

# Auditor's Annual Report

Transport for Greater Manchester— year  
ended 31 March 2023

11th March 2024



# Contents

- 01** [Introduction](#)
- 02** [Audit of the financial statements](#)
- 03** [Commentary on VFM arrangements](#)
- 04** [Other reporting responsibilities](#)

## [Appendix A: Further information on our audit of the financial statements](#)

Our reports are prepared in the context of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd. Reports and letters prepared by appointed auditors and addressed to members or officers are prepared for the sole use of Transport for Greater Manchester. No responsibility is accepted to any member or officer in their individual capacity or to any third party.

Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales

# 01

## Section 01: **Introduction**

# 1. Introduction

## Purpose of the Auditor’s Annual Report

Our Auditor’s Annual Report (AAR) summarises the work we have undertaken as the auditor for Transport for Greater Manchester (‘TfGM’) for the year ended 31 March 2023. Although this report is addressed to TfGM, it is designed to be read by a wider audience including members of the public and other external stakeholders.

Our responsibilities are defined by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice (‘the Code’) issued by the National Audit Office (‘the NAO’). The remaining sections of the AAR outline how we have discharged these responsibilities and the findings from our work. These are summarised below.



### Opinion on the financial statements

We issued our audit report on 11 March 2024. Our opinion on the financial statements was unqualified. Our audit report did however include a paragraph drawing attention to disclosures in the financial statements relating to going concern.



### Value for Money arrangements

In our audit report issued we reported that we had completed our work on TfGM’s arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources and had not issued recommendations in relation to identified significant weaknesses in those arrangements. Section 3 provides our commentary on TfGM’s arrangements.



### Wider reporting responsibilities

We have received the group instructions from the National Audit Office confirming as in previous years, we are not required to complete Whole of Government Accounts work at TfGM (as data is included in Greater Manchester Combined Authority’s WGA return on a group basis).

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of TfGM and to consider any objection made to the accounts. We did not receive any questions or objections in respect of TfGM’s financial statements.

# 02

Section 02:

## **Audit of the financial statements**

## 2. Audit of the financial statements

### The scope of our audit and the results of our opinion

Our audit was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Code, and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs). The purpose of our audit is to provide reasonable assurance to users that the financial statements are free from material error. We do this by expressing an opinion on whether the statements are prepared, in all material respects, in line with the financial reporting framework applicable to TFGM and whether they give a true and fair view of TFGM's financial position as at 31 March 2023 and of its financial performance for the year then ended. Our audit report, issued on 11 March 2024 gave an unqualified opinion on the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2023.

Our audit report also included a paragraph on the material uncertainty in relation to going concern, drawing readers' attention to the disclosures made in TFGM's financial statements

A summary of the significant risks we identified when undertaking our audit of the financial statements and the conclusions we reached on each of these is outlined in Appendix A. In this appendix we also outline the uncorrected misstatements we identified and any internal control recommendations we made.

### Significant difficulties during the audit

During the course of the audit we did not encounter any significant difficulties and have had the full co-operation of management to complete our work.

### Qualitative aspects of TFGM's accounting practices

We have reviewed TFGM's accounting policies and disclosures and concluded they comply with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23, as amended by the Update to the Code and Specifications for Future Codes for Infrastructure Assets, published in November 2022. The accounting policies applied were appropriately tailored to TFGM's circumstances.

Draft accounts were received from TFGM on 31st May 2023 and were of a good quality. Good quality supporting working papers have been made available in a timely manner and these have assisted our audit progress.

Reporting responsibility	Outcome
Narrative Report	We did not identify any significant inconsistencies between the content of the Narrative Report and our knowledge of TFGM.
Annual Governance Statement	We did not identify any matters where, in our opinion, the governance statement did not comply with the guidance issued by CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting.

# 03

Section 03:

**Commentary on VFM arrangements**

### 3. Commentary on VFM arrangements

#### Overall summary





# 3. VFM arrangements – Overall summary

## Approach to Value for Money arrangements work

We are required to consider whether TFGM has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out and sets out the reporting criteria that we are required to consider. The reporting criteria are:



**Financial sustainability** - How TFGM plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services



**Governance** - How TFGM ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks



**Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness** - How TFGM uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services

Our work is carried out in three main phases.

### Phase 1 - Planning and risk assessment

At the planning stage of the audit, we undertake work so we can understand the arrangements that TFGM has in place under each of the reporting criteria; as part of this work we may identify risks of significant weaknesses in those arrangements.

