



Species Recovery Programme

Grant Scheme: C32276

Clarification Questions and Answers

Date published: 26/01/2026

This document will be updated weekly. Clarification questions should be submitted to SRPGrants@naturalengland.org.uk

Table of Contents

Topic 1. Find a Grant	7
Q1. Registering	7
A1. Answer	7
Q2. Multiple applications	7
A2. Answer	7
Q3. Multiple application value	7
A3. Answer	7
Q4. Eligibility conditions	7
A4. Answer	7
Q5. Organisation category	8
A5. Answer	8
Q6. Funding amount applied for	8
A6. Answer	8
Topic 2. Conditions of Applying	8
Q1. Meaning of collaboration	8
A1. Answer	8
Q2. Collaboration and costs	9
A2. Answer	9
Q3. Evidencing conditions have been addressed	9
A3. Answer	9
Topic 3. Budget	9
Q1. Maximum grant value	9
A1. Answer	9
Q2. Increasing costs due to collaboration	9
A2. Answer	9
Q3. Increasing costs due to increasing delivery	10
A3. Answer	10
Q4. Increasing costs due to adding a non-shortlisted proposal	10
A4. Answer	10
Q5. Inflation/Contingency	10
A5. Answer	10
Q6. Providing detailed costings later	10
A6. Answer	10
Q7. Balancing principles	11
A7. Answer	11
Q8. Subcontractors	11

A8. Answer	11
Q9. Capital and Resource costs.....	11
A9. Answer	11
Q10. Capital and Resource costs (2)	11
A10. Answer	11
Q11. Budget template.....	11
A11. Answer	12
Q12. Increasing costs due to VAT	12
A12. Answer	12
Q13. NEW Budget template.....	12
A13. Answer	12
Q14. NEW Staff overheads	12
A14. Answer	12
Q15. NEW Financial administration costs.....	13
A15. Answer	13
Q16. NEW Consultancy costs.....	13
A16. Answer	13
Q17. NEW Intervention rates.....	13
A17. Answer	13
Topic 4. Application Questions	13
Q1. Project map format	13
A1. Answer	13
Q2. Word limits	13
A2. Answer	14
Q3. Supporting documents	14
A3. Answer	14
Q4. Supporting documents	14
A4. Answer	14
Q5. Incidental species	14
A5. Answer	14
Q6. Protected sites.....	15
A6. Answer	15
Q7. Reference code.....	15
A7. Answer	15
Q8. Cost evidence	15
A8. Answer	15
Q9. NEW Partial funding	15
A9. Answer	15

Q10. NEW Project locations	16
A10. Answer	16
A11. Answer	16
Q12. NEW Permissions for protected sites.....	16
A12. Answer	16
Q13. NEW Assets (species)	16
A13. Answer	16
Q14. NEW Aims and objectives	17
A14. Answer	17
Topic 5. Funding Eligibility	17
Q1. VAT	17
A1. Answer	17
Q2. Existing project obligations	17
A2. Answer	17
Q3. NEW Equipment for habitat creation.....	17
A3. Answer	17
Q4. NEW Fencing installation	17
A4. Answer	17
Q5. NEW Legal fees for partnership agreements	18
A5. Answer	18
Q6. NEW Contingency.....	18
A6. Answer	18
Topic 6. Staff Costs	18
Q1. Overhead calculations	18
A1. Answer	18
Q2. Partner's overheads	18
A2. Answer	18
Q3. UPDATED In-kind costs	19
A3. UPDATED Answer	19
Q4. Staff cost definitions.....	19
A4. Answer	19
Q5. Capital or Resource staff costs	19
A5. Answer	19
Q6. NEW Staff salary costs.....	19
A6. Answer	19
Q7. NEW Natural England staff costs.....	20
A7. Answer	20
Topic 7. Partnerships	20

Q1. Payments to partners	20
A1. Answer	20
Q2. Partners	20
A2. Answer	20
Q3. Project partners.....	20
A3. Answer	20
Q4. Partner definition	20
A4. Answer	21
Q5. Partnership form	21
A5. UPDATED Answer	21
Q6. NEW Partnership form percentages	21
A6. Answer	21
Q7. NEW Landowners	21
A7. Answer	22
Q8. NEW Landowner permissions	22
A8. Answer	22
Q9. NEW Procurement	22
A9. Answer	22
Topic 8. Publicity	22
Q1. Publicity	22
A1. Answer	22
Topic 9. Reintroductions	23
Q1. Translocations	23
A1. Answer	23
Q2. NEW Monitoring timeframes	23
A2. Answer	23
Topic 10. Timescales	23
Q1. Start date	23
A1. Answer	23
Topic 11. Match Funding	23
Q1. NEW National Parks	23
A1. Answer	23
Q2. NEW Existing funding obligations	24
A2. Answer	24
Topic 12. Terms & Conditions	24
Q1. NEW Project audit	24
A1. Answer	24
Q2. NEW Partner audits.....	24

A2. Answer	24
Q3. NEW Grant agreement amendments.....	24
A3. Answer	24

Topic 1. Find a Grant

Q1. Registering

Can more than one member of staff sign up on the Find a Grant portal as a representative of our organisation? Can our colleagues access the application forms?

