



**GLI**<sup>®</sup>

**GLI UK Gaming Ltd**  
Llys Helyg  
Parc Menai  
Bangor LL57 4EZ  
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)1248 660550  
www.gaminglabs.com



4403

This report and any accompanying documents are provided "as is" with no warranties. All systems may contain defects and nothing in this document is intended to represent or warrant that any items assessed are complete and free from errors. The operator remains solely responsible for the design, functionality and provision of their product(s) and service(s), including any liability arising from legal infringement, technical non-compliance or product warranty. This document remains the property of GLI UK Gaming Ltd and, apart from supply to the intended regulator, is not to be copied, shared or distributed in any way without the express consent of GLI UK Gaming Ltd.

#### Worldwide Locations

**World Headquarters**  
Lakewood, New Jersey

#### International Offices

GLI Africa  
GLI Asia  
GLI Australia Pty Ltd  
GLI Austria GmbH  
GLI Europe BV  
GLI UK  
GLI Italy  
GLI South America

#### North American Offices

Colorado  
Nevada  
British Columbia

**Report Type:** TESTING REPORT

**Issued by:** GLI UK Gaming Ltd  
Llys Helyg, Parc Menai, Bangor LL57 4EZ, United Kingdom

**Evaluated by:** GLI UK Gaming Ltd  
Llys Helyg, Parc Menai, Bangor LL57 4EZ, United Kingdom

GLI UK Gaming Ltd  
Llys y Fedwen, Parc Menai, Bangor LL57 4BL, United Kingdom

**Recipient:** Inspired Gaming Group  
3 The Maltings, Wetmore Road, Burton On Trent, Staffordshire DE14 1SE,  
United Kingdom

**Jurisdiction:** United Kingdom

**Regulatory Documents:** UK\_TGA  
The Gambling Act 2005 (April 2005)  
UK\_RTS  
Remote gambling and software technical standards (June 2017)  
UK\_TSC  
Testing strategy for compliance with remote gambling and software  
technical standards (November 2018)

**Supplier:** Inspired Gaming Group  
3 The Maltings, Wetmore Road, Burton On Trent, Staffordshire DE14 1SE,  
United Kingdom

**Submitting Party:** Inspired Gaming Group  
3 The Maltings, Wetmore Road, Burton On Trent, Staffordshire DE14 1SE,  
United Kingdom

**Product Tested:** Random Number Generator (RNG)

**Evaluation Period:** 23 January 2020 - 19 February 2020

**Reference:** RN/385/IGG/20/001/UK/RTS/01

**Result:** No issues are raised.

**Internal Methods Used** SOP-RN-2

**Reference:** SOP-CA-1

**Authorised by:**

Andrew Rosewarne  
Director

---

# Table of Contents

<b>Caveats</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>Test Item Details</b> .....	<b>4</b>
Critical Components.....	4
<b>RNG Evaluation</b> .....	<b>5</b>
Scope.....	5
Technical Analysis.....	5
Empirical Testing.....	6
Summary.....	6
<b>Assessment</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Appendix</b> .....	<b>8</b>

---

## Caveats

The results presented in this document are a summary of the testing work undertaken. This report is subject to a number of caveats, including:

- All items provided for inspection and/or testing are declared by the customer to be configured identically to those in commercial use, with the exception of operator-configurable aspects that will not have a bearing on fairness and compliance.

All efforts have been taken to ensure that the testing undertaken was as exhaustive as necessary to demonstrate compliance or non-compliance. GLI UK Gaming Ltd (GLI UK) takes on trust that all test items (including all hardware and software), all documentation and all communications are accurate, truthful and that there is no intention to deceive or subvert the assessment of compliance.

---

## Test Item Details

### Critical Components

File name	SHA-1 checksum
common-random-2.3.0.jar	FF9349B4111B42EE4D257F94F01CDDE045B1324B

# RNG Evaluation

## Scope

The random number generator (RNG) was assessed for suitability for use in gaming applications through static analysis of source code and statistical analysis of data generated using the supplied test utility.

The analysis undertaken is intended to confirm that the implementation and instantiation methods comply with the requirements of the jurisdictions in the scope of the assessment.

