.	The ER subsequently informed FKC of the complaint and raised a noncompliance with SSD 10479 (200 Adlington Road) Following the initial complaint, subsequent communications were had between ESR and the complainant on the 22/3/24 and the 26/3/24. Refer to recommendations in body of report relating to dust management