A1. Answer

Only one individual per organisation should register on Find a Grant and complete the first stage of the application process (the organisational application). The technical application forms and guidance can be downloaded from the supporting information section and shared with colleagues for completion.

Accounts cannot be transferred and if you have inadvertently created two accounts, the additional account cannot be deleted but will be removed after the application process has been completed (120 days from the deadline for applications). Applications from the same organisation should be submitted through one account.

Q2. Multiple applications

How do we submit multiple applications on Find a Grant?

A2. Answer

Please refer to Section A paragraph 23 of the ITA Guidance: If you have been shortlisted and invited to submit more than one application, you only need to make one on-line organisational application on Find a Grant. Any documents required for each additional project application must be submitted on-line when prompted to do so. There will be the opportunity to submit a Technical Application Form (Annex C) and budget plan (Annex D) for each separate project. All attachments for each project application should be uploaded as a zipped file using the correct naming convention with the relevant unique reference code for each document and zipped file.

Q3. Multiple application value

If more than one application is being submitted should the total for all applications be entered in the 'Amount applied for' field on Find a Grant?

A3. Answer

The online application requires you to enter the total amount of funding being requested. So, if you are applying for more than one project enter the sum of all project amounts. The following (SQ0) question requires that you provide a breakdown by unique reference code of the amounts you are applying for, for each project.

Q4. Eligibility conditions

Find a Grant requires us to accept the Eligibility and Agreement conditions before being able to access the rest of the form. If we select 'Yes' would these only be considered as accepted when we submit the whole form?

A4. Answer

The conditions are only accepted once you press Submit at the end of the application process. All information can be changed until that point, provided it is before the application deadline.

Q5. Organisation category

Is a university categorised as "Other" on the Find a Grant application?

A5. Answer

Yes, a university would be categorised as 'Other' on Find a Grant.

Q6. Funding amount applied for

Do I need to know my exact budget when I start my online application, or can small changes be made throughout the application process?

A6. Answer

The 'Funding amount applied for' can be changed at any point during the application process. The amount entered into the box should equate to the total shown on your budget plan (or if your organisation has been invited to submit more than one application, the total of all of them combined). You will need to press 'Submit' at the end of the application process, and all information can be changed until that point, provided it is before the application deadline.

Topic 2. Conditions of Applying

Q1. Meaning of collaboration

We have been asked to collaborate with other organisations. Are we expected to agree and submit one joint project proposal between the organisations, or should each organisation submit separate or different applications that clearly outline how we will work collaboratively?

A1. Answer

Collaboration is a condition of applying. Your outcome letter should outline how we would like you to collaborate with other organisations. Where the condition is to work together and submit a single application this is to achieve ambitious outcomes in the most cost effective and efficient way. In this instance you should identify the most appropriate organisation to lead and submit a single grant application, and if successful with the full application, that organisation would lead the delivery of the partnership project.

We will not fund separate projects to deliver the same actions in the same geography, hence the need to collaborate and determine whether:

- the proposals can be brought together entirely into a single application with resulting efficiencies
- there needs to be a division of responsibility and actions so that duplication is avoided

For proposals not operating in the same or similar geographies, the form of collaboration should focus on knowledge sharing unless we have specified otherwise.

If individual organisations cannot agree the terms of collaboration this should be explained in Section 1a of the Technical Application Form and will be taken into consideration when the Authority (Natural England) evaluates the applications.

Q2. Collaboration and costs

What do we do if the partners who have been asked to collaborate cannot agree cost savings, priorities or accept different payment rates for different organisations? Can they revert to submitting separate bids or will this automatically result in all of the bids failing?

A2. Answer

Collaboration is a condition of application, where the condition is to work together and submit a single application this is to achieve ambitious outcomes in the most cost effective and efficient way; if individual organisations cannot agree the details of the project as a partnership, separate applications will not be acceptable. Note that if awarded a grant, this would be at an overall intervention rate determined by the ratio of grant to total costs (including match funding), we would make grant payments based on evidence of actual costs incurred, as outlined in the guidance.

