


# How Does GeoQuant Work?

- Historically, the lack of high-frequency political risk data has impeded analysts' ability to assess and forecast the outcome of political developments and their impact on markets. Until now, data has usually been annual, survey-based, and backwards looking.
- GeoQuant overcomes this limitation by systematically fusing accepted industry data with news media, quantifying country-level political risk on a real-time basis using natural language processing, machine learning algorithms and a team of PhD-trained political economists.

GeoQuant's risk indicators are derived by aggregating two parallel streams of data – **structural** and **high-frequency** data. **GeoQuant** then uses a proprietary weighting scheme to derive a composite, real-time risk score for each GeoQuant country risk indicator. Each country risk indicator is assigned a 0-100 score; a higher score equals greater risk.



# Frequently Asked Questions

## Center panel visuals

- **What does the score/number mean?** GeoQuant's Risk indicators are scaled between 0 and 100 (higher scores, higher Risk). GeoQuant's Risk scores reflect relative Risk across countries and within countries over time. Score levels, presented as (five-part) quintiles, reflect differing intensity of Political Risk. Risk scores on specific indicators are interpreted as contributing to these aggregate political risks as follows:
  - **0-20:** Low risk of regime changes and/or arbitrary policy change, sustained social instability or general physical insecurity.
  - **20-40:** Low-Medium risk
  - **40-60:** Medium risk
  - **60-80:** Medium-High risk
  - **80-100:** High risk

A specific score, say 50, does not indicate a specific form or level of Risk and should be contextualized and interpreted with reference to its level (against other countries) and change in a country's Risk trends over time.

- **What do the country circles' colors mean?** On the landing page, the color of a circle reflects that country's trend (literally the slope of the trend line) for the selected time frame. Redder circles reflect larger positive slopes and increasing Risk. And vice versa, greener circles reflect larger negative slopes and decreasing Risk.
- **What is the difference between the solid and dotted lines on a line graph?** The two lines correspond to two different types of risk data: composite (solid line) and pulse (volatile, dotted line). The pulse risk is computed daily but does not include long-term trends. **The composite trend lines combine our structural and pulse (high frequency scores), giving an overall view of risk.** Risk scores range from 0 to 100 with the scale shown on the left y-axis. These scores center around zero (right y-axis) where scores above zero correspond to increasing risk and scores below zero reflect decreasing risk. A score of zero indicates no change in risk.

## Pulse Scores

- **What is the pulse score?** The pulse measures daily change in risk. It is visualized as the dotted line on the webapp graphs. These scores center around zero (visible in the webapp on the right y-axis); a zero indicates no change. Scores above zero correspond to increasing risk and scores below zero reflect decreasing risk. A score of zero indicates no change in risk.
- **What does the pulse measure on days when there are no news events?** The pulse jumps when there are influential news events, as described in a media article, on a country-specific fundamental risk indicator. When there are no news events, the pulse will trend back towards zero but may still be influenced by past events that have lingering effects.
- **How is the pulse different from daily changes in the composite score?** A pulse score isolates point-in-time changes in risk based solely on our media feed, whereas our composite score includes both the pulse and a structural baseline in a roughly balanced weighting. As a result, the first difference of the composite – today's score minus yesterday's score – includes (1) the change in pulse score, (2) the change in structural baseline, and (3) the change in the residual influence of the structural baseline on the composite.
- **What's the best use case for the pulse score?** The pulse can serve as an early warning signal to monitor political shocks. It offers an independent assessment of an event, apart from any structural influence on how that event will evolve. Thus, it provides a leading indicator of political shifts subsequently revealed by the slower-moving composite risk scores.
- **How do you validate the pulse score?** We validate the pulse based on its ability to accurately forecast asset movements, election outcomes, and geopolitical scenarios. The pulse score provides independent explanatory power apart from the composite indicators in these forecast models. It is also validated via our Retrospective Insight Series which tracks how changes in the pulse were leading indicators of political shocks, such as coup d'états.

