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What is ToroDB?
ToroDB Layered Architecture

- Mongo client application
- Mongo Replica Set

- MongoWP
- Replication

- MongoWP Layer

- D2R
- Cache

- Executor

- Backend Common

- Backend Postgres
- Backend Mysql

- DB
How D2R (Document-to-Relational) transformation is performed

- JSON document is transformed into our internal document format that allows easy transformation using the Visitor pattern
How D2R (Document-to-Relational) transformation is performed

- Internal document format is mapped to a table-friendly format that includes some meta columns (and is enabled to perform batch inserts)

- **did**: identify a document
- **rid**: identify a data row in a table
- **pid**: is the foreign key to column rid of the parent level table (in 2 level did column is used)
- **seq**: identify the position in an array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>speaker</th>
<th>did</th>
<th>name_s</th>
<th>surname_s</th>
<th>username_s</th>
<th>address_e</th>
<th>conferences_e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Alvaro Hernandez</td>
<td>aht</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>speaker_conferences</th>
<th>did</th>
<th>rid</th>
<th>seq</th>
<th>name_s</th>
<th>year_i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>JokerConf</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ **did**: identify a document
✓ **rid**: identify a data row in a table
✓ **pid**: is the foreign key to column rid of the parent level table (in 2 level did column is used)
✓ **seq**: identify the position in an array
Generated meta-information

- “doc part” represents a table mapped to the document root or to a subdocument (or subarray)
- “table ref” is the primary key of a “doc part” and you can think of it as the path inside the document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>doc_part</th>
<th>field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>database</td>
<td>collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world</td>
<td>speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world</td>
<td>speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world</td>
<td>speaker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>speaker</th>
<th>speaker_conferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>did</td>
<td>name_s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Álvaro Hernandez</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>speaker_address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>did</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rebuilding the document from the table information

- We retrieve a ResultSet for each table representing a level of the document and start building the original document from the last child levels up to the root level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>speaker_conferences</th>
<th>speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>did</td>
<td>rid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• ToroDB Stampede replicates MongoDB oplog operations using an Akka Streams pipeline.

• Akka has been designed with back pressure in mind that allow to execute a pipeline efficiently and with bounded resource usage.

• The actor model implemented by Akka enable an easy and efficient control over threads usage.

• And make it easy to implement complex pipeline:
RunnableGraph<Pair<UniqueKillSwitch, CompletionStage<Done>>> graph =
    createOplogSource(fetcher)
    .async()
    .via(createOffheapBuffer(this.offHeapConfig))
    .async()
    .map(batchFilter)
    .map(batchChecker)
    .via(createBatcherFlow(applierContext))
    .viaMat(KillSwitches.single(), Keep.right())
    .async()
    .map(
        analyzedElem -> {
            for (AnalyzedOplogBatch analyzedOplogBatch :
                analyzedElem.analyzedBatch) {
                batchExecutor.apply(analyzedOplogBatch, applierContext);
            }
            return analyzedElem;
        }
    )
    .map(this::metricExecution)
    .toMat(
        Sink.foreach(this::storeLastAppliedOp),
        (killSwitch, completionStage) -> new Pair<>(killSwitch, completionStage));

Replication pipeline
DDL changes

- Operations are streamed as part of the replication pipeline, and can mix DML and DDL statements.
- Several operations may happen concurrently.
- This works fine on PostgreSQL (transactional DDL!). But has problems on MySQL/Oracle due to *implicit commit*, violating atomicity.
Fix implicit DDL commit problem: execute DDL on different tx (same or separate thread)

- Backend needs to provide READ COMMITTED DDL (OK on all dbs)
- Deadlock detection needs to be implemented, specially for destructive operations like DROP TABLE:
  - A reader is reading the table
  - It then needs to add a column.
  - The DDL tx waits to acquire the exclusive lock
  - The reader is blocked waiting for the DDL to proceed
The solution is to use exclusive transactions for DDL: no other tx will happen in the system (this can later be relaxed).

No DDL/DML can be mixed: if a tx sees DDL, rollbacks, sends the DDL to the SchemaManager, waits, and retries the operation.

Implementation is non-trivial as different backends require different lockings, but a superset of locks was developed (can be fine-tuned).

An alternative solution could have been to create a lazy system where C request a drop, M modifies its metadata but not the backend and eventually, when C transaction is closed, M modifies the backend accordingly.
ToroDB applies the oplog in a very smart way: it fetches a batch of oplog operations and apply them concurrently, grouping them by a hash of the database, collection and _id (primary key).

NOTE: all updates and deletes on the oplog must query by _id. Inserted documents must always contain the _id.