Q3. Evidencing conditions have been addressed

One of our conditions of shortlisting was to work collaboratively, how do we evidence this to you? Is filling out section 1a of the application form enough or do you require written evidence from both parties proving the decisions made?

A3. Answer

If you were given conditions, you must complete section 1a of the technical application form. If you have addressed and met the conditions, this should be evidenced by the information within the application form. If you have been unable to meet the conditions you should provide a single separate attachment which clearly shows the efforts that have been made and the reason for not meeting the condition, for instance an email between the parties, or formal minutes of a meeting.

Topic 3. Budget

Q1. Maximum grant value

Can we increase the funding request over the indicative cost we included in our CFI submission, and can the value exceed the £1.5m maximum advertised?

A1. Answer

CFI submissions were appraised for value for money based on the indicative figures provided. The Authority does not expect these figures to be increased unless sufficient justification can be provided within the full application.

Q2. Increasing costs due to collaboration

Given the potentially expanded scope of a revised, collaborative project at the next stage, what level of increase to our original budget would be considered acceptable? Would it be appropriate to combine the budgets of all the projects?

A2. Answer

We would expect to see efficiencies from working in partnership, including cost savings; simply combining the indicative costings contained within the CFI submissions would not be sufficient. Requests for funding that exceed the £1.5million maximum will be considered for collaborative projects, where the Authority has conditioned this collaboration.

Q3. Increasing costs due to increasing delivery

The conditions on our outcome letter have specified that we need to be more ambitious, which includes additional TSRA actions and delivery. Are we able to increase the indicative cost from our Call for Ideas submission in order to fulfil these conditions?

A3. Answer

If the Authority has requested additional delivery, then an increase in project costs can be considered in these circumstances. You will need to provide full justification for any increase, and all budgets will be evaluated against Value for Money criteria.

Q4. Increasing costs due to adding a non-shortlisted proposal

We have been asked to partner with another organisation but does the guidance about making resource efficiencies and cost savings to submit a lower funding request than that indicated in our CFI application still apply if we have been asked to partner with an organisation that has not been shortlisted. Our costs may be higher if we need to incorporate additional delivery.

A4. Answer

If the Authority has requested additional delivery by bringing all or part of a separate non-shortlisted proposal on board, then an increase in project costs can be considered in these circumstances. You will need to provide full justification for any increase, and all budgets will be evaluated against Value for Money criteria.

Q5. Inflation/Contingency

Can we build in any inflation allowance/contingency into the budget to account for price rises?

A5. Answer

Please refer to Section B of the ITA Guidance (Eligible and Ineligible Expenditure), which states that: inflation funding to allow for cost increases in years two and three of delivery should be included within the above costs, not itemised separately.

Please also refer to Section C of the ITA Guidance (Technical Assessment) Section 7: Value for Money for additional information relating to Inflationary pressures.

Q6. Providing detailed costings later

Could finer details around precise costings be contained in subsequent grant paperwork, so no need to try and include all of this detail within the word limit of the grant bid by the deadline?

A6. Answer

No, all details must be provided by the deadline as set out in the guidance in order for us to assess the application against all criteria, including value for money.

Q7. Balancing principles

Are you expecting application costs to be reduced at full application stage so that a disproportionate amount of the SRP budget is not spent on one species or group of species?

A7. Answer

We expect all costs to be reasoned and justified. All applications will be assessed against the published evaluation criteria including value for money, and the balancing principles as described in the guidance for applicants. We have not earmarked any specific proportion of budget to any species or taxonomic groups.

Q8. Subcontractors

Are subcontractors defined in a specific way? And can subcontractors be listed on a budget plan line and evidenced via an invoice?

A8. Answer

“Sub-contractor” means an organisation or individual engaged by the Grant Recipient to perform part of the Grant Recipient’s obligations under the Grant Funding Agreement. This typically includes any third party delivering goods or services on behalf of the main Grant Recipient and any entity performing a material element of the grant funded work. Yes, sub-contractors should be listed on the budget plan and will need to be evidenced with invoices during the grant claim process, if a grant is awarded.

Q9. Capital and Resource costs

Is the capital/resource ratio of 2:1 averaged across all projects in the programme rather than being a requirement for each project?

A9. Answer

Yes, we are aiming for the 2:1 capital to resource ratio across the whole programme. We would like individual projects to be close to this but accept that the exact split will differ for each. We would not expect to see any individual budget being wholly capital or wholly resource funded.

Q10. Capital and Resource costs (2)

In the budget template (Annex D) do we need to split out the capital and resource costs?

A10. Answer

The budget template does that for you, we have already assigned the appropriate cost categories to either capital or resource. This will show in column B based on the category you have selected in column A. The summary table below the budget plan will then provide you with the total amount categorised as CDEL (capital) and RDEL (resource).