## High Frequency Data Sources

- **How many media sources are fed into the natural language processing (NLP) model?** As of January 2026, GeoQuant is scraping more than 300 media sources worldwide including globally distributed newswires and newspapers, and local coverage using country and region-specific media sources.
- **Do you use languages other than English in the model?** Yes, we use nine languages at present. This project was developed with an English language model but since 2018 performs bulk translations via Google Translate applied to vernacular sources in Arabic, Chinese (Taiwan), French, Hungarian, Portuguese, Russian Spanish, and Turkish. We are interested in testing and integrating additional vernacular sources, covering a broader set of languages
- **How do you control for media bias?** First, we do not use state-controlled media. Beyond that, we are very careful about curating our country-specific media sources to capture a balanced range of sources from across the political spectrum. Media feed selection is informed and updated according to ongoing discussion with our country-specific political scientists.
- **Do you use social media?** Although we have experimented with using Twitter feeds in the past, we do not at present use social media in our data generation process. We have found social media to have too high a noise-to-signal ratio for our current application.
- **How often are articles/scores updated in your model system?** Our NLP model checks media sources every hour of the day to scrape new articles and machine score them. Machine scores are reviewed and adjusted (to facilitate machine learning and further improvement of the scoring system) by our country analysts daily for larger economies and two-to-three times a week for all other countries. We can provide frequency measures upon request.

## Structural Baseline Data

- **How often is structural data updated?** Structural data is updated annually based on when international institutional and academic databases release updates.
- **Do your structural data sources include higher frequency economic and financial data?** GeoQuant does not use financial market or economic data that reflects observable outcomes. We purposefully estimate dimensions of country risk independent of those outcomes to minimize confounding with the sorts of economic outcomes for which our data provides explanatory leverage. Observed structural data is updated once a year in early January.
- **How does the data compare with other country risk benchmarks (e.g., WB WGI, MSCI Governance Quality, etc.)?** Where we overlap on indicator type, GeoQuant indicators correlate highly with such providers on an annual basis. However, these indicators are typically annual; we provide more frequent and up-to-date estimates of these risks.
- **How are varying structural data inputs standardized to provide apples-to-apples comparison?** Each risk indicator's structural baseline is grounded in a common set of observable data inputs from various cross-national datasets. We use Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and in that process each variable is standardized (mean=0, variance = 1) to give each variable equal influence on the aggregate.

## Contingent Risk Scores

- **What is contingent risk?** Contingent Risk scores estimate bilateral sentiment between pairs of countries (21,170 potential pairs among the 146 countries in our data generation system) by isolating instances when each country in a pair is scored for the same indicator, either International Relations (IR) Risk or Investment/Trade Risk.
- **What is the difference between IR Risk and Investment/Trade Risk?** Contingent IR Risk scores reflect diplomatic and security interactions between a country pair and Contingent Investment/Trade Risk scores reflect interactions specific to their bilateral economic relationship including interactions over tariff rates, sanctions, and regulatory alignment.
- **What does the score mean?** Contingent Risk uses the same scale as the fundamental risk indicators; higher scores correspond to higher bilateral risk. For visualization in the web application, we set initial, structural baselines for relative sentiment – reflecting an estimated historical level of positive or negative sentiment for each country pair – on both Contingent IR and Investment/Trade Risks to reflect relative levels of Risk when making visual comparisons.

## Risk Taxonomy

- **How did you design your taxonomy?** The taxonomy is constructed to reflect a comprehensive range of (mostly) mutually exclusive political risks. Since risk can be highly subjective, our taxonomy tries to take a step back and provide a more holistic assessment of different dimensions of political risk (e.g., Governance, Social, Security). Ultimately the taxonomy is modular, allowing for a large degree of versatility and flexibility so that users can isolate different types of risk or mix-and-match different dimensions of risk to create their own taxonomies, custom focus indicators, or to explore complex (multi-dimensional) events.
- **How are the baseline risk components weighted and aggregated?** Baseline Risk indicators (e.g., Elite Support Risk, Ethno-Religious Risk, Political Violence Risk) are custom weighted based on the relative explanatory leverage each indicator exhibits against a battery of politically relevant dependent variables such as GDP per capita, Foreign Direct Investment, Human Development, etc.

