11 Jan 2018

Table 1
LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìE				AGE		!	SOCIAL G	RADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
10 (Absolutely certain to vote)	759 62%cc p	356 Ijo <i>59%</i>	403 <i>64%</i>	62 44%	104 <i>49%</i>	111 <i>55</i> %	151 69%cde	122 68%cde	210 75%zcc	165 le <i>47</i> %	262 62%j	331 73%zjk	259 79%znop	238 69%zop	113 44%	149 <i>49%</i>	420 58%	339 66%zq	201 <i>58%</i>	558 <i>63%</i>
9	57 <i>5</i> %	30 <i>5%</i>	27 4%	5 4%	12 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>5</i> %	8 4%	10 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	18 <i>5%</i>	18 <i>4%</i>	21 <i>5</i> %	18 <i>5</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	17 <i>7</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	38 <i>5%</i>	18 <i>4</i> %	17 <i>5</i> %	40 <i>5</i> %
8	68 6%m	32 <i>5%</i>	37 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>8</i> %	13 <i>6%</i>	13 <i>6</i> %	11 <i>5%</i>	9 <i>5%</i>	12 <i>4</i> %	24 7%	24 <i>6%</i>	21 <i>5%</i>	10 <i>3</i> %	17 <i>5</i> %	13 <i>5%</i>	28 9%zn	45 n <i>6</i> %	24 5%	23 <i>7%</i>	46 <i>5%</i>
7	43 3%il	23 4%	20 <i>3</i> %	14 10%zehi	10 <i>5</i> %	3 1%	10 <i>5%</i>	2 1%	4 1%	24 7%zl	13 <i>3</i> %	6 1%	9 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	11 4%	16 <i>5%</i>	29 4%	14 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	34 4%
6	38 3%bii	26 m 4%b	12 <i>2</i> %	8 6%i	5 2%	11 6%i	4 2%	7 4%i	2 1%	12 <i>4%</i>	16 <i>4%</i>	10 <i>2%</i>	2 1%	11 3%m	17 7%zm	8 <i>3</i> %	27 4%	11 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>4%</i>	24 3%
5	94 8%m	47 8%	47 <i>7</i> %	10 <i>7%</i>	15 <i>7</i> %	20 10%	16 <i>7</i> %	15 <i>8</i> %	18 <i>6</i> %	25 <i>7</i> %	36 <i>9</i> %	33 <i>7</i> %	3 1%	28 8%m	34 13%zm	29 10%m	52 <i>7</i> %	43 <i>8</i> %	29 <i>8</i> %	65 <i>7</i> %
4	14 1%	8 1%	7 1%	2 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	3 1%	2 1%	1 *	5 1%	6 1%	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	4 1%	6 2%	11 <i>2</i> %	4 1%	5 1%	9 1%
3	25 2%lm	8 1 1%	16 <i>3</i> %	7 5%zh	6 <i>3%</i>	4 2%	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	4 1%	13 4%l	7 2%	4 1%	1 *	4 1%	18 7%zmnր	1 *	16 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	14 <i>2</i> %
2	13 1%	8 1%	5 1%	1 1%	7 3%z	3 <i>2</i> %	-	-	2 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	2	4 1%	2	1	6 <i>2%</i>	7 1%	6 1%	3 1%	9 1%
1 (Absolutely certain not to vote)	101 8%iln	57 n <i>10%</i>	43 <i>7</i> %	17 12%hi	29 14%zfhi	19 <i>9</i> %	13 <i>6%</i>	9 <i>5%</i>	13 <i>5</i> %	47 13%zkl	32 <i>8%</i>	22 5%	15 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>6%</i>	21 <i>8</i> %	43 14%zn	62 nn <i>9</i> %	38 <i>7</i> %	31 <i>9</i> %	70 <i>8</i> %
MEAN	8.17cdj op	8.00	8.34	7.28	7.34	7.80	8.58cde	8.66zcd e	8.86zcd e	7.32	8.21j	8.78zjk	9.15zno p	8.54zop	7.33	7.38	8.05	8.34	7.96	8.26
Certain to vote [10]	759 62%cd p	356 Ijo <i>59%</i>	403 <i>64%</i>	62 44%	104 <i>49%</i>	111 <i>55</i> %	151 69%cde	122 68%cde	210 75%zcc	165 le <i>47%</i>	262 62%j	331 73%zjk	259 79%znop	238 69%zop	113 <i>44%</i>	149 <i>49%</i>	420 <i>58%</i>	339 66%zq	201 <i>58%</i>	558 <i>63%</i>
Likely to vote [6- 9]	206 17%iln	110 nr <i>18%</i>	95 <i>15%</i>	38 27%zfhi	39 19%i	36 18%	34 15%	28 16%	30 11%	78 22%zl	70 17%	58 13%	39 12%	46 14%	58 23%zmn	62 20%m	138 19%r	67 13%	61 <i>18%</i>	144 <i>16%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 1

LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

Weighted Base Unlikely to vote [2-5] Certain not to vote [1]

Don't know

	GEN	IDER			AC	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILD	REN IN EHOLD
TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
146 12%ln	72 n <i>12</i> %	74 12%	20 14%	31 <i>15%</i>	30 15%	22 10%	18 10%	25 <i>9</i> %	51 <i>14%</i>	53 13%	42 9%	10 <i>3</i> %	37 11%m	57 22%zmnp	43 14%m	86 12%	60 12%	49 14%	97 11%
101 8%ilr	57 m <i>10%</i>	43 <i>7</i> %	17 12%hi	29 14%zfhi	19 i <i>9</i> %	13 <i>6</i> %	9 <i>5</i> %	13 <i>5</i> %	47 13%zkl	32 <i>8</i> %	22 5%	15 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>6</i> %	21 <i>8%</i>	43 14%zn	62 n <i>9</i> %	38 <i>7%</i>	31 <i>9%</i>	70 <i>8%</i>
19 2%al	4 In <u>1%</u>	15 <i>2%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	8 <u>4</u> %zfi_	5 <i>2</i> %	-	2 1%	1	12 3%zl	5 1%	3 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	1	5 <i>2</i> %	8 3%n	12 <i>2</i> %	7 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>1%</i>

	GEN	IDER			AC	ĴΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILD HOUSI	
TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
146 12%ln	72 n <i>12</i> %	74 12%	20 14%	31 <i>15%</i>	30 15%	22 10%	18 <i>10%</i>	25 <i>9</i> %	51 14%	53 13%	42 9%	10 <i>3</i> %	37 11%m	57 22%zmnp	43 14%m	86 12%	60 12%	49 14%	97 11%
101 8%ili	57 m 10%	43 7%	17 12%hi	29 14%zfhi	19 <i>9</i> %	13 <i>6</i> %	9 <i>5%</i>	13 <i>5</i> %	47 13%zkl	32 <i>8</i> %	22 5%	15 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>6%</i>	21 <i>8</i> %	43 14%zn	62 n 9%	38 <i>7</i> %	31 <i>9</i> %	70 <i>8</i> %
19 2%a	4 In <u>1%</u>	15 <i>2%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	8 4%zfi_	5 <i>2</i> %	-	2 1%	1	12 3%zl	5 1%	3 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	1 *	5 <i>2</i> %	8 3%n	12 <i>2</i> %	7 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>1%</i>

11 Jan 2018

Table 2
LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES			TEN	IRF			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ΔΝΝ	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
				OWNED	BUYING	RENTED	RENTED		GOVEIN	N.W.E.IV.	011102	TILGIO!			10111	GCSE/ O-LV/	A-LVL	DEGR/	NO		£11500		HEADE	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OUT- RIGHT (c)	MORT- GAGE (d)	AUTH- ORITY (e)	PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS	SOUTH	LONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	CSE/ NVQ12 (o)	OR VIUQE (a)	MAST/ PHD (q)	FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
10 (Absolutely certain to vote)	759 62%ef hno	691 <i>61%</i>	68 <i>66%</i>	312 74%zo f	234 de 65%ef	71 44%	135 49%	63 59%	31 51%	186 <i>63%</i>	181 <i>57</i> %	193 69%hj	103 <i>62%</i>	683 63%n	74 53%	152 <i>52</i> %	147 63%0	247 74%zo pr	169 <i>59%</i>	90 <i>59%</i>	128 <i>65%</i>	356 73%zs	87 79%zw	146 <i>63%</i>
9	57 5%s	50 <i>4</i> %	7 6%	16 <i>4</i> %	21 <i>6</i> %	8 <i>5%</i>	10 <i>4%</i>	2 2%	4 6%	14 <i>5</i> %	21 7%g	8 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	46 4%	10 <i>7</i> %	15 <i>5</i> %	9 4%	20 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	1 1%	6 <i>3</i> %	25 <i>5</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>5</i> %
8	68 6%jq	64 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	19 <i>5</i> %	20 <i>6</i> %	6 4%	22 8%	8 7%j	3 <i>6%</i>	18 <i>6%</i>	8 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>6%</i>	15 9%j	55 <i>5</i> %	13 10%z	23 m 8%q	12 5%	11 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>6</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>5</i> %	20 <i>4</i> %	4 3%	11 <i>5%</i>
7	43 3%iqt	43 4%	-	13 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	13 <i>5</i> %	7 6%i	3 5%i	2 1%	15 5%i	8 <i>3</i> %	8 5%i	36 <i>3</i> %	7 5%	23 8%zo	8 r <i>3</i> %	4 1%	4 1%	5 <i>3</i> %	1 *	13 <i>3</i> %	-	8 <i>3</i> %
6	38 <i>3</i> %	35 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>5%</i>	13 <i>5</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	12 <i>4%</i>	9 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	4 2%	33 <i>3</i> %	5 4%	9 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>5</i> %	7 2%	7 2%	4 2%	5 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	4 4%	8 <i>3</i> %
5	94 8%cq	85 u <i>8%</i>	9 <i>9</i> %	23 <i>5</i> %	33 <i>9</i> %	22 14%zcf	15 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	6 <i>9</i> %	21 <i>7</i> %	34 11%	17 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>7</i> %	87 <i>8</i> %	7 5%	25 9%q	16 <i>7</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	35 12%z	16 q 10%u	18 <i>9</i> %	22 4%	4 4%	17 <i>7</i> %
4	14 1%	14 1%	-	1 *	5 1%	4 3%c	4 1%	*	2 <i>3</i> %	4 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	1 1%	12 1%	2 2%	6 2%	4 2%	1	3 1%	1	4 2%	6 1%	1 1%	1 1%
3	25 <i>2</i> %	24 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	5 1%	4 1%	10 6%zcd	5 If 2%	2 1%	1 2%	7 2%	4 1%	8 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	23 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	10 3%r	7 3%r	4 1%	-	4 3%	4 2%	7 1%	1 1%	6 <i>3</i> %
2	13 <i>1</i> %	12 1%	1 1%	2	4 1%	1 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	5 8%zg jkl	2 i 1%	3 1%	1 *	-	11 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	-	4 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	4 2%	4 1%	-	1 *
1 (Absolutely certain not to vote)	101 8%cd uv	91 q <i>8%</i>	9 <i>9</i> %	20 <i>5</i> %	13 <i>4</i> %	23 14%zcd	42 l 15%zo d	14 : 13%zk	5 . 8%	26 <i>9</i> %	32 10%	14 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>6</i> %	86 <i>8</i> %	15 11%	28 <i>9</i> %	17 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>6</i> %	28 10%	23 15%zu	17 8%	24 5%	3 <i>2</i> %	20 8%v
MEAN	8.17e fhos	8.16	8.33	8.86ze f	8.58ze f	6.90	7.33	7.86	7.45	8.18	7.89	8.60gh j	8.46h	8.21	7.89	7.72	8.24	8.86z opr	7.93	7.52	8.11	8.80zst	9.19zw	8.23
Certain to vote [10]	759 62%ef hno	691 <i>61%</i>	68 <i>66%</i>	312 74%zc f	234 de 65%ef	71 44%	135 <i>49%</i>	63 59%	31 51%	186 <i>63%</i>	181 <i>57%</i>	193 69%hj	103 <i>62%</i>	683 63%n	74 53%	152 <i>52%</i>	147 63%0	247 74%zo pr	169 <i>59%</i>	90 <i>59%</i>	128 <i>65%</i>	356 73%zs	87 79%zw	146 <i>63%</i>

11 Jan 2018

Table 2
LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

Weighted Base
Likely to vote [6- 9]
Unlikely to vote [2-5]
Certain not to vote [1]
Don't know

	ACCE:			TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	ОМЕ		WSPAPER ERSHIP
TOTAL	YES	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT	BUYING MORT- GAGE	AUTH- ORITY	RENTED PRI- VATE	SCOT- LAND	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS	SOUTH	LONDON	WHITE	BME	CSE/	A-LVL OR EQUIV	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£11500 £24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY	POPULAR
(z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	(u) 358	(e)	(1)	(g)	(11)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(1)	(111)	141	(0)	(p)	(9)	(1)	(S)	(1)	(u)	(V)	(w)
1230	1127	103*	420		163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087		296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
206 17%cr qt	192 m <i>17</i> %	14 14%	56 13%	63 18%	28 17%	58 21%c	20 18%	11 18%	46 16%	53 16%	41 15%	35 <i>21%</i>	170 16%	36 25%z	70 m 24%zc r	41 18%	42 13%	38 13%	18 <i>12</i> %	21 11%	67 14%	11 10%	38 16%
146 12%cc u	135 q <i>12%</i>	11 10%	32 <i>8</i> %	45 13%	38 23%zc f	30 d 11%	9 <i>8</i> %	14 22%zç ikl	34 g 12%	46 15%	28 10%	15 <i>9</i> %	133 <i>12%</i>	13 <i>9</i> %	44 15%q	27 12%q	20 <i>6%</i>	44 16%q	22 14%u	30 15%u	38 <i>8%</i>	6 <i>6</i> %	25 11%
101 8%cc uv	91 dq <i>8%</i>	9 <i>9</i> %	20 5%	13 <i>4</i> %	23 14%zc	42 d 15%zo d	14 13%z	5 k <i>8</i> %	26 9%	32 10%	14 5%	10 <i>6</i> %	86 <i>8%</i>	15 11%	28 <i>9</i> %	17 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>6%</i>	28 10%	23 15%zı	17 u 8%	24 5%	3 <i>2</i> %	20 8%v
19	18	1	1	3	2	13	1 d 1%	*	3	7	5	2	16	3	2	2	6	5	-	1	2	3	3

Table 3

LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SU	IPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GEN	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)		OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
10 (Absolutely certain to vote)	759 62%fhj mnpr	245 I 78%zt	313 of 70%zf	53 75%f	72 75%zi	f -	502 79%zh	256 <i>43%</i>	487 80%zj	272 44%	759 100%zln	- nn -	-	-	370 81%zp	388 <i>51%</i>	558 79%zr	200 <i>38%</i>
9	57 5%fki	15 m 5%f	32 7%ze	3 f 4%	-	-	23 4%	33 <i>6%</i>	21 4%	35 <i>6</i> %	-	57 27%zkr	- mn -	-	22 5%	34 5%	28 4%	29 <i>5</i> %
8	68 6%gk	10 m <i>3</i> %	37 8%za	5 f <i>7</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	26 4%	42 7%	30 <i>5</i> %	38 <i>6</i> %	-	68 33%zkr	- mn -	-	25 <i>5</i> %	44 6%	31 <i>4%</i>	38 <i>7</i> %
7	43 3%gil	7 k 2%	18 <i>4%</i>	2 <i>3</i> %	4 4%	:	13 <i>2</i> %	30 5%zg	14 <i>2</i> %	29 5%	-	43 21%zkr	- mn -	-	14 <i>3</i> %	29 4%	20 <i>3%</i>	23 4%
6	38 3%gil	l '	9 <i>2%</i>	4 6%	3 <i>3</i> %	4 3%	12 <i>2</i> %	26 4%g	12 <i>2</i> %	26 4%	-	38 18%zkr		-	5 1%	32 4%zo		25 5%zq
5	94 8%gil oq	21 kln <i>7</i> %	26 <i>6%</i>	1 1%	9 <i>9</i> %	15 11%c	28 4%	67 11%zg		78 12%zi	-	-	94 65%zkln	-	9 <i>2%</i>	85 11%zo	20 <i>3%</i>	74 14%zq
4	14 1%bg q	1 jiko *	1 *	-	2 2%b	7 6%z	3 ab 1%	11 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	11 <i>2</i> %	-	-	14 10%zkln	-	1 *	13 2%0	3	12 2%zq
3	25 2%gil	4 koq 1%	6 1%	-	1 1%	12 9%z e	4 abc 1%	21 3%zg	4 1%	20 3%zi	-	-	25 17%zkln	-	3 1%	22 3%zo	7 1%	18 3%zq
2	13 1%gil	- kq -	-	-	-	8 6%z	2 abe *	11 2%g	2 *	11 <i>2</i> %	-	-	13 9%zkln	-	-	13 2%zo	3 *	10 2%q
1 (Absolutely certain not to vote)	101 8%ab iklmod		5 1%	-	1 1%	79 60%z e	22 abc 4%	78 13%zg		84 14%zi	-	-	-	101 100%zk	7 lm <i>2</i> %	89 12%zo	20 <i>3</i> %	81 15%zq
MEAN	8.17fhj Imnpr	9.20zf	9.07zf	9.41z f	8.95z f	2.18	9.11zh	7.14	9.23zj	7.11	10.00zlm	7.70mn	4.30n	1.00	9.41zp	7.46	9.20zr	6.77

11 Jan 2018

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 3

LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		P	OLITICAL	PARTY SL	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIHO		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Certain to vote [10]	759 62%fh mnpr	245 njl 78%zb	313 f 70%zf	53 75%f	72 75%zf	-	502 79%zh	256 <i>43%</i>	487 80%zj	272 44%	759 100%zlmi	- n -	-	-	370 81%zp	388 51%	558 79%zr	200 <i>38%</i>
Likely to vote [6- 9]	206 17%fg mnq	39 gik <i>13%</i>	96 21%za	14 f 19%f	12 12%	7 5%	74 12%	132 22%zg	78 13%	128 21%zi	-	206 100%zki	- mn -	-	66 14%	140 <i>18%</i>	92 <i>13%</i>	114 22%zq
Unlikely to vote [2-5]	146 12%bi Inoq	26 cgik <i>8%</i>	33 <i>7</i> %	1 1%	12 12%c	41 31%z e	37 abc <i>6%</i>	109 18%zg	26 <i>4%</i>	120 19%zi	-	-	146 100%zklr	- 1 -	13 <i>3</i> %	133 17%zo	33 <i>5%</i>	114 22%zq
Certain not to vote [1]	101 8%al iklmo		5 1%	-	1 1%	79 60%z e	22 abc <i>4%</i>	78 13%zg	16 <i>3%</i>	84 14%zi	-	-	-	101 100%zk	7 dm 2%	89 12%zo	20 <i>3%</i>	81 15%zq
Don't know	19 2%b	giko -	2	3 4%ab	- -	5 4%a	1 b *	17 3%zg	*	18 3%zi	-	-	-	-	*	12 2%0	1 *	18 3%zq

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 4

LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY HANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
10 (Absolutely certain to vote)	759	302	248	401	302	56	436	311	225	517
	62%b	e 72%zb	<i>56%</i>	75%ze	71%ze	20%	<i>61%</i>	70%zf	<i>63%</i>	<i>63%</i>
9	57	19	22	33	13	11	27	20	22	34
	<i>5</i> %	<i>5%</i>	5%	<i>6%</i>	<i>3</i> %	<i>4</i> %	4%	<i>5%</i>	6%	4%
8	68	30	23	23	17	28	46	17	19	50
	<i>6</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>5</i> %	4%	<i>4</i> %	10%zcd	<i>6</i> %	<i>4%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>6%</i>
7	43	15	10	24	9	11	32	9	14	29
	3%g	<i>4</i> %	<i>2</i> %	4%	<i>2</i> %	<i>4</i> %	<i>4</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>4</i> %	4%
6	38	6	14	10	11	16	21	11	10	25
	3%a	1%	<i>3%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>3</i> %	6%zc	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>3%</i>
5	94	24	37	23	30	41	69	22	30	63
	8%c	g 6%	<i>9</i> %	4%	<i>7</i> %	15%zcd	10%zg	5%	<i>9</i> %	<i>8%</i>
4	14 1%c	2 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	1 *	3 1%	10 4%zcd	5 1%	9 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	8 1%
3	25 2%a	3 c 1%	12 <i>3</i> %	*	14 3%c	11 4%c	20 <i>3%</i>	4 1%	5 1%	18 <i>2</i> %
2	13 1%	2 1%	5 1%	2	1 *	9 3%zcd	5 1%	4 1%	2 1%	11 <i>1%</i>
1 (Absolutely certain not to vote)	101	16	52	14	19	68	46	34	19	65
	8%a	cdf 4%	12%za	<i>3</i> %	<i>5%</i>	25%zcd	<i>6</i> %	<i>8%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>8%</i>
MEAN	8.17be	8.90zb	7.71	9.14zde	8.64ze	5.44	8.21	8.52z	8.43	8.19
Certain to vote	759	302	248	401	302	56	436	311	225	517
[10]	62%b	e 72%zb	<i>56%</i>	75%ze	71%ze	20%	<i>61%</i>	70%zf	<i>63%</i>	<i>63%</i>
Likely to vote [6-	206	70	70	90	50	66	127	57	64	137
9]	17%d	g <i>17</i> %	16%	<i>17%</i>	<i>12%</i>	24%zcd	<i>18%</i>	13%	18%	<i>17%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 4

vote [1] Don't know

LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

Weighted Base
Unlikely to vote
[2-5]
Certain not to

			HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY	QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
146 12%a	32 cg <i>8%</i>	63 14%a	27 5%	49 12%c	71 26%zcd	98 14%g	39 <i>9</i> %	43 12%	100 <i>12%</i>
101 8%a	16 cdf 4%	52 12%za	14 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>5%</i>	68 25%zcd	46 <i>6%</i>	34 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>5%</i>	65 <i>8%</i>
19 2%c	3 fi 1%	6 1%	*	4 1%	14 5%zcd	5 1%	4 1%	6 2%i	1 *