Q11. Budget template

How many budget templates are submitted on Find a Grant, 1 per partner or 1 per project?

A11. Answer

Only one budget template (Annex D) should be submitted with each project application. Partnership projects must clearly show on the budget plan template which partner is responsible for which items or activities or costs.

Q12. Increasing costs due to VAT

Having read the guidance, we are reviewing whether the indicative cost we entered in our response to the Call for Ideas was sufficient, we may need to increase this amount to account for VAT, is this possible?

A12. Answer

If your indicative cost did not include irrecoverable VAT than you may include this in your application as it cannot be added later. Section A paragraph 60 of the ITA guidance states: It is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure their Application accurately reflects their VAT liabilities. If the application is successful and it subsequently transpires there has been an error in the Application, the Authority shall be under no obligation to increase Grant Funding to meet any VAT liability of the Applicant.

Q13. NEW Budget template

We require additional rows on the budget template, but we cannot copy the formulas when we insert a new row. Is there a bigger budget template available?

A13. Answer

The spreadsheet has been protected so certain functions, such as copying formulas, cannot be carried out. An XL version of the budget template with additional budget rows has been uploaded to the Supporting Information section on the Find a Grant website.

Q14. NEW Staff overheads

Can staff overheads, e.g. mobile phone allowances, PPE and software packages for laptops be included in the overhead calculations? Would budgets require amendments if a mobile phone allowance was categorised as an equipment purchase rather than an overhead?

A14. Answer

Purchase of items like these should be included under the cost category of equipment purchase or consumables. Disposable PPE would be a consumable, whereas longer-lasting PPE such as steel-toe capped wellingtons should come under the equipment purchase category. Mobile phone or laptop and software purchase should be categorised as equipment purchase, whilst phone allowance would come under project travel and subsistence.

Q15. NEW Financial administration costs

Is a financial administrator's time classed as staff time or a project overhead?

A15. Answer

If the financial administrator is employed specifically for or has a set proportion of their time dedicated to managing finance and administration relating to project expenditure this can be included in your project budget under the 'Staff costs - finance/admin' category. However, if they handle financial administration across your whole organisation and do not have a project specific role, these would be considered organisational overheads and would normally be included in overhead calculations. Ensure this staff time is not double counted.

Q16. NEW Consultancy costs

If an activity is in a resource category, but is delivered by a consultant, would this then be considered capital? E.g. if monitoring or landowner advice is outsourced to a consultant?

A16. Answer

Please enter the activity under the most appropriate cost category in your application. Natural England will request a manual adjustment if the category is not suitable or needs amending.

Q17. NEW Intervention rates

Would the single intervention rate calculated affect the amount each partner would receive, versus being funded as a single organisation?

In an example with two partners, with Organisation A asking for 80% funding, and Organisation B asking for 30% funding, is the intervention rate worked out per partner i.e., 80% & 30%, or per project at a total intervention rate?

A17. Answer

You should put a single budget plan together for the whole project, showing which partner is responsible for which elements. The intervention rate applies to the whole project based on the percentage of grant funding requested compared to the total project costs. It is up to the lead partner to agree the working and funding relationship with partners.

Topic 4. Application Questions

Q1. Project map format

What format should we submit the maps in?

A1. Answer

The project location map, with base map, should ideally be provided as a PDF, but a Word Document or JPEG would be accepted.

Q2. Word limits

Is there a word limit for the Project Description?

In Section 3c of Annex C (Technical Application), 3 of the columns state there is a 100-word limit per line. Does the 100-word limit refer to the cell (100 words to outline the Activity, 100

words for the Output and 100 words for the Outcome) or is it 100 words total for the entire row?

A2. Answer

Where specified, word limits must be adhered to. The Project Description, Section 3a: Aim and Objectives, does not specify a word limit, but the response for this section should be kept concise. Please refer to Section C of the ITA Guidance for further information on completing the technical application.

The word limit for Section 3c of the Technical Application refers to each cell, rather than each row, so the word limit is 100 for the Activity, 100 for the Output and 100 for the Outcome

Q3. Supporting documents

Other than the required document templates what other documents are we allowed to upload?

A3. Answer

You must upload the Technical Application Form (Annex C), Budget Plan (Annex D), and a project location map; plus the Partnership Form (Annex F) if applicable, and a map of protected sites if applicable. You should also upload annual accounts or financial statement if these are available to you. Other documents should be restricted to those that directly support your application: evidence of any approvals/permissions you already have or evidence of any submissions you have made for which you are awaiting approval; evidence that your budget costings are reasonable (e.g., quotes); evidence of how staff costs are calculated; evidence of how organisational overheads are calculated.