## Model questions

- **How are the structural and high frequency components combined?** Each composite Risk indicator is a combination of structural and high-frequency newsfeed data. These are combined according to an aggregation algorithm and integrated with the high-frequency components to provide a time-based deviation from the structural trend.
- **How frequently does GeoQuant update algorithms?** We perform annual reviews and updates of our machine learning model that are typically implemented on or near 1 January each year.
  - We update the scoring engine less frequently but still perform annual reviews and algorithm updates. In practice, it takes time to advance the machine learning models – particularly on large, multidimensional datasets like ours – and so our effort to pay attention to and adopt new techniques is continuous.
- **How is the machine model trained?** Since our data extends ten years back, we have a series of back testable historical data which includes both machine and human scores. We train the data on historical events (proxied by a large feed of news articles) and apply standard machine learning methods to estimate an event's relevance for a given risk dimension, the event's impact on that risk, and the duration of that event's impact. We optimize our scores against a given evaluation metric and perform a series of robustness checks before deploying on incoming data.
- **How do you test the performance and accuracy of the outputs?** We test the performance and accuracy of the outputs in several ways:
  - Internal validation:
    - We test the internal accuracy of our data by ensuring scores are measured consistently across different events. Human scorers go through a systematic training process with a standardized codebook, training period, and manager reviews to ensure coding consistency.
    - We accomplish this by iterating through the data, using our political science expertise to identify errors/inconsistencies, updating the algorithm to minimize misclassifications, and then retraining the model to improve future performance.
    - We test the internal accuracy of our model by evaluating its performance on a hold-out set of observations (i.e., observations not used in model estimation) to avoid overfitting.
  - External validation (by event or asset type): We test the external accuracy of our data by making sure that the data explains real-world events accurately and in a sensible way. We externally validate our results through a series of analytical insights that we publish several times a week (e.g., foreign exchange rates, bond yields, election forecasts) and through our prediction board.

## Delivery, Quantity, Point in Time

- **How far back does the data go?** Our structural and high frequency newsfeed datasets begin in January 2016 for all countries. Our initial data began in July 2016 covering the G20 and increased incrementally to 146 countries. From mid-2018 onward we have generated machine scores back to January 2016 for all new countries as they are added to the system.
- **What are the volumes of data processed on a daily basis?** In the 31 days of March 2023, our model logged more than 75,000 machine scores and over 22,000 analyst scores. Between April 2022 and March 2023, our system read an average of 132,000 events/articles per month (4,300 events/articles per day) and produced 13,000 unique country/indicator risk scores.
- **Does GeoQuant provide “point in time” data coverage?** Yes, we preserve daily point-in-time Country Risk scores for both the Composite and High Frequency components of our indicators. We can provide PIT data from October 2022 through the present.

## Forecasts

- **How do you generate forecasts?** Our forecasts are based on our annual estimates of the structural trend extrapolated forward for two years and then influenced by the combination of the impact and duration of our daily model-driven, analyst-reviewed scores.
- **How long are the forecasts?** We forecast two years ahead. The high-frequency component of our forecasts fades over the course of each score’s estimated duration. As a result, the long-run forecast becomes increasingly structural over time.
- **How much do the forecasts change over time?**
  - The volatility of the forecasts is low. If you look at the overall composite risk scores, you will see that aggregate composite risk scores do not change much from day-to-day, implying a high degree of stability and consistency. There is higher volatility in the pulse scores which capture day-to-day news.
  - Country-forecasts are typically more volatile at the point a country is added to the data generation system, since a broad set of analyst assessments across all fundamental indicators is needed as the system initially calibrates a country-specific model. For example, pulse scores in Myanmar pre-2018 are relatively few. Because there are naturally fewer data points during a country’s integration into our system, there is more noise/uncertainty in these early estimates.