Table 5 LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
			Disagre	Active social media	Non- active social media	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	e (b)	user (c)	user (d)	nt) (e)	nt) (f)	nt) (g)	Agree (h)	e (i)	Agree (j)	e (k)	Agree (I)	e (m)	Agree (n)	e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
10 (Absolutely certain to vote)	759 <i>62%</i>	454 74%zb	184 <i>58%</i>	206 79%zd	184 <i>66%</i>	188 72%z	94 72%z	434 75%z	432 <i>64%</i>	92 <i>61%</i>	317 <i>65%</i>	172 <i>64%</i>	393 65%z	101 <i>66%</i>	376 67%z	118 <i>68%</i>
9	57 <i>5</i> %	33 <i>5%</i>	12 <i>4</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>4</i> %	14 <i>5</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	23 4%	34 <i>5</i> %	6 4%	26 <i>5%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	25 4%	10 <i>6</i> %	27 5%	6 <i>3</i> %
8	68 6%n	38 1 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	16 <i>6</i> %	17 <i>7</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	27 5%	39 <i>6%</i>	7 5%	32 <i>7</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	36 <i>6%</i>	2 <i>2</i> %	28 5%	4 2%
7	43 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>3%</i>	7 2%	5 2%	13 <i>5</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>4</i> %	26 4%	5 <i>3</i> %	24 <i>5</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	30 5%z	3 <i>2</i> %	32 6%z	3 2%
6	38 3%a	9 hjln <i>2</i> %	16 5%a	7 3%	9 <i>3</i> %	3 1%	4 3%	12 <i>2</i> %	14 2%	6 4%	8 <i>2</i> %	4 1%	12 <i>2</i> %	6 4%	6 1%	2 1%
5	94 8%a	31 cgjn <i>5%</i>	29 <i>9</i> %	10 4%	16 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>6%</i>	4 3%	34 <i>6</i> %	43 6%	11 <i>7</i> %	25 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>8%</i>	38 <i>6</i> %	7 5%	30 <i>5</i> %	14 <i>8</i> %
4	14 1%a	2	5 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	2 1%	3 1%	1 1%	6 1%	8 1%	5 <i>3</i> %	7 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	5 1%	5 3%zl	4 1%	6 3%zn
3	25 2%a	5 g 1%	8 <i>3</i> %	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	4 1%	11 <i>2</i> %	7 5%	12 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	8 1%	7 5%zl	12 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %
2	13 1%a	2	3 1%	1	1 *	-	1 1%	3	9 1%	-	6 1%	3 1%	7 1%	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%
1 (Absolutely certain not to vote)	101 8%a jn	13 cefg <i>2%</i>	32 10%a	10 <i>4%</i>	17 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>4%</i>	3 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>3</i> %	49 <i>7</i> %	12 <i>8%</i>	29 <i>6%</i>	22 <i>8</i> %	42 7%	12 <i>8</i> %	33 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>7</i> %
MEAN	8.17	9.11zb	7.82	9.08zd	8.54z	8.88z	8.99z	8.95z	8.35z	8.03	8.46z	8.18	8.41z	8.19	8.57z	8.26

11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 5

LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	CHOI:	Y WITH CE OF TICAL TIES	ACTIV SOCIAL	-	уоті	NG INFLUE	ENCE	GIVES V	MEDIA OICE TO PLE	BREAKS BARRIE	MEDIA S DOWN RS FOR ERS	MAKES P	MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
759 <i>62%</i>	454 74%zt	184 58%	206 79%zd	184 <i>66%</i>	188 72%z	94 72%z	434 75%z	432 <i>64%</i>	92 61%	317 <i>65%</i>	172 <i>64%</i>	393 65%z	101 <i>66%</i>	376 67%z	118 <i>68%</i>
206 17%c	99 ko <i>16</i> %	54 17%	30 12%	49 18%	40 15%	21 <i>16</i> %	83 14%	113 <i>17</i> %	24 16%	90 18%	32 12%	103 <i>17</i> %	20 13%	93 17%o	15 <i>9</i> %
146 12%a	41 cgln <i>7</i> %	46 14%a	15 <i>6%</i>	23 <i>8%</i>	22 8%	8 <i>6</i> %	47 8%	70 10%	23 15%	50 10%	36 14%	58 10%	20 13%	50 <i>9%</i>	27 16%n
101 8%a jn	13 cefg 2%	32 10%a	10 <i>4%</i>	17 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>3</i> %	49 <i>7</i> %	12 <i>8</i> %	29 <i>6%</i>	22 <i>8</i> %	42 7%	12 <i>8</i> %	33 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>7</i> %
19	4	2	1	4	:	4		9	1	4	5	9	-	8	1

Weighted Base
Certain to vote
[10]
Likely to vote [6-9]
Unlikely to vote
[2-5]
Certain not to
vote [1]

Don't know

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 6

							_							00011:	00405		WORK!	07.47.115	CHILDE	
		GEN	IDER		-	AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		HOUSE	HOLD
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
Voted in an election	798 65%c p	388 djo <i>65%</i>	410 <i>65%</i>	71 50%	116 <i>55%</i>	130 65%c	151 69%cd	131 73%zcd	200 72%zc	186 d <i>53%</i>	281 67%j	331 72%zj	264 80%znop	231 67%op	145 <i>57%</i>	158 <i>52%</i>	468 <i>65%</i>	330 <i>65%</i>	208 <i>60%</i>	590 67%z
Created or signed an e-petition	301 24%ile r	130 op <i>22%</i>	170 <i>27</i> %	27 19%	51 24%i	65 32%zci	69 31%ci	48 27%i	41 15%	78 <i>22</i> %	134 32%zjl	89 <i>20</i> %	137 42%znop	95 28%op	33 13%	36 12%	199 28%zr	102 <i>20%</i>	89 <i>26%</i>	212 24%
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	284 23%c	133 jop <i>22</i> %	151 <i>24%</i>	16 11%	45 21%	39 <i>20%</i>	66 30%c	43 24%c	74 27%c	61 <i>17%</i>	105 25%j	118 26%j	124 38%znop	85 25%op	39 15%	35 12%	174 24%	110 <i>21%</i>	73 21%	211 <i>24</i> %
Contacted a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	143 12%c	79 ip <i>13%</i>	64 10%	4 3%	18 <i>8%</i>	26 13%c	25 11%c	22 12%c	48 17%zc	22 d <i>6</i> %	51 12%j	70 15%zj	60 18%zop	45 13%p	21 <i>8</i> %	17 <i>6%</i>	75 10%	68 13%	35 10%	108 <i>12%</i>
Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	126 10%ic	65 ppr 11%	61 10%	9 7%	16 <i>8%</i>	27 14%i	34 15%zci	25 14%i	15 <i>6</i> %	25 7%	61 15%zjl	40 <i>9</i> %	66 20%znop	33 10%p	15 <i>6</i> %	12 4%	87 12%r	39 <i>8%</i>	34 10%	92 10%
Contributed to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	126 10%il	68 0 11%	58 <i>9%</i>	9 <i>6</i> %	23 11%	31 15%zci	28 13%i	19 11%	17 <i>6</i> %	31 <i>9</i> %	59 14%zl	36 <i>8%</i>	64 19%znop	32 9%p	20 <i>8</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	78 11%	48 9%	35 10%	91 <i>10%</i>
Created or signed a paper petition	118 10%0	53 <i>9</i> %	65 10%	9 <i>6</i> %	14 <i>6%</i>	26 13%	19 <i>9%</i>	18 <i>10%</i>	33 12%	23 <i>6</i> %	45 11%	50 11%	53 16%znop	35 10%o	11 4%	19 <i>6%</i>	67 <i>9%</i>	51 10%	24 7%	94 11%
Taken part in a public consultation	77 6%jo	42 pp 7%	35 <i>6</i> %	5 3%	8 4%	16 8%	12 5%	17 10%	20 7%	12 4%	27 7%	37 8%j	40 12%znop	23	7 3%	7 2%	45 6%	32 <i>6</i> %	20 <i>6</i> %	57 <i>6</i> %
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a political party	63 5%p	33 <i>6%</i>	30 <i>5%</i>	4 3%	8 4%	11 <i>6</i> %	17 8%	9 <i>5</i> %	14 5%	12 <i>3</i> %	28 7%	23 5%	38 12%znop	16 5%p	6 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	42 6%	21 4%	16 <i>5%</i>	47 5%
Taken an active part in a campaign	62 5%	36 <i>6%</i>	27 4%	4 3%	9 4%	11 <i>5%</i>	16 <i>7%</i>	10 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>4</i> %	13 <i>4%</i>	27 <i>6</i> %	22 5%	30 9%znop	15 4%	9 <i>3%</i>	9 <i>3</i> %	37 <i>5%</i>	25 <i>5</i> %	15 <i>4%</i>	47 5%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 6

		GEN	IDER			AC	iE .				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (a)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Contacted the media	53 4%t	33 <i>5</i> %	20 <i>3</i> %	3 2%	5 2%	10 <i>5</i> %	18 8%zc	6 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	28 7%zj	17 <i>4</i> %	20 <i>6%</i>	13 <i>4%</i>	6 2%	13 <i>4%</i>	30 <i>4%</i>	23 5%	23 7%t	29 <i>3</i> %
Taken part in a demonstration, picket or march	44 <i>4</i> %	26 4%	18 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>4%</i>	5 2%	7 3%	16 7%zi	5 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	22 5%	11 2%	22 7%zop	11 <i>3</i> %	4 2%	7 2%	32 4%	13 <i>2</i> %	15 <i>4%</i>	29 <i>3</i> %
Attended political meetings	36 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>3</i> %	17 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	4 2%	4 2%	9 4%	8 5%	10 <i>3</i> %	5 1%	13 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>4%</i>	14 4%p	12 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	3 1%	18 <i>2</i> %	19 <i>4%</i>	9 <i>2</i> %	28 <i>3</i> %
Don't know	4 *t	3 1%	1	3 2%zi		1 1%		-	-	3 1%	1 *	-	-	3 1%		1 *	4 1%	*	3 1%	1 *
None of these	302 25%hil nt	159 m <i>27</i> %	143 <i>23%</i>	48 34%zh	77 37%zefl i	49 n <i>24%</i>	50 23%	28 16%	50 18%	125 36%zkl	99 <i>2</i> 3%	79 17%	39 12%	66 19%m	78 31%zmi	120 n 39%zr	182 nn <i>25%</i>	120 <i>24%</i>	103 30%t	199 <i>23%</i>
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	1.81cjo p	1.84	1.79	1.19	1.51	2.01c	2.17zcd	2.03cd	1.80c	1.38	2.10zj	1.89j	2.84zno p	1.89op	1.27	1.08	1.88	1.72	1.71	1.86

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 7

		ACCE	SS TO																				DAILY NEV	VSPAPER
	i i	INTE	RNET		TEN				GOVER	NMENT (OFFICE	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUC/	NOITA		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH L	ONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)		NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
Voted in an election	798 65%e ns	736 f <i>65%</i>	62 60%	305 73%ze	265 f 74%ze	86 f <i>53%</i>	134 <i>48%</i>	65 <i>61%</i>	36 <i>59%</i>	189 <i>64%</i>	209 <i>65%</i>	197 <i>70%</i>	102 <i>62</i> %	720 66%n	76 <i>54%</i>	176 <i>60%</i>	159 <i>68%</i>	252 76%zo r	164 <i>58%</i>	83 <i>54%</i>	121 <i>62%</i>	376 77%zs	87 t 79%z	165 <i>71%</i>
Created or signed an e-petition	301 24%b finrs w	299 e 27%z	1 b 1%	100 24%e	127 36%zc f	22 e 14%	46 17%	29 <i>27</i> %	14 <i>23%</i>	57 19%	63 <i>20%</i>	91 32%zij	47 28%	278 26%n	23 16%	59 20%r	66 28%r	143 43%zo r	18 o <i>6</i> %	22 15%	39 <i>20%</i>	176 36%zs	45 t 41%zw	28 12%
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	284 23%e or	267 f 24%	17 17%	109 26%ef	112 31%ze	24 f 15%	33 12%	22 20%	9 14%	64 22%	72 22%	74 26%	44 27%	260 <i>2</i> 4%	24 17%	43 14%	51 <i>22%</i>	126 38%zo pr	43 15%	27 18%	35 18%	161 33%zs	37 t 33%zw	47 20%
Contacted a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	143 12%fr	133 1 <i>12</i> %	9 <i>9</i> %	68 16%ze	42 f 12%f	15 9%	14 <i>5</i> %	9 <i>8</i> %	6 <i>9</i> %	29 10%	45 14%	33 12%	21 13%	132 <i>12</i> %	9 <i>7</i> %	28 10%	22 9%	65 20%zo r	21 o <i>8</i> %	17 11%	23 12%	66 14%	25 23%zw	24 10%
Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	126 10%b orsw	126 h 11%z	b *	39 <i>9</i> %	57 16%zc	10 ef <i>6</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	11 11%	2 3%	21 7%	28 <i>9</i> %	38 13%h	26 16%hi	116 11%	11 <i>8</i> %	20 7%r	29 12%r	70 21%zo r	5 o <i>2</i> %	4 3%	18 9%s	78 16%zs	32 29%zw	13 <i>6%</i>
Contributed to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	126 10%b w	124 r 11%z	2 b <i>2</i> %	40 10%	53 15%ze	9 of <i>6</i> %	20 <i>7</i> %	14 13%	3 <i>5</i> %	23 <i>8%</i>	28 <i>9</i> %	31 11%	27 16%zł i	115 1 11%	11 <i>8</i> %	24 8%r	24 10%r	65 20%zo r	7 o 3%	9 <i>6</i> %	17 9%	79 16%zs	24 t 22%zw	12 5%
Created or signed a paper petition	118 10%r	110 <i>10%</i>	8 <i>8</i> %	43 10%	41 11%	11 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>7</i> %	10 10%	9 14%	24 8%	26 <i>8</i> %	33 12%	16 <i>9</i> %	110 <i>10%</i>	8 <i>6</i> %	27 9%	20 <i>8%</i>	49 15%zp	14 5%	11 <i>7</i> %	20 10%	63 13%z	19 17%zw	20 <i>9</i> %
Taken part in a public consultation	77 6%e	72 is <i>6</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	35 8%ze	26 7%e	3 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>7</i> %	3 4%	10 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>6</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	19 11%zi	71 <i>7</i> %	5 4%	11 <i>4</i> %	9 <i>4</i> %	43 13%zo r	12 0 4%	3 <i>2</i> %	16 8%s	43 9%zs	14 13%z	15 <i>6</i> %
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a political party	63 5%e	61 nr <i>5%</i>	2 <i>2</i> %	23 6%e	27 7%e	1 1%	9 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>5</i> %	2 4%	11 <i>4</i> %	17 5%	15 <i>5%</i>	12 <i>7</i> %	61 6%n	2 1%	12 4%	9 <i>4</i> %	38 11%zo r	2 0 1%	4 3%	5 <i>2</i> %	41 8%zt	14 13%zw	5 2%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 7

		ACCES INTER			TEN				GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT-	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Taken an active part in a campaign	62 5%r	60 <i>5</i> %	2 2%	22 5%	20 <i>6</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	17 <i>6</i> %	4 4%	2 <i>3</i> %	12 4%	12 4%	15 <i>5</i> %	18 11%zç j	59 ji <i>5%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	13 <i>4</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	35 10%zc r	4 op 1%	6 4%	11 <i>6</i> %	33 <i>7</i> %	12 11%zw	9 <i>4</i> %
Contacted the media	53 4%	51 <i>4%</i>	2 2%	14 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>5%</i>	6 4%	12 <i>4</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	11 <i>4</i> %	16 <i>5%</i>	15 <i>5%</i>	7 4%	46 <i>4</i> %	6 4%	8 <i>3</i> %	7 3%	27 8%ze	10 op <i>4</i> %	4 3%	7 4%	27 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %
Taken part in a demonstration, picket or march	44 4%r	43 4%	1 1%	14 <i>3</i> %	17 <i>5</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	* 1%	10 <i>4</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	15 9%zç ij	39 jh <i>4</i> %	5 4%	7 3%	7 3%	27 8%ze r	2 op 1%	4 2%	7 4%	22 5%	15 14%zw	5 2%
Attended political meetings	36 <i>3</i> %	34 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	19 5%z	11 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	8 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	10 4%	6 4%	36 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	23 7%zo r	4 op 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	4 2%	19 <i>4</i> %	13 12%zw	5 2%
Don't know	4 *	4	-	-	1	1 *	3 1%	2 2%zi	- k -	-	2 1%	-	-	4	1 *	-	3 1%	2	-	-	1	1 *	-	2 1%
None of these	302 25%cd kmquv w	271 <i>2</i> 4%	31 <i>30%</i>	71 <i>17</i> %	56 16%	60 37%zcd	114 I 41%zo d	28 27%	22 36%zk	80 27%k	83 <i>26%</i>	49 17%	40 <i>2</i> 4%	252 <i>23%</i>	51 36%zr	84 m 29%q	54 23%q	41 <i>12%</i>	98 34%z	54 oq 35%zı	52 26%u	67 14%	12 11%	42 18%
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	1.81b efnor sw	1.88z b	1.13	1.98ef	2.28ze f	1.21	1.25	1.71	1.43	1.59	1.73	2.06hi	2.17h i	1.88z n	1.31	1.46r	1.78r	2.89z opr	1.08	1.30	1.64	2.43zst	3.09zw	1.52

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 8

							KNOWLE	DGE OF	KNOWLE	DGE OF	LIKELIH	OOD TO	OTE IN GE	NFRAI	POLITICA SUPP			
		PC	LITICAL I	PARTY SU	JPPORT		UK PO		PARLIA		LIIVELIII		CTION	1211712	STRE		INTEREST I	N POLITICS
		CONSER					KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING				CERTA -INLY			VERY/ FAIRLY	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL
	TOTAL (z)	-VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	AMOUNT (a)	AT ALL (h)	AMOUNT (i)	AT ALL	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	INTERESTED (g)	INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
Voted in an election	798 65%fh npr	243 jm 78%zf	325 73%zf	60 85%zf	68 70%f	11 <i>8</i> %	504 79%zh	294 50%	485 80%zj	313 <i>50%</i>	610 80%zlm	131 n 64%m	45 n 31%n	11 10%	371 81%zp	427 56%	546 78%zr	252 48%
Created or signed an e-petition	301 24%fh mnpr	70 jl 22%f	144 32%za	23 f 33%f	29 30%f	5 4%	225 35%zh	76 13%	222 37%zj	78 13%	257 34%zlm	31 in 15%n	10 <i>7</i> %	2 2%	156 34%zp	145 19%	251 36%zr	49 <i>9</i> %
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	284 23%fh mnpr	97 jl 31%zf	104 23%f	30 43%zb ef	23 23%f	6 <i>5</i> %	199 31%zh	84 14%	197 32%zj	87 14%	237 31%zlm	23 in 11%	17 <i>12</i> %	6 <i>6%</i>	152 33%zp	132 <i>17%</i>	217 31%zr	67 13%
Contacted a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	143 12%hj r	41 lp <i>13</i> %	60 13%f	14 21%f	15 16%f	7 5%	110 17%zh	32 5%	110 18%zj	33 <i>5</i> %	117 15%zlm	13 in <i>6</i> %	8 <i>6%</i>	4 4%	72 16%zp	71 <i>9</i> %	123 18%zr	20 <i>4%</i>
Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	126 10%fh npr	26 jlm 8%f	67 15%za	13 f 18%af	12 12%f	*	109 17%zh	18 <i>3</i> %	105 17%zj	22 3%	110 15%zlm	11 in <i>5</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	77 17%zp	50 7%	119 17%zr	8 1%
Contributed to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	126 10%fh pr	28 jmn <i>9</i> %	59 13%zf	10 15%f	14 15%f	4 3%	107 17%zh	19 <i>3%</i>	104 17%zj	22 4%	105 14%zlm	14 in <i>7</i> %	5 4%	2 2%	77 17%zp	49 <i>6</i> %	116 16%zr	10 <i>2%</i>
Created or signed a paper petition	118 10%fh npr	26 jlm <i>8</i> %	53 12%f	16 22%za bf	11 11%f	3 <i>3</i> %	93 15%zh	25 4%	93 15%zj	25 4%	102 13%zlm	10 nn <i>5</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	68 15%zp	50 <i>7%</i>	104 15%zr	14 <i>3</i> %
Taken part in a public consultation	77 6%fh	19 jmr 6%f	29 6%f	14 19%za ef	7 b 7%f	1 1%	67 10%zh	10 <i>2</i> %	68 11%zj	9 1%	67 9%zlm	6 1 <i>3%</i>	1 1%	3 <i>3</i> %	39 9%zp	38 <i>5%</i>	71 10%zr	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 8