Q4. Supporting documents

Can we upload other supporting documents that we feel are important to the application?

A4. Answer

No, only those files as set out above (A3). We cannot accept additional responses to the Technical Application questions, which should be wholly answered on the form with any word counts adhered to.

Q5. Incidental species

In addition to the main target species should we include incidental species, i.e., other species that will benefit from the delivery being carried out for the target species, in Section 3 of the Technical application?

A5. Answer

Only include the 'target' species, those for which you are actively delivering TSRA actions in the Species and TSRA Action table (Section 3b). Although you may benefit other species during the delivery of your project; the activity, outputs and outcomes for your target species should be your primary focus as this is what you, and we, will need to report on. Section 4, Strategic Fit, of the Technical Application would be an appropriate place to include details of those additional or incidental species that will benefit from your project.

Q6. Protected sites

We note that you ask us to detail in our application any protected sites we plan to work on. Does our grant application constitute a notice for SSSI consent? If not, what process do we need to follow?

A6. Answer

The Find a Grant Application does not constitute a Notice of Proposal, and the grant offer will not act as SSSI Consent, Assent, or Advice. If you are proposing work on any protected site, you will need to discuss this at your earliest opportunity with NE protected sites team. You should provide evidence with your application of any discussions you have had.

Q7. Reference code

If projects are combining, which unique reference code should be used?

A7. Answer

If you are working collaboratively and have been requested to submit one strategic project, select the lead organisation's project unique reference code and use this for the application.

Q8. Cost evidence

Do we need to submit all quotes for expenditure, or do you only require quotes for expenditure over a certain value?

A8. Answer

Your application should provide evidence of how costs have been derived. If quotes are not available at the time of submitting your application, then you should provide an accompanying narrative explaining how you have derived your budget costs. You should attach a single file containing cost evidence for items over £10,000 where available, or your justification.

Q9. NEW Partial funding

Is it possible that projects could receive a partial grant if they score highly but funds available are limited? e.g. if we demonstrate within our application which elements of our project can stand-alone and be delivered with a partial grant.

A9. Answer

Yes, that is possible, but it would be the decision of the SRP team as to which elements we wish to pursue.

Q10. NEW Project locations

How specific do we need to be in terms of identifying project locations within the application? We will specify a project area, but can we decide on the exact locations for different project activities (within this project area), based on feasibility modelling and other data collected as part of the project?

A10. Answer

Yes, that is acceptable. We recognise that some grant applicants will know exactly which locations they plan to work on, whilst others will need to refine these as a result of survey and feasibility work. However, if you named sites in your response to the Call For Ideas, but these have materially changed, you should explain this in section 1b of your application.

Q11. NEW Project and protected sites maps

Our project involves multiple species sub-projects covering multiple regions. Should we upload a separate map for each species? What sort of scale should the maps be and should they show the specific land parcels where work will take place?

A11. Answer

If your project is across multiple sites over a wide geographical area, it is recommended you provide an overall map showing the extent of your delivery area. For the protected sites maps, we suggest basing this on location rather than species, so even though multiple maps will be needed, providing maps on a regional or county level should reduce the number of maps. We do not necessarily need to see land parcels.

Q12. NEW Permissions for protected sites

Our applications will cover large areas of England and therefore may include hundreds of protected sites, and we work to gain permissions for sites as we go based on identifying specific locations through work with landowners. Given the scale of this work, do we need to provide all of the required permissions for this work before commencing the project?

A12. Answer

Given the scale of the project area, we do not expect you to have identified all the protected sites and individual permissions at application stage and will not ask for these before the project commences. You should identify what approvals are needed in your application and explain your approach to securing them.

Q13. NEW Assets (species)

Can a species/population reintroduced into the landscape be considered an asset? If so, should they therefore be listed in answer to question 6b (Asset creation and enhancement table).

A13. Answer

A species itself (or a population) cannot be capitalised, however, the reintroduction of a species/population to an area of land where the species presence enhances the value of that land, can be considered to have enhanced the land asset. On that basis, yes, you should include species reintroductions in section 6b of your application form.

Q14. NEW Aims and objectives

Is it acceptable to include multiple aims in Section 3a of the technical application form?

A14. Answer

Ideally, we are looking for one over-arching aim above a series of objectives. For more complicated or multi-taxa projects there may be more than one over-arching aim. Please keep these focussed and specific.

Topic 5. Funding Eligibility

Q1. VAT

As a project team within a local authority, would the VAT on our expenditure be recoverable?