## Technical Analysis

Manufacturer	Inspired Gaming Group (IGG)
Product	common-random-2.3.0
Version/Serial number	FF9349B4111B42EE4D257F94F01CDDE045B1324B
Type of RNG	Software RNG
Random/Pseudorandom	Pseudorandom
RNG shared	Instance of RNG shared with other games
Coded language	Java
Algorithm	Mersenne Twister PRNG with SHA1 hashing, and SecureRandom seeding
Sources of entropy	System entropy
Bit depth	32 Bits
Period	2 <sup>19937</sup> -1
Security of algorithm	Cryptographically strong
Seeded securely	Yes
Predicatbility	Unpredicatble With or without knowledge of algorithm and seed
Verified draw types	With replacement
Verified degrees of freedom (DoF)	See Results.xls
Location of data generation	GLI Generated Data
Background cycling	None

## Empirical Testing

GLI conducted a statistical analysis of sufficient scope to test the RNG for selecting as many as 60 winners from a pool size as large as 10,000. To provide this level of assessment, GLI selected different test cases for statistical testing. The selection of test cases took into account broad coverage of range sizes and selections. Please refer to the Appendices for details of the GLI Auto Test Suite tests applied.

The test results are summarised as follows:

### Analysis of General Certification, ranges up to and including 10000, and positions from 6 up to and including 60, with replacement

Test name	Test result
Runs Test	Pass
Serial Correlation Test	Pass
Interplay Correlation Test	Pass
Adjacency Max-Min Test	Pass
Adjacency High-Low Test	Pass
Coupon Collector's Test	Pass
Duplicates Test	Pass
Overlaps Test	Pass
Total Distribution Test	Pass
Total Distribution by Position Test	Pass
Count of Counts Test	Pass

In summary, the data passed the battery of GLI Auto Test Suite tests for each configuration at the 95% confidence interval, confirming that the software RNG is functioning correctly from a randomness perspective.

## Summary

The RNG passed a series of GLI Auto Test Suite tests described in the appendices. No deviations or biases were detected from the RNG in the generated data and the RNG is deemed suitable for the intended gaming applications.

## Assessment

Section	Subsection	Compliant	Observation	Potential Issue	Not Applicable
UK_RTS RTS 7 – Generation of random outcomes	7A:1	✓			
	7A:2				✓
	7A:3				✓

# Appendix

## Empirical Test Methods

A number of empirical tests have been proposed to analyse frequencies of occurrence and localised correlations, patterns and intervals between generated numbers.

In this analysis, the following tests are used for raw RNG output:

### NIST Test Suite

The following "bitwise" tests from the NIST Test Suite were applied:

- Frequency (Monobits) Test
- Frequency Test within a Block
- Run Test
- Test for the Longest Run of Ones in a Block
- Binary Matrix Rank Test
- Discrete Fourier Transform (Spectral) Test
- Non-overlapping Template Matching Test
- Maurer's "Universal Statistical" Test
- Linear Complexity Test
- Serial Test
- Approximate Entropy Test
- Cumulative Sums (Cumsum) Test
- Random Excursions Test
- Random Excursions Variant Test

### DIEHARD Battery of Tests

The following "bitwise" tests from the DIEHARD Battery of Tests of Randomness were applied:

- Birthday Spacing Test
- Overlapping 5-permutations Test
- Binary Rank 31x31 Test
- Binary Rank 32x32 Test
- Binary Rank 6x8 Test
- Bitstreams Test
- Overlapping Pairs Sparse Occupancy (OPSO) Test
- Overlapping Quadruples Sparse Occupancy (OQSO) Test
- DNA Test
- Count the 1's (Specific Bytes) Test
- Count the 1's (Stream of Bytes) Test
- Parking Lot Test
- Minimum Distance Test
- 3-D Spheres Test

- Squeeze Test
- Overlapping Sums Test
- Runs Test
- Craps Test

### Donald Knuth's Empirical Tests for Randomness

The following tests were applied to the scaled and shuffled RNG outputs:

- Frequency Test (Equidistribution Test)
- Serial Test (Non-overlapping Pairs)
- Gap Test
- Poker Test (Partition Test)
- Permutation Test
- Runs Test

All test results are based on the Pearson chi-squared test (also known as the chi-square "goodness of fit" test) to compare the observed results against expected outcomes and determine a level of confidence. For the following test descriptions, assume that a number  $n$  of uniformly distributed random numbers on the range  $[0, m - 1]$ , with  $m$  being an amount of distinct outcomes, were generated.

#### Frequency Test

The Frequency Test is designed to ensure that the random numbers are uniformly distributed throughout a given interval. The instances of each number in the range  $[0, m-1]$  are counted and the counts compared to the expected populations. The probability  $P$  of observing any particular number  $x$  in a given position in the sequence is:

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{m}, \quad 0 \leq X \leq m - 1$$

The variation in observed distribution against the theoretical value is used to calculate the chi-squared statistic. The value of chi-squared statistic then maps to a probability (i.e. a p-value) that provides a measure of confidence in the observed outcomes.