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a political party	63 5%fh r	9 jmp <i>3</i> %	38 8%za	7 af 10%af	7 7%f	-	58 9%zh	5 1%	57 9%zj	5 1%	59 8%zln	4 nn 2%	-	-	58 13%zp	5 1%	59 8%zr	3 1%
Taken an active part in a campaign	62 5%fh r	11 jlp 4%	37 8%za	2 af 3%	7 7%f	1 *	59 9%zh	4 1%	57 9%zj	6 1%	56 7%zlm	3 1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	44 10%zp	18 <i>2</i> %	60 9%zr	2
Contacted the media	53 4%hj	14 pr 4%	28 6%z	4 5%	1 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	41 7%zh	11 <i>2</i> %	45 7%zj	7 1%	41 5%z	9 4%	2 1%	1 1%	33 7%zp	20 <i>3</i> %	48 7%zr	4 1%
Taken part in a demonstration, picket or march	44 4%at	2 njpr 1%	34 8%za	2 af <i>3</i> %	4 4%a	1 *	37 6%zh	7 1%	36 6%zj	8 1%	38 5%zm	4 2%	1 *	1 1%	27 6%zp	17 <i>2</i> %	39 6%zr	5 1%
Attended political meetings	36 3%hj	4 pr 1%	21 5%za	2 a 3%	5 <i>5</i> %	1 1%	35 6%zh	1 *	34 6%zj	2	30 4%z	5 <i>3</i> %	1 1%		26 6%zp	11 1%	36 5%zr	-
Don't know	4 *k	-	1	:	1 1%	2 1%	1 *	3 1%	-	4 1%	*	1	-	3 3%zk	-	4 1%	1	3 1%
None of these	302 25%ab ikoq	41 peg <i>13%</i>	77 17%	9 13%	15 16%	102 77%z ce	71 ab <i>11%</i>	231 39%zg	59 10%	242 39%zi	91 <i>12%</i>	44 22%k	74 50%zkl	77 76%zk	30 lm <i>7</i> %	262 34%zo	81 11%	222 42%zq
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	1.81fhj Imnpr	1.89f	2.24zf	2.82z af	2.08f	0.33	2.58zh	0.99	2.66zj	0.99	2.41zlm n	1.28mn	0.68n	0.36	2.63zp	1.35	2.55zr	0.84

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 9

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
Voted in an election	798	299	281	432	290	76	465	315	239	549
	65%e	71%z	<i>64%</i>	81%zde	68%e	28%	<i>65%</i>	71%z	<i>67</i> %	<i>67%</i>
Created or signed	301	133	88	184	96	20	155	141	69	232
an e-petition	24%b	pefh 31%zb	20%	35%zde	23%e	<i>7</i> %	<i>22</i> %	32%zf	19%	28%zh
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	284	117	89	163	105	16	155	125	78	204
	23%€	28%zb	<i>20%</i>	31%ze	25%e	<i>6</i> %	<i>22%</i>	28%zf	<i>22</i> %	<i>2</i> 5%
Contacted a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	143 12%6	57 14%	49 11%	81 15%ze	53 12%e	9 <i>3</i> %	77 11%	66 15%z	40 11%	102 <i>12%</i>
Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	126 10%e	48 ofh 11%	45 10%	86 16%zde	32 8%e	8 3%	54 8%	71 16%zf	21 <i>6</i> %	105 13%zh
Contributed to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	126	61	38	76	40	10	50	76	24	102
	10%e	efh 14%zb	<i>9</i> %	14%ze	9%e	<i>4%</i>	<i>7</i> %	17%zf	7%	12%zh
Created or signed	118	58	28	68	45	5	64	53	29	87
a paper petition	10%b	pe 14%zb	<i>6</i> %	13%ze	11%e	<i>2</i> %	<i>9</i> %	12%	<i>8%</i>	11%
Taken part in a public consultation	77	36	20	47	28	2	40	37	17	60
	6%6	<i>9%</i>	<i>5</i> %	9%ze	7%e	1%	<i>6</i> %	<i>8%</i>	<i>5%</i>	7%
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a political party	63	30	13	45	12	7	23	40	12	51
	5%b	odf 7%b	<i>3</i> %	8%zde	3%	2%	<i>3</i> %	9%zf	3%	6%z

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 9

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY	QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Taken an active part in a campaign	62 <i>5</i> %	30 7%b	14 <i>3</i> %	39 7%zde	16 <i>4%</i>	7 3%	27 4%	33 8%zf	10 <i>3</i> %	52 6%z
Contacted the media	53 4%b	34 8%zb	10 <i>2</i> %	29 <i>5%</i>	17 <i>4</i> %	7 2%	23 3%	29 7%zf	10 <i>3%</i>	42 5%
Taken part in a demonstration, picket or march	44 4%d	22 fh <i>5</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	33 6%zde	7 2%	4 2%	16 <i>2%</i>	28 6%zf	3 1%	42 5%zh
Attended political meetings	36 3%f	16 <i>4%</i>	12 <i>3</i> %	20 <i>4</i> %	11 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	10 1%	25 6%zf	5 1%	32 4%z
Don't know	4	1	2	*	-	4 1%zc	4	1	1	2 *
None of these	302 25%a	74 cgi <i>17</i> %	115 26%a	53 10%	88 21%c	162 59%zcd	176 25%g	78 18%	80 <i>22%</i>	184 <i>22</i> %
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	1.81befl	n 2.23zb	1.59	2.45zde	1.77e	0.65	1.63	2.34zf	1.56	2.02zh

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 10

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL	Agree	Disagre e	Active social media user	Non- active social media user	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426 467	195	199	186 196	171	87	401	480	106	349 337	178	422 412	113	393 394	111 126
Voted in an election	798 <i>65%</i>	467 77%zb	206 <i>65%</i>	206 78%z	70%	213 82%z	94 <i>72%</i>	453 78%z	472 70%z	101 <i>67%</i>	69%z	183 <i>68%</i>	68%z	108 <i>70%</i>	394 70%z	72%
Created or signed an e-petition	301 24%m	163 1 <i>27%</i>	86 <i>27</i> %	155 59%zd	67 24%	71 <i>27</i> %	59 46%ze	175 g 30%z	229 34%zi	27 18%	159 32%z	76 28%	210 35%zm	26 1 <i>17</i> %	184 33%z	49 28%
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	284 23%	154 <i>25%</i>	73 23%	106 41%zd	71 <i>25</i> %	77 30%z	41 31%	174 30%z	195 29%z	36 24%	128 <i>26%</i>	87 33%z	172 28%z	39 <i>26</i> %	152 27%z	63 36%z
Contacted a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	143 12%	79 13%	45 14%	73 28%zd	25 9%	37 14%	14 11%	80 14%	89 13%	23 15%	62 13%	36 13%	76 13%	21 14%	72 13%	23 13%
Boycotted certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	126 10%	64 11%	48 15%z	78 30%zd	28 10%	45 17%z	29 23%zg	82 14%z	93 14%z	10 <i>7</i> %	63 13%z	33 12%	83 14%z	16 10%	79 14%z	21 <i>12</i> %
Contributed to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	126 10%d	69 11%	40 13%	99 38%zd	17 <i>6</i> %	32 12%	44 34%ze	79 g 14%z	96 14%z	12 <i>8%</i>	64 13%z	33 12%	85 14%z	15 10%	71 13%z	23 13%
Created or signed a paper petition	118 10%	60 10%	45 14%z	50 19%z	33 12%	35 13%	17 13%	78 13%z	82 12%z	17 11%	56 11%	29 11%	72 12%z	15 10%	68 12%z	19 11%
Taken part in a public consultation	77 6%	43 7%	23 7%	41 15%zd	17	24 9%	8 <i>6</i> %	41 7%	49 7%	10 7%	28 <i>6</i> %	24 9%	46 <i>8</i> %	9 <i>6</i> %	44 8%	10 <i>6</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 10

		HAPP) CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTIN	IG INFLUE	_		. MEDIA OICE TO PLE	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	MAKES P	E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Donated money or paid a membership fee to a political party	63 <i>5</i> %	42 7%z	14 <i>4</i> %	43 17%zd	13 <i>5</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	14 11%z	35 <i>6</i> %	39 <i>6%</i>	8 <i>5</i> %	21 4%	20 7%	39 <i>7%</i>	9 <i>6</i> %	42 7%z	8 5%
Taken an active part in a campaign	62 <i>5</i> %	36 <i>6%</i>	20 <i>6</i> %	47 18%zd	9 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	11 <i>9</i> %	38 <i>6%</i>	42 <i>6</i> %	6 4%	26 <i>5%</i>	20 <i>8%</i>	35 <i>6%</i>	9 <i>6%</i>	40 7%z	10 <i>6</i> %
Contacted the media	53 4%	24 4%	25 8%za	33 12%zd	9 <i>3</i> %	20 8%zg	8 <i>6</i> %	27 5%	31 <i>5%</i>	7 4%	21 <i>4</i> %	14 <i>5</i> %	22 4%	9 <i>6</i> %	26 <i>5</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %
Taken part in a demonstration, picket or march	44 4%	24 4%	15 <i>5%</i>	27 10%zd	6 <i>2</i> %	20 8%z	7 5%	37 6%z	30 <i>5%</i>	4 3%	14 <i>3</i> %	17 6%z	27 4%	4 3%	26 <i>5%</i>	4 2%
Attended political meetings	36 <i>3</i> %	24 4%	11 <i>3</i> %	25 10%zd	6 <i>2</i> %	15 6%zg	8 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	22 3%	7 4%	10 <i>2</i> %	14 5%j	21 <i>3</i> %	4 3%	23 4%	6 4%
Don't know	4	*	3 1%	1 *	3 1%	-	-	1 *	4 1%	-	2	-	4 1%	-	4 1%	- :
None of these	302 25%a ghjln	91 cde <i>15%</i>	73 23%a	17 <i>7</i> %	43 16%c	27 10%	23 17%	77 13%	121 <i>18%</i>	38 <i>25%</i>	95 19%	55 21%	118 <i>20%</i>	35 <i>23%</i>	101 <i>18%</i>	37 21%
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	1.81	2.04z	2.04	3.75zd	1.79	2.40z	2.72zg	2.25z	2.18z	1.77	2.02z	2.18z	2.15z	1.85	2.18z	2.15

Table 11
CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

CHILDREN IN GENDER AGE AGE SOCIAL GRADE WORKING STATUS HOUSEHOLD WOR-WOR-TOTAL **FEMALE** DE YES MALE 18-24 25-34 65+ 55+ AB C1 C2 KING KING NO 35-44 45-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 (m) (q) (t) (a) (b) (d) (e) (f) (h) (n) (o) (q) (r) (s) 274 625 605 199 352 327 551 387 225 576 654 916 **Unweighted Base** 1230 161 166 172 180 352 344 314 178 511 Weighted Base 1230 600 630 141 212 201 220 278 352 421 457 329 342 253 305 719 349 881 Effective Base 813 382 432 113 112 128 126 129 229 215 253 356 231 255 155 179 413 429 221 592 419 442 138 138 164 137 196 226 302 333 275 251 168 167 514 347 237 624 Vote in an 861 88 election 70%ip 70% 70% 62% 65% 69% 75%c 77%c 71% 64% 72% 73% 84%znop 73%p 66%p 55% 72% 68% 68% 71% Contact a local 534 256 278 116 135 208 227 305 229 135 399 councillor or MP/ 43% 44% 24% 30% 46%cd 53%zcd 51%zcd 49%cd 28% 49%zi 50%z 61%znop 45%p 38%p 27% 42% 45% 39% 45% 43%cdip MSP/WAM Create or sign an 471 221 249 41 83 100 102 124 203 144 160 54 331 139 146 324 e-petition 38%cilo 37% 29% 39%i 50%zci 46%zci 45%ci 23% 35% 48%zjl 32% 57%znop 47%zop 27%p 18% 46%zr 42% 37% pr Create or sign a 459 202 257 35 71 75 96 83 106 171 182 142 74 72 277 183 128 331 25% paper petition 37%acio 34% 41%72 33% 37%c 44%c 47%zcdi 36% 30% 41%j 40% 52%znop 41%op 29% 23% 38% 36% 37% 38% 174 74 145 101 234 Boycott certain 335 161 22 63 83 61 55 116 161 95 40 224 111 15% 25% 31%ci 34%ci 35%zil 28%op 16% 13% 29% products for 27%ciio 27% 28% 38%zcdi 20% 21% 25% 49%znop 31%zr 22% 27% political, ethical pr or environmental reasons 325 157 46 78 65 138 122 100 39 115 90 235 Donate money or 168 19 60 64 146 210 26%ciop 28% 25% 13% 22% 30%c 35%zcdi 33%c 23%0 18% 33%zi 27%i 44%znop 29%op 15% 13% 29%r 22% 26% 27% pay a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation Take part in a 311 162 49 121 125 116 220 public 25% 26% 12% 23%c 25%c 32%c 34%zci 23% 19% 29%i 27%i 46%znop 25%p 19%p 10% 27% 23% 26% 25% consultation 256 Contribute to a 122 134 26 48 63 33 73 112 71 123 42 22 174 82 179 discussion or 20% 21% 18% 22%i 24%i 29%zi 21%i 12% 21% 27%zl 16% 37%znop 20%p 17%p 7% 24%zr 16% 22% 20% campaign online or on social media 124 70 69 174 237 113 26 44 40 57 37 33 70 97 100 32 36 152 85 63 Take part in a demonstration, 19%ilop 19% 20% 19% 21%i 20%i 26%zi 21%i 12% 20% 23%l 15% 30%znop 20%op 13% 12% 21% 17% 18% 20% picket or march Contact the media 232 117 115 21 43 42 52 32 42 64 94 73 97 68 32 35 159 74 69 163 19%opr 19% 18% 15% 20% 21% 24%i 18% 15% 18% 22% 16% 29%znon 20%n 13% 11% 22%zr 14% 20% 19%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - z/a/b - z/c/d/e/fi/h/i - z/ji/k/l - z/m/n/o/p - z/q/r - z/s/t

_

11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 11

Weig	hted Base
	an active n a campaign
Atteno meeti	d political ngs
pay a	te money or membership a political
Don't	know
None	of these
	N NUMBER OF

	GEN	IDER			AC	GE .				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDI HOUSE	
TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
220 18%io	99 p <i>17</i> %	121 <i>19%</i>	18 13%	36 17%	33 16%	55 25%zci	40 22%i	38 14%	54 15%	88 21%	78 17%	94 29%zno	66 p 19%op	29 11%	31 10%	132 <i>18%</i>	88 17%	56 16%	164 19%
213 17%ip	104 <i>17</i> %	108 <i>17</i> %	22 16%	32 15%	37 18%	49 22%i	37 21%	36 13%	54 15%	86 20%	73 16%	95 29%zno	61 p 18%p	32 13%	25 <i>8</i> %	133 <i>19%</i>	79 16%	65 19%	147 <i>17</i> %
135 11%or	68 0 11%	68 11%	9 <i>7</i> %	17 <i>8%</i>	26 13%	38 17%zcd	21 i <i>12</i> %	23 <i>8</i> %	27 <i>8</i> %	65 15%zjl	44 10%	73 22%zno	37 p 11%op	9 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>5</i> %	87 12%	48 9%	39 11%	96 11%
14 1%l	8 1%	5 1%	3 2%	7 3%z	1 *	2 1%	-	1 *	10 3%zl	3 1%	1 *	1 *	2 1%	2 1%	8 3%zm	10 1%	3 1%	5 1%	9 1%
151 12%m	83 n <i>14%</i>	68 11%	24 17%	32 15%	25 13%	20 <i>9</i> %	18 10%	32 12%	55 16%	46 11%	50 11%	15 <i>4%</i>	30 <i>9%</i>	38 15%m	68 22%zm	83 in <i>12%</i>	68 13%	50 14%	101 <i>11%</i>
3.73cij	3.67	3.79	2.68	3.42	4.01ci	4.65zcd	4.34zci	3.18	3.13	4.35zjl	3.63	5.70zno	3.96op	2.80p	2.13	4.03zr	3.32	3.71	3.74

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 12

		ACCES			TEN				GOVER	NMENT (OFFICE	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUC/	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL	YES	NO	OWNED OUT- RIGHT	BUYING MORT- GAGE	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY	RENTED PRI- VATE	SCOT- LAND	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS	SOUTH L	ONDON	WHITE	вме	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12	OR EQUIV	PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£11500 £24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY	POPULAR
	(z)	(a) 1131	(b) 99	(c) 486	(d) 295	(e) 197	(f)	(g) 182	(h) 108	(I) 291	(J) 1 301	(k) 193	(I) 155	(m) 1028	(n) 200	(o) 307	(p) 247	(q) 393	(r) 180	(s) 167	(t)	(u) 463	(V)	(w) 239
Unweighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	240 278	107	61*	291	320	281	166*	1026	141	296	234	333	284	153	219 197	488	136 110*	239
Weighted Base Effective Base	1230 813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	296	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
Vote in an election	861 70%ef nr	798 71%	63 <i>61%</i>	307 73%ef	286 80%ze	98	163 59%	76 71%	38 <i>63%</i>	202 68%	211 66%	228 81%zgh ijl	106	778 72%zn	81 <i>58%</i>	196 66%	175 175 75%r	270 81%zo r	172	98 <i>64%</i>	137 70%	397 81%zs	87	158 68%
Contact a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	534 43%be fr	506 45%zł	28 27%	219 52%ze	181 f 50%ze	55 f <i>34%</i>	74 27%	50 46%	22 35%	126 <i>43%</i>	138 <i>43%</i>	128 <i>46%</i>	71 <i>43</i> %	482 44%	52 <i>37</i> %	123 42%r	106 45%r	196 59%zo pr	83 <i>29%</i>	64 <i>42</i> %	76 <i>39%</i>	281 58%zs	66 t 60%zw	101 <i>44</i> %
Create or sign an e-petition	471 38%be finrs tw	465 41%zł	5 5 5%	146 35%e	195 55%zc f	40 e <i>24%</i>	84 30%	42 39%	20 <i>32</i> %	95 <i>32</i> %	123 <i>38</i> %	129 46%zi	62 <i>38%</i>	433 40%zn	38 <i>27%</i>	102 35%r	96 41%r	209 63%zo pr	38 13%	35 <i>23%</i>	55 <i>28</i> %	281 58%zs	61 t 55%zw	57 <i>2</i> 5%
Create or sign a paper petition	459 37%fi nrsw	428 38%	31 <i>30</i> %	167 40%f	157 44%ze	50 f <i>31%</i>	78 28%	47 44%i	29 48%zi j	93 <i>31%</i>	112 <i>3</i> 5%	122 43%i	56 <i>34%</i>	431 40%zn	28 20%	97 <i>33%</i>	89 38%r	174 52%zo pr	75 26%	41 <i>26</i> %	78 40%s	237 49%zs	56 51%zw	66 <i>2</i> 9%
Boycott certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	335 27%be finrs tw	331 29%zł	4 0 4%	105 <i>25%</i>	140 39%zc f	30 e <i>19%</i>	55 20%	33 <i>31%</i>	12 20%	65 <i>22%</i>	82 <i>26%</i>	83 <i>30%</i>	59 35%hi	311 29%zn	24 17%	66 22%r	68 29%r	158 47%zo r	23 p <i>8</i> %	20 13%	40 20%	211 43%zs	56 t 50%zw	37 16%
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	325 26%be fhors w	318 28%zł	7 o 7%	116 28%ef	127 35%ze	27 f 17%	48 17%	29 27%h	7 12%	76 26%h	81 25%h	87 31%h	45 27%h	295 <i>2</i> 7%	30 <i>21%</i>	61 21%r	66 28%r	148 45%zo pr	29 10%	27 18%	41 21%	193 40%zs	45 t 41%zw	46 <i>20%</i>
Take part in a public consultation	311 25%be fhnor stw	299 27%zł	12 0 <i>12</i> %	116 28%ef	122 34%ze	21 f <i>13</i> %	50 18%	28 26%h	7 12%	61 <i>21%</i>	79 25%h	88 31%hi	49 30%h	295 27%zn	16 11%	54 18%	62 27%r	150 45%zo pr	33 11%	26 17%	37 19%	188 39%zs	52 t 47%zw	41 18%
Contribute to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	256 21%be nrs	251 22%zł	5 5 5%	83 20%e	99 28%zc	17 e 11%	52 19%	30 28%z ij	9 h <i>14%</i>	50 17%	58 18%	59 <i>21%</i>	50 30%zł ij	238 1 22%n	18 13%	48 16%r	58 25%or	127 38%zo r	16 p <i>6%</i>	18 <i>12%</i>	33 17%	160 33%zs	42 t 38%zw	35 15%
Take part in a demonstration, picket or march	237 19%ej orw	225 20%	12 12%	68 16%	92 26%zc	19 e <i>12%</i>	54 19%	26 24%h	7 j 11%	61 <i>21%</i>	44 14%	62 22%j	37 <i>22</i> %	217 <i>2</i> 0%	20 14%	37 13%	49 21%or	113 34%zo r	24 p <i>8</i> %	32 21%	30 <i>15%</i>	123 25%zt	42 38%zw	28 12%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

