



GLI®

GLI Europe BV

Diakenhuisweg 29-35
2033 AP Haarlem
The Netherlands

Tel +31 (0)88 220 6600
www.gaminglabs.com

Chamber of Commerce
Leiden nr. 28117769
VAT Identification number
NL 8184.73.393.B.01

RvA Registration Number of Accreditation applicable to this Report:	
Testing	L372
Certification	C577

This report is only intended for recipients authorized by GLI. Please visit gaminglabs.com to view the applicable terms and conditions and GLI Product Certification Scheme. If the recipient does not agree to all of such terms and conditions or GLI Product Certification Scheme, GLI withdraws the certification or analysis established by this report and the recipient must immediately return to GLI all copies of this report and make no reference to this report for any purpose at any time.

Worldwide Locations

World Headquarters

Lakewood, New Jersey

International Offices

GLI Africa

GLI Asia

GLI Australia Pty Ltd

GLI Austria GmbH

GLI Europe BV

GLI Italy

GLI South America

U.S. Regional Offices

Colorado

Nevada

Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

Issuing Laboratory

GLI Europe B.V.

Evaluating Laboratory

GLI Europe B.V.

Recipient

PragmaticPlay Ltd.
144 Tower Road,
Sliema - SLM 1604,
Malta

Tested against Requirements

Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (June 2017).
Testing Strategy for Compliance with Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards November 2018.

Jurisdiction

UK Remote

Manufacturer

PragmaticPlay Ltd.
144 Tower Road,
Sliema - SLM 1604,
Malta

Submitter

PragmaticPlay Ltd.
144 Tower Road,
Sliema - SLM 1604,
Malta

Product Name

PragmaticPlay RNG

Description of the Product Tested

rng.jar Version 2.0
As requested per manufacturer's letter received 5 September 2016.

Evaluation Period

7 September 2016 / 7 September 2016

Result

Pass (See Comments and Conditions on page 2)

Sections

- Comments/Conditions
- Hardware Product Details
- Software Product Details
- Applied Tests
- Product Characteristics
- RNG Analysis
- Terms and Conditions

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 1 of 14





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

Comments/Conditions

Comments

This revised report replaces evaluation report 2016PPL002RNG246, 12 September 2016.

This revised report was issued in order to:

- Update the “Technical Standards for Testing” listed in page 1 and 7 of the report.
- Update the address of PragmaticPlay in “Recipient”, “Manufacturer” and “Submitter” in first page of the report.
- Update the layout of the Data Set of “Table 2. Game Parameters” in page 8 of this report.
- Update the layout of the “Table A-1. Tests Applied” in “Appendix A: Statistical test Summary” section, in page 9 of this report.

As from 1st July 2006, it is the manufacturer’s responsibility to ensure that their product is RoHS compliant with current EU directives.

Verify+ by Kobetron™ has been used to generate the signatures for the identified Key File.

This Report is issued for the evaluation of the RNG only and covers the game ranges supplied in the Report.

Conditions

The tested RNG may only be used in connection to games, which call the RNG with numbers within the ranges as specified in this Report.





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

Hardware Product Details

There are no Hardware Product Details applicable to this Report.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 3 of 14



Africa • Asia • Australia • Europe • North America • South America
www.gaminglabs.com





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

Software Product Details

Product ID	Media Type	Function	Pos.	Size	SHA-1 Signature	RTP%	Report Number
rng.jar ②	N/A	RNG	N/A	8KB	B33E33DA 96C37C0E B2D02B3F BF439685 FCDA876C	N/A	①

- ① The tests performed are listed in the Applied Tests section of this Report.
- ② Version 2.0.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 4 of 14





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

Applied Tests

Product ID	Test Performed	Reference N°	Results	Additional Details
rng.jar	Random Number Generator (RNG) Analysis	WI-MA-006	Pass	Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 5 of 14





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

Product Characteristics

Product ID	Characteristics
rng.jar	Random Number Generator that produces outcomes for multiple games. The version of this jar file is Version 2.0.