We obtain our understanding of arrangements for each of the specified reporting criteria using a variety of information sources which may include:

- NAO guidance and supporting information
- Information from internal and external sources including regulators
- Knowledge from previous audits and other audit work undertaken in the year
- Interviews and discussions with staff and directors

Although we describe this work as planning work, we keep our understanding of arrangements under review and update our risk assessment throughout the audit to reflect emerging issues that may suggest there are further risks of significant weaknesses.

### Phase 2 - Additional risk-based procedures and evaluation

Where we identify risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements, we design a programme of work to enable us to decide whether there are actual significant weaknesses in arrangements. We use our professional judgement and have regard to guidance issued by the NAO in determining the extent to which an identified weakness is significant.

We outline the risks that we have identified and the work we have done to address those risks on page 12.

### Phase 3 - Reporting the outcomes of our work and our recommendations

We are required to provide a summary of the work we have undertaken and the judgments we have reached against each of the specified reporting criteria in this Auditor's Annual Report. We do this as part of our Commentary on VFM arrangements which we set out for each criteria later in this section.




We also make recommendations where we identify weaknesses in arrangements or other matters that require attention from TFGM. We refer to two distinct types of recommendation through the remainder of this report:

- **Recommendations arising from significant weaknesses in arrangements**  
We make these recommendations for improvement where we have identified a significant weakness in TFGM's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Where such significant weaknesses in arrangements are identified, we report these (and our associated recommendations) at any point during the course of the audit.
- **Other recommendations**  
We make other recommendations when we identify areas for potential improvement or weaknesses in arrangements which we do not consider to be significant but which still require action to be taken

The table on the following page summarises the outcomes of our work against each reporting criteria, including whether we have identified any significant weaknesses in arrangements or made other recommendations.

### 3. VFM arrangements – Overall summary

#### Overall summary by reporting criteria

Reporting criteria	Commentary page reference	Identified risks of significant weakness?	Actual significant weaknesses identified?	Other recommendations made?
 Financial sustainability	11	Yes – see page 12	No	No
 Governance	15	No	No	No
 Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness	18	No	No	No

### 3. Commentary on VFM arrangements

#### Financial Sustainability

How the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services



# 3. VFM arrangements – Financial Sustainability

## Risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements

We have outlined below the risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements that we have identified as part of our continuous planning procedures, and the work undertaken to respond to each of those risks.

Risk of significant weakness in arrangements		Work undertaken and the results of our work
1	<p><b>Financial Sustainability</b></p> <p>TfGM is operating in an increasingly challenging financial environment. The Covid-19 pandemic continues to have a significant on-going impact on the operations of TfGM, creating additional pressures and uncertainties in budgets, particularly in relation to Metrolink and Supported Bus Services.</p> <p>Additional cost pressures identified in the 2022/23 budget are to be offset through the generation of savings and efficiencies and the receipt of additional grant income.</p> <p>This creates a risk to the financial sustainability of TfGM which will need to be closely monitored and managed in the short to medium term.</p>	<p><b>Work undertaken</b></p> <p>We have reviewed the arrangements TfGM has in place for ensuring financial resilience, specifically that medium term financial plan has taken into consideration factors such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• funding reductions,</li><li>• salary and general inflation,</li><li>• demand changes,</li><li>• restructuring costs and</li><li>• the sensitivity analysis undertaken given the degree of variability in the above factors.</li></ul> <p>We have also reviewed the arrangements in place to monitor TFGM's progress in delivering its budget and related savings plans.</p> <p><b>Results of our work</b></p> <p>Although we included an emphasis of matter paragraph within our auditor's report, we are satisfied there is no evidence of a significant weaknesses in the arrangement TfGM has in place in relation to financial sustainability.</p>

# 3. VFM arrangements – Financial Sustainability

## Overall commentary on the Financial Sustainability reporting criteria

### Background to TfGM’s operating environment in 2022/23

As in prior years most of TfGM’s operational activities are funded from the Transport Levy and the Mayoral Precept, as far as the latter relates to transport matters. The Transport Levy is provided by Greater Manchester Combined Authority (“GMCA”) from funding received from the ten Greater Manchester local authorities. The Transport Levy is set annually by the GMCA which approves the transport budget and the amount provided to TfGM. Certain activities which are Mayoral functions are funded from the Mayoral Budget and the Mayoral Precept. This includes the costs associated with developing, updating and delivering the Local Transport Plan.