#### Serial Test

The Serial Test checks that pairs of numbers are uniformly distributed in an independent manner. The random numbers are distributed into a number of equal bins and the frequencies of occurrence of all possible sequence pairs are checked (i.e. 0 followed by 0, 0 followed by 1, ...,  $m - 1$  followed by  $m - 1$ ). If the numbers are uncorrelated (i.e. no sequence pairs are favoured over any others), an equal distribution is expected and the probability of observing a sequence  $(x, y)$  is equal to:

$$P(x, y) = \frac{1}{m^2}, \quad 0 \leq x, y \leq m - 1$$

Similar to the frequency test, the observations and theoretical probabilities are used to compute a chi-squared statistic, which is then used to determine a probability that all serial pairs are uniformly distributed.

### Gaps Test

The Gap Test considers the length of "gaps" between occurrences of specific numbers (i.e. the average gap between an occurrence of the number "1" and the next occurrence of "1" should be the same as that between a "2" and the next "2").

To apply the gap test, the lengths of the gaps between occurrences of a particular number are collated and the frequencies of occurrence are compared with the expected counts for each gap size. If subsequent numbers in the sequence are random and independent, the probability of a gap of length  $g$ , between instances of a particular output with probability  $p = \frac{1}{m}$  occurring is:

$$P(g) = p(1 - p)^g$$

All gaps larger than a pre-determined threshold are grouped into a single category and counted. The probability of observing a gap of length  $u$  or larger is:

$$\sum_{g=u}^{\infty} P(g) = (1 - p)^u$$

A comparison of the observed and the expected gap sizes (via the chi-squared test) is then applied to assess if the sequence was generated by a sufficiently random source.

### Poker Test

The Poker Test uses the analogy of a five-card hand in a poker game. It considers groups of five successive integers and observes which of the following 5 patterns is matched by each quintuple:

- 5 values (all different)
- 4 values (one pair)
- 3 values (two pairs or three of a kind)
- 2 values (full house or 4 of a kind)
- 1 value (five of a kind)

If each individual outcome is equally probable, the probability of achieving  $v$  distinct outcomes (in a group of  $k$  outcomes with  $d$  possible outcomes) is given by:

$$P(v) = S(k, v) \times \left( \frac{d(d-1) \cdots (d-v+1)}{d^k} \right)$$

where  $S(k, v)$  is the Stirling Number of the second kind (the number of ways to partition a set of  $k$  elements into  $v$  non-empty subsets).

To apply the Poker Test, the generated random numbers are gathered into groups and categorised according to the patterns listed above. The counts of each categorisation are compared with expected values via the chi-squared test.

### Permutation Test

The Permutations Test divides a number sequence with a range of  $m$  elements into  $n$  groups of  $t$  elements. In this specific application, groups of  $t = 3$  numbers were considered (denoted  $a, b, c$ ) and counted the occurrence of each of the 6 different relative orderings:

- $a < b < c$
- $a < c < b$
- $b < a < c$
- $b < c < a$
- $c < a < b$
- $c < b < a$

The cases where two or more of the three numbers in a group are equal are also counted. The probability  $P^*$  that two or more of the instances are equal is given by:

$$P^* = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{2(m-1)}{m^2}$$

Hence, the probability of observing any of the listed permutations ( $lp$ ) is:

$$P(lp) = \frac{(1 - P^*)}{3!}$$

A chi-squared test is conducted to test whether the observed counts of the permutations (including the matching cases) is consistent with the theoretical distribution.

### Runs Test

A sequence of random numbers will typically contain sub-sequences in which the numbers are increasing (they "run up") and sub-sequences in which they are decreasing (they "run down"). In this test, the sequence is split into segments in which the length is determined by whether or not the next number is higher (in the case of "run up"), or lower (in the case of "run down"). The number immediately following a run is discarded in order to make runs independent and make the chi-square test applicable. The observed value is then compared with the theoretical value and a level of confidence is calculated. Consider a sequence of uniformly distributed random numbers with  $m$  possible individual outcomes. The expected probability of a run of  $r$  consecutive numbers is:

$$P(r) = \begin{cases} m^r \binom{m}{r} - m^{-(r+1)} \binom{m}{r+1} & \text{if } 0 < r < m \\ m^{-m} & \text{if } r = m \end{cases}$$

The number of independent runs of each length up to and including  $m$  are compiled and compared with the expected values via a chi-squared goodness-of-fit test.

### References:

- Bassham, L., Rukhin, A., Soto, J., Nechvatal, J., Smid, M., Barker, E., Leigh, S., Levenson, M., Vangel, M., Banks, D., Heckert, A., Dray, J., Vo, S. (2010) *A Statistical Test Suite for Random and Pseudorandom Number Generators for Cryptographic Applications* [Online] v1a. Gaithersburg, MD, USA. National Institute of Standards & Technology. Available: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/details/sp/800-22/rev-1a/final>
- Knuth, D. (1997). *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2 (3rd Ed.): Seminumerical Algorithms* Boston: Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co, Inc.

**END OF REPORT**