Tested by: Margit de Kever
Reviewed by: Michael Meeuwisse
Technical Evaluation authorized by:

Martin Britton
Managing Director

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 6 of 14





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

RNG Analysis

RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE PRAGMATICPLAY RNG

The intent of this Report is to indicate that **Gaming Laboratories International, LLC (GLI)** has completed its evaluation of the PragmaticPlay random number generator (RNG), Version 2.0, provided by PragmaticPlay Ltd.

SECTION I – SCOPE OF TESTING

PragmaticPlay Ltd. submitted the required materials to GLI in order to conduct a random number generator analysis on the PragmaticPlay RNG, version 2.0. The scope of this analysis was limited to software verification, source code review and data analysis. The RNG was tested for its ability to randomly produce outcomes for the ranges up to and including 30,000.

The PragmaticPlay RNG was evaluated against the RNG-specific requirements of the following technical standards:

- Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (June 2017)
- Testing Strategy for Compliance with Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards November 2018

SECTION II – SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron™ signatures for the PragmaticPlay RNG, version 2.0 are as follows:

File	Version	Type	Signature
rng.jar	2.0	CDCK	316B
		SHA-1	B33E33DA96C37C0EB2D02B3FBF439685FCDA876C
		MD5	BF56D0F3B830FD24E8B0FDD16AED329A

Table 1. Digital Signatures

SECTION III – SOURCE CODE REVIEW

PragmaticPlay Ltd. submitted appropriate documentation and full source code which pertains to the generation of random numbers. GLI reviewed the source code provided by tracing the path of the RNG application from the initiation of the draw to the selected output of random numbers. GLI inspected the source code, where practicable, in an attempt to find any undisclosed switches or parameters having a possible influence on randomness and fair play. GLI assessed the ability of the RNG to produce all numbers within the desired range.





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

RNG Analysis

RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE PRAGMATICPLAY RNG

SECTION IV – DATA ANALYSIS

The game configuration and parameters for the data obtained and tested are listed in Table 2. GLI performed a data format check on each data set listed in order to confirm that the game parameters were correctly represented in the data analyzed. A complete listing of the individual tests applied to each data set can be found in Appendix A.

GLI conducted a statistical analysis of sufficient scope to test the RNG for selecting as many as 20,000 winners from a pool size as large as 30,000 as described in Table 2. The selection of test cases took into account broad coverage of range sizes and selections.

A set of numbers is said to be drawn *with replacement* if a number can be selected multiple times within the same draw. A set of numbers is said to be drawn *without replacement* if a number can only be selected once within the same draw.

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws
General Certification	Up to and including 30,000	Up to and including 20,000	Yes and No	①
Binary Data	[4,294,967,296]	1	N/A	3,000,000

Table 2. Game Parameters

① Data sets of different ranges and draw sizes were collected and analyzed to cover the scope of this general certification.

For a summary of the statistical tests applied to each data set, see *Appendix A*. For a description of the overall test methodology and a description of each test used, see *Appendix B*.

Overall, the RNG passed the battery of tests for each configuration at the 95%, 98% and 99% confidence levels.

SECTION V - SUMMARY

Overall Evaluation of the Random Number Generator.

GLI's conclusion based upon the tests applied to the PragmaticPlay RNG data is that this random number generator has exhibited random behavior and is suitable for the applications as described herein. This testing applies to all general use of the RNG for all typical games that use ranges up to and including 30,000, as well as 20,000 selections for data drawn without replacement. Any ranges used outside of these would require additional testing. If a game utilizes a different range or a different number of selections from the included ranges, the RNG should be resubmitted to test that set of parameters.