In 2022/23 TfGM continued to deal with the impact of the pandemic on its operations. Patronage on the transport network across Greater Manchester has been slow to recover to pre-pandemic levels. The reduction in revenues for both Metrolink and Buses, plus inflationary pressures has meant TfGM is facing significant shortfalls. Grant funding for the Metrolink was extended until March 2023 and ongoing discussions with the Department for Transport (DfT) intended to secure future funding have taken place as part of TfGM’s Sustainability Plan. An additional £30.2m grant has been agreed for 2023/24. Alongside this, bus operators are still facing significant challenges resulting in some operators making commercial changes across Greater Manchester. These changes have included service withdrawals and reductions in frequency requiring TfGM’s intervention to ensure the stability of the network. Bus Recovery funding has been received to help mitigate the increased costs incurred. This funding continues to March 2025.

### 2022/23 Financial statement performance

We have undertaken a high-level analysis of the audited financial statements, including the Movement in Reserves Statement and the Balance Sheet.

TfGM’s net assets have increased slightly from £1,929m to £1,994m at 31 March 2023. The most significant changes in the balance sheet relate to TfGM’s share of the pension fund showing a reduction in net liability of £56.8m. It is not unusual to see material movements in the net pension position and such movements tend to be consistent with those experienced by other local authorities.

TfGM’s useable reserves have reduced slightly from £49.7m at 31 March 2022 to £48.1m at 31 March 2023. In addition to these reserves, TfGM also has access to transport reserves held by GMCA, Together these reserves provide some mitigation against future financial challenges.

### TfGM’s financial planning and monitoring arrangements

As in previous years TfGM works closely with GMCA as part of its financial planning process. TfGM agreed a balanced budget for 2022/23. The budgeting process spans several months and includes detailed discussions with departments about the savings necessary to deliver a balanced position. Departments are subject to appropriate challenge about their proposals. Throughout the year, TfGM updates its budget through a formal reforecasting exercise. This enables budgets to remain up-to-date in the uncertain operating environment as the impact of the pandemic continues to impact passenger numbers. As part of our review, we obtained budget documents for a sample of TfGM’s functions. We confirmed that the underlying assumptions made by management appeared reasonable and were updated throughout the year.

Following the identification of cost savings, reduced concessionary costs and additional grant income received, TfGM reported a balanced budget for 2022/23. We have considered the arrangements in place in respect of budget management as part of the Governance criteria on page 16.

During the year TfGM reported its financial position to the Executive Board on a regular basis. We reviewed a sample of reports presented during 2022/23, which contain details of performance against levy funded and Metrolink related budgets, with explanations for any significant variances detailed in the report. The Finance reports also contains information on progress against TfGM’s approved capital programme and reasons for over or underspends against the budget profile.

As part of the annual accounts process TfGM completes a detailed review of its ability to operate as a going concern, highlighting any risk areas for the following financial year. TfGM highlighted a material uncertainty in respect of the continuing impact of the Covid pandemic on passenger numbers and its finances, particularly Metrolink revenues and the level of ongoing funding that will be received. The analysis was supported by detailed cash flow forecasts and considered the level of reserves available in coming to this judgement. However, given the significant level of reserves available to TfGM to manage short term budget pressures, management were able to conclude TfGM remains a going concern.

### TfGM’s arrangements for the identification, management and monitoring of funding gaps and savings

As previously reported as part of the budget setting process, TfGM identified a savings gap for the following year. This is identified through a ‘top down’ budget, forecasting the difference between expected income and budgeted costs. The initial gap was £60m. Work was undertaken with budget holders and management accountants to close the gap through a combination savings and efficiencies, generating additional income from commercial and other revenue sources, and successful negotiations with DfT for additional government support.

### 3. VFM arrangements – Financial Sustainability

#### Overall commentary on the Financial Sustainability reporting criteria - continued

##### TfGM's arrangements and approach to 2023/24 financial planning

The arrangements for the 2023/24 budget setting process have largely followed the arrangements in place for 2022/23. The budget for 2023/24 was approved GMCA in Feb 2023 and by the Executive Board in May 2023. The budget took account of known changes to TfGM's operations, such as the implementation of bus franchising, and the Greater Manchester Infrastructure Programme supporting TfGM's five year transport plan.

At the start of the budget setting process an initial savings gap of £60m was identified. Using the methodology described above, TfGM was able to eliminate this gap through the budget setting process along with additional funding from DfT to set a balanced budget for 2023/24. The 2023/24 budget was approved by Greater Manchester Combined Authority in February 2023.

During 2022/23 TFGM continued work on its financial sustainability plan which includes ongoing reviews of its financial position and work to identify opportunities for generating additional income and cost savings in order to manage within the lower income stream from Metrolink. This work was factored into the Budget agreed for 2023/24 and is subject to monthly Financial Monitoring meetings with DfT who continue to provide funding for Bus and Metrolink in 2023/24.

Based on the above considerations we are satisfied there is no evidence of a significant weakness in TfGM's arrangements in relation to financial sustainability.