		ACCES																					DAILY NE	
		INTER	RNET		TEN				GOVER	NMENT !	OFFICE	REGION	1	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Contact the media	232 19%br w	226 20%zt	6 0 <i>6</i> %	83 <i>20%</i>	81 23%e	23 14%	43 16%	19 <i>17</i> %	9 14%	48 16%	60 19%	61 <i>22%</i>	36 22%	213 <i>20%</i>	19 <i>13%</i>	50 17%r	56 24%r	96 29%zc	21 or <i>7</i> %	21 14%	27 14%	139 28%zs	34 t 31%zw	25 11%
Take an active part in a campaign	220 18%hn orw	207 18%	12 12%	77 18%	73 20%	24 15%	42 15%	22 21%h	5 <i>8</i> %	44 15%	58 18%h	55 20%h	35 21%h	205 19%n	15 11%	36 <i>12%</i>	53 23%o	98 29%zc	23 or <i>8%</i>	30 <i>20</i> %	34 17%	118 24%z	34 30%zw	28 12%
Attend political meetings	213 17%be nr	204 18%zt	8 9 8%	87 21%ze	69 19%e	16 10%	39 14%	26 24%zł	8 n <i>13</i> %	49 16%	53 17%	47 17%	30 18%	199 18%n	14 10%	44 15%r	42 18%r	97 29%zo r	19 pp <i>7</i> %	17 11%	27 14%	121 25%zs	32 t 29%zw	32 14%
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a political party	135 11%eh nor	129 11%	6 <i>6</i> %	50 12%e	53 15%ze	9 ef 5%	22 <i>8</i> %	14 13%h	2 4%	30 10%	34 11%	31 11%	23 14%h	130 12%n	6 4%	21 <i>7</i> %	26 11%r	74 22%zo r	9 op <i>3</i> %	14 9%	13 <i>7</i> %	77 16%zt	28 26%zw	15 <i>7</i> %
Don't know	14 1%qu	12 1%	1 1%	4 1%	2	*	8 <i>3</i> %	*	1 2%k	2 1%	4 1%	-	6 4%zi	12 k <i>1%</i>	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%	:	7 2%q	1 1%	2 1%	1 *	-	2 1%
None of these	151 12%cd pquvw	132 <i>12</i> %	19 18%	39 <i>9</i> %	25 7%	33 20%zcd	d	13 : 12%	7 12%	37 13%	48 15%	25 9%	20 12%	127 <i>12</i> %	24 17%	41 14%0	19 1 <i>8</i> %	13 <i>4</i> %	59 21%z		23 12%u	22 5%	5 <i>4</i> %	16 <i>7</i> %
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	3.73b efhno rsw	3.90z b	1.94	3.86ef	4.67zc ef	2.63	2.89	4.14h i	2.87	3.38	3.54	4.20hi	3.98	3.89z n	2.55	3.17r	4.05o r	5.74z opr	1.99	2.89	3.20	5.17zst	5.76zw	2.89

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 13

															POLITICA			
							KNOWLE		KNOWLE		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	SUPF			
		PO	LITICAL	PARTY SU	PPORT		UK PO	KNOW	PARLIA	KNOW		ELEC	CTION		STRE	NGTH	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
							KNOW A GREAT	NOT VERY MUCH/	KNOW A GREAT	NOT VERY MUCH/								
		CONSER					DEAL/ FAIR	NOTH -ING	DEAL/ FAIR	NOTH -ING				CERTA -INLY			VERY/ FAIRLY	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL
	TOTAL (z)	-VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	AMOUNT (g)	AT ALL (h)	AMOUNT (i)	AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	INTERESTED (q)	INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
Vote in an election	861 70%fh npr	260 jm 83%zf	356 79%zf	64 91%ze f	73 76%f	19 <i>15</i> %	522 82%zh	339 <i>57%</i>	502 83%zj	359 <i>58%</i>	641 84%zlm	140 in 68%mi	63 n 43%n	14 14%	378 83%zp	484 <i>63%</i>	580 82%zr	282 <i>5</i> 4%
Contact a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	534 43%fh mnpr	166 jl 53%zf	210 47%f	48 68%zb ef	48 50%f	22 16%	376 59%zh	158 <i>27</i> %	368 61%zj	167 <i>27</i> %	419 55%zlm	61 in 30%n	39 <i>27</i> %	14 14%	261 57%zp	274 36%	415 59%zr	119 <i>23%</i>
Create or sign an e-petition	471 38%fh mnpr	136 jl 43%f	191 43%zf	47 66%za bef	41 43%f	11 <i>8</i> %	329 52%zh	142 <i>24%</i>	320 53%zj	150 <i>24%</i>	364 48%zlm	63 n 31%n	31 21%n	8 <i>8</i> %	220 48%zp	250 <i>33%</i>	358 51%zr	112 <i>21%</i>
Create or sign a paper petition	459 37%fh npr	137 jm 44%zf	167 37%f	37 53%zb f	50 52%zb f	22 0 17%	310 49%zh	149 <i>25%</i>	300 49%zj	159 <i>26%</i>	350 46%zlm	68 in 33%mi	27 n <i>18%</i>	14 14%	213 47%zp	245 <i>32</i> %	345 49%zr	114 <i>22%</i>
Boycott certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	335 27%fh mnpr	91 jl 29%f	142 32%zf	36 52%za bef	30 31%f	8 <i>6</i> %	258 41%zh	76 13%	246 41%zj	89 14%	270 36%zlm	37 in <i>18%</i>	15 11%	8 <i>8</i> %	168 37%zp	167 <i>22%</i>	282 40%zr	53 10%
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	325 26%fh mnpr	100 jl 32%zf	131 29%f	32 46%zb ef	25 25%f	14 10%	237 37%zh	88 15%	227 37%zj	98 16%	257 34%zlm	37 in 18%n	26 18%n	6 <i>6</i> %	161 35%zp	164 <i>22%</i>	261 37%zr	65 12%
Take part in a public consultation	311 25%fh mnpr	101 jl 32%zf	117 26%f	41 58%za bef	24 24%f	6 4%	237 37%zh	75 13%	235 39%zj	76 12%	265 35%zlm	30 n 15%n	9 <i>6</i> %	2 2%	160 35%zp	152 20%	263 37%zr	49 <i>9</i> %
Contribute to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	256 21%fh mnpr	67 jl 21%f	113 25%zf	21 30%f	24 25%f	12 9%	201 32%zh	55 <i>9</i> %	191 31%zj	65 11%	205 27%zlm	28 in <i>14%</i>	11 <i>7</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	131 29%zp	125 16%	212 30%zr	44 8%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 13

		PC	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT				KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Take part in a demonstration, picket or march	237 19%ah mnpr	46 ijl <i>15%</i>	120 27%za	19 f 27%af	22 22%	15 11%	179 28%zh	58 10%	172 28%zj	65 10%	189 25%zlm	22 nn <i>11%</i>	14 10%	7 7%	125 28%zp	111 <i>15%</i>	200 28%zr	37 <i>7</i> %
Contact the media	232 19%fhj mnpr	63 I 20%f	97 22%f	17 25%f	24 25%f	10 <i>8</i> %	164 26%zh	68 11%	164 27%zj	68 11%	190 25%zlm	23 nn <i>11%</i>	11 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	124 27%zp	108 <i>14%</i>	183 26%zr	49 <i>9</i> %
Take an active part in a campaign	220 18%fhj mnpr	49 I 16%f	108 24%za	23 f 32%za f	18 18%f	6 <i>5</i> %	175 28%zh	44 8%	171 28%zj	49 <i>8%</i>	189 25%zlm	12 nn <i>6</i> %	12 <i>8%</i>	6 <i>6</i> %	124 27%zp	96 13%	189 27%zr	31 <i>6%</i>
Attend political meetings	213 17%fhi npr	54 m 17%f	101 23%zf	9 13%f	26 27%zf	5 4%	173 27%zh	40 <i>7%</i>	167 27%zj	46 7%	171 23%zm	32 n 16%mr	5 n 4%	3 <i>3</i> %	130 29%zp	82 11%	192 27%zr	20 <i>4%</i>
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a political party	135 11%fhj npr	28 lm <i>9</i> %	74 16%za	10 f 14%f	15 16%f	3 <i>3</i> %	114 18%zh	22 4%	116 19%zj	20 <i>3</i> %	122 16%zlm	7 nn <i>3</i> %	6 4%	1 1%	96 21%zp	39 <i>5</i> %	124 18%zr	12 <i>2%</i>
Don't know	14 1%gid	- -	9 2%a	- :	-	4 3%a	-	14 2%zg	-	14 2%zi	6 1%	1 *	5 <i>3</i> %	2 2%	-	14 2%zo	-	14 3%zq
None of these	151 12%ab ikoq	11 oceg <i>3%</i>	29 <i>6</i> %	1 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	56 42%z e	22 abc <i>3%</i>	128 22%zg	20 3%	131 21%zi	27 4%	29 14%k	28 19%zk	52 52%zk	10 lm <i>2</i> %	131 17%zo	22 3%	129 24%zq
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	3.73fhj Imnpr	4.14f	4.30zf	5.76z abef	4.34f	1.16	5.14zh	2.22	5.23zj	2.27	4.79zlm n	2.72mn	1.85n	1.01	5.02zp	3.01	5.12zr	1.87

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 14

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	FED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
Vote in an election	861	337	294	440	314	107	499	337	265	584
	70%e	80%zb	<i>6</i> 7%	83%zde	74%e	<i>39%</i>	<i>70%</i>	76%z	74%	<i>71%</i>
Contact a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	534 43%e	216 51%zb	180 <i>41%</i>	280 53%ze	205 48%ze	49 18%	289 41%	239 54%zf	166 <i>46%</i>	366 <i>45%</i>
Create or sign an e-petition	471	201	158	280	150	40	254	208	134	336
	38%e	48%zb	<i>36</i> %	53%zde	35%e	14%	<i>36%</i>	47%zf	<i>37</i> %	41%z
Create or sign a	459	185	152	244	159	56	261	189	124	332
paper petition	37%e	44%zb	<i>35</i> %	46%zde	37%e	20%	<i>37</i> %	43%z	<i>35%</i>	40%z
Boycott certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	335 27%e	137 f 32%z	113 <i>26%</i>	204 38%zde	101 24%e	30 11%	162 <i>23</i> %	166 37%zf	80 <i>22</i> %	255 31%zh
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	325	135	104	187	106	33	171	147	87	237
	26%e	32%zb	<i>24%</i>	35%zde	25%e	12%	24%	33%zf	24%	29%z
Take part in a public consultation	311	139	94	178	110	23	161	148	89	221
	25%e	f 33%zb	<i>21%</i>	33%zde	26%e	<i>8</i> %	<i>23%</i>	33%zf	<i>25%</i>	<i>2</i> 7%
Contribute to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	256	118	81	153	72	30	127	126	62	193
	21%e	f 28%zb	<i>18%</i>	29%zde	17%	11%	18%	28%zf	17%	24%z
Take part in a demonstration, picket or march	237	117	70	143	66	28	117	115	47	189
	19%e	fh 28%zb	16%	27%zde	16%	10%	<i>16%</i>	26%zf	13%	23%zh
Contact the media	232	114	65	127	77	28	135	95	61	169
	19%b	e 27%zb	15%	24%ze	18%e	10%	<i>19%</i>	<i>21%</i>	<i>17</i> %	<i>21%</i>

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 14

		CAN REALLY CH	E LIKE ME GET POLITICS, THEY HANGE THE WAY IS RUN	ноw vo	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Take an active part in a campaign	220 18%be	106 ef 25%zb	60 14%	134 25%zde	63 15%e	23 <i>8</i> %	111 <i>16</i> %	104 23%zf	55 1 <i>5</i> %	163 20%z
Attend political meetings	213 17%e	107 25%zb	64 15%	124 23%ze	72 17%e	16 <i>6</i> %	108 <i>15%</i>	101 23%zf	52 15%	160 19%z
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a political party	135 11%bo	69 def 16%zb	32 7%	92 17%zde	30 7%	13 5%	55 <i>8%</i>	79 18%zf	32 <i>9</i> %	103 13%z
Don't know	14 1%ci	6 1%	6 1%	1	2	11 4%zcd	12 2%g	-	8 <i>2</i> %	5 1%
None of these	151 12%ad	22 ofh 5%	66 15%a	25 <i>5%</i>	43 10%c	84 31%zcd	67 <i>9</i> %	48 11%	21 <i>6</i> %	97 12%h
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	3.73bef	4.68zb	3.34	4.86zde	3.60e	1.74	3.44	4.62zf	3.51	4.03z

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 15

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	_	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL	Agree	Disagre e	Active social media user	Non- active social media user	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(0)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base Effective Base	1230 813	610 426	318 195	262 199	277 186	261 171	130* 87	583 401	673 480	152 106	489 349	267 178	605 422	153 113	560 393	174 111
Vote in an	861	426	213	218	214	223	111	481	480 517	106	349 366	200	422 457	108	393 431	125
election	70%	82%zb	67%	83%z	77%z	85%z	85%z	83%z	77%zi	66%	75%z	75%	75%z	71%	77%z	72%
Contact a local councillor or MP/ MSP/WAM	534 <i>43</i> %	308 50%z	136 <i>43%</i>	186 71%zd	111 40%	146 56%z	69 53%z	304 52%z	345 51%zi	58 <i>38%</i>	250 51%z	118 <i>44%</i>	300 50%z	68 <i>45%</i>	280 50%z	81 <i>47%</i>
Create or sign an e-petition	471 38%n	269 1 44%z	126 <i>40%</i>	181 69%zd	123 <i>44%</i>	121 46%z	86 66%ze	274 g 47%z	334 50%zi	46 30%	236 48%z	112 <i>42</i> %	299 49%zm	45 1 30%	266 47%z	79 <i>45%</i>
Create or sign a paper petition	459 <i>37</i> %	270 44%z	125 <i>39</i> %	152 58%zd	105 <i>38%</i>	130 50%z	67 52%z	278 48%z	289 43%z	52 34%	199 <i>41%</i>	121 45%z	265 44%z	56 <i>36%</i>	248 44%z	68 <i>39%</i>
Boycott certain products for political, ethical or environmental reasons	335 <i>2</i> 7%	185 30%z	103 <i>33%</i>	152 58%zd	92 33%z	96 37%z	60 47%zg	205 35%z	236 35%zi	34 <i>23%</i>	169 35%z	92 34%z	211 35%z	44 29%	198 35%z	55 <i>32</i> %
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a charity or campaigning organisation	325 <i>26%</i>	169 <i>28%</i>	98 31%	143 55%zd	76 27%	89 34%z	53 41%z	194 33%z	233 35%zi	30 <i>20%</i>	163 33%z	82 31%	201 33%zm	36 1 <i>23%</i>	185 33%z	60 34%z
Take part in a public consultation	311 <i>25</i> %	178 29%z	90 <i>28%</i>	138 53%zd	73 26%	92 35%z	61 47%ze	183 g 31%z	216 32%zi	33 <i>22%</i>	154 31%z	77 29%	188 31%z	42 28%	177 32%z	55 <i>32%</i>
Contribute to a discussion or campaign online or on social media	256 21%	138 <i>23%</i>	79 <i>2</i> 5%	142 54%zd	64 <i>23%</i>	64 <i>25%</i>	72 55%ze	146 g 25%z	200 30%zi	21 14%	143 29%z	62 <i>23%</i>	164 27%z	37 <i>2</i> 4%	149 27%z	40 23%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 15

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	NCE	SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	DICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Take part in a demonstration, picket or march	237 19%	125 <i>20%</i>	75 24%	113 43%zd	65 <i>23%</i>	70 27%z	57 44%zeç	136 g 23%z	160 24%zi	22 15%	117 24%z	50 19%	150 25%z	33 21%	134 24%z	37 21%
Contact the media	232 19%i	141 23%z	54 17%	107 41%zd	50 18%	63 <i>24%</i>	49 38%zeg	146 g 25%z	177 26%zi	16 <i>10%</i>	128 26%zk	43 16%	146 24%z	26 17%	138 25%z	46 26%z
Take an active part in a campaign	220 18%	128 21%z	64 <i>20%</i>	105 40%zd	50 18%	60 <i>23%</i>	41 32%zg	132 23%z	154 23%zi	21 <i>14%</i>	117 24%z	52 19%	125 21%z	32 <i>21%</i>	114 <i>20%</i>	43 25%z
Attend political meetings	213 <i>17</i> %	127 21%z	65 <i>20%</i>	100 38%zd	62 22%z	67 26%z	51 40%zeg	123 g 21%z	152 23%z	25 16%	115 23%z	48 18%	123 20%z	32 21%	124 22%z	37 21%
Donate money or pay a membership fee to a political party	135 <i>11%</i>	86 14%z	32 10%	77 29%zd	31 11%	50 19%zg	30 23%zg	82 14%z	93 14%z	14 <i>9</i> %	61 <i>13</i> %	35 13%	84 14%z	18 <i>12%</i>	82 15%z	23 13%
Don't know	14 1%a	ghl *	9 3%za	-	2 1%	-	1 1%	2	3	1 1%	3 1%	1 *	3	1 1%	3 *	1 1%
None of these	151 12%a ghjln	32 cdef <i>5%</i>	35 11%a	3 1%	10 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	7 5%	27 5%	52 <i>8%</i>	15 10%	43 9%	23 <i>8</i> %	54 <i>9</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %	41 <i>7</i> %	16 <i>9</i> %
MEAN NUMBER OF MENTIONS	3.73	4.30z	3.97	6.92zd	4.03	4.87z	6.23zeg	4.61z	4.62zi	3.11	4.54z	4.09	4.49z	3.78	4.51z	4.31

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 16
CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2	DE (a)	WOR- KING (g)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very interested	202 16%bo	129 Ijo 21%zb	73 12%	17 12%	19 <i>9</i> %	30 15%	40 18%d	43 24%zcd	53 19%d	36 10%	71 17%j	95 21%zj	100 30%znop	50 15%p	27 11%	25 8%	114 16%	88 17%	46 13%	156 18%
[2] Fairly interested	502 41%co	233 39%	268 <i>43%</i>	41 <i>29%</i>	84 40%	76 <i>38%</i>	101 46%c	71 40%	129 46%zc	125 <i>36%</i>	177 <i>42</i> %	200 <i>44%</i>	165 50%zop	165 48%zop	65 <i>26%</i>	107 <i>35%</i>	305 <i>42</i> %	196 <i>38%</i>	138 <i>40%</i>	363 41%
[3] Not very interested	303 25%m	137 <i>23%</i>	166 <i>26%</i>	41 29%f	63 30%f	51 <i>25%</i>	40 18%	40 23%	67 <i>2</i> 4%	104 <i>30%</i>	92 <i>22%</i>	108 <i>24%</i>	46 14%	78 23%m	89 35%zmn	90 30%m	179 <i>25%</i>	124 <i>2</i> 4%	78 <i>22</i> %	226 <i>26</i> %
[4] Not at all interested	223 18%ilr	100 nt <i>17</i> %	123 <i>20%</i>	41 29%zfhi	46 22%i	44 22%i	39 18%	24 14%	30 11%	87 25%zl	82 20%l	54 12%	18 <i>5%</i>	50 15%m	73 29%zmn	83 27%zm	121 n <i>17</i> %	102 <i>20%</i>	88 25%zt	136 <i>15%</i>
MEAN	2.45ahi Imt	2.35	2.54za	2.76zfh i	2.64zfh i	2.54hi	2.35	2.26	2.27	2.69zkl	2.441	2.26	1.94	2.37m	2.82zmn	2.76zmn	2.43	2.47	2.59zt	2.39
Very/fairly interested	703 57%cc p	362 ljo <i>60%</i>	341 <i>54%</i>	58 41%	103 <i>49%</i>	106 <i>53%</i>	141 64%cd	114 64%cd	181 65%zcc	161 le <i>46%</i>	247 59%j	295 65%zj	265 81%znop	215 63%zop	91 <i>36%</i>	132 <i>43%</i>	419 <i>58%</i>	285 <i>56%</i>	184 <i>53%</i>	519 <i>5</i> 9%
Not very/at all interested	527 43%ilr	237 nn <i>40%</i>	289 <i>46%</i>	82 59%zfhi	109 51%zfh	95 47%i	79 <i>36%</i>	65 <i>36%</i>	97 <i>35%</i>	191 54%zkl	174 <i>41%</i>	162 <i>35%</i>	64 19%	128 37%m	162 64%zmn	173 57%zm	300 n <i>42</i> %	226 44%	165 <i>47</i> %	361 <i>41%</i>
NET interested	177 14%b joprs	125 cde 21%zb	52 8%	-24 -17%	-6 -3%	12 6%d	62 28%zcde	49 27%zcde	85 e 30%zco	-30 le -9%	73 17%j	133 29%zjk	202 61%znop	87 26%zop	-70 <i>-28%</i>	-42 -14%	119 17%r	58 11%	19 <i>5</i> %	158 18%zs
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 17

CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES INTER			TENI	URE			GOVER	NMENT (OFFICE F	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUCA	TION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very interested	202 16%eh ir	193 <i>17%</i>	9 <i>9</i> %	91 22%ze	56 f 16%	14 <i>9</i> %	33 12%	21 20%hi	5 <i>8%</i>	35 12%	53 17%	52 19%	35 21%hi	177 16%	23 17%	40 13%	30 13%	100 30%zo r	25 p <i>9</i> %	25 16%	28 14%	109 22%z	50 45%zw	37 16%
[2] Fairly interested	502 41%ef nos	457 41%	44 43%	185 44%ef	181 50%ze	40 of 25%	93 <i>34</i> %	45 42%	22 37%	125 <i>42</i> %	112 <i>35</i> %	127 <i>45%</i>	69 42%	457 42%n	45 <i>32</i> %	99 <i>34%</i>	102 44%0	166 50%zo r	108 <i>38%</i>	48 31%	77 39%	242 50%zs	40 t <i>36</i> %	113 49%zv
[3] Not very interested	303 25%qu v	276 <i>2</i> 4%	28 <i>27</i> %	93 <i>22%</i>	80 <i>22</i> %	54 33%zcc	76 d <i>27</i> %	21 <i>20%</i>	16 <i>26</i> %	74 25%	90 <i>28%</i>	64 <i>23%</i>	38 <i>23%</i>	270 <i>25%</i>	33 <i>24</i> %	88 30%zd	63 27%q	48 14%	73 26%q	37 <i>2</i> 4%	52 26%u	86 18%	18 <i>16%</i>	58 <i>25%</i>
[4] Not at all interested	223 18%cd mquvw	202 18%	22 21%	51 <i>12</i> %	41 12%	54 33%zcc	75 d 27%zo d	19 : 18%	18 29%zk I	62 21%	64 20%	38 13%	23 14%	184 <i>17</i> %	39 28%zr	69 n 23%zo	39 1 17%q	20 <i>6</i> %	78 27%z	44 oq 28%zu	40 20%u	52 11%	3 <i>3</i> %	25 11%v
MEAN	2.45c dquvw	2.43	2.60	2.24	2.30	2.91zc d	2.69z cd	2.36	2.76z gkl	2.55k I	2.51	2.31	2.29	2.42	2.63z n	2.63z q	2.47q	1.96	2.72zp q	2.65zu	2.53u	2.16	1.76	2.30v
Very/fairly interested	703 57%ef hnors	650 <i>58%</i>	54 52%	277 66%ze	237 f 66%ze	54 f <i>33</i> %	127 46%e	66 62%h	27 45%	160 <i>54%</i>	166 <i>52%</i>	179 64%hj	105 63%h	634 58%n	68 <i>48%</i>	139 <i>47</i> %	132 <i>57</i> %	266 80%zo pr	133 <i>47</i> %	72 47%	105 <i>53%</i>	351 72%zs	89 t 81%zw	150 65%z
Not very/at all interested	527 43%cd quvw	477 42%	49 48%	143 <i>34%</i>	122 <i>34</i> %	109 67%zcc f	151 d 54%zo d	40 38%	33 55%zg kl	136 9 46%	154 48%k	102 <i>36%</i>	61 <i>37</i> %	454 42%	73 52%zr	157 m 53%zd	102 43%q	67 20%	151 53%zc	81 g 53%zu	92 47%u	138 <i>28%</i>	21 19%	82 35%v
NET interested	177 14%be fhijn orst	172 15%zb	4 4%	133 32%ze	115 f 32%ze f	-54 -33%	-24 -9%	26 24%z hij	-6 -10%	23 8%h	12 4%h	78 28%zh j	44 i 27%zh ij	180 17%zn	-5 ı <i>-3</i> %	-18 <i>-6%</i>	31 13%or	199 60%zo pr	-19 <i>-7</i> %	-9 -6%	13 7%s	213 44%zs	69 t 62%zw	67 29%z
Don't know	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	:

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 18

CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY S	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POL		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very interested	202 16%fhj mnpr	46 I 15%	106 24%za	13 f 19%f	21 21%f	9 <i>7</i> %	196 31%zh	6 1%	183 30%zj	18 <i>3</i> %	177 23%zlm	13 n <i>6%</i>	7 5%	5 <i>5</i> %	137 30%zp	65 <i>9%</i>	202 29%zr	:
[2] Fairly interested	502 41%fhj npr	173 m 55%zb f	172 e 38%f	34 49%f	40 42%f	17 13%	371 58%zh	130 <i>22%</i>	354 58%zj	148 <i>24%</i>	381 50%zlm	78 n 38%mi	26 n <i>18</i> %	15 15%	250 55%zp	250 <i>33%</i>	502 71%zr	:
[3] Not very interested	303 25%gik q	76 30 24%	117 <i>26%</i>	19 <i>27</i> %	17 18%	25 19%	54 <i>8%</i>	249 42%zg	56 <i>9%</i>	247 40%zi	144 19%	79 39%zk	60 n 41%zkn	16 16%	58 13%	245 32%zo	-	303 58%zq
[4] Not at all interested	223 18%ab koq	19 cgi <i>6%</i>	53 12%a	3 <i>4</i> %	19 19%ad	81 61%za e	16 abc <i>2%</i>	207 35%zg	15 <i>2</i> %	208 33%zi	56 <i>7%</i>	35 17%k	53 37%zkl	65 65%zk	12 lm <i>3%</i>	202 26%zo	-	223 42%zq
MEAN	2.45abc gikoq	2.22	2.26	2.17	2.35	3.34za bce	1.83	3.11zg	1.84	3.04zi	2.10	2.66zk	3.10zkl	3.41zkl m	1.88	2.77zo	1.71	3.42zq
Very/fairly interested	703 57%fhj mnpr	219 I 70%zf	277 62%zf	48 68%f	61 63%f	26 <i>20</i> %	567 89%zh	136 <i>23%</i>	537 88%zj	166 <i>27</i> %	558 74%zlm	92 n 44%mı	33 n <i>22</i> %	20 19%	387 85%zp	315 41%	703 100%zr	-
Not very/at all interested	527 43%ab koq	95 gi <i>30%</i>	170 <i>38%</i>	22 32%	36 <i>37</i> %	106 80%za ce	70 ab <i>11%</i>	456 77%zg	70 12%	456 73%zi	200 <i>26%</i>	114 56%zk	114 78%zkl	81 81%zk	70 l 15%	447 59%zo	-	527 100%zq
NET interested	177 14%flm p	124 nn 40%zb f	107 e 24%zf	25 36%z	25 26%z	-80 <i>-60%</i>	498 78%zh	-320 <i>-54%</i>	467 77%zj	-289 <i>-46%</i>	358 47%zlm n	-23 -11%	-81 <i>-55%</i>	-61 -61%	317 70%zp	-132 <i>-17</i> %	703 100%zr	-527 -100%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 19
CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM		QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very interested	202	102	53	132	56	14	91	109	51	151
	16%b	ef 24%zb	12%	25%zde	13%e	<i>5</i> %	<i>13</i> %	25%zf	<i>14%</i>	18%z
[2] Fairly interested	502	203	155	252	199	50	292	201	167	329
	41%b	e 48%zb	<i>35%</i>	47%ze	47%ze	18%	41%	<i>45%</i>	47%z	40%
[3] Not very	303	88	125	108	109	87	213	70	102	193
interested	25%c	g <i>21%</i>	28%a	<i>20%</i>	<i>26%</i>	32%zc	30%zg	16%	<i>28%</i>	<i>23%</i>
[4] Not at all interested	223	29	106	40	61	122	117	64	38	148
	18%a	cdgh <i>7%</i>	24%za	<i>8%</i>	14%c	45%zcd	<i>16</i> %	14%	11%	18%h
MEAN	2.45acg	2.11	2.64za	2.11	2.41c	3.16zcd	2.50g	2.20	2.35	2.41
Very/fairly	703	305	208	384	255	64	383	310	218	480
interested	57%b	ef 72%zb	<i>48%</i>	72%zde	60%e	24%	<i>54%</i>	70%zf	<i>61%</i>	<i>58%</i>
Not very/at all	527	118	230	148	169	209	329	134	139	341
interested	43%a	cg <i>28%</i>	52%za	<i>28%</i>	40%c	76%zcd	46%zg	<i>30%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>42</i> %
NET interested	177	187	-22	236	86	-145	54	176	78	139
	14%b	ef 44%zb	-5%	44%zde	20%z	<i>-53%</i>	8%	40%zf	22%z	17%z
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 20

CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY WITH CHOICE OF POLITICAL PARTIES		ACTIVE ON SOCIAL MEDIA		VOTING INFLUENCE			SOCIAL MEDIA GIVES VOICE TO PEOPLE		SOCIAL MEDIA BREAKS DOWN BARRIERS FOR VOTERS		SOCIAL MEDIA MAKES POLITICAL DEBATE MORE DIVISIVE		SOCIAL MEDIA MAKES POLITICAL DEBATE MORE SUPERFICIAL	
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (a)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very interested	202 <i>16%</i>	129 21%z	52 16%	105 40%zd	49 18%	70 27%zg	34 26%z	110 <i>19%</i>	140 21%z	20 13%	101 21%z	47 18%	129 21%z	29 19%	121 22%z	33 19%
[2] Fairly interested	502 41%	291 48%zb	123 <i>39%</i>	120 <i>46%</i>	135 49%z	128 49%z	62 48%	304 52%z	295 44%z	61 <i>40%</i>	215 <i>44%</i>	113 <i>42</i> %	251 <i>41%</i>	70 46%	252 45%z	75 <i>43%</i>
[3] Not very interested	303 25%ceç	142 23%	71 <i>22</i> %	28 11%	67 24%c	45 17%	24 19%	123 <i>21%</i>	154 <i>23%</i>	43 28%	121 <i>25%</i>	65 24%	152 <i>25%</i>	32 <i>2</i> 1%	122 <i>22%</i>	42 24%
[4] Not at all interested	223 18%acc ghjln	49 def <i>8%</i>	72 23%a	9 <i>4</i> %	26 9%c	17 <i>7</i> %	9 <i>7</i> %	46 <i>8%</i>	84 12%	28 19%	52 11%	42 16%	74 12%	23 15%	64 12%	24 14%
MEAN	2.45acd efghjln	2.18	2.51a	1.78	2.25c	2.04	2.06	2.18e	2.27	2.53h	2.26	2.38	2.28	2.32	2.23	2.33
Very/fairly interested	703 <i>57%</i>	419 69%zb	175 <i>55%</i>	225 86%zd	184 66%z	198 76%z	97 75%z	413 71%z	435 65%zi	81 <i>53%</i>	315 64%z	160 <i>60%</i>	379 63%z	98 <i>64%</i>	373 67%z	108 <i>62%</i>
Not very/at all interested	527 43%acc fghjln	191 de <i>31%</i>	143 45%a	38 14%	93 34%c	62 <i>2</i> 4%	33 <i>25%</i>	169 <i>29%</i>	238 <i>35%</i>	71 47%h	174 <i>36%</i>	107 40%	225 <i>37</i> %	54 <i>36%</i>	187 <i>33%</i>	66 <i>38%</i>
NET interested	177 14%bi	229 37%zb	32 10%	187 71%zd	91 33%z	136 52%zg	64 49%z	244 42%z	197 29%zi	9 <i>6%</i>	142 29%zk	53 20%z	154 25%z	44 29%z	186 33%z	43 24%z
Don't know	-	:	:	-	:	- :	:	-	:	:	-	-	:	1	-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 21
CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

CHILDREN IN GENDER AGE AGE SOCIAL GRADE WORKING STATUS HOUSEHOLD WOR-TOTAL FEMALE 65+ 55+ AB C2 DE KING YES MALE 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 18-34 35-54 C1 KING NO (d) (h) (i) (m) (n) (o) (q) (z) (a) (b) (c) (e) (f) (q) (r) (s) 352 625 605 161 166 172 180 199 327 352 551 344 387 225 274 576 654 916 **Unweighted Base** 1230 314 141 212 421 457 342 253 305 349 881 Weighted Base 1230 600 630 201 220 178 278 352 329 719 511 Effective Base 813 382 432 113 112 128 126 129 229 215 253 356 231 255 155 179 413 429 221 592 107 67 39 10 16 21 20 30 19 38 50 55 29 14 63 44 20 86 [1] A great deal 9%bjop 11%zb 6% 6% 5% 8% 10% 11% 11% 6% 9% 11%i 17%znop 8% 4% 5% 9% 9% 6% 10% [2] A fair amount 530 279 251 88 101 93 139 109 190 232 190 161 313 218 143 387 43%bcdj 47% 40% 32% 30% 44%d 46%cd 52%zcd 50%zcd 31% 45%i 51%zi 58%znop 47%op 33% 32% 43% 41% 44% 43% 193 57 102 56 146 148 124 125 181 128 [3] Not very much 452 259 70 75 92 159 76 128 272 324 32% 41%za 41% 48%zefh 35% 34% 31% 33% 45%zkl 35% 32% 23% 36%m 49%zmn 42%n 37% [4] Nothing at all 140 60 80 36 25 22 10 18 65 48 28 30 37 66 72 68 57 84 21%zfhi 17%hi 12% 10% 10% 16%zt 11%hilm 10% 13% 6% 6% 19%zk 11% 6% 2% 9%m 14%m 22%zmr 13% 9% MEAN 2.51ahi 2.41 2.76zef 2.77zef 2.52hi 2.45 2.31 2.35 2.77zkl 2.481 2.34 2.45m 2.75zmn 2.81zm 2.49 2.54 2.64zt 2.46 2.60za 2.11 54 74 105 123 164 473 Know a great deal/ 637 346 290 113 169 128 227 282 246 189 92 110 375 261 fair amount 52%bcdj 58%zb 46% 39% 35% 52%cd 56%cd 63%zcd 61%zcd 36% 54%j 62%z 75%znop 55%op 36% 36% 52% 51% 47% 54% op Know not very 592 339 138 66 224 193 175 153 161 194 343 249 185 407 253 95 ٩R 109 83 48% 64%zkl much/nothing at 48%ahil 42% 54%7 61%zefh 65%zefh 44% 37% 39% 46% 38% 25% 45%m 64%zmn 64%zmn 48% 49% 53% 46% all m NET knowledgeable 44 -49 34 106 162 12 -22 16%zb -23% -30% 5% 11%z 26%zcef 21%zce -27% 8%7 23%zik 49%znop 10%z -27% -28% 4% -6% 4%crs -8% 2% 7%79 Don't know

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 22
CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES INTER			TEN	URE			GOVERN	MENT C	OFFICE	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUCA.	ΓΙΟΝ		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES I	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] A great deal	107 9%e	101 <i>9</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	58 14%zc	26 def 7%	5 <i>3%</i>	16 <i>6</i> %	11 10%	2 4%	18 <i>6%</i>	33 10%	29 10%	13 <i>8</i> %	95 <i>9</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %	16 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>7</i> %	58 17%zo	13 p <i>5</i> %	7 5%	19 10%	60 12%zs	28 25%zw	11 5%
[2] A fair amount	530 43%ef hnort	489 <i>43%</i>	42 40%	205 49%ze	186 ef 52%ze	47 ef <i>2</i> 9%	86 <i>31</i> %	49 45%h	19 <i>31%</i>	124 <i>42</i> %	131 <i>41%</i>	128 46%h	79 48%h	483 44%n	47 33%	106 <i>36%</i>	108 46%or	191 57%zo pr	91 <i>32</i> %	58 <i>38%</i>	70 36%	262 54%zs	58 t 53%z	123 53%z
[3] Not very much	452 37%cq uv	409 <i>36</i> %	44 42%	129 <i>31%</i>	126 <i>35%</i>	81 49%zcd	115 41%c	32 30%	25 41%	109 <i>37</i> %	122 <i>38%</i>	106 <i>38%</i>	57 35%	394 <i>36</i> %	59 <i>42</i> %	135 46%zo	88 37%q	80 <i>24%</i>	116 41%q	64 42%u	74 38%	144 <i>30%</i>	22 20%	85 36%v
[4] Nothing at all	140 11%cd kquvw	128 11%	12 12%	28 7%	21 <i>6%</i>	31 19%zcd	61 22%zo d	14 13%k	15 25%zg jkl	44 15%k	32 10%	18 <i>6%</i>	16 10%	115 11%	25 18%zı	38 m 13%q	22 9%q	4 1%	64 23%z q	24 op 16%u	34 17%zu	21 <i>4</i> %	3 <i>3%</i>	13 <i>6</i> %
MEAN	2.51c dquv	2.50	2.60	2.30	2.40	2.84zc d	2.80z cd	2.47	2.86z gijkl	2.61z k	2.48	2.40	2.47	2.49 r	2.69z n	2.66z pq	2.49q	2.09	2.82zp q	2.68zu	2.62u	2.26	2.00	2.43v
Know a great deal/ fair amount	637 52%ef hnor	589 <i>52%</i>	48 46%	263 63%ze	212 ef 59%ze	52 ef <i>32%</i>	102 <i>37</i> %	60 56%h	21 <i>34</i> %	142 48%h	165 52%h	157 56%h	92 55%h	578 53%n	57 41%	123 <i>41%</i>	124 53%or	249 75%zo pr	104 37%	66 <i>43%</i>	89 <i>45%</i>	323 66%zs	86 t 78%zw	134 <i>58%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	592 48%cd quv	537 48%	56 <i>54%</i>	157 <i>37</i> %	147 <i>41%</i>	111 68%zcd	176 63%zo d	47 44%	40 66%zg ijkl	153 <i>52%</i>	155 <i>48%</i>	124 <i>44%</i>	74 45%	509 <i>47</i> %	84 59%zı	173 m 59%zp q	110 47%q	84 <i>25%</i>	180 63%z	87 oq 57%u	108 55%u	166 <i>34%</i>	24 22%	98 42%v
NET knowledgeable	44 4%bh nst	52 i 5%zb	-8 -8%	106 25%z€	65 ef 18%ze	-60 - <i>37</i> %	-74 -27%	13 12%z ij	-19 <i>-31%</i>	-11 -4%	10 <i>3</i> %	33 12%zh j	18 i 11%zi	69 6%z n	-26 -19%	-51 -17%	15 6%z	165 49%z opr	-76 <i>-27%</i>	-22 -14%	-19 -10%	157 32%zs	61 t 56%zw	37 16%z
Don't know	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 23
CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POL		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] A great deal	107 9%fh pr	29 lm 9%f	51 11%zf	11 16%f	10 10%f	3 <i>2</i> %	107 17%zh	-	104 17%zj	3	95 13%zlm	7 n <i>3</i> %	2 1%	3 <i>3</i> %	73 16%zp	34 4%	102 15%zr	4 1%
[2] A fair amount	530 43%fhj mnpr	176 I 56%zb	194 f 43%f	36 51%f	49 51%f	22 17%	530 83%zh	-	453 75%zj	78 12%	407 54%zlm	67 n <i>33</i> %	35 24%	20 20%	279 61%zp	250 33%	465 66%zr	65 12%
[3] Not very much	452 37%gil q	100 (o <i>32</i> %	163 <i>36%</i>	23 <i>33</i> %	28 <i>2</i> 9%	53 40%	-	452 76%zg	50 <i>8%</i>	402 65%zi	212 <i>28%</i>	114 55%zk	82 n 56%zkn	38 38%	94 <i>21%</i>	357 47%zo	132 19%	320 61%zq
[4] Nothing at all	140 11%ac oq	9 gik <i>3</i> %	40 9%ac	: -	9 10%ac	54 41%za e	abc -	140 24%zg	1 *	139 22%zi	44 <i>6</i> %	18 <i>9%</i>	27 19%zkl	40 40%zk	11 lm <i>2</i> %	121 16%zo	4 1%	136 26%zq
MEAN	2.51abc gikoq	2.28	2.43ac	2.17	2.38	3.20za bce	1.83	3.24zg	1.91	3.09zi	2.27	2.69zk	2.92zkl	3.15zkl	2.09	2.74zo	2.05	3.12zq
Know a great deal/ fair amount	637 52%fhj mnpr	205 I 65%zb	245 f 55%f	47 67%zf	59 61%f	25 19%	637 100%zh	-	557 92%zj	80 13%	502 66%zlm	74 n <i>36%</i>	37 25%	22 <i>22</i> %	351 77%zp	284 <i>37</i> %	567 81%zr	70 13%
Know not very much/nothing at all	592 48%ad koq	108 gi <i>35%</i>	203 45%a	23 <i>33</i> %	38 <i>39%</i>	108 81%za e	abc -	592 100%zg	51 <i>8%</i>	542 87%zi	256 <i>34%</i>	132 64%zk		78 78%zk	105 <i>23%</i>	478 63%zo	136 <i>19%</i>	456 87%zq
NET knowledgeable	44 4%	96 31%zb	42 f 9%z	24 34%zb	21 22%z b	-83 <i>-63%</i>	637 100%z h	-592 -100%	506 83%zj	-461 <i>-74%</i>	246 32%zlm n	-57 <i>-28%</i>	-72 -49%	-56 <i>-55%</i>	247 54%zp	-194 -25%	431 61%zr	-387 <i>-73%</i>
Don't know	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 24 CUO7_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] A great deal	107 9%ef	60 14%zb	31 <i>7</i> %	67 13%zde	33 8%e	7 2%	38 <i>5%</i>	67 15%zf	26 <i>7</i> %	80 10%
[2] A fair amount	530 43%e	210 50%zb	171 <i>39</i> %	289 54%zde	197 46%e	45 16%	303 <i>42%</i>	222 50%zf	174 <i>49%</i>	355 <i>43</i> %
[3] Not very much	452 37%a	129 cg <i>31%</i>	183 42%za	153 <i>2</i> 9%	172 41%c	127 46%zc	307 43%zg	115 <i>26%</i>	128 <i>36%</i>	312 <i>38%</i>
[4] Nothing at all	140 11%a	23 cdfi <i>6</i> %	54 12%a	23 4%	23 5%	95 35%zcd	65 <i>9</i> %	40 <i>9</i> %	29 <i>8</i> %	74 9%
MEAN	2.51acg	2.27	2.60za	2.25	2.44c	3.14zcd	2.56zg	2.29	2.45	2.46
Know a great deal/ fair amount	637 52%b	270 ef 64%zb	201 <i>46%</i>	356 67%zde	229 54%e	51 19%	341 <i>48%</i>	289 65%zf	200 <i>56%</i>	435 <i>53%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	592 48%ad	153 eg <i>36%</i>	238 54%za	175 <i>33%</i>	195 46%c	222 81%zcd	372 52%zg	155 <i>35%</i>	157 44%	386 47%
NET knowledgeable	44 4%bf	117 28%zb	-37 <i>-8%</i>	181 34%zde	34 8%z	-171 <i>-62%</i>	-31 <i>-4%</i>	134 30%zf	43 12%zi	49 6%z
Don't know	1	-	-		-	1	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 25
CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	DICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	S DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPERI	DLITICAL MORE
			Disagre	Active social media	Non- active social media	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	e (b)	user (c)	user (d)	nt) (e)	nt) (f)	nt) (g)	Agree (h)	e (i)	Agree (i)	e (k)	Agree (I)	e (m)	Agree (n)	e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] A great deal	107 <i>9%</i>	69 11%z	26 <i>8</i> %	53 20%zd	24 8%	33 13%z	18 <i>14%</i>	55 <i>9</i> %	71 11%z	17 11%	48 10%	25 9%	68 11%z	21 14%z	62 11%z	23 13%
[2] A fair amount	530 <i>43%</i>	306 50%z	137 <i>43</i> %	148 57%z	143 52%z	142 54%z	66 51%	321 55%z	319 47%z	67 44%	228 47%	141 53%z	273 45%	74 48%	276 49%z	71 41%
[3] Not very much	452 37%ceg	209 ym <i>34%</i>	113 <i>36</i> %	54 20%	101 36%c	79 <i>30%</i>	41 <i>32</i> %	183 <i>31%</i>	241 <i>36</i> %	53 <i>35</i> %	184 <i>38%</i>	82 31%	222 37%	41 <i>27</i> %	189 <i>34%</i>	68 <i>39%</i>
[4] Nothing at all	140 11%acc ghjkln	26 def 4%	42 13%a	7 3%	10 <i>4%</i>	7 3%	5 <i>3</i> %	24 4%	41 <i>6</i> %	15 10%	29 <i>6</i> %	20 <i>7</i> %	41 <i>7</i> %	17 11%	33 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>7</i> %
MEAN	2.51acd efghjkl mn	2.31	2.54a	2.06	2.35c	2.23	2.25	2.30	2.38	2.44	2.40	2.36	2.39	2.35	2.34	2.40
Know a great deal/ fair amount	637 <i>52%</i>	375 61%zb	162 <i>51%</i>	202 77%zd	167 60%z	175 67%z	84 65%z	376 65%z	390 58%z	84 <i>55%</i>	276 56%z	165 62%z	341 56%z	95 62%z	338 60%z	94 <i>54%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	592 48%acc fghjklm n	235 de <i>39%</i>	155 49%a	61 <i>23</i> %	111 40%c	86 <i>33</i> %	46 <i>35</i> %	207 <i>3</i> 5%	282 <i>42</i> %	68 <i>45</i> %	213 44%	102 <i>38%</i>	264 44%	58 <i>38%</i>	222 40%	80 <i>46%</i>
NET knowledgeable	44 <i>4</i> %	140 23%zb	7 2%	141 54%zd	56 20%z	89 34%z	38 30%z	169 29%z	108 16%z	16 10%z	62 13%z	63 24%zj	78 13%z	37 24%zl	116 21%zo	14 8%z
Don't know	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - z/a/b - z/c/d - z/e1/g - z/h/i - z/j/k - z/i/m - z/n/o Overlap formulae used. * small base 11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 26
CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	DER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] A great deal	84 7%boj	59 p 10%zb	25 4%	8 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>5</i> %	14 <i>7</i> %	18 <i>8%</i>	13 <i>7</i> %	22 <i>8</i> %	17 <i>5</i> %	32 <i>8</i> %	34 <i>7</i> %	46 14%znop	28 8%op	5 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	48 7%	36 <i>7</i> %	22 <i>6</i> %	62 7%
[2] A fair amount	523 43%cdj p	263 o 44%	260 41%	45 <i>32</i> %	67 <i>32</i> %	73 <i>37</i> %	105 48%cd	95 53%zcde	137 49%zcd	112 e <i>32</i> %	178 42%j	233 51%zjk	196 59%znop	158 46%op	74 29%	96 <i>31%</i>	306 <i>43%</i>	217 <i>42</i> %	135 <i>39%</i>	389 44%
[3] Not very much	467 38%lm	217 <i>36%</i>	249 <i>40%</i>	59 <i>42%</i>	95 45%f	90 45%f	67 <i>30%</i>	59 <i>33%</i>	97 <i>35%</i>	154 44%zl	157 <i>37</i> %	156 <i>34%</i>	78 <i>2</i> 4%	123 36%m	126 50%zmn	140 46%zm	284 nn <i>40%</i>	183 <i>36%</i>	135 <i>39%</i>	332 <i>38%</i>
[4] Nothing at all	155 13%ahi mt	60 10%	95 15%za	29 20%zhi	39 19%zhi	23 11%	30 14%	12 <i>7</i> %	22 8%	68 19%zkl	53 13%l	34 <i>7</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	33 10%m	49 19%zmn	64 21%zm	80 nn <i>11%</i>	75 15%	57 16%t	98 11%
MEAN	2.56ahi Imnt	2.47	2.66za	2.77zfh i	2.78zfh i	2.60hi	2.50	2.39	2.43	2.77zkl	2.551	2.42	2.16	2.47m	2.86zmn	2.86zmn	2.55	2.58	2.65	2.53
Know a great deal/ fair amount	607 49%bcc op	322 dj 54%zb	286 0 45%	53 <i>38%</i>	77 36%	88 <i>44%</i>	123 56%cd	108 60%zcde	159 57%zcde	130 e <i>37</i> %	211 50%j	267 58%zjk	241 73%znop	186 54%op	79 31%	102 <i>33%</i>	354 <i>49%</i>	253 49%	157 <i>45%</i>	450 <i>51%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	622 51%ahi m	278 il 46%	344 55%za	88 62%zfhi	135 64%zfhi	112 56%hi	97 44%	71 40%	119 <i>43%</i>	222 63%zkl	210 50%l	190 <i>42%</i>	88 <i>27%</i>	157 46%m	175 69%zmn	203 67%zm	365 nn <i>51%</i>	258 <i>50%</i>	192 <i>55%</i>	430 49%
NET knowledgeable	-15 -1%	44 7%z	-59 <i>-9%</i>	-35 <i>-25%</i>	-58 <i>-27%</i>	-25 -12%	26 12%ze	37 21%zcef	39 14%zce	-93 <i>-26%</i>	1 *	77 17%zk	154 47%znop	29 8%z	-96 <i>-38%</i>	-102 <i>-33%</i>	-10 -1%	-5 -1%	-34 -10%	20 2%z
Don't know	1 1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 27

CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES			TENU	IDE			GOVERN	IMENT C	EEICE I	DECION		ETHN	CITY		EDUCA	TION		ANIA	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	F	INTER	INEI			RENTED			GOVERN	IMENT	FFICE	TEGION		EIRIN	CITY	GCSE/	EDUCA	TION		ANI	UAL INC	JIVIE	NEADE	nonir
	TOTAL	YES	NO	OUT- RIGHT	BUYING MORT- GAGE	LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY	PRI- VATE	SCOT- LAND	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS		-ONDON	WHITE	BME	O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12	A-LVL OR EQUIV	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£11500 - £24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY	POPULAR
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(n)	(1)	(J)	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] A great deal	84 7%r	82 <i>7</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	42 10%ze	23 6%	6 4%	12 <i>4</i> %	7 7%	2 4%	14 <i>5</i> %	26 <i>8</i> %	20 7%	15 <i>9</i> %	77 7%	6 4%	14 <i>5</i> %	15 7%r	47 14%z	5 op <i>2</i> %	7 5%	12 <i>6</i> %	52 11%z	24 22%zw	10 <i>4%</i>
[2] A fair amount	523 43%ef hnors	483 <i>43%</i>	40 <i>39</i> %	207 49%zei	174 49%ze	42 f 26%	94 <i>34</i> %	46 43%h	16 27%	117 40%	134 42%h	131 47%h	79 47%h	474 44%	49 <i>35</i> %	97 <i>33</i> %	103 44%or	198 59%z pr	93 o <i>33%</i>	51 <i>33%</i>	75 38%	258 53%zs	62 t 56%z	123 53%z
[3] Not very much	467 38%cq uv	419 <i>37</i> %	48 <i>47</i> %	139 <i>33</i> %	138 <i>38%</i>	78 48%zc	109 <i>39%</i>	36 <i>34</i> %	27 45%	115 <i>39%</i>	126 <i>39%</i>	104 <i>37</i> %	58 <i>35%</i>	408 <i>37</i> %	59 <i>42</i> %	141 48%z	92 q 39%q	76 <i>23%</i>	123 43%q	68 45%u	77 39%	156 <i>32</i> %	20 18%	79 34%v
[4] Nothing at all	155 13%cd quv	142 <i>13%</i>	13 <i>12</i> %	32 <i>8</i> %	24 <i>7</i> %	37 23%zcd	62 22%zc d	17 : 16%	15 24%zj kl	50 17%zk I	34 11%	26 9%	14 <i>8</i> %	128 <i>12</i> %	27 19%zr	43 n 15%q	24 10%q	13 4%	63 22%z	27 pq 17%u	32 16%u	23 <i>5</i> %	4 4%	21 <i>9</i> %
MEAN	2.56c dquv	2.55	2.69	2.38	2.45	2.90zc d	2.80z cd	2.59	2.89z gjkl	2.68z jkl	2.53	2.48	2.43	2.54	2.76z m	2.72z pq	2.53q	2.16	2.86zp q	2.75zu	2.66u	2.31	2.04	2.47v
Know a great deal/ fair amount	607 49%ef hnors	565 <i>50%</i>	42 41%	249 59%zei	197 55%ef	48 29%	106 <i>38%</i>	53 50%h	19 <i>31%</i>	130 44%h	160 50%h	152 54%h	93 56%hi	551 51%n	55 <i>39</i> %	111 <i>38</i> %	118 50%or	245 73%z pr	98 o <i>35%</i>	58 <i>38%</i>	87 44%	310 63%zs	86 t 78%zw	133 57%z
Know not very much/nothing at all	622 51%cq uvw	561 <i>50%</i>	61 <i>59%</i>	171 <i>41%</i>	162 <i>45%</i>	115 71%zcd	171 61%zo d	53 50%	42 69%zg ijkl	165 56%l	160 <i>50%</i>	130 <i>46%</i>	72 44%	536 49%	86 61%zr	185 n 62%z q	116 o 50%q	89 <i>27</i> %	186 65%z	95 pq 62%zi	110 u 56%u	179 <i>37</i> %	24 22%	99 43%v
NET knowledgeable	-15 -1%	4	-19 <i>-18</i> %	78 19%zde f	35 e 10%z	-67 -41%	-64 <i>-23%</i>	*	-23 <i>-39%</i>	-35 <i>-12%</i>	*	22 8%zg	21 j 13%zg	15 j 1%z	-31 <i>-22</i> %	-73 <i>-25%</i>	2 1%	156 47%z opr	-88 <i>-31%</i>	-37 <i>-24%</i>	-23 -11%	131 27%zs	62 t 56%zw	33 14%z
Don't know	1	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 1%z	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 28
CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		P	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT				KNOWLEI UK POL		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] A great deal	84 7%fh pr	22 lm 7%f	40 9%f	11 15%zf	8 8%f	1 1%	84 13%zh	-	84 14%zj	-	79 10%zlm	3 n 1%	1 *	1 1%	56 12%zp	28 4%	82 12%zr	2
[2] A fair amount	523 43%fh npr	171 m 55%zb	201 of 45%f	37 53%f	50 52%f	17 13%	473 74%zh	51 <i>9</i> %	523 86%zj	-	408 54%zlm	75 n 36%mr	26 n <i>18%</i>	15 15%	294 64%zp	229 <i>30%</i>	455 65%zr	68 13%
[3] Not very much	467 38%eg oq	110 jik <i>35%</i>	163 <i>36%</i>	19 <i>28%</i>	26 <i>27%</i>	60 45%e	78 12%	389 66%zg	-	467 75%zi	229 <i>30%</i>	109 53%zk	83 57%zk	42 41%	95 <i>21%</i>	372 49%zo	161 <i>23%</i>	306 58%zq
[4] Nothing at all	155 13%ag q	10 iko <i>3</i> %	44 10%a	3 4%	13 13%a	54 41%za e	2 abc *	153 26%zg	-	155 25%zi	42 <i>6</i> %	20 10%	37 25%zkl	43 43%zk	12 lm <i>3</i> %	134 18%zo	6 1%	149 28%zq
MEAN	2.56abc gikoq	2.34	2.47c	2.21	2.45	3.26za bce	2.00	3.17zg	1.86	3.25zi	2.31	2.70zk	3.07zkl	3.25zkl	2.14	2.80zo	2.13	3.15zq
Know a great deal/ fair amount	607 49%fhj mnpr	194 I 62%zf	241 54%f	48 68%zf	58 60%zf	19 <i>14</i> %	557 87%zh	51 <i>9</i> %	607 100%zj	-	487 64%zlm	78 n 38%mr	26 n <i>18%</i>	16 <i>16</i> %	349 77%zp	257 <i>3</i> 4%	537 76%zr	70 13%
Know not very much/nothing at all	622 51%ad koq	120 gi <i>38</i> %	207 46%	23 <i>32</i> %	39 40%	114 86%za ce	80 ab <i>13</i> %	542 91%zg	-	622 100%zi	272 36%	128 62%zk	120 82%zkl	84 84%zk	107 I <i>23%</i>	505 66%zo	166 <i>24%</i>	456 87%zq
NET knowledgeable	-15 <i>-1%</i>	74 24%zb	34 7%z	25 36%zb	19 20%z b	-95 <i>-72%</i>	476 75%z	-491 <i>-83%</i>	607 100%z	-622 -100%	215 28%zlm n	-51 <i>-25%</i>	-94 -64%	-68 -68%	243 53%z	-248 <i>-33%</i>	371 53%z	-385 <i>-73%</i>
Don't know	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 29
CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] A great deal	84 7%et	46 11%zb	27 <i>6</i> %	53 10%ze	27 6%e	4 2%	25 3%	59 13%zf	24 7%	60 <i>7</i> %
[2] A fair amount	523 43%b	211 ef 50%zb	166 <i>38%</i>	289 54%zde	192 45%e	43 16%	282 40%	234 53%zf	172 48%	352 <i>43%</i>
[3] Not very much	467 38%c	149 35%	181 <i>41%</i>	172 <i>32</i> %	167 <i>39</i> %	127 47%zc	334 47%zg	103 <i>23%</i>	134 <i>37</i> %	321 <i>39%</i>
[4] Nothing at all	155 13%a	17 cdfhi 4%	65 15%a	18 <i>3</i> %	39 9%c	99 36%zcd	72 10%	47 11%	27 8%	89 11%
MEAN	2.56acg	h 2.32	2.65za	2.29	2.51c	3.18zcd	2.64zg	2.31	2.46	2.54
Know a great deal/ fair amount	607 49%b	257 ef 61%zb	192 <i>44%</i>	342 64%zde	219 52%e	47 17%	307 <i>43%</i>	293 66%zf	196 <i>55%</i>	411 <i>50%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	622 51%a	165 cg <i>39%</i>	246 56%za	190 <i>36%</i>	206 48%c	226 83%zcd	406 57%zg	151 <i>3</i> 4%	161 <i>45%</i>	410 <i>50%</i>
NET knowledgeable	-15 <i>-1%</i>	92 22%zb	-54 -12%	152 29%zd	13 <i>3</i> %	-179 <i>-66%</i>	-99 -14%	143 32%zf	35 10%zi	1 *
Don't know	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

- --8-- -

11 Jan 2018

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 30
CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIVI SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLU	_	SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	S DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
			Disagre	Active social media	Non- active social media	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	e (b)	user (c)	user (d)	nt) (e)	nt) (f)	nt) (a)	Agree (h)	e (i)	Agree (i)	e (k)	Agree (I)	e (m)	Agree (n)	e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] A great deal	84 <i>7</i> %	52 <i>8</i> %	20 <i>6</i> %	48 18%zd	18 <i>6</i> %	29 11%z	19 15%z	52 9%z	63 9%z	9 <i>6</i> %	43 <i>9</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	60 10%z	9 <i>6</i> %	57 10%z	16 <i>9</i> %
[2] A fair amount	523 <i>43</i> %	312 51%zb	130 <i>41%</i>	151 57%z	143 51%z	145 56%z	57 44%	301 52%z	318 47%z	70 46%	219 <i>45%</i>	141 53%z	272 45%	82 54%z	275 49%z	75 <i>43%</i>
[3] Not very much	467 38%ce n	214 km <i>35%</i>	127 40%	55 <i>21%</i>	96 34%c	79 <i>30%</i>	45 <i>34</i> %	205 <i>35%</i>	241 <i>36</i> %	53 <i>35</i> %	197 40%k	82 31%	223 <i>37</i> %	42 28%	190 <i>34%</i>	69 40%
[4] Nothing at all	155 13%ac hjln	32 deg <i>5%</i>	42 13%a	9 <i>3</i> %	21 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>7%</i>	25 <i>4</i> %	51 <i>8%</i>	19 <i>12%</i>	30 <i>6%</i>	27 10%	49 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>13%</i>	38 <i>7</i> %	14 <i>8%</i>
MEAN	2.56acd efghjkl n	2.37	2.60a	2.10	2.43c	2.25	2.35	2.35e	2.41	2.53	2.44	2.44	2.43	2.47	2.37	2.46
Know a great deal/ fair amount	607 <i>49%</i>	364 60%zb	150 <i>47</i> %	198 76%zd	161 58%z	175 67%zg	76 58%	353 61%z	381 57%z	80 <i>53%</i>	262 54%z	159 59%z	333 55%z	91 60%z	332 59%z	91 <i>52%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	622 51%ac ghjklmn	246 de <i>40%</i>	168 53%a	64 <i>2</i> 4%	117 42%c	86 <i>33%</i>	54 <i>42</i> %	230 39%e	291 <i>43%</i>	72 47%	227 46%	109 <i>41%</i>	272 45%	62 40%	228 41%	83 48%
NET knowledgeable	-15 <i>-1%</i>	117 19%zb	-18 -6%	134 51%zd	44 16%z	88 34%zfg	21 17%z	123 21%z	90 13%zi	8 <i>5</i> %	35 7%z	50 19%zj	60 10%z	30 19%zl	104 19%zo	8 <i>5</i> %
Don't know	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 31
CU07 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about.... - Summary Table
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	Politics (a)	The UK Parliament (b)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230
Effective Base	813	813
[1] A great deal	107 9%b	84 7%
[2] A fair amount	530 <i>43%</i>	523 <i>43%</i>
[3] Not very much	452 <i>37</i> %	467 <i>38%</i>
[4] Nothing at all	140 11%	155 <i>13%</i>
MEAN	2.51	2.56a
Know a great deal/ fair amount	637 52%b	607 <i>49%</i>
Know not very much/nothing at all	592 <i>48%</i>	622 51%a
NET knowledgeable	44 <i>4</i> %	-15 -1%
Don't know	1	1

4%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 32 CU08 - Which of these statements best describes your opinion on the present system of governing Britain? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

8%fhi

4%

CHILDREN IN GENDER AGE AGE SOCIAL GRADE WORKING STATUS HOUSEHOLD WOR-WOR-TOTAL FEMALE 55+ AB C1 DE YES NO MALE 18-24 25-34 35-44 55-64 65+ 18-34 35-54 C2 KING KING 45-54 (b) (d) (e) (h) (m) (0) (q) (t) (a) (f) (q) (r) (s) 274 654 625 605 161 199 352 327 352 551 344 387 225 576 314 916 1230 166 172 180 630 178 421 457 305 719 511 881 1230 600 141 212 201 220 278 352 329 342 253 349 813 382 432 113 112 128 126 129 229 215 253 356 231 255 155 179 413 429 221 592 12 10 3 3 2 5 5 6 5 10 11 20 8 2 5 9 2% 2% 1% 2% 2% 1% 2% 1% 2% 2% 2% 2% 1% 2% 3%n 1% 2% 3% 1% 337 176 161 53 142 103 135 259 36 63 42 53 89 99 95 117 52 66 202 78 26% 28% 26% 30% 21% 24% 30% 35%zop 30%op 21% 21% 27%kops 29% 32% 28% 23% 31%zl 26% 22% 29%79 465 213 252 61 74 92 78 57 103 135 171 159 122 132 103 109 285 180 152 313 43% 35% 40% 43%zt 38% 36% 40% 46%zh 36% 32% 37% 38% 41% 35% 37% 38% 41% 36% 35% 36% 356 176 180 30 53 80 63 74 136 137 85 93 78 100 194 162 92 264 29% 29% 29% 21% 25% 28% 36%zc 36%c 27% 24% 32%i 30% 26% 27% 31% 33% 27% 32% 26% 30% 2.98m 2.96 3.00 2.91 2.91 3.05 3.08 3.03 2.91 2.91 3.06j 2.96 2.89 2.94 3.07m 3.06 2.96 3.02 2.99 2.98 357 188 169 66 58 94 105 102 149 118 107 57 212 145 270 28% 31% 22% 26% 31% 30% 24% 36%zop 31%0 22% 25% 25% 29%ko 31% 27% 34% 33%z 30% 28% 31% 821 389 432 91 127 148 158 120 176 218 307 297 207 225 181 208 479 342 244 577 65% 60% 74%di 73%zjl 68% 67% 65% 69% 72% 67% 65% 63% 66% 71% 67% 70% 66% 63% 62% 67% great deal [NET] Don't know 52 23 29 29 12 11 10 16 22 27 24 19 33

8%zkl

6%m

Unweighted Base Weighted Base Effective Base [1] Works extremely well and could not be improved [2] Could be improved in small ways but mainly works well [3] Could be improved quite a [4] Needs a great deal of improvement MEAN Cannot be improved / can be improved slightly [NET] Can be improved quite a lot / a