A1. Answer

If the project is hosted by the local authority and staff are employed by the local authority then the VAT is fully recoverable from HMRC, the project itself should not have different VAT rules from its hosting organisation.

Q2. Existing project obligations

Some of the work planned for this new project will be building on from previous work from the Species Recovery Programme Capital Grant Scheme 2023- 2025, is this eligible for funding?

A2. Answer

Grants cannot be used to pay for existing obligations from other funding streams such as Species Survival Fund or the Species Recovery Programme Capital Grant Scheme 2023-2025. Full checks will be made at the evaluation stage to ensure that the proposed work is not fulfilling obligations from a previous Capital Grant Scheme.

Q3. NEW Equipment for habitat creation

Is equipment purchase for items required for habitat creation eligible for funding?

A3. Answer

If the purchase of equipment or machinery is necessary to deliver habitat creation, then it would be eligible for funding. These items should be categorised as 'Equipment/machinery purchase' on your budget plan.

Q4. NEW Fencing installation

Is the installation of stock-fencing, to enable habitat management via grazing, eligible for funding under the action 'habitat creation'?

A4. Answer

Fencing for conservation grazing for habitat management would not be eligible for funding under the habitat creation category. The SRP is focused on funding highly bespoke actions that TSRA species require which are above and beyond most agri-environment agreements

and options. Fencing to facilitate conservation grazing for habitat management should be delivered via agri-environment schemes.

Q5. NEW Legal fees for partnership agreements

Are legal fees related to partnership agreements/management agreements eligible for funding as a Professional fee? These may be incurred between the signing of the funding agreement (approval to start) and the first three months of project delivery'?

A5. Answer

Yes, these fees would be eligible but not if they were incurred before the grant agreement was signed.

Q6. NEW Contingency

How and where should we add contingency costs to our budget template?

A6. Answer

We do not accept a contingency on individual project budgets; we intend to hold a central contingency pot which can be used as required following an approved amendment request. Only inflationary costs should be factored in as stated in our guidance: Please note that inflation funding to allow for cost increases in years two and three of delivery should be included within the costs, not itemised separately.

Topic 6. Staff Costs

Q1. Overhead calculations

The guidance asks for evidence of how the overheads are calculated, suggesting a breakdown of each component and their cost per FTE staff member. What is the level of detail you need for the evidence?

A1. Answer

Methods of overhead calculation will vary across organisations, you should present a letter or spreadsheet breaking overheads down into each of your main categories, e.g. financial administration, management time, legal & professional services, IT support etc, showing the organisation's cost per category, how this equates to the overhead cost per Full Time Equivalent member of staff and the resulting percentage of overheads to staff employment costs.

Q2. Partner's overheads

How you would like the overheads of partners to be included and do you need a full breakdown for how they will spend the overheads?

A2. Answer

You will need to include evidence of how your partners overheads have been calculated in the same way as the lead organisation does, plus evidence of how their staff costs have been calculated.

Q3. UPDATED In-kind costs

Can staff time from collaborating organisations, including Natural England, working on/supporting a project be claimed as an In-kind contribution?

Would Natural England volunteer time count as in-kind contribution / match funding?

A3. UPDATED Answer

Natural England staff time cannot be counted as match funding. The guidance defines match funding as both cash and in-kind and states that: Match funding does not include staff time funded by Defra (Environment Agency, Forestry Commission, Forest Enterprise, other Natural England sources) as they are exchequer funded. You can tell us separately how these provide added value, but these contributions will not be considered as part of our value for money scoring.

Cash or in-kind contributions from other organisations such as local authorities should be included as match.

Volunteers managed by Natural England staff should be counted as contributing volunteer value at the standard rates. However, Natural England staff themselves cannot be counted as volunteers unless it is in their own (unpaid) time.

Q4. Staff cost definitions

What is the difference between "Staff costs for landowner advice and support" (Resource) and "Staff costs for project delivery and management" (Capital)?

A4. Answer

Please refer to the Funding Criteria in Section B of the ITA Guidance for Applicants which provides more detailed definitions of the cost categories. The 'Staff costs for landowner advice and support' category will primarily align with the TSRA action type 'Advice & Support'.

Q5. Capital or Resource staff costs

If a staff member will be completing both Capital and Resource work, how do we reflect this on the budget template?

A5. Answer

You should assign their time as best you can to the relevant staff costs category, i.e. if the staff member will be employed full time on the project but 50% of their time will be delivering education/awareness raising activities (resource) then use this cost category and enter 50% of their costs on that line, if the remaining 50% is for delivering project delivery and management (capital) then use this cost category and enter 50% of their costs on that line.

Q6. NEW Staff salary costs

If the specific staff member is not known at this stage, can we use a generic rate costed for someone at that staffing level?