### 3. Commentary on VFM arrangements

#### Governance

How the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks



# 3. VFM arrangements – Governance

## Overall commentary on the Governance reporting criteria

### TfGM's risk management and monitoring arrangements

As reported in previous years, TfGM has a comprehensive risk management system in place which is embedded into the governance structure of the organisation. As part of TfGM's corporate governance framework, the Executive Board has overall responsibility for the risk management framework and the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee has the responsibility for providing the Board with assurance that the risk management process in place is effective. Risk management activities are overseen by the Corporate Risk Manager, with support from a network of Risk Champions who lead on risk management within each function.

Each function maintains and updates its own risk register, which then feeds into the strategic risk register at the organisation level. We have reviewed an example register and confirmed it is sufficiently detailed to allow for effective oversight of risk management. Each risk has an assigned risk owner and a risk score based on a probability and impact matrix. Where mitigating actions are identified they are assigned a risk owner and a due date is required to provide accountability and allow for effective oversight of the risk. Each risk register is accompanied by a dashboard providing an 'at-a-glance' view of the risk activities within the function.

The strategic risk register is built from the functional risk registers, and provides the senior leadership team with oversight of the key risks faced by TfGM. Regular updates are taken to the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee on key risk management activities within the organisation, providing assurance over the effectiveness of the arrangements in place.

TfGM has a team of internal auditors, led by the Head of Audit, Risk and Assurance in a joint appointment with GMCA. This provides assurance over the effective operation of internal controls, including the arrangements to prevent and detect fraud. The annual Internal Audit plan is agreed with management at the start of the financial year and reviewed by the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee prior to final approval.

Our review of the Internal Audit Plans for 2022/23 and 2023/24 confirmed work was planned on a risk based approach. The risk rating of each audit area determines the frequency of audit, with key areas such as Information Systems and Finance being subject to annual audit procedures. Progress reports have been presented to each Audit and Risk Assurance Committee meeting, including follow up reporting of recommendations not fully implemented by agreed dates. This allows the Committee to effectively hold management to account on behalf of the Board. At the end of each financial year the Head of Audit, Risk and Assurance provides an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of TfGM's framework of governance, risk management and control. For 2022/23, this gave a reasonable level of assurance. For 2023/24 a new methodology for establishing the Internal Audit plan was introduced which seeks to align assurance work with business objectives and priorities. This new approach not only considers risks but also values, complexities, best practise and its changing environment with work undertaken by the right people with the right experience.

### TfGM's arrangements for budget setting and budgetary control

The budget setting and budgetary control processes are as reported. TfGM runs a detailed 'bottom up' annual budget setting process whereby all budget holders are required to provide detailed line by line budgets for all cost and income headings within their cost centre.

The detailed budgets prepared include the workforce plan which provides a detailed person by person analysis of each cost centre, including assumptions such as grade and hours worked. The consolidated budget is then presented to Executive Board for approval. As part of our review of a sample of functional budgets we were able to confirm the budget returns were supported by detailed workforce plans,

Each department is allocated a management accountant to provide support, advise and challenge throughout the year.

In parallel, all Heads of Function are required to prepare a Functional Plan. This details the objectives for the function for the next year and how this supports organisational objectives. This information is captured for the organisation in the Business Plan which is created and aligned to the yearly budget cycle. The Functional Plans must include information on the function's budget and therefore the financial implications of these plans.

Following approval of the budget, progress against targets is then monitored on a regular basis including the preparation of monthly management accounts and challenge on key variances to agreed budgets. A member of the finance team attends each of the Functional and Programme Boards, to provide central oversight of any financial issues raised, and to provide appropriate challenge to ensure the financial aspects of any key decisions have been appropriately considered.

Budget holders are required to produce an updated budget, or reforecast, for the full year at intervals during the year. This is based on actual results for the year to date and a re-review of the budget for the remainder of the year to reflect recent trends and known changes to future projections. The results of these reviews are consolidated centrally and reported to the Executive and Performance board.

As set out in the previous section the financial position is reported to the Executive Board each month and includes sufficient detail to allow for effective review and challenge at the senior leadership level.



### 3. VFM arrangements – Governance

#### Overall commentary on the Governance reporting criteria - continued

##### TfGM's decision making arrangements and control framework

As reported in prior years TfGM has an established governance structure in place which is set out within its Annual Governance Statement. This is supported by TfGM's constitution and scheme of delegation which shows the levels of authority required for all key decisions. Executive Directors have clear responsibilities linked to their roles and the Board Sub-Committee structure in place at TfGM allows for effective oversight of TfGM's operations and activity. Reports are presented to Functional, Performance and Executive Board in accordance with the Scheme of Delegation for the appropriate scrutiny and approval of decisions.

