

Welcome to ConnectAD_™, a clinical case series created by the Eli Lilly and Company Neuroscience medical education team. This series is intended to connect healthcare professionals to resources that help them detect, diagnose, and manage Alzheimer's disease.



Disclaimer

The content for this case was created by Eli Lilly and Company and is inspired by scenarios clinicians may encounter while caring for patients with Alzheimer's disease.

A variety of cognitive and diagnostic tests can reasonably be used in the detection and diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Inclusion of specific cognitive and/or diagnostic tests in this case reflects the diversity of clinical preferences, and the use of particular diagnostic tools does not imply endorsement or recommendation by Lilly.

Learning Objectives

- Through completing this course, you will have a deeper understanding of:
- The clinical presentation of Alzheimer's disease
- How to integrate clinical and biomarker assessments to make an accurate diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease in the earliest stages



Our Patient

Mateo



73 years old



Male



Hispanic



Married, 2 children, 2 grandchildren



College degree



High school teacher (retired)



Family history

- Colon cancer
- Grandfather diagnosed with dementia (cause unknown)



Clinical Information and History

Mateo has experienced progressive worsening of the following symptoms over the past 2.5 years:

- Difficulty remembering plans, dates, and events
- Repetition of questions in conversations

In the past year, he has required assistance from his wife in handling family finances, medical appointments, and medications



General health

- Heart rate: 82 bpm
- Controlled hypertension: 124/72 mmHg
- Adenomatous colon polyps (undergoing colonoscopy surveillance)



Current medication (class)

Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB)



Initial Clinical Assessment



General neurological exam: Normal



Mental status

- Alert, diminished insight (no reports of memory concerns)
- Instrumental activities of daily living: Impaired (finances, medications, appointments)



Cognition

- MMSE: 24/30 (normal ≥25)
 - Time orientation: 3/5 (does not know date or day of the week)
 - Word recall: 0/3
 - Figure copy: Incorrect



Additional Findings



Blood work within normal limits, including:

- Complete blood count (CBC)
- Electrolytes
- Glucose
- Creatinine
- Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH)
- Vitamin B12



Brain MRI

- No evidence of global or focal cortical atrophy
- No evidence of infarct, hemorrhage, or mass lesion



CSF assay

- $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$: Low
- P-tau: High
- T-tau: High



Given the patient information presented, what is the diagnosis?

- 1. Mild dementia due to AD
- 2. MCI due to AD
- 3. Preclinical AD
- 4. Normal cognitive aging
- 5. Other



Given the patient information presented, what is the diagnosis?

Option 1:
Mild dementia due to AD



Justification for Diagnosis

Why is Mateo diagnosed with mild dementia due to AD?

Mateo is presenting with clinical symptoms consistent with mild dementia due to AD (including functional impairment). His symptoms are corroborated by clinical assessment.

Although Mateo's MRI results showed no other potential cause for his cognitive dysfunction, the assessment of AD-specific biomarkers in CSF confirms the presence of A β fibrils and plaques in the brain, consistent with the pathology of AD.¹

Evidence of functional impairment (requiring assistance for instrumental activities of daily living such as managing finances and medications) leads to the diagnosis of mild dementia due to AD.²



Key Learnings in Mateo's Case (1 of 3)

AD is the most common form of dementia. Although clinical presentations with memory impairment are suggestive of AD, presence of AD biomarkers is required to formulate a neuropathology-proven diagnosis

- The diagnosis of AD has evolved; today, AD can be defined by clinical symptoms and neuropathological biomarkers of AD^{1,2}
- Potential diagnostic AD biomarkers include¹:
 - CSF Aβ and tau
 - Aβ or tau PET neuroimaging
- AD neuropathology biomarkers are important for timely and accurate diagnosis, especially in earlier clinical stages of disease¹⁻³



Key Learnings in Mateo's Case (2 of 3)

MRI can be a useful assessment to¹:

- Rule out non-AD conditions that can cause cognitive decline
- Provide information suggestive of AD; for example, observed hippocampal atrophy

MRI does not provide information on A β and tau pathology, and therefore cannot be used as a standalone test for the diagnosis of AD²

Structural neuroimaging (MRI)

- Is useful in evaluation of cognitive impairment by:
 - Ruling out several causes (eg, vascular lesions, mass lesions)¹
 - Detecting atrophy (especially in the hippocampus/medial temporal lobe), which correlates with cognitive status and is a marker of neurodegeneration²
- MRI has limited sensitivity (~80%) for neuropathology-proven AD diagnosis and should therefore not be considered a stand-alone test to diagnose AD^{1,2}
 - Patients with no notable MRI abnormalities could still potentially be positive for Aβ and tau pathology and thus be on the AD continuum³



Key Learnings in Mateo's Case (3 of 3)

The CSF biomarker assay can be used to confirm the presence of pathology consistent with AD¹

CSF assays

- Quantitatively measure the levels of Aβ and tau protein within the fluid of the lumbar sac²
- Reflect the rates of Aβ and tau protein production and clearance²
- Advantages include:
 - Less expensive than PET by 10-15-fold³
 - Simultaneous information on Aβ and tau biomarkers⁴

- Limitations include:
 - CSF is obtained via lumbar puncture; this is invasive and can be uncomfortable for patients⁵
 - Limited availability outside of specialized clinics⁶
 - Do not detect regional Aβ or tau deposition^{2,3}



 $A\beta = Amyloid\ Beta;\ AD = Alzheimer's\ Disease;\ CSF = Cerebrospinal\ Fluid;\ PET = Positron\ Emission\ Tomography.$

^{1.} https://alz.org/media/Documents/scientific-conferences/Figures-and-Tables-Clinical-Criteria-for-Staging-and-Diagnosis-for-Public-Comment-Draft-2.pdf (Accessed January 2024). 2. Jack CR Jr, et al. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14:535-562. 3. Hansson O, et al. *Alzheimer's Res Ther*. 2019;11(1):34. 4. Dubois B, et al. *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20(6):484-496. 5. Lee JC, et al. *Exp Mol Med*. 2019;51(5):1-10. 6. Zetterberg H, et al. *Alzheimer's Dement (Amst)*. 2019;784-786.