A6. Answer

Yes, where a new role would be created for the project or you do not have a member of staff in post, please use the appropriate salary costings in your budget plan, these should be staff salary plus employers NI contribution plus employer's pension contribution.

Q7. NEW Natural England staff costs

Can staff costs for Natural England staff, either existing or new, be included in the project budget?

A7. Answer

No, Natural England staff costs should not be included in project budgets. Existing staff are already on the Defra payroll so this would constitute double funding. Natural England also has headcount limits so is not able to employ additional staff members. Only delivery (non-staff) costs on NE-owned land should be included. NE staff time or other contributions should not be calculated as in-kind. You may refer to NE contributions as additional in the narrative but not in any way as match.

Topic 7. Partnerships

Q1. Payments to partners

Does a grant allow for invoicing and payments to be made to separate project partners, or would the lead partner have to be responsible for administration of funding?

A1. Answer

For successful partnership grant awards, the lead partner would be the grant agreement holder and would be responsible for administration of the funding. If offered a grant, partnerships will need to provide a copy of a partnership agreement which sets out roles, responsibilities and ways of working.

Q2. Partners

We are a project team hosted by an organisation; do we need to list the host organisation or the project team as a partner in our project application?

A2. Answer

The application should be submitted by the host organisation whose bank account any grant funds would be paid into. A project team employed by that host organisation would not be considered a separate partner. If other partners outside of the host organisation are involved in the project these should be detailed as partners on Annex F Partnership Form.

Q3. Project partners

Is information on the partnership form required from NE if they are involved in a project or is this only a requirement for external partners?

A3. Answer

No, Natural England should not be included as a partner on the Partnership Form (Annex F).

Q4. Partner definition

What is the definition of a partner, and do they have to contribute money to be classed as a partner?

A4. Answer

Partners would be those organisations with whom you are collaborating to deliver the project, i.e. they would have specific delivery roles and responsibilities. They may also be contributing match funding towards delivering the project objectives, and/or could be in receipt of a proportion of the grant award.

Do not include contractors as partners, these are individuals or organisations to whom you would let a contract, following proper procurement procedures.

All partnerships will need to provide a signed partnership agreement to the Authority if a grant award is made.

Q5. Partnership form

Which sections of the Annex F partnership form do partner organisations need to fill out, and do they need to answer all questions if they do not have access to the information? Should we list all the members of each organisation that will participate?

A5. UPDATED Answer

All **delivery** partner organisations, including the lead applicant, should complete all applicable sections of the partnership form, this is required for our due diligence process. Individual staff members should not be named here; these details should be included in Section 5a of the technical application form (Annex C).

Q6. NEW Partnership form percentages

On the partnership form, it asks for the percentage of involvement of each member in the project. Should the total for all partners be 100%?

A6. Answer

The lead applicant and each delivery partner organisation should specify what their approximate percentage of involvement is in the project, these figures combined should total 100%. This should be based on the percentage of both financial and delivery involvement. For example, if a partnership comprises of 3 organisations each contributing to different aspects of the project, the combined contribution should total 100% and might look like the following:

Organisation	Financial Involvement	Delivery Involvement	Calculation	Total Involvement to be stated in the Partnership Form
A	75%	55%	130/2	65%
B	15%	35%	50/2	25%
C	10%	10%	20/2	10%
Total	100%	100%		100%

Q7. NEW Landowners

Are landowners of sites in projects considered to be partners?

A7. Answer

No, landowners of sites on which you are delivering works would not necessarily be considered as partners. Partners would be those organisations with whom you are collaborating to deliver the project, i.e. they would have specific delivery roles and responsibilities. They may also be contributing match funding towards delivering the project objectives, and/or could be in receipt of a proportion of the grant award. Please also refer to the information about management control of land and the need for landowner agreements in the ITA Guidance for Applicants document.

Q8. NEW Landowner permissions

For sites where formal agreements are in place between landowners and project partners is a signed lease or a management agreement acceptable evidence of land management control (provided it covers the grant funded period and 5 year obligation period), or would we need to provide additional evidence of permission from the landowner covering the specific works included in our SRP application?

A8. Answer

If any existing written agreement(s) between parties cover the works planned under the SRP-funded project (and the obligation period) then these should be sufficient; if they don't then an agreement covering the SRP-specific works will be required.

Q9. NEW Procurement

We are keen to work with a specific organisation on part of our project. If we list them as a sub-contractor in the proposal, what evidence are we expected to show to suggest we have followed "proper procurement procedure"? Would it be sufficient for us to put together a narrative justifying why they are the only organization we can collaborate with on this project, and that this is why we have not opened up a competitive tender for a subcontractor?