Decisions which require 'new' investment or expenditure for projects or schemes not included in the annual budget must be presented to Investment Board for consideration and approval before any expenditure can be incurred. All reports must follow a standard format which ensures that all financial, legal, risk and regulatory matters have been considered in reaching the recommended decision. We have reviewed an example project decision taken to Investment Board and confirmed the decision making process was supported by appropriate justification for the investment, including the strategic fit, planned programme management and financial implications. Leads from each corporate function were invited to comment on the project prior to it being presented to Investment Board for decision.

TfGM has a full suite of governance arrangements in place. These are set out in the Statement of Accounts and Annual Governance Statement. We reviewed these documents as part of our audit and confirmed they were consistent with our understanding of the TfGM's arrangements in place. In addition to the Constitution, TfGM has a Code of Conduct that all staff need to be aware of and adhere to. All formal meetings include a request for Declarations of interests from all attendees at the start of the meeting. TfGM also has a Gifts and Hospitality policy which sets out the limited occasions when gifts or hospitality may be acceptable.

In addition there are a number of policies including Anti Bribery, money laundering, and other areas of regulatory compliance that staff are required to keep up to date on through the completion of regular mandatory e-training modules. Statistics on the completion rate by function of the mandatory training courses are presented to Performance Board and the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee, and remedial actions agreed to ensure compliance where necessary.

Based on the above considerations we are satisfied there is no evidence of a significant weakness in TfGM's arrangements in relation to governance.

### 3. Commentary on VFM arrangements

#### Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

How the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services



# 3. VFM arrangements – Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness

## Overall commentary on the Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness reporting criteria

### TfGM's arrangements for assessing performance and evaluating service delivery

As in previous years our review confirmed processes are as reported previously. Each function within TfGM prepares a monthly Functional plan which includes the financial results for the month and certain KPI data, including performance against capitalisation targets. This forms the focus of monthly discussions with Finance support and also at Functional Boards.

The year to date information is also factored into the quarterly budget reforecast process, which, when consolidated identifies any areas of concern in the organisation level budget, and also identifies where resources may be refocused when there are emerging or new priorities.

Operational reports, including KPI data are also presented to Functional and Executive Board. We have reviewed examples of these reports presented to Functional Board and confirmed these include RAG ratings of the function's performance against both financial and operational KPIs, with key successes and risk areas highlighted for discussion. Financial information includes performance against year-to-date budgets and explanations of any movement in forecasts for the function's full year position. The operational reports also link more widely into the function's performance, with information on progress against risks identified in the functional risk register, and work completed on addressing audit actions, FOI requests and other areas pertinent to the function's activities. Together the information in these reports provide a holistic view of the function's performance, allowing for a well-rounded evaluation of its effectiveness.

Monthly Business Performance Reports and monthly Finance Reports, provide an update on progress against both budget and operational objectives to Executive Board. Through our review of Executive Board minutes we have confirmed the Finance Reports are sufficiently detailed to allow for effective performance analysis, covering key areas such as budget updates, spend against budget, forecast revenue outturn and capital outturn. Variances are accompanied by detailed explanations with remedial actions highlighted where necessary.

On an annual basis, TfGM's overall performance is summarised in the Narrative Report as part of the Statement of Accounts. This outlines TfGM's progress against the Business Plan, highlighting key successes and risk areas. The Narrative Report also includes an agreed plan for subsequent years, including any areas for improvement. This provides wider stakeholders, including service users, with an overall assessment of TfGM's activities for the financial year.

### TfGM's arrangements for effective partnership working

TfGM works closely with the ten local authorities in Greater Manchester (GM) and GMCA in delivering agreed transport priorities. This work is informed by the strategic vision set out in the 2040 GM Transport Strategy which was prepared in partnership with the local authorities and the five year Delivery Plan which sets the objectives over this timescale.

TfGM has representation on, and regularly presents reports to, various pan-Greater Manchester bodies including the Wider Leadership Team, which is attended by the Chief Executives of the Local Authorities, Fire, Police and other public bodies. TfGM also attends and reports to various other bodies as part of coordinating its outputs and ensuring these support the overall strategic priorities for GM

TfGM also works very closely with all districts and GMCA in the delivery of cross GM projects and programmes including those which are being delivered through the Mayor's Challenge Fund, Active Travel schemes and Growth Deal funded schemes on which TfGM has programme management and assurance responsibilities including Gateway Reviews.

We have seen examples of this effective partnership working continuing throughout the year, including the implementation of bus franchising across Greater Manchester and the Mayor's cycling and walking challenge fund. Where partnership arrangements are in place, we have seen evidence of governance arrangements being considered from the outset.