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 33

		ACCE			TENURE																		DAILY NEV	
	l l	INTE	RNET					(OVERN	IMENT C	FFICE F	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC/	NOITA		ANN	UAL INCO)ME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	AUTH-	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH LO	ONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Works extremely well and could not be improved	20 2%qu	17 ı <i>2</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	5 1%	5 1%	4 2%	6 <i>2</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	5 1%	2 1%	5 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>2</i> %	4 3%	8 3%q	5 <i>2</i> %	1 *	2 1%	7 4%zu	5 <i>3</i> %	2	2 2%	5 2%
[2] Could be improved in small ways but mainly works well	337 27%eç is	305 1 <i>27</i> %	32 31%	138 33%ze	98 27%e	25 15%	76 27%e	14 13%	13 21%	61 <i>21%</i>	85 27%g	102 36%zgh i	62 37%zg hi	295 1 27%	42 30%	78 26%	60 <i>26%</i>	99 <i>30%</i>	75 26%	27 18%	42 21%	167 34%zs	37 t 34%	92 40%z
[3] Could be improved quite a lot	465 38%ev	428 38%	38 <i>37%</i>	150 <i>36%</i>	150 42%e	49 30%	111 40%	51 48%zk I	28 46%l	114 <i>39%</i>	122 <i>38%</i>	101 <i>36%</i>	49 29%	420 39%	44 31%	104 <i>35%</i>	95 41%	141 <i>42%</i>	103 <i>36%</i>	62 40%	76 39%	181 <i>37</i> %	30 <i>27%</i>	80 <i>35%</i>
[4] Needs a great deal of improvement	356 29%fw	327 29%	29 <i>28%</i>	116 <i>28%</i>	99 <i>28%</i>	76 47%zcd f	60 <i>22%</i>	35 <i>33%</i>	18 <i>30%</i>	103 35%zk	91 <i>29%</i>	67 24%	43 26%	318 <i>29%</i>	37 27%	93 <i>31%</i>	65 <i>28%</i>	88 <i>26%</i>	82 <i>29%</i>	52 <i>34%</i>	67 <i>34%</i>	135 <i>28%</i>	41 37%w	50 21%
MEAN	2.98k lw	2.99	2.92	2.92	2.97	3.29zc df	2.89	3.18z jkl	3.06	3.10z kl	2.99	2.86	2.81	2.99	2.91	2.99	2.98	2.96	3.01	3.08	3.08	2.92	3.00w	2.77
Cannot be improved / can be improved slightly [NET]	357 29%eç i	323 1 29%	34 <i>33</i> %	143 34%ze	104 29%e	28 17%	82 29%e	15 14%	14 23%	67 <i>23%</i>	89 28%g	104 37%zgh i	67 41%zç hij	311 29%	46 32%	86 <i>29%</i>	65 28%	100 <i>30%</i>	77 27%	34 22%	47 24%	170 35%zs	39 t <i>36%</i>	97 42%z
Can be improved quite a lot / a great deal [NET]	821 67%ln w	755 <i>67%</i>	67 <i>65%</i>	267 <i>63%</i>	249 <i>69%</i>	125 77%zcf	171 <i>61%</i>	86 81%zj kl	46 76%kl	217 73%zk I	213 <i>67</i> %	168 <i>60%</i>	91 <i>55</i> %	738 68%n	81 <i>58%</i>	197 <i>66%</i>	161 <i>69%</i>	229 <i>69%</i>	185 <i>65%</i>	114 <i>7</i> 4%	143 <i>73%</i>	315 <i>65%</i>	71 <i>64%</i>	130 <i>56%</i>
Don't know	52 4%co quv	50 Im 4%	2 2%	10 <i>2</i> %	6 2%	9 6%d	25 9%zc	5 d <i>5</i> %	1 1%	12 4%	17 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	7 4%	38 <i>3</i> %	14 10%z	13 m 4%q	8 4%	4 1%	22 8%z	6 q 4%u	7 4%u	3 1%	-	5 <i>2</i> %

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 34

		D.C	N ITICAL	PARTY SU	IDDORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)			NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Works extremely well and could not be improved	20 2%g	5 iq <i>2</i> %	7 2%	-	2 2%	4 3%	5 1%	15 <i>3</i> %	5 1%	15 <i>2</i> %	9 1%	3 1%	4 3%	4 4%k	8 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	7 1%	13 <i>3</i> %
[2] Could be improved in small ways but mainly works well	337 27%b	154 ejn 49%zb ef	87 oc <i>20%</i>	17 <i>24%</i>	12 12%	27 21%	195 31%zh	142 <i>2</i> 4%	191 31%zj	146 <i>23%</i>	216 28%n	61 30%n	39 <i>2</i> 7%	15 15%	137 <i>30%</i>	199 <i>26%</i>	211 30%z	126 <i>2</i> 4%
[3] Could be improved quite a lot	465 <i>38%</i>	105 <i>33%</i>	184 <i>41%</i>	32 45%	39 40%	41 31%	243 <i>38%</i>	222 37%	225 <i>37</i> %	241 39%	283 <i>37</i> %	92 <i>45%</i>	54 37%	35 <i>35</i> %	162 <i>36%</i>	303 <i>40%</i>	280 <i>40%</i>	186 <i>35%</i>
[4] Needs a great deal of improvement	356 29%a	48 I 15%	156 35%za	20 29%a	44 45%za	43 a 32%a	192 <i>30%</i>	164 <i>28%</i>	187 <i>31%</i>	169 <i>27</i> %	234 31%l	45 22%	46 <i>32%</i>	31 <i>30</i> %	143 <i>31%</i>	213 <i>28%</i>	201 <i>2</i> 9%	155 <i>2</i> 9%
MEAN	2.98a	2.63	3.12za	3.05a	3.29z a	3.07a	2.98	2.99	2.98	2.99	3.00	2.89	2.99	3.08	2.98	2.99	2.97	3.01
Cannot be improved / can be improved slightly [NET]	357 29%b	159 e 51%zb ef	95 oc <i>21%</i>	17 24%	14 14%	31 <i>23%</i>	200 <i>31%</i>	157 <i>26%</i>	196 32%zj	161 <i>26%</i>	225 30%	64 31%	43 30%	19 19%	146 <i>32%</i>	210 <i>28%</i>	218 <i>31%</i>	139 <i>26%</i>
Can be improved quite a lot / a great deal [NET]	821 67%a	153 <i>49</i> %	340 76%za	52 of 74%a	83 85%za f	84 a 63%a	435 68%	386 <i>65%</i>	411 <i>68%</i>	410 <i>66%</i>	517 <i>68%</i>	137 <i>67</i> %	100 <i>69%</i>	65 <i>65</i> %	305 <i>67</i> %	516 <i>68%</i>	480 <i>68%</i>	341 <i>65%</i>
Don't know	52 4%a	2 giko 1%	13 <i>3</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	*	18 13%z	2 abc *	49 8%zg	-	51 8%zi	17 <i>2</i> %	4 2%	2 <i>2</i> %	16 16%zk	5 lm 1%	36 5%o	5 1%	46 9%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 35

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH	OLITICS, THEY				IMPORTANT	QUESTIONS	SATISFACTION	WITH PRESENT
		THE UK		HOM AO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	DETERMINED BY		SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Works extremely well and could not be improved	20 2%i	8 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	5 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	11 1%	7 2%	20 6%zi	-
[2] Could be improved in small ways but mainly works well	337 27%b	146 34%zb	86 <i>20%</i>	142 27%	126 <i>30%</i>	69 <i>25%</i>	204 <i>2</i> 9%	120 <i>2</i> 7%	337 94%zi	-
[3] Could be improved quite a lot	465 38%h	155 <i>37</i> %	177 40%	224 42%zd	140 <i>33%</i>	101 <i>37</i> %	258 <i>36%</i>	187 42%z	- -	465 57%zh
[4] Needs a great deal of improvement	356 29%e	109 h <i>26%</i>	163 37%za	153 <i>2</i> 9%	142 34%ze	61 <i>22%</i>	224 31%	122 <i>28%</i>	- -	356 43%zh
MEAN	2.98ah	2.88	3.17za	3.00	3.00	2.90	3.00	2.97	1.94	3.43zh
Cannot be improved / can be improved slightly [NET]	357 29%b	154 36%zb	88 <i>20%</i>	146 <i>28%</i>	134 <i>32%</i>	77 28%	215 <i>30%</i>	127 <i>2</i> 9%	357 100%zi	-
Can be improved quite a lot / a great deal [NET]	821 67%e	265 h <i>63%</i>	340 77%za	377 71%ze	283 <i>67%</i>	162 <i>59%</i>	483 <i>68%</i>	310 <i>70%</i>	- -	821 100%zh
Don't know	52 4%a	5 bcdfghi 1%	11 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	36 13%zcd	16 <i>2%</i>	8 <i>2%</i>		- -

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 36

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	NCF	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	S DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Works extremely well and could not be improved	20 2%n	9 1%	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	3 1%	-	6 1%	8 1%	2 2%	5 1%	4 2%	6 1%	2 2%	3 1%	7 4%n
[2] Could be improved in small ways but mainly works well	337 27%	207 34%zb	73 <i>23</i> %	59 <i>22</i> %	93 34%zc	102 39%zfg	28 g <i>22</i> %	180 31%z	185 <i>28%</i>	35 <i>23%</i>	133 <i>27%</i>	77 29%	163 <i>27%</i>	37 24%	163 <i>29%</i>	42 24%
[3] Could be improved quite a lot	465 <i>38%</i>	235 <i>38%</i>	110 <i>35</i> %	105 40%	104 <i>38%</i>	94 <i>36%</i>	52 40%	226 <i>3</i> 9%	264 39%	57 38%	189 <i>39%</i>	101 <i>38%</i>	230 <i>38%</i>	59 <i>38%</i>	218 <i>39%</i>	60 <i>34%</i>
[4] Needs a great deal of improvement	356 29%a	156 e <i>26%</i>	121 38%za	96 37%zd	74 27%	59 <i>23%</i>	49 38%e	165 <i>28%</i>	204 <i>30%</i>	55 36%	152 <i>31%</i>	83 31%	195 32%z	53 <i>35%</i>	169 <i>30%</i>	63 <i>36%</i>
MEAN	2.98ae	2.89	3.13za	3.13zd	2.91	2.81	3.16zeg	2.95e	3.00	3.10	3.02	2.99	3.03	3.07	3.00	3.05
Cannot be improved / can be improved slightly [NET]	357 29%c	216 35%zb	77 24%	61 <i>23%</i>	96 34%c	105 40%zfg	28 g <i>22%</i>	186 32%f	193 <i>29%</i>	38 <i>25%</i>	139 <i>28%</i>	81 <i>30%</i>	169 <i>28%</i>	40 26%	165 <i>30%</i>	49 28%
Can be improved quite a lot / a great deal [NET]	821 67%e	391 <i>64%</i>	231 73%za	201 77%zd	178 <i>64%</i>	153 <i>59%</i>	100 77%zeç	391 67%e	468 69%z	112 <i>74</i> %	341 <i>70%</i>	184 <i>69%</i>	425 70%z	111 <i>73</i> %	387 <i>69%</i>	123 <i>71%</i>
Don't know	52 4%a hjkln	4 cdeg 1%	10 3%a	1 *	3 1%	3 1%	1 1%	6 1%	12 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	9 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	10 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	7 1%	3 2%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 37

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	73	42	31	18	12	12	15	6	10	30	27	16	15	25	9	25	37	36	23	49
	6%il	7%	<i>5%</i>	13%zhi	<i>6%</i>	<i>6</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>4%</i>	<i>3</i> %	9%l	6%	<i>3</i> %	<i>4%</i>	<i>7</i> %	<i>3%</i>	<i>8</i> %	<i>5%</i>	7%	7%	<i>6%</i>
[2] Tend to agree	350	172	178	39	60	59	67	47	77	99	127	124	113	92	55	89	216	134	105	245
	28%o	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>28%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>30%</i>	31%	26%	28%	<i>28%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>27</i> %	34%zo	<i>27</i> %	22%	<i>29%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>28%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	355	149	206	45	64	53	61	54	78	109	114	132	88	103	79	86	197	158	97	258
	29%a	<i>25%</i>	33%za	<i>32</i> %	<i>30%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>28%</i>	30%	<i>28%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>27</i> %	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>27%</i>	<i>30%</i>	31%	<i>28%</i>	<i>27</i> %	<i>31%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%
[4] Tend to disagree	289	152	138	27	44	45	50	50	74	70	95	124	89	86	63	52	175	115	81	209
	24%p	<i>25%</i>	<i>22%</i>	19%	21%	22%	<i>23%</i>	28%	27%	<i>20%</i>	<i>23%</i>	27%z	27%p	25%p	<i>25%</i>	17%	<i>24%</i>	<i>22</i> %	<i>23%</i>	<i>24%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	150	77	72	11	24	30	25	20	39	35	55	59	25	34	45	46	85	65	38	111
	12%m	1 13%	11%	<i>8</i> %	12%	<i>15%</i>	11%	11%	14%	10%	13%	13%	<i>8%</i>	10%	18%zmn	15%m	12%	13%	11%	<i>13%</i>
MEAN	3.08cj	3.09	3.07	2.81	3.04	3.11c	3.01	3.17c	3.21zc	2.94	3.06	3.19zj	2.99	3.04	3.32zmn p	3.01	3.08	3.08	3.02	3.10
Agree	423	214	209	57	72	71	82	53	86	129	154	140	127	117	64	114	253	170	129	294
	34%lo	36%	<i>33%</i>	41%	34%	<i>36%</i>	<i>37%</i>	<i>30%</i>	31%	<i>37</i> %	<i>36%</i>	<i>31%</i>	39%o	<i>34%</i>	<i>25%</i>	37%o	<i>35%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>37</i> %	<i>33%</i>
Disagree	439	229	210	37	68	75	75	70	114	105	150	183	114	120	107	98	259	180	119	320
	36%c	<i>38%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>27%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>37%</i>	34%	39%c	41%c	<i>30%</i>	<i>36%</i>	40%zj	<i>3</i> 5%	<i>35%</i>	<i>42%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>36%</i>
NET Agree	-16	-15	-1	20	4	-3	7	-16	-27	24	3	-44	14	-3	-43	17	-6	-10	10	-26
	<i>-1%</i>	<i>-3%</i>	*	14%zde	efh 2%e	<i>-2%</i>	3%e	<i>-9%</i>	-10%	7%zk	1%	-10%	4%n	-1%	-17%	5%zr	-1%	<i>-2%</i>	<i>3</i> %	<i>-3%</i>
Don't know	14 1%	8	5	1	7 3%zi	2	1	2	:	9	3	2	:	3	3	7 2%m	9	4	5	9

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 38

		ACCES INTER			TEN	URE			GOVERN	IMENT (OFFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANNU	JAL INCO	ME	DAILY NE	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL I AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- _ANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	73 6%c	71 <i>6%</i>	2 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>3</i> %	23 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>7</i> %	25 9%c	9 <i>9</i> %	2 3%	25 <i>8%</i>	13 <i>4%</i>	10 <i>4%</i>	14 9%	57 <i>5</i> %	15 11%zı	11 m <i>4</i> %	14 <i>6</i> %	29 9%zo	15 5%	6 <i>4%</i>	13 <i>7</i> %	31 <i>6%</i>	10 <i>9</i> %	12 5%
[2] Tend to agree	350 28%	317 <i>28%</i>	33 <i>32</i> %	115 <i>27%</i>	112 31%	40 <i>25%</i>	76 27%	29 <i>27</i> %	13 21%	87 29%	83 <i>26%</i>	68 <i>24%</i>	70 42%zç hijk	308 28%	41 29%	76 <i>26%</i>	67 <i>29%</i>	97 <i>29%</i>	85 <i>30%</i>	43 28%	55 28%	147 30%	27 25%	67 29%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	355 <i>29%</i>	328 <i>29%</i>	27 <i>27</i> %	124 <i>30%</i>	97 <i>27%</i>	47 29%	87 31%	24 <i>23%</i>	17 29%	89 <i>30%</i>	101 <i>32</i> %	81 <i>29%</i>	43 <i>26%</i>	315 <i>2</i> 9%	39 <i>28%</i>	92 <i>31%</i>	69 <i>29%</i>	95 <i>28%</i>	80 <i>28%</i>	36 <i>23%</i>	57 29%	141 <i>2</i> 9%	27 24%	67 <i>2</i> 9%
[4] Tend to disagree	289 <i>24%</i>	264 <i>23%</i>	25 24%	105 <i>25%</i>	98 <i>27%</i>	31 19%	54 19%	25 24%	13 <i>22</i> %	60 <i>20%</i>	80 <i>25%</i>	83 30%il	27 16%	261 <i>24%</i>	28 <i>20%</i>	67 <i>23%</i>	61 <i>26%</i>	88 <i>26%</i>	55 19%	38 <i>25%</i>	39 <i>20%</i>	128 <i>26%</i>	40 36%z	58 <i>25%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	150 12%dl quv	135 <i>12</i> %	14 14%	62 15%d	27 8%	30 19%zdf	28 10%	18 17%l	16 26%zi jkl	35 12%l	38 12%l	35 13%l	8 <i>5</i> %	139 <i>13%</i>	11 <i>8</i> %	45 15%q	22 9%	24 7%	45 16%q	30 19%zu	31 16%u	42 8%	7 6%	27 12%
MEAN	3.08l nq	3.07	3.17	3.21zd f	2.99	3.18	2.94	3.131	3.47z ijl	2.981	3.151	3.24il	2.65	3.11n	2.84	3.20q	3.04	2.94	3.11	3.28zu	3.11	3.00	3.05	3.09
Agree	423 34%h	388 <i>34%</i>	35 <i>33%</i>	128 <i>31%</i>	134 <i>37</i> %	52 <i>32</i> %	102 <i>37</i> %	39 <i>36%</i>	15 24%	112 38%hk	95 <i>30%</i>	78 28%	85 51%zç hijk	365 34%	56 40%	88 <i>30%</i>	81 <i>34%</i>	126 <i>38%</i>	100 <i>35%</i>	49 <i>32%</i>	68 <i>35%</i>	178 <i>36%</i>	37 <i>34%</i>	79 <i>34</i> %
Disagree	439 36%ln	399 <i>35%</i>	39 <i>38%</i>	167 40%f	125 <i>35</i> %	61 <i>37</i> %	82 30%	44 41%l	29 48%zi I	95 32%l	118 37%l	119 42%l	34 21%	399 37%n	39 <i>28%</i>	112 <i>38</i> %	83 <i>35%</i>	112 <i>34</i> %	100 <i>35%</i>	67 44%	70 <i>36%</i>	169 <i>35%</i>	46 <i>42</i> %	86 <i>37</i> %
NET Agree	-16 -1%	-11 -1%	-5 -5%	-39 <i>-9%</i>	9 <i>2</i> %	-10 <i>-6%</i>	20 7%zo e	-5 - <i>5</i> %	-14 <i>-24</i> %	17 6%zg h	-23 -7%	-41 -14%	50 30%zg hijk	-34 ı <i>-3%</i>	17 12%z	-24 -8%	-2 -1%	14 4%pr	*	-19 <i>-12%</i>	-2 -1%	9 2%t	-9 -8%	-7 -3%
Don't know	14 1%cr	12 nq <i>1%</i>	2 2%	*	2 1%	4 2%c	7 3%c	1 1%	-	*	5 1%	4 1%	4 2%	7 1%	6 4%zı	4 m 1%	2 1%	*	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 39

		POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					KNOWLE		KNOWLE		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF	ORT		
		PO	DLITICAL	PARTY S	UPPORT		UK POL		PARLIA			ELEC	CTION		STRE	NGTH	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
		CONSER					KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING				CERTA -INLY			VERY/ FAIRLY	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL
	TOTAL	-VATIVE	LABOUR	LIB DEM	OTHER	NONE	AMOUNT	AT ALL	AMOUNT	AT ALL	CERTAIN	LIKELY	UNLIKELY	NOT	STRONG	WEAK	INTERESTED	INTERESTED
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	73 6%jp	14 4%	36 <i>8</i> %	1 1%	8 <i>8</i> %	9 <i>7%</i>	44 7%	29 <i>5</i> %	48 8%zj	25 4%	49 <i>7</i> %	11 <i>5</i> %	4 3%	7 6%	38 8%zp	35 <i>5%</i>	50 <i>7%</i>	23 4%
[2] Tend to agree	350 28%fh npr	91 jm 29%f	151 34%zf	26 37%f	27 28%f	17 13%	226 35%zh	124 <i>21%</i>	209 34%zj	141 <i>23%</i>	253 33%zmr	59 1 29%n	28 19%	9 <i>9</i> %	156 34%zp	194 <i>25%</i>	255 36%zr	95 18%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	355 29%eç	95 ji 30%e	122 27%e	23 33%e	12 13%	42 32%e	166 <i>26%</i>	189 <i>32%</i>	158 <i>26%</i>	197 <i>32%</i>	207 <i>27%</i>	65 <i>32%</i>	50 <i>34%</i>	26 <i>26</i> %	124 <i>27</i> %	226 <i>30%</i>	190 <i>27</i> %	165 <i>31%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	289 <i>24%</i>	87 28%f	102 <i>23%</i>	18 <i>25%</i>	31 32%f	21 <i>16</i> %	158 <i>25%</i>	132 <i>22%</i>	149 <i>24%</i>	141 <i>2</i> 3%	181 <i>24%</i>	51 <i>25%</i>	33 <i>23</i> %	20 <i>20%</i>	105 <i>2</i> 3%	185 <i>24%</i>	157 <i>22</i> %	132 <i>2</i> 5%
[5] Strongly disagree	150 12%bç q	26 jiko <i>8%</i>	33 <i>7</i> %	3 <i>4</i> %	18 19%ab c	36 27%za	44 abc 7%	106 18%zg	44 7%	106 17%zi	67 <i>9%</i>	19 <i>9</i> %	30 20%zkl	32 32%zk	34 I 7%	116 15%zo	51 7%	98 19%zq
MEAN	3.08bgi koq	3.07	2.88	2.95	3.25b	3.48za bc	2.89	3.28zg	2.89	3.27zi	2.95	3.04	3.39zkl	3.66zkl	2.87	3.20zo	2.86	3.37zq
Agree	423 34%fh npr	105 m 33%f	187 42%zf	27 38%f	35 36%f	25 19%	270 42%zh	153 <i>26%</i>	257 42%zj	165 <i>27%</i>	302 40%zmr	70 n 34%n	32 <i>22%</i>	16 <i>15%</i>	194 43%zp	229 <i>30%</i>	305 43%zr	118 <i>22</i> %
Disagree	439 36%bç oq	113 jik <i>36</i> %	135 <i>30%</i>	21 30%	49 51%za bc	57 43%b	201 <i>32</i> %	238 40%zg	192 <i>32%</i>	246 40%zi	248 33%	70 <i>34</i> %	63 <i>43%</i>	52 52%zk	138 1 <i>30%</i>	300 39%zo	208 <i>30%</i>	230 44%zq
NET Agree	-16 <i>-1%</i>	-8 -3%	52 12%za	6 ef <i>8</i> %	-14 -15%	-32 <i>-24%</i>	69 11%z	-85 -14%	65 11%z	-81 -13%	54 7%zlm	1 n *	-31 <i>-21%</i>	-37 -36%	55 12%z	-72 -9%	97 14%z	-113 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	14 1%gi	- Ka -	4 1%	-	-	8 6%78	- ahe -	13 2%za	-	13 2%zi	1	1 1%	2 1%	6 6%zk	-	8 1%	-	14 3%za