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

APPENDIX A: Statistical Test Summary

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws	Tests Names														
					Runs	Serial Corr.	Interplay Corr.	Adj. Max-Min	Adj. High-Low	Adj. Blocks	Coupon	Duplicates	Overlaps	Permutation	Tot. Dist.	Tot. Dist. by Pos.	Count of Counts	DieHard	
General Certification	Up to and including 30,000	Up to and including 20,000	Yes and No	①	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Binary Data	[4,294,967,296]	1	N/A	3,000,000															X

Table A-1. Tests Applied

① Data sets of different ranges and draw sizes were collected and analyzed to cover the scope of this general certification.





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

B.1 Definitions. The following terms apply to the below test descriptions. Randomness Device or Random Number Generator (RNG) output may be collected multiple numbers at a time. Each set of numbers is called a *draw*. Each individual number has a particular order within the draw. This is referred to as the number *position*.

B.2 Distribution Comparisons. Many of the tests compare an observed numerical distribution with an expected distribution. Unless otherwise specified, this is done by means of a statistical chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The value chi-square is computed in the standard way. If k is a possible value, o_k is the observed count of that value, and e_k is the expected count:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_k \frac{(o_k - e_k)^2}{e_k}$$

In the case where expected counts are too small for accurate use of the above formula, values are 'binned' together to ensure an appropriate minimum expected count. The resultant value for chi-square is compared against the distribution for the appropriate number of degrees of freedom. Unusually high (distribution mismatch) or unusually low (insufficient randomness) chi-square values can be causes for data failure.

B.3 Meta-testing. Evaluation of groups of p -values may include a meta-test for extremity of high or low p -values, a meta-test for frequency of high or low p -values, and a meta-test for uniformity of p -values, as appropriate.

B.4 Confidence Level. The statistical tests conducted by GLI are done at a particular *confidence level*. Common confidence levels used include 95%, 98% and 99%, depending on jurisdictional requirements, and intended use of the RNG. High confidence level testing has low risk of mistakenly failing a good RNG, but higher risk of passing a bad RNG. Lower confidence level testing has increased power of detecting bad RNGs, while also increasing the risk of false failures of good RNGs. Specifically, the confidence level represents the probability that an ideal source of randomness would pass the testing. If an RNG passes statistical tests at a given confidence level, passage at all *higher* confidence levels is implied.

B.5 Tests. Some tests are only applicable to certain types of data. Some tests may be applied only to a portion of the data. Some tests may require that the data be parsed, binned, or otherwise transformed, as necessitated by data format.





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Adjacency Blocks:

For each draw, the data is first sorted. Then the amount of contiguous blocks of numbers is counted. These statistics are then compared against the expected.

For example, if a draw consists of the numbers:

1, 5, 4, 2, 6, 9,

The data would be sorted and separated into blocks:

1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9

The resulting statistic would be 3.

Adjacency High-Low:

For each draw, the number of local extrema ('highs' and 'lows') in the data is recorded and compared with the expected distribution. These are also referred to as 'turning points'.

For example, if a draw consists of the numbers:

1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 9,

There would be one local maximum (7) and one local minimum (2). The resulting statistic would be 2.

Adjacency Max-Min:

For each draw, the difference between the maximum and minimum values is calculated and recorded. This is compared with the expected theoretical distribution.

For example, if a draw consists of the numbers:

2, 3, 6, 7, 4,

The resulting statistic would be 5, the difference between the maximum value of 7 and the minimum value of 2.

Count of Counts:

The Count of Counts test first counts the occurrences of each value in each position of the data. These counts are then tallied and compared with the expected distribution of counts for the draw size and range of values.

Coupon Collector's:

The Coupon Collector's Test is applied positionally. The data is parsed until all possible values have been observed, then the number of values checked is recorded and the count is restarted. This is compared with the expected distribution.

