

ROSA[®] Knee System

DELIVERING HIGH ACCURACY OF RESECTIONS BASED ON PLAN.



While surgeons can expect excellent implant survivorship when performing total knee replacement, studies suggest that one in four patients aren't fully satisfied with their new knee and report symptoms of pain, instability and decreased range of motion.¹⁻⁵

Inadequate alignment and gap balancing have been potential causes of these limitations and traditional instrumentation might not be accurate enough to help restore a natural, balanced knee.⁵⁻⁷

A recent cadaveric study conducted by S. Parratte et al., published in the Journal of Arthroplasty, found ROSA Knee can improve surgeon accuracy by providing an intuitive user interface displaying planned resections throughout the cut flow and a validation tool to verify cuts' accuracy in real-time.^{8*}

The study concluded that ROSA Knee:

- Provides high levels of precision in regard to targeted angles and resection thickness as measured by optical navigation⁸
- Confirms all of the angle mean differences between the target angles obtained from the intraoperative planning and the actual angles of the bone cuts performed were below 1° and had standard deviations below 1°⁸
- Validates all of the resection mean differences between the target resection and the measured resection were below 0.7 and had standard deviations below 1.1 mm⁸

*Cadaveric testing is not necessarily indicative of clinical performance.

For more information about ROSA Knee or to learn about opportunities to see the system in person, reach out to your local Zimmer Biomet representative or visit www.zimmerbiomet.com/rosaknee.

References

1. Bourne, R., et al. Patient Satisfaction After Total Knee Arthroplasty: Who Is Satisfied and Who Is Not? *Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research*. 468: 57–63; 2010.
2. Baker, P., et al. The Role of Pain and Function in Determining Patient Satisfaction After Total Knee Replacement. *National Registry for England and Wales in Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (British)*. 89-B: 893–900; 2007.
3. Pre-Surgical & Post-Surgical Patient Insights & Needs PPTX. *Market Strategies International*. March 30, 2009.
4. Halawi, MJ., et al. Patient Dissatisfaction After Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty: The Patient Perspective. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 34(6):1093, 2019.
5. Parratte, S., et al. Instability After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Journal of Bone Joint Surgery (America)*. 90(1): 184, 2008.
6. Abdel, M. Measured Resection Versus Gap Balancing for Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research*. 427(7):2016, 2014.
7. Rivière, C., et al. Alignment Options for Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. *Orthopedics & Traumatology: Surgery and Research*. 103(7):1047, 2017.
8. Parratte, S., et al. Accuracy of New Robotically-assisted Technique for Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Cadaveric Study. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 34(11): 2799-2803, 2019.

All content herein is protected by copyright, trademarks and other intellectual property rights owned by or licensed to Zimmer Biomet or its affiliates unless otherwise indicated, and must not be redistributed, duplicated or disclosed, in whole or in part, without the express written consent of Zimmer Biomet.

This material is intended for health care professionals. Distribution to any other recipient is prohibited.

For indications, contraindications, warnings, precautions, potential adverse effects and patient counselling information, see the package insert or contact your local representative; visit www.zimmerbiomet.com for additional product information.

Not for distribution in France.

Check for country product clearances and reference product specific instructions for use.

© 2019 Zimmer Biomet