## Predictions

- **How are the prediction topics determined?** We make formal calls on political events that can be stated in binary terms, typically national election outcomes, governance outcomes (e.g., leadership survival), major policy outcomes, or geopolitical events. We identify emerging events of broad magnitude and significant interest which have substantial uncertainty associated with their outcome. This ensures that we do not artificially boost our prediction rate accuracy by making calls on high likelihood, minor magnitude events. We then have our analysts evaluate the data to make a prediction (formal call) based on the context provided by our data relative to their subject matter expertise.
- **Are the predictions all binary outcomes and do they have a probability score?** Yes, we assign binary outcomes (“correct” or “incorrect”) to our predictions. We assign a confidence assessment to indicate our relative uncertainty around a prediction. High confidence is comparable to a higher expected probability and vice versa. We encourage clients to ask us questions on issues on which they would like us to make calls but will only make explicit calls when the outcomes can be framed in binary terms. If we cannot frame the question’s outcomes in binary terms, we can still provide our ranking of alternative outcomes (but if the most likely outcome has a 30% probability, we would not make an explicit call on that event).

- **What is the accuracy of GeoQuant predictions? How many predictions are included?**

- Since 1 July 2016, GeoQuant has correctly predicted 76% of electoral outcomes, including whether there has been an ideological or ruling coalition change following a legislative or presidential election. When we include forecast predictions for a broader set of issues, including policy reforms, government formation, snap election, and geopolitical events, our running accuracy rises to 79% across the sample of 365 calls that have already come to pass.
- We also have machine learning forecast models with similar accuracy results. These models forecast specific events, using a more systematic series of machine learning algorithms. For example, our forecast model of electoral outcomes correctly predicts 76% of 359 elections that have occurred since 1 January 2015 in our coverage of 146 countries using data available at least 30 days in advance of an election date. The election model is not yet available on the web application, but we are happy to provide election forecasts to clients upon request.

## Learn More:

Please contact us to learn more: [info@geoquant.com](mailto:info@geoquant.com)

## About GeoQuant

GeoQuant is an innovative AI-driven data and technology company, acquired by Fitch and now part of BMI, that is transforming the way the world's leading organizations are quantifying, integrating, and navigating political risk.

By fusing PhD-level political and computer science, GeoQuant generates high-frequency, quantitative measures of risk that are systematic, back-testable, and predictive.

The result is real-time updates for over 40 political risk indicators in more than 140 countries, and analytics that enable you to foresee risk trends and make proactive moves.

GeoQuant data, modelling and advisory services have been used to successfully inform the asset, risk and sovereign ESG strategies of leading institutional partners.

## About BMI

In an uncertain macroeconomic environment, BMI's systematic, independent and data-driven market insights, analysis and forecasts enable you to recognize and assess risks and opportunities across 200+ markets and 20+ industries.

For more than 40 years, we have provided impartial and transparent analytics, data and research across themes,

countries and sectors, with deep insight into emerging markets. Our detailed intelligence is frequent, consistent and systematic, enabling you to easily make comparisons and interrogate data to support your strategic plans and investment decisions.

Learn more at [fitchsolutions.com/bmi](https://fitchsolutions.com/bmi)

## Client Services

A dedicated team of client services professionals based in New York, London, Hong Kong, Singapore and Tokyo provide client support. You can contact this team by the following email [fscustomersupport@fitchsolutions.com](mailto:fscustomersupport@fitchsolutions.com) or the phone numbers below:

**LONDON**  
+44 20 3530 2400

**NEW YORK**  
+1 212 908 0800

**HONG KONG**  
+852 2263 9999

**SINGAPORE**  
+65 6796 7231

**TOKYO**  
+81 3 6897 8986