TfGM maintains a Partnership and Collaborative working register which records all key strategic partnerships and relationships. This provides management with a risk based view of the organisations TfGM collaborates with. The register is reviewed bi-annually by management and presented to Performance Board. The Audit and Risk Assurance Committee receives assurance on the arrangements for maintaining the register on an annual basis.

### 3. VFM arrangements – Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness

#### Overall commentary on the Improving Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness reporting criteria - continued

##### TfGM's arrangements for commissioning services

As previously reported TfGM has a well-developed Procurement Strategy and approach which is designed to ensure compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements as well as achieving best value in procurement processes. The Procurement Policy is available to all staff via the Intranet. This is supported by detailed process notes which provide advice and instruction on all processes involved.

Standardised templates are used throughout the procurement process to ensure consistency of approach. We have reviewed an example template and confirmed it includes the areas expected, including funding and governance considerations. The template also includes an authorisation matrix clearly setting out the approvals required in order to authorise the expenditure, depending on the values involved.

Our review of business cases undertaken as part of our work on TfGM's governance arrangements, confirmed compliance with TfGM's procurement arrangements. Regular reports are provided to Performance Board summarising the work of the Procurement function throughout the year, along with detailed exception reports where waivers are required. Our review of a sample of reports confirmed the exceptions included a detailed justification of the value for money consideration and appropriate consideration of potential exit strategies from contracts where necessary. TfGM's Code of Conduct and Gifts and Hospitality Policy help to support the procurement process by mitigating the risk of conflicts of interests arising.

Based on the above considerations, we are satisfied there is no evidence of significant weakness in TfGM's arrangements in relation to improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

# 04

Section 04:

**Other reporting responsibilities and  
our fees**

## 4. Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

### Matters we report by exception

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 provides auditors with specific powers where matters come to our attention that, in their judgement, require specific reporting action to be taken. Auditors have the power to:

- issue a report in the public interest;
- make statutory recommendations that must be considered and responded to publicly;
- apply to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to the law; and
- issue an advisory notice.

We have not exercised any of these statutory reporting powers.

The 2014 Act also gives rights to local electors and other parties, such as the right to ask questions of the auditor and the right to make an objection to an item of account. We did not receive any such objections or questions.

### Reporting to the NAO in respect of Whole of Government Accounts consolidation data

The NAO, as group auditor, requires us to complete the WGA Assurance Statement in respect of its consolidation data. We have received the group instructions from the National Audit Office confirming as in previous years, we are not required to complete Whole of Government Accounts work at TfGM (as data is included in Greater Manchester Combined Authority's WGA return on a group basis).

## 4. Other reporting responsibilities and our fees

### Fees for work as TFGM's auditor

We reported our proposed fees for the delivery of our work under the Code of Audit Practice in our Audit Strategy Memorandum presented to the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee in October 2023. Having completed our work for the 2022/23 financial year, we can confirm that our fees are as follows:

Area of work	2021/22 fees	2022/23 fees
Planned fee in respect of our work under the Code of Audit Practice	£33,672	£36,672
Additional Testing on Defined Benefit Pensions Schemes as a result of changes in regulatory expectations	£3,748	£3,748
Additional work in relation to net pension asset ceiling	-	£3,000
Additional testing as a result of the implementation of new auditing standards: ISA 220 (Revised): Quality control of an audit of financial statements; ISA 540 (Revised): Auditing accounting estimates and related disclosures; and ISA570 (Revised) Going Concern	£2,500	£2,500
Other additional costs mainly relating to additional testing and reporting of uncertainties in key estimates and going concern disclosures as a result of Covid-19.	£3,125	£3,125
Implementation of new ISA315 (revised)	-	£5,000
Additional work arising from the change in the Code of Audit Practice in respect of Value for Money arrangements	£6,250	£6,250
Additional work arising from the change to the CIPFA Code of Audit Practise in respect of Infrastructure assets	£10,000	£2,500
Additional work arising from the national pensions triennial review	£10,000	-
<b>Total fees</b>	<b>£69,295</b>	<b>£62,795</b>

Note the scale fee for 2023-34 is £111,499



# Appendix



# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Significant risks and audit findings

As part of our audit, we identified significant risks to our audit opinion during our risk assessment. The table below summarises these risks, how we responded and our findings.