A9. Answer

If tendering for contractors or sub-contractors cannot be completed before the application deadline, and if you anticipate using a Single Tender Action then we would expect you to include a narrative explaining your reasons for this. If you are offered a grant, we will need to see detail of your procurement approach and justification for Single Tender Action before we approve the expenditure.

Topic 8. Publicity

Q1. Publicity

Can we release any publicity or communication about being shortlisted for the full application?

A1. Answer

Section A paragraph 37 of the ITA Guidance states: No Applicant will undertake any publicity activities with any part of the media in relation to this ITA without the prior agreement of the Authority, including agreement on the format and content of any publicity. For example, no statements may be made to the media regarding the nature of any Application, its contents or any proposals relating to it without the prior written consent of the Authority.

Topic 9. Reintroductions

Q1. Translocations

We are submitting an application for a project that is going to remove individuals from the wild, breed in captivity and then release offspring back to the same location in the wild. Would this be classed as a conservation translocation from your perspective?

A1. Answer

Yes, this would be classed as population reinforcement, which is one of the types of conservation translocation.

Q2. NEW Monitoring timeframes

Can you provide more detail on what level of post-release monitoring for a conservation translocation of beaver is required within the project timeframe?

A2. Answer

The detail of the required post-release monitoring will be subject to any conditions placed upon the release licence. Please refer to the following guidance

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/recording-beavers-and-data-sharing/recording-beavers-and-data-sharing>

For a population reinforcement we would expect some short-term beaver monitoring to ensure there are no welfare issues for the released animals, especially to ensure there is no conflict with existing beavers. This would also be used to inform on-going stakeholder engagement and management advice. For a population reinforcement we might not request as much monitoring as we would for the establishment of a new population, but we would expect post release monitoring for potentially two or three months, with perhaps less frequent follows ups after a year or two.

Topic 10. Timescales

Q1. Start date

Can we back date the start of our project to 1 April 2026?

A1. Answer

Project start dates will be from July 2026 when signed agreements have been received. The Authority cannot backdate any costs incurred before the start date of the Grant Agreement.

Topic 11. Match Funding

Q1. NEW National Parks

Could match funding from National Parks be considered as part of an SRP funding bid, or are they classed as double Defra funding?

A1. Answer

National Park Authorities receive funding from a range of sources; provided the funds allocated to the SRP project budget are not restricted funds for another purpose, then yes, these can be considered as match funding.

Q2. NEW Existing funding obligations

The guidance notes that 'Match funding cannot be part of an existing obligation'. Please could you clarify exactly what is meant by this? Is it possible, for example, for us to factor in funding from other projects where it will directly contribute to the outcomes of our SRP project? E.g. including a proportion of funding from a (fully funded) PhD placement as match funding – where the student will dedicate a proportion of time and resources directly to our SRP project delivery.

A2. Answer

Yes, this is a good example of match funding. The guidance in relation to existing obligations means that where the grant recipient has made an existing commitment to fund works as part of the legacy or obligation period from another funder (including Natural England), that committed funding cannot be used as match funding towards this project, as that is in effect, double counting.

Topic 12. Terms & Conditions

Q1. NEW Project audit

Our accounts are audited externally each year, including a selection of projects, but it's not guaranteed SRP projects will be assessed separately, will this be sufficient?

A1. Answer

It is a condition of funding that all grant projects are audited. If your current audit arrangements do not provide specific auditing of the funded project, then this would not be acceptable. The ITA guidance for applicants states that 'all Grant Recipients need to engage an auditor to conduct an examination of their project accounts as part of the grant closure procedure. If these costs are not included in your budget plan at application and grant offer stage, these costs must be borne by the grant recipient when incurred.'

Q2. NEW Partner audits

Where entering into a partnership project can you please confirm whether the lead organisation is required to audit the other partners?

A2. Answer

The lead applicant should decide the level of due diligence they perform on their partners. Note that all partners should, where applicable, comply with the grant recipient code of conduct. Lead applicants are responsible for any grant funding provided and should ensure they have sufficient controls in place to manage the project responsibly, including both internal financial management and financial arrangements with partners. The lead applicant needs to comply with the grant funding agreement terms and conditions including providing a grant usage form with an independent auditor signoff at closure of the project.

Q3. NEW Grant agreement amendments

What flexibility is there for modifications of activities, timeframes and budget during the 3-year project period? Will the SRP Team be available to support on this?

A3. Answer

The grant agreement will include the agreed activities, outputs, timeframe and budget which is approved at grant application evaluation stage. Any subsequent amendments to this must

be submitted formally to the SRP team for consideration. Material amendments will require a Change Control Notice.