For example, if the set of all possible values is {0, 1, 2} and the first position of each draw is

1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2, . . . ,

Then all values are observed in the first position by the fifth draw. All values are then observed within the next 3 draws, so the first two statistics for the first position would be 5 and 3.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 11 of 14





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

DieHard:

The DieHard Battery of Tests is a standard assessment of the randomness in raw outcomes generated from an RNG. The collection, designed by George Marsaglia, tests for a variety of patterns in the individual binary bits of RNG output. GLI uses a custom implementation to conduct DieHard testing.

Duplicates:

The Duplicates Test counts the number of times a draw is exactly duplicated in the data. In the case that a particular draw is repeated more than twice, every possible way to generate a duplicate is counted. This is compared against the theoretical distribution to verify that the number of duplicate draws falls within expected bounds.

For example, consider the dataset consisting of the following draws of two numbers each.

- a) {1, 3}
- b) {4, 1}
- c) {1, 3}
- d) {1, 3}
- e) {4, 1}
- f) {3, 1}

The duplicate pairs are (a, c) , (a, d) , (c, d) , and (b, e) for a total of 4 duplicates. (f) is not counted as a duplicate since the draw must match in order as well as values.

Interplay Correlation:

The Interplay Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between different positions of the same draw. For each pair of positions, statistical correlation is calculated as in the Serial Correlation Test. In the case of without replacement data, an adjustment is made to account for the expected resulting negative correlation.

Overlaps:

The Overlaps Test compares consecutive draws for overlapping values. The number of overlapping values is recorded for each pair of draws. This observed distribution of overlaps is then compared against the expected distribution.

For example, if the following draws are observed consecutively,

- a) {1, 4, 5, 6}
- b) {4, 1, 7, 6}

The number of overlaps would be 3, representing the values 1, 4 and 6.





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Permutation:

The Permutation Test is a test applicable to data that represents a reordering of numbers. Each draw can be considered as a permutation of the original ordering. Every permutation can be decomposed into disjoint cycles, which represent the possible positions a number would occupy if the same permutation is applied repeatedly.

For each draw, three statistics are collected based on the cycle decomposition:

- The number of cycles.
- The size of the smallest cycle.
- The size of the largest cycle.

Each of these statistics generates a distribution of observations which are compared with their respective expected distributions.

For example, if the following draw were observed as a reordering of the numbers from 1 to 6,

1, 3, 5, 4, 2, 6

The cyclic decomposition would be (1)(2 3 5)(4)(6). 1, 4, and 6 remain in their original positions, so they form their own cycles.

The values 2, 3, and 5 are shuffled, so they form a single cycle together. The total number of cycles is 4, the smallest cycle has size 1, and the largest cycle has size 3.

Runs:

The Wald-Wolfowitz Runs Test is applied to each position within the draw. A center is established, typically the data median, and the number of 'runs' above and below the center are tallied. Values exactly equal to the center are discarded. This is compared to the expected distribution, which depends on the number of values above and below the center.

For example, if the numbers drawn at a particular position were:

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

and the established center were the data median of 3, the data would be parsed for runs above 3 and runs below 3.

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

This would be counted as 4 runs.

Serial Correlation:

The Serial Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between consecutive draws of the same position. For each position, the sample Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated. If X represents the first number, and Y the number that follows, then the coefficient is

$$r = \frac{cov(X, Y)}{s_X s_Y}$$

where s denotes the sample standard deviation.

The coefficients are used to generate a p -value for each position.

Internal Reference: RN-332-PPL-15-01-246

Modified 11 August 2014

RNG246

Page 13 of 14





Gaming Laboratories International RNG Evaluation Report

Report N°	2016PPL002RNG246_1
Date	8 June 2020

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Total Distribution:

The Total Distribution Test is a simple tally of all observed values throughout the data. This is compared with the expected distribution. Typically the expected distribution is a uniform distribution. In the case of unequal weighting of values, an appropriate discrete distribution is used.

Total Distribution by Position:

The Total Distribution by Position Test tallies the observed distribution of values for each position within the draw. Each of these distributions is then compared with the expected.