Risk	Our audit response and findings
Management override of controls	<p><b>Description of the risk</b></p> <p>In all entities, management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, we consider there to be a risk of material misstatement due to fraud and thus a significant risk on all audits.</p> <p><b>How we addressed this risk</b></p> <p>We addressed this risk through performing audit work over:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• accounting estimates,</li><li>• journal entries; and</li><li>• significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual</li></ul> <p><b>Audit conclusion</b></p> <p>We have completed our planned audit procedures. There are no issues to bring to the Committee's attention.</p>

# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Significant risks and audit findings - continued

### Net defined benefit asset valuation

#### Description of the risk

The net pension asset represents a material element of TfGM's balance sheet. TfGM is an admitted body of Greater Manchester Pension Fund (GMPF), which had its last triennial valuation completed as at 31 March 2022. The valuation of the Local Government Pension Scheme relies on a number of assumptions, most notably around the actuarial assumptions, and actuarial methodology which results in TfGM's overall valuation. There are financial assumptions and demographic assumptions used in the calculation of TfGM's valuation, such as the discount rate, inflation rates and mortality rates. The assumptions should also reflect the profile of TfGM's employees and should be based on appropriate data. The basis of the assumptions is derived on a consistent basis year to year or updated to reflect any changes.

There is a risk the assumptions and methodology used in valuing TfGM's pension obligation are not reasonable or appropriate to TfGM's circumstances. This could have a material impact to the net pension liability in 2022/23.

#### How we addressed this risk

We addressed this risk by:

- obtaining an understanding of the skills, experience and qualifications of the actuary, and considering the appropriateness of the instructions to the actuary from TFGM.
- obtaining confirmation from the auditor of the Greater Manchester Pension Fund that the Pension Fund has designed and implemented controls to prevent and detect material misstatement. This included the controls in place to ensure data provided to the Actuary by the Pension Fund for the purposes of the IAS19 valuation of the gross asset and liability is complete and accurate.
- reviewing a summary of the work performed by the Pension Fund auditor on the Pension Fund investment assets, and evaluating whether the outcome of their work would affect our consideration of TFGM's share of Pension Fund assets.
- reviewing the actuarial allocation of Pension Fund assets to TFGM by the actuary, including comparing TFGM's share of the assets to other corroborative information.
- reviewing the appropriateness of the Pension Asset and Liability valuation methodology applied by the Pension Fund Actuary, and the key assumptions included within the valuation. This includes comparing them to expected ranges, utilising information provided by PwC, consulting actuary engaged by the National Audit Office.
- agreeing the data in the IAS 19 valuation report provided by the Pension Fund Actuary for accounting purposes to the pension accounting entries and disclosures in TFGM's financial statements.

#### Audit conclusion

We have completed our planned procedures. Amendments have been made to the statement of accounts following receipt of a revised IAS19 report and revised pension asset ceiling calculations. These have been adjusted for within TFGM's statement of accounts. In addition TfGM's share of an error identified by the GMPF auditors was not material and has not been adjusted within the accounts. Further details are outlined in the section below

# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Significant risks and audit findings - continued

### Valuation of property plant & equipment

#### Description of the risk

The CIPFA Code requires that where assets are subject to revaluation, their year end carrying value should reflect the fair value at that date. TfGM has adopted a rolling revaluation model which sees all land and buildings revalued in a five year cycle. The valuation of Property, Plant & Equipment involves the use of a management expert (the valuer), and incorporates assumptions and estimates which impact materially on the reported value. There are risks relating to the valuation process which reflect the significant valuation judgements and assumptions and degree of estimation uncertainty. As a result of the rolling programme of revaluations, there is a risk that individual assets which have not been revalued for up to four years are not valued at their materially correct fair value.

#### How we addressed this risk

We addressed this risk by:

- obtaining an understanding of the skills, experience and qualifications of the valuer, and considering the appropriateness of TfGM's instructions to the valuer.
- obtaining an understanding of the basis of valuation applied by the valuer in the year.
- obtaining an understanding of TfGM's approach to ensuring assets not subject to revaluation in 2022/23 are materially fairly stated.
- obtaining an understanding of TfGM's approach to ensuring assets revalued during 2022/23 are materially fairly stated at the year end.
- sample testing the completeness and accuracy of underlying data provided by TfGM and used by the valuer as part of their valuations.
- using relevant market and cost data to assess the reasonableness of the valuation as at 31 March 2023.
- testing the accuracy of how valuation movements were presented and disclosed in the financial statements.

#### Audit conclusion

We have completed our planned audit procedures, we identified a non-material error in relation to the valuation of TfGM's assets which management have chosen not to adjust for. This is detailed in the section below. There were no other matters to bring to the committee's attention

# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Significant risks and audit findings - continued

---

### Going Concern

#### Description of the risk

The Covid-19 pandemic has continued to have a significant effect on TfGM's operations. This includes, in particular, on passenger revenue from Metrolink, which has continued to be impacted with a slower recovery of passenger numbers. Uncertainty remains around the ongoing levels of Metrolink net revenues and future bus support costs. Additional financial support has been received from government, however there are uncertainties over the future levels of funding following the end of the current financial year.