Doing this, each group can be executed in any order...
CUD Operations

Single-batch operations can also be partitioned into sub-operations. This can allow some out-of-order execution. Divide operations into sub-operations which can be:

✓ insert a document (I)
✓ fetch a document (F)
✓ remove a document (D)
✓ modify a document that is in memory (M)

Oplog operations map to:

✓ Create: a I if insertsAsUpserts is false or F, D, M, I if insertsAsUpserts is true
✓ Update: a F, D, M, I
✓ Delete: a D

(insertAsUpserts == false iif in initial sync state)
Group sub operations by type and execute them in the following order without breaking the semantics (only if sub operations belong to the same document):

- ✓ First all F sub operations
- ✓ Then all D sub operations and M sub operations (they can be executed on any order)
- ✓ Finally all I sub operations

We can then execute all sub operations of the same type as a single db batch and produce a huge improvement.

Even more, these batches of sub operations can be executed in parallel!
Piece of cake with Akka Streams:

```java
Flow.of(OplogBatch.class)
  .via(
    new BatchFlow<>
    (batchLimits.maxSize,
     batchLimits.maxPeriod,
     finishBatchPredicate,
     costFunction,
     zeroFun,
     acumFun))
  .filter(rawElem -> rawElem.rawBatch != null && !rawElem.rawBatch.isEmpty())
  .map(
    rawElem -> {
      List<OplogOperation> rawOps = rawElem.rawBatch.getOps();
      List<AnalyzedOplogBatch> analyzed =
        batchAnalyzer.apply(rawOps);
      return new AnalyzedStreamElement(rawElem, analyzed);
    });
```

This is a Flow that does not emit until a predicate is true or the buffered elements are evaluated to value higher than a maximum or a finite duration has passed.

Filter out empty batches

Extract sub operations, analyze them and divide them into independent batches (here is where the finite state machine can reduce CUD operation into a single composed operation (Insert, Update & Modify, Delete & Create, etc.).
Netty is an event based NIO framework that easy the use of non-blocking sockets.

ToroDB uses Netty heavily and also takes advantage of the off heap support. We lazily deserialize MongoDB messages on demand so that String and Binary values are brought to the Heap only if needed.
We just skip the reading a String until it is really needed!
Off-heap buffers

- Chronicle Queue is a distributed unbounded persisted queue. We use it in replication as a persistent buffer of messages that allow ToroDB to cope with oplog burst like an initial import.

- If ToroDB operations can not process operation at the same speed as MongoDB they're stored on disk.

- We can also continue replicating from the last applied operation if for some reasons ToroDB instance crash.
Really easy to instantiate a queue with Chronicle Queue

```java
private static ChronicleQueue getSingleChronicleQueue(OffHeapBufferConfig offHeapConfig) {
    Path path = createPath(offHeapConfig.getPath());
    StoreFileListener sl = getStoreFileListener(offHeapConfig.getMaxFiles());
    return SingleChronicleQueueBuilder.binary(path)
        .rollCycle(offHeapConfig.getRollCycle().asCqRollCycle())
        .storeFileListener(sl)
        .build();
}
```
We created a small project to integrate Chronicle Queue with Akka and put it on our replication pipeline.

```java
ChronicleQueue scq =
    getSingleChronicleQueue(offHeapConfig);

Flow.of(OplogBatch.class)
    .via(
        new ChronicleQueueStreamFactory<>(){
            @Override
            public ChronicleQueueStream stream()
            {
                return new ChronicleQueueStream(scq);
            }
        }
    )
    .withQueue(scq)
    .autoManaged()
    .createBuffer(new OplogBatchMarshaller())
    .map(Excerpt::getElement);
```
Resource consumption

Documents are schema-less...

...a better describing word is “schema-attached”: the schema is shipped with the data in each document!

...this imply a lot of String instances

...that imply a lot of String comparison byte per byte since we use lots of HashMaps

Can we optimize it?
Resource consumption

Yes!

- Retrieve the string from an intern like cache (if string cacheable)

String result = stringPool.fromPool(likelyCacheable, buffer.readSlice(pos));

- We use the Guava Interner class for efficiency since it let the Garbage Collector do its job on unreaded keys
People that requires advanced analytics usually have a lot of data.

To efficiently manage that amount of data on MongoDB is by using sharding.

ToroDB Stampede can replicate from several shards!
Different replication state per shard: to replicate from several shards, each one with their own oplog, we can fall to recovery on one shard but still be online on the others.
Drop database on recovery: before implementing sharding, the first thing done by the recovery phase is to drop the whole database. It has sense when replicating from a single shard (or if we share the replication state on all shards) but it is incorrect if we decide to allow different states per shard.

