### iASSIST® Knee

**Brochure** 







### IN THE PALM OF YOUR HAND

In the OR, you need precise, intraoperative feedback to ensure the best outcomes for patients. Guidance technologies enhance precision, giving intraoperative feedback just when you need it.

The iASSIST Knee Alignment Instrument provides a compact, electronic guidance system designed to help you align and validate bony resections in real-time within the surgical field without bulky capital equipment or expensive imaging. This means a more efficient and precise procedure even in difficult cases with retained hardware.



#### **How it Works**

The palm-sized, electronic pods contain motion sensors, which are used in conjunction with instruments to reconstruct the patient's mechanical axis in the sagittal and coronal plane. You are then able to validate resection orientation in real time within the surgical field.

#### **Efficient and Cost Effective**

iASSIST Knee is simple and intuitive by design. Because it works with conventional instruments, intraoperative setup is straightforward without unnecessary workflow disruptions. This leads to fewer hassles and a time neutral workflow.<sup>1,2</sup>

In a multi-center study,

iASSIST Knee was
shown to be time neutral
when compared with
conventional instruments.<sup>3,4</sup>



#### **ADDRESSES DIFFICULT CASES**

iASSIST Knee consists of electronic pods that vitually define the patient's mechanical axis through an intraoperative range of motion test. Therefore, it isn't impacted by retained hardware, deformities, obesity or other conditions that can "hide" traditional anatomical landmarks.

With iASSIST Knee, identifying the patient's mechanical axis is straightforward even in challenging cases, including:







Abnormal Bowing



Extreme Valgus






Additionally, **iASSIST Knee** may be an option for patients with certain **cardiac conditions**.

Surgical invasion of the intramedullary canal has been identified as being the number one factor increasing risk of Acute Myocardial infarction (AMI).<sup>4</sup> Because iASSIST Knee doesn't violate the intramedullary canal like conventional instrumentation, it may meet the clinical need for accuracy without unnecessary cardiac risk to the patient.

#### **PRECISE ALIGNMENT**

Inaccurate implantation rates of up to **30 percent** have been reported using the conventional technique in TKA, independent of the surgeon's experience.<sup>6-9</sup> iASSIST Knee offers increased precision and accuracy compared to conventional instruments with fewer outliers.<sup>10, 2</sup> The precision and accuracy is furthered by the intraoperative validation feature, which allows for direct feedback of the resections so you can ensure proper alignment intraoperatively and make any additional adjustments needed prior to wound closure.<sup>2</sup>

In one study, patients treated with iASSIST Knee experienced fewer outliers (>3° of tibial or femoral component malalignment) compared to the conventional group without an increase in OR time.<sup>10</sup>



Massé et al. reported

0.83° +/- 0.66°

of accuracy and precision in achieving the femoral varus/valgus target.2

# PATIENT OUTCOMES



One in four patients aren't fully satisfied with their total knee replacement, 11,12 so it is crucial that technologies with fewer revisions and enhanced efficiency. iASSIST Knee delivers.

iASSIST® Knee does not require diaphyseal bone fixation, which can limit the risk for infection, stress-fractures and avoid disrupting the surgical workflow.<sup>14</sup> 88%

GOOD OR

EXCELLENT PATIENT

SATISFACTION 13

IMPROVED FUNCTION
AND PAIN REDUCTION
CAN POTENTIALLY
HELP PATIENTS
RECOVER MORE
QUICKLY AND RETURN
TO ACTIVITIES
SOONER.<sup>12</sup>

## 3 years 2.4 iASSIST or ORTHOsoft

3.7 NON-NAVIGATED

PATIENTS TREATED WITH
GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGIES
HAVE SHOWN BETTER
FUNCTION MEASURED BY THE
KSS AT 90 DAYS AND 12 MONTHS
POSTOPERATIVELY. THEIR PAIN
LEVEL WAS ALSO LOWER. 15

6 years 3.5 iASSIST or ORTHOsoft

**5.6 NON-NAVIGATED** 

9 years **4.1 iASSIST or ORTHOsoft** 

**7.2 NON-NAVIGATED** 

#### References

- Graf P. iASSIST vs. Computer-Assisted Surgery in Total Knee Arthroplasty: Clinical and Economic Outcomes. 2014.
- Kinney, M., et al. Comparison of a Disposable, Handheld Guidance System to Conventional Surgical Technique in Total Knee Arthroplasty. Poster Presentation. AAHKS. 2014.
- Kinney et al. Comparison of the iAssist Handheld Guidance System to Conventional Instruments for Mechanical Axis Restoration in Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 33: 61-66. 2018.
- 4. Lalmohamed, et al. Timing of Acute Myocardial Infarction in Patients Undergoing Total Hip or Knee Replacement A Nationwide Cohort Study. Arch Intern Med. 172 (16): 1229-1235, 2012.
- Kinney, M., et al. Comparison of a Disposable, Handheld Guidance System to Conventional Surgical Technique in Total Knee Arthroplasty. Poster Presentation. AAHKS. 2014.
- Jenny JY, Boeri C. Unicompartmental knee prosthesis implantation with a non-image-based navigation system: rationale, technique, case-control comparative study with a conventional instrumented implantation. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2003 [an;11(1):40-45.
- 7. Voss F, Sheinkop MB, Galante JO, Barden RM, Rosenberg AG. Miller-Galante unicompartmental knee arthroplasty at 2- to 5-year follow-up evaluations. J Arthroplasty 1995 Dec;10(6):764-771.
- 8. Hetaimish BM, Khan MM, Simunovic N, Al-Harbi HH, Bhandari M, Zalzal PK. Meta-analysis of navigation vs conventional total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2012 Jun;27(6):1177-1182. implantation. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2003 Jan;11(1):40-45.

All content herein is protected by copyright, trademarks and other intellectual property rights, as applicable, owned by or licensed to Zimmer Biomet or its affiliates unless otherwise indicated, and must not be redistributed, duplicated or disclosed, in whole or in part, without the express written consent of Zimmer Biomet.

This material is intended for health care professionals. Distribution to any other recipient is prohibited.

For product information, including indications, contraindications, warnings, precautions, potential adverse effects and patient counseling information, see the package insert and www.zimmerbiomet.com.

Check for country product clearances and reference product specific instructions for use. Not for distribution in France.

©2021 Zimmer Biomet

- Jenny JY, Boeri C. [Navigated implantation of total knee endoprostheses--a comparative study with conventional instrumentation]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 2001 Mar;139(2):117-119.
- Masse V, Lavigne P, Fallaha M. Total Knee Arthroplasty With A Novel Navigation System Within the Surgical Field. EFORT poster 2814. 2014.
- Bourne, R. et al. "Patient Satisfaction After Total Knee Arthroplasty: Who Is Satisfied and Who Is Not?" Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research. 468: 57–63, 2010.
- Baker, P. et al. "The Role of Pain and Function in Determining Patient Satisfaction After Total Knee Replacement." National Registry for England and Wales in Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (British). 89-B: 893–900, 2007.
- Goh et al., Accelerometer-Based Navigation is as Accurate as Optical Computer Navigation in Restoring the Joint Line and Mechanical Axis After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 31: 92-97, 2016.
- Wysocki, et al. Femoral Fracture Through a Previous Pin Site After Computer-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty, The Journal of Arthroplasty. 23(3): 462-465, 2008.
- Baumbach JA, Willburger R, Haaker R, Dittrich M, Kohler S. 10-yearsurvival of navigated versus conventional TKAs: a retrospective study. Orthopedics. 2016;39:S72–S76. doi: 10.3928/01477447-20160509-21.