Whilst the CIPFA Code requires the accounts to be prepared on a going concern basis, management must ensure any material uncertainties are appropriately disclosed in the accounts.

---

#### How we addressed this risk

We will address this risk by:

- obtaining and reviewing management's assessment of going concern;
- considering the completeness of management's assessment based on our knowledge of TfGM;
- challenging the underlying assumptions used in preparing the going concern assessment and obtaining supporting evidence regarding these assumptions;
- reviewing the disclosures made in the accounts in respect of going concern; and
- considering the impact of any disclosures on our auditor's report.

---

#### Audit conclusion

We have reviewed management's assessment of going concern. We have reviewed the disclosure notes to the accounts which includes a material uncertainty, and we are satisfied the disclosures are appropriate.

Our audit report contains a section on going concern which draws attention to the disclosures in the statement of accounts. This is an emphasis of matter not a modification of our audit opinion.

# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Significant risks and audit findings - continued

---

**Fraud in Revenue recognition****Description of the risk**

Fraud in revenue recognition is a presumed significant risk under auditing standards.

Based on our initial knowledge and planning discussions we have determined the risk relates to revenue cut-off - the recognition of income and receivables around the year end.

---

**How we addressed this risk**

We addressed this risk by:

- reviewing the agreement of balances exercise with Greater Manchester Combined Authority
  - testing a sample of receipts either side of the year-end to confirm the transactions have been accounted for in the correct period
- 

**Audit conclusion**

We have completed our planned audit procedures and there are no issues to bring to the Committee's attention.

---

# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Key areas of management judgment

Valuation of investments	Description of the management judgement
	<p>TfGM hold investments in joint ventures at fair value on the Balance Sheet. As at 31 March 2022 the investments were not material.</p> <p>There is a risk that as the joint ventures continue to develop, the valuation assumptions used by management may need updating, with input from valuation specialists. We will need to obtain assurance that accounting entries are not materially misstated.</p>
	How our audit addressed this area of management judgement
	<p>We have addressed the risk through</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• discussions with management about the latest position and developments on the joint ventures, and the potential impact these have on the valuations;</li><li>• management did not obtain an updated formal valuation but undertook an internal assessment of the fair value following discussions with project offers. We have reviewed the work completed by management and evaluated the appropriateness of the assumptions applied to arrive at the figure in the financial statements..</li></ul>
	Audit conclusion
	<p>We have completed our review of managements valuation assessment for both Peel L&amp;P holdings and The Mayfield Partnership.</p> <p>We have concluded management's valuation of these investments was reasonable. There are no matters to draw to your attention.</p>

# A. Further information on our audit of the financial statements

## Summary of uncorrected misstatements

		Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement		Balance Sheet	
		Dr (£'000)	Cr (£'000)	Dr (£'000)	Cr (£'000)
1	Dr: Pension Liability			490	
	Cr: Pension Reserve				490
Represents TFGM share of a £70m error identified in the GMPF auditor testing of pension assets. This related to the valuation of pooled investment vehicles which had been understated.					
2	Dr Prepayments and accruals			1,268	
	Cr Expenditure		1,268		
Represents the overstatement of expenditure of £52k The above represents the total potential error when the error rate is extrapolated across the untested population.					
3	Dr Accruals			1,063	
	Cr Expenditure		1,063		
Out cut off testing identified an error of £77k. The above represents the total potential error when the error rate is extrapolated across the untested population.					
4	Dr Property Plant and Equipment			896	
	Cr Revaluation Reserve				896
This represents differences to the valuation reports received. As movement was not material TFGM chose not to make the adjustment.					
<b>Total unadjusted misstatements</b>			2,331	3,717	1,386
<b>Net Unadjusted</b>			2,331	2,331	

## Karen Murray, Partner – Public Sector

### **Mazars**

One St Peter's Square

Manchester

M2 3DE

Mazars is an internationally integrated partnership, specialising in audit, accountancy, advisory, tax and legal services\*. Operating in over 90 countries and territories around the world, we draw on the expertise of 40,400 professionals – 24,400 in Mazars' integrated partnership and 16,000 via the Mazars North America Alliance – to assist clients of all sizes at every stage in their development.

\*where permitted under applicable country laws.

## Follow us:

### **LinkedIn:**

[www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars](http://www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars)

### **Twitter:**

[www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup)

### **Facebook:**

[www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup)

### **Instagram:**

[www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup)

### **WeChat:**

ID: Mazars