Sharding - issues to deal with
Sharding - issues to deal with

- *Migrate* operations (aka when moving a document to another shard): These operations are stored on at least two different shards and the stored operation is different on each one. For example, when a document is moved from a shard to another, there will be a delete operation on the shard that stored the document and an insert operation on the shard that will be store the document.
Changes on shards: What happen when a shard is added or removed. It seems difficult to think in advance which implications will this would have.
Sharding - issues to deal with

- Operations of different shards executed out of order: as there is no common clock, the timestamp of two operations on different oplogs cannot be compared.
  - This is not bad on CUD (Create, Update, Delete) operations that are not migrations, as they affect a single document which must be on a single shard, so different operations on the same document are always comparable by timestamp.

- We need to find synchronization points. Two possible options:
  - Documents that migrate from one shard to another (identified by _id, uniquely global) happen at the same time.
  - Some operations like index creations affect all shards
Sharding - bundles

- A single Guice injector is OK to create plain Guava services, but it cannot have several instances of the same module.
- Guice injectors only provide singleton instances per injector. There are 2 possible solutions:
  - Use scopes. It is more complicated and error prone: if there's a bug, you will silently get the singleton and not the other instance.
  - Use several injectors: easier and probably less performant, but only used at startup time (so it's OK).
Sharding - bundles. Problems encountered

- It is very easy to break the abstraction. Each class of each module can request the injection of any object on the injector, including classes from other modules. Seems fine, and Guice can resolve complex dependency graphs, but it is very difficult to reason about that.

- Example: to improve startup method, backend service is started to check for consistency. Only if it is all OK starts other modules. But backend service relied on other layers, like torod, so it was not easy task.

- Dependencies were implicit. Not only when talking about dependencies between modules, but where a class was used. As it was very easy inject even classes, new dependencies could be added without documentation or reasoning whether they should have rather been be wrapped in some way (like using a delegator or an adaptor).
Guice PrivateModule and Bundles are the key that enabled ToroDB to support sharding:

- PrivateModule force developer to be aware of what to expose as a dependency. So that we can have a fine grain control over injected instances.

- Bundles are quite simple: They are a Guava service that instantiates something that is returned on the method getExternalInterface. There are some abstract bundles designed to be compliant with DRY principle that implement some common things like to wait until all dependencies are started before starting the bundle.

```java
public interface Bundle<ExtIntT> extends TorodbService, SupervisedService, AutoCloseable {
    public abstract Collection<Service> getDependencies();
    public abstract ExtIntT getExternalInterface();
    public default <O> Bundle<O> map(Function<ExtIntT, O> transformationFunction) {
        return new TransformationBundle<>(this, transformationFunction);
    }
    @Override
    public default void close() {
        if (isRunning()) {
            this.stopAsync();
            this.awaitTerminated();
        }
    }
}
```
Integration Tests are really important for ToroDB. In particular we have to test that:

✓ Backend code is working as expected

✓ Replication corner cases are well handled

We need a way to test all of that with real database instances?!
Docker integration into Java for fully automated unit and integration testing scenarios, including clusters of servers, failover, etc. ...and JUnit 5 taken to the extreme!

A Postgres docker container is spawned by our open source testing-tools project in each test iteration.

https://github.com/torodb/testing-tools

Contributions are welcome!
Integration Test JUnit5 and Docker

You can also use it without annotation if your test needs require complex configurations

... like you need to spawn a container for each test suite and need a reference before each test

```java
public class PostgreSqlStructureIT extends AbstractStructureIntegrationSuite {
    private static PostgresService postgresService;

    @BeforeAll
    public static void beforeAll() {
        postgresService = PostgresService.defaultService(EnumVersion.LATEST);
        postgresService.startAsync();
        postgresService.awaitRunning();
    }

    @AfterAll
    public static void afterAll() {
        if (postgresService != null && postgresService.isRunning()) {
            postgresService.stopAsync();
            postgresService.awaitTerminated();
        }
    }

    @Override
    protected BackendTestContextFactory getBackendTestContextFactory() {
        return new PostgreSqlTestContextFactory(postgresService);
    }
}
```
JUnit 5 also rocks!

Testing replication corner cases made easy with @TestFactory annotation

```java
@RunWith(JUnitPlatform.class)
public abstract class AbstractOplogApplierTest {
    protected String getName(OplogApplierTest oplogTest) {
        return oplogTest.getTestName().orElse("unknown");
    }

    protected abstract Supplier<ClosableContext> contextSupplier();

    @TestFactory
    protected Stream<DynamicTest> createJsonTests() throws Exception {
        return oplogTestSupplier().map(oplogTest -> OplogApplierTestFactory.oplogTest(getName(oplogTest),
            oplogTest, contextSupplier()));
    }

    protected Stream<OplogApplierTest> oplogTestSupplier() {
        return new DefaultTestsStreamer().get();
    }
}
```