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 40

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	73 6%b	73 17%zb		40 8%e	24 <i>6</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	46 <i>6%</i>	27 6%	19 <i>5%</i>	54 7%
[2] Tend to agree	350 28%bi	350 83%zb	-	164 <i>31%</i>	122 <i>2</i> 9%	64 23%	216 <i>30%</i>	124 <i>28%</i>	135 38%zi	211 <i>26%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	355 29%al	- pi -		153 <i>29%</i>	121 <i>28%</i>	82 <i>30%</i>	201 <i>28%</i>	129 <i>2</i> 9%	114 <i>32</i> %	217 <i>26%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	289 24%a	-	289 66%za	141 <i>2</i> 7%	90 <i>21%</i>	58 21%	162 <i>23%</i>	116 <i>26%</i>	70 20%	216 26%z
[5] Strongly disagree	150 12%a	- ch -	150 34%za	34 <i>6</i> %	68 16%zc	48 18%zc	88 <i>12%</i>	47 11%	18 <i>5%</i>	124 15%zh
MEAN	3.08ach	1.83	4.34za	2.93	3.13c	3.28zc	3.04	3.07	2.81	3.18zh
Agree	423 34%b	423 ei 100%zb		204 38%ze	146 <i>34%</i>	72 26%	262 <i>37</i> %	151 <i>34%</i>	154 43%zi	265 <i>32%</i>
Disagree	439 36%al	- 1 -	439 100%za	175 <i>33%</i>	157 <i>37</i> %	106 <i>39%</i>	250 <i>35%</i>	163 <i>37</i> %	88 <i>25%</i>	340 41%zh
NET Agree	-16 <i>-1%</i>	423 100%z	-439 -100%	29 5%zd	-11 <i>-3%</i>	-34 -12%	11 <i>2</i> %	-12 <i>-3%</i>	65 18%z	-75 -9%
Don't know	14 1%cf	- i -	-	-	-	14 5%zcd	-	2	1	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	NCE	SOCIAL GIVES V PEO		SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEF VOTE	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPERI	MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	73 <i>6</i> %	36 <i>6%</i>	22 <i>7</i> %	22 8%	24 9%	23 <i>9%</i>	12 10%	37 <i>6</i> %	54 8%z	6 4%	40 8%z	17 <i>6</i> %	47 8%z	6 4%	47 8%z	7 4%
[2] Tend to agree	350 28%k	199 33%z	89 <i>28%</i>	93 36%z	105 38%z	76 <i>2</i> 9%	55 43%ze	195 34%z	204 30%i	31 <i>21%</i>	167 34%zk	54 20%	190 <i>31%</i>	37 24%	176 <i>31%</i>	46 <i>27</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	355 29%bff no	163 nl <i>27%</i>	69 <i>22%</i>	68 <i>26%</i>	69 <i>25%</i>	76 29%f	21 <i>16%</i>	168 29%f	168 <i>25%</i>	38 <i>25%</i>	128 <i>26%</i>	68 <i>26%</i>	152 <i>25%</i>	36 <i>24%</i>	143 <i>26%</i>	37 21%
[4] Tend to disagree	289 <i>2</i> 4%	165 27%z	74 23%	60 <i>23%</i>	63 <i>23%</i>	69 <i>27</i> %	34 26%	133 <i>23%</i>	163 <i>24%</i>	50 33%z	105 <i>21%</i>	82 31%zj	152 <i>25</i> %	45 29%	128 <i>2</i> 3%	58 34%zn
[5] Strongly disagree	150 12%ac g	46 def <i>8%</i>	63 20%za	19 <i>7%</i>	17 <i>6</i> %	17 <i>6%</i>	7 5%	50 <i>9%</i>	81 <i>12%</i>	27 17%	48 10%	46 17%zj	62 10%	29 19%zl	65 12%	25 14%
MEAN	3.08acd fgjln	2.98	3.21a	2.85	2.80	2.93	2.75	2.94	3.02	3.39zh	2.91	3.32zj	2.99	3.35zl	2.98	3.27zn
Agree	423 34%ik	235 39%z	111 <i>35</i> %	115 44%z	128 46%z	99 <i>38%</i>	68 52%zeg	232 40%z	258 38%zi	37 <i>2</i> 5%	207 42%zk	71 <i>27</i> %	237 39%zm	43 28%	222 40%z	54 31%
Disagree	439 36%cd	212 gj <i>3</i> 5%	137 43%za	78 <i>30%</i>	80 <i>29%</i>	86 <i>33</i> %	41 <i>32</i> %	183 <i>31%</i>	245 <i>36%</i>	76 50%zh	153 <i>31%</i>	128 48%zj	214 <i>3</i> 5%	74 48%zl	192 <i>34</i> %	83 48%zn
NET Agree	-16 <i>-1%</i>	24 4%z	-26 <i>-8%</i>	37 14%z	48 17%z	13 <i>5</i> %	27 21%zeg	49 3 8%ze	14 <i>2</i> %	-39 <i>-26%</i>	54 11%z	-56 <i>-21%</i>	24 4%z	-31 <i>-20%</i>	30 5%zo	-30 -17%
Don't know	14 1%ag	- hjl -	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	- :

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 42
CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	DER			AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	250 20%m	136 <i>23%</i>	114 <i>18</i> %	22 16%	39 18%	56 28%zci	49 <i>22%</i>	34 19%	49 18%	60 17%	106 25%zjl	84 18%	38 12%	74 22%m	55 22%m	82 27%zn	140 n <i>20%</i>	109 <i>21%</i>	75 21%	175 <i>20%</i>
[2] Partly agree	463 <i>38%</i>	220 <i>37</i> %	243 <i>3</i> 9%	67 48%zeh	84 40%	65 <i>32%</i>	83 <i>38%</i>	57 32%	106 <i>38%</i>	152 <i>43%</i>	149 <i>3</i> 5%	163 <i>36%</i>	110 <i>33%</i>	134 <i>39%</i>	103 <i>41%</i>	115 <i>38</i> %	272 38%	191 <i>37</i> %	134 <i>38%</i>	329 <i>37</i> %
[3] Partly disagree	252 20%pr	126 21%	126 <i>20%</i>	21 <i>15%</i>	42 20%	53 26%ci	41 19%	48 27%zci	47 17%	62 18%	94 22%	96 <i>21%</i>	92 28%zop	72 21%p	47 19%	41 13%	163 <i>23%</i>	89 17%	74 21%	177 <i>20%</i>
[4] Strongly disagree	193 16%ej	92 p <i>15%</i>	100 <i>16%</i>	15 11%	25 12%	15 <i>7</i> %	42 19%e	33 18%e	63 23%zc	40 de 11%	57 14%	96 21%zjk	85 26%znop	52 15%p	29 11%	27 9%	103 <i>14%</i>	89 17%	42 12%	151 <i>17</i> %
MEAN	2.33ep	2.30	2.36	2.23	2.28	2.14	2.35	2.46e	2.47zce	2.26	2.25	2.47zjk	2.69zno p	2.31p	2.21	2.04	2.34	2.33	2.26	2.37
Agree	713 58%lm	356 1 <i>59%</i>	357 <i>57%</i>	89 <i>64%</i>	123 <i>58%</i>	121 <i>60%</i>	133 <i>60%</i>	91 <i>51%</i>	155 <i>56%</i>	212 <i>60%</i>	254 <i>60%</i>	246 <i>54%</i>	149 <i>45%</i>	208 61%m	158 62%m	198 65%zn	412 n <i>57</i> %	300 <i>59%</i>	209 <i>60%</i>	504 <i>57%</i>
Disagree	444 36%cji	218 p <i>36%</i>	226 <i>36%</i>	36 <i>25%</i>	66 <i>31%</i>	67 <i>33%</i>	83 38%c	81 45%zcc	111 I 40%c	102 <i>2</i> 9%	150 <i>36%</i>	192 42%zj	177 54%znop	124 36%p	76 <i>30%</i>	68 <i>22%</i>	266 <i>37</i> %	178 <i>35%</i>	116 <i>33</i> %	328 <i>37</i> %
NET agree	269 22%hii t	137 lm <i>23%</i>	131 <i>21%</i>	54 38%zfhi	57 27%hi	54 27%hi	50 22%h	10 <i>6</i> %	45 16%h	110 31%zl	104 25%l	55 12%	-28 <i>-9%</i>	85 25%m	82 32%zm	130 43%zn	146 nn <i>20%</i>	122 <i>2</i> 4%	93 26%t	176 <i>20%</i>
Not sure what a referendum is	7 1%	2	6 1%	3 2%zi	2 1%	2 1%	-	:	-	5 1%l	2 1%	-	*	-	5 2%n	2 1%	4 1%	3 1%	3 1%	5 1%
Don't know	66 <u>5</u> %fm	24 nn <u>4%</u>	42 7%	12 9%f	21 10%zf	10 <i>5</i> %	4 2%	6 4%	12 <i>4</i> %	33 <u>9</u> %zkl	14 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>4%</i>	3 1%	11 <i>3</i> %	14 6%m	37 12%zn	36 nno <i>5%</i>	30 <i>6%</i>	21 <i>6%</i>	44 5%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 43
CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES																					DAILY NEV	
		INTER	NET		TENU				GOVERN	MENT O	FFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY	0005/	EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)		BUYING MORT-	AUTH-	ENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES N		MID- ANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	CSE/		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	250 20%qv	225 20%	25 <i>2</i> 4%	73 17%	76 21%	47 29%zcf	53 19%	17 16%	19 31%zg jk	74 25%zg k	62 19%	42 15%	36 <i>22</i> %	215 <i>20%</i>	35 25%	75 25%zq	42 18%	40 12%	73 26%q	38 <i>25%</i>	50 <i>26%</i>	94 19%	9 <i>8</i> %	52 22%v
[2] Partly agree	463 38%qv	420 <i>37</i> %	43 42%	155 <i>37%</i>	116 <i>32</i> %	69 <i>42%</i>	118 43%d	41 <i>38%</i>	22 36%	114 <i>3</i> 9%	108 <i>34%</i>	112 40%	66 40%	405 <i>37</i> %	57 41%	122 41%q	100 43%q	101 <i>30%</i>	103 <i>36%</i>	60 <i>39%</i>	71 <i>36%</i>	171 <i>35%</i>	27 24%	98 42%v
[3] Partly disagree	252 20%eo p	236 <i>21%</i>	16 16%	90 22%e	97 27%zef	19 <i>12%</i>	45 16%	25 <i>23</i> %	8 13%	62 <i>21%</i>	66 21%	60 <i>21%</i>	31 19%	231 <i>21%</i>	21 15%	45 15%	35 15%	109 33%zo pr	46 16%	27 18%	35 18%	121 25%z	32 29%zw	38 16%
[4] Strongly disagree	193 16%ei no	185 <i>16</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	88 21%zde f	50 14%	14 <i>9</i> %	36 13%	18 <i>17</i> %	6 10%	30 10%	53 16%	60 21%i	27 16%	178 <i>16%</i>	14 10%	31 11%	43 18%o	80 24%zo r	38 13%	18 12%	32 17%	93 19%z	42 38%zw	33 14%
MEAN	2.33b ehino	2.36z b	2.08	2.48ze f	2.36e	2.00	2.25e	2.44h i	2.01	2.17 i	2.38h	2.50zh i	2.30	2.36n	2.11	2.12	2.360	2.69z opr	2.18	2.18	2.26	2.45zs	2.98zw	2.24
Agree	713 58%qv	645 <i>57</i> %	68 <i>66</i> %	227 54%	192 <i>54%</i>	117 72%zcd	172 <i>62%</i>	58 <i>54%</i>	41 68%j	188 64%zj	170 <i>53%</i>	154 <i>55%</i>	102 <i>62</i> %	620 <i>57</i> %	92 <i>65</i> %	197 67%zq	142 61%q	142 <i>43</i> %	176 62%q	97 <i>64%</i>	122 <i>62</i> %	265 <i>5</i> 4%	35 <i>32%</i>	150 65%v
Disagree	444 36%be fhno	420 37%zb	24 23%	178 42%zet	147 41%ef	33 20%	81 <i>29%</i>	43 40%h	14 23%	92 <i>31%</i>	119 37%h	120 43%hi	57 35%	408 38%zr	35 n <i>25%</i>	77 26%	78 <i>33%</i>	189 57%zo pr	84 <i>29%</i>	45 29%	67 <i>3</i> 4%	214 44%zs	74 67%zw	71 31%
NET agree	269 22%ac dgjkm quv	225 20%	44 42%z	49 a <i>12</i> %	45 13%	83 51%zcd f	90 33%zc d	15 14%	28 45%zg ijkl	96 32%zg jk	51 16%	34 12%	45 27%gj k	212 19%	57 41%z	121 m 41%zp q	64 27%q	-47 -14%	93 33%z	53 34%zu	54 28%u	51 10%	-39 <i>-35%</i>	79 34%zv
Not sure what a referendum is	7 1%	7 1%	-	-	1	2 2%c	4 1%	-	1 2%ik	*	3 1%	-	3 <i>2</i> %	6 1%	1 1%	2 1%	*	-	2 1%	1 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	-	2 1%
Don't know	66 5%aq	54 u <i>5</i> %	11 11%z	15 a 4%	19 <i>5</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %	21 <i>8%</i>	7 6%	4 7%	15 <i>5</i> %	28 9%zk	8 I <i>3</i> %	4 2%	52 <i>5</i> %	13 9%z	20 m 7%q	13 6%q	2 1%	22 8%q	9 6%u	4 2%	8 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	10 <i>4%</i>

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 44

CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH	IOOD TO V	OTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	250 20%	70 <i>22%</i>	95 <i>21%</i>	7 10%	31 32%zb c	26 20%	130 <i>20%</i>	120 <i>20%</i>	116 <i>19%</i>	134 <i>22%</i>	169 <i>22%</i>	32 16%	30 <i>21%</i>	17 <i>17</i> %	97 <i>2</i> 1%	153 <i>20%</i>	140 <i>20%</i>	109 <i>21%</i>
[2] Partly agree	463 38%g	130 ikq <i>42%</i>	166 <i>37%</i>	25 36%	32 <i>33</i> %	39 <i>29%</i>	211 <i>33%</i>	252 43%zg	191 <i>31%</i>	272 44%zi	267 <i>35%</i>	95 46%zkr	68 1 47%kn	29 29%	162 <i>35%</i>	301 <i>39%</i>	243 <i>35%</i>	220 42%zq
[3] Partly disagree	252 20%h	56 jr <i>18</i> %	95 21%	18 <i>25%</i>	23 24%	28 <i>21%</i>	160 25%zh	91 <i>15</i> %	158 26%zj	94 15%	169 <i>22</i> %	37 18%	25 17%	20 20%	95 21%	157 <i>21%</i>	173 25%zr	78 15%
[4] Strongly disagree	193 16%h r	51 jlp <i>16%</i>	74 16%	19 27%ze f	10 10%	14 11%	129 20%zh	64 11%	136 22%zj	57 9%	142 19%zln	20 n <i>10%</i>	14 9%	14 14%	96 21%zp	96 13%	137 19%zr	56 11%
MEAN	2.33hjr	2.29	2.34	2.70z abef	2.13	2.28	2.46zh	2.19	2.52zj	2.13	2.38	2.24	2.16	2.38	2.42zp	2.28	2.44zr	2.17
Agree	713 58%g	200 inq 64%cf	261 58%	32 46%	63 65%cf	65 49%	341 <i>54%</i>	372 63%zg	307 <i>51%</i>	406 65%zi	436 <i>57%</i>	127 62%n	98 67%n	46 46%	258 <i>57%</i>	454 60%	383 <i>55%</i>	329 63%zq
Disagree	444 36%h r	107 jlp <i>34%</i>	169 <i>38</i> %	37 53%za f	34 <i>35%</i>	42 32%	289 45%zh	155 <i>26%</i>	293 48%zj	151 <i>24%</i>	311 41%zln	57 n <i>28</i> %	39 <i>27</i> %	34 <i>33</i> %	191 42%zp	253 <i>33</i> %	310 44%zr	134 <i>25%</i>
NET agree	269 22%c oq	93 gik 30%zt f	92 oc 21%c	-5 -7%	30 31%c	23 17%c	52 <i>8%</i>	217 37%zg	13 <i>2</i> %	255 41%zi	125 <i>17%</i>	70 34%zkr	59 n 41%zkn	13 <i>13</i> %	67 15%	201 26%zo	73 10%	195 37%zq
Not sure what a referendum is	7 1%k	-	3 1%	-	-	2 2%	-	7 1%g	-	7 1%i	*	4 2%k	-	3 3%zk	-	7 1%	-	7 1%zq
Don't know	66 5%a koq	6 begi 2%	15 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	-	23 18%z e	7 abc 1%	58 10%zg	7 1%	58 9%zi	11 1%	18 9%k	9 6%k	18 18%zk	6 km 1%	49 6%o	10 1%	55 11%zq

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 45
CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P								
	İ	CAN REALLY CH	IANGE THE WAY				IMPORTANT	QUESTIONS	SATISFACTION	WITH PRESENT
		THE UK	IS RUN	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	DETERMINED BY	REFERENDUMS	SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	250 20%c	99 g <i>23%</i>	98 <i>22%</i>	75 14%	124 29%zce	51 18%	250 35%zg	-	60 <i>17%</i>	188 23%z
[2] Partly agree	463 38%g	162 <i>38%</i>	152 <i>35%</i>	196 <i>37</i> %	165 <i>39%</i>	103 <i>37</i> %	463 65%zg	-	155 43%z	295 <i>36%</i>
[3] Partly disagree	252 20%e	86 f 20%	75 17%	140 26%zde	74 17%	38 14%	-	252 57%zf	73 21%	177 <i>22%</i>
[4] Strongly disagree	193 16%f	64 <i>15%</i>	87 20%z	110 21%zde	52 12%	31 11%	-	193 43%zf	54 15%	133 <i>16%</i>
MEAN	2.33df	2.28	2.37	2.55zde	2.13	2.22	1.65	3.43zf	2.35	2.32
Agree	713 58%c	262 g <i>62</i> %	250 <i>57%</i>	271 <i>51%</i>	289 68%zce	153 <i>56%</i>	713 100%zg	-	215 <i>60%</i>	483 <i>59%</i>
Disagree	444 36%d	151 ef <i>36%</i>	163 <i>37</i> %	250 47%zde	126 <i>30%</i>	68 <i>25%</i>	-	444 100%zf	127 <i>36%</i>	310 <i>38%</i>
NET agree	269 22%0	111 26%z	88 <i>20%</i>	21 <i>4</i> %	163 38%zc	85 31%zc	713 100%zg	-444 -100%	88 <i>25%</i>	173 <i>21%</i>
Not sure what a referendum is	7 1%	-	3 1%	2	*	5 2%zd	-	-	3 1%	3
Don't know	66 5%a	10 .cdfgi <i>2%</i>	23 5%	9 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>2%</i>	47 17%zcd	-	-	12 <i>3</i> %	27 <i>3</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 46
CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	250 20%	122 <i>20%</i>	83 26%z	41 <i>16</i> %	72 26%zc	42 16%	28 <i>22%</i>	111 <i>19%</i>	142 <i>21%</i>	52 34%zh	121 25%z	61 <i>23%</i>	145 24%z	39 <i>25%</i>	137 24%z	41 23%
[2] Partly agree	463 <i>38%</i>	233 <i>38%</i>	113 <i>36</i> %	89 <i>34%</i>	106 <i>38%</i>	100 <i>38%</i>	47 36%	233 40%	265 <i>39%</i>	45 29%	190 <i>39%</i>	86 <i>32%</i>	221 <i>37</i> %	50 <i>33%</i>	202 <i>36%</i>	62 36%
[3] Partly disagree	252 20%n	131 <i>22%</i>	64 20%	73 28%z	54 19%	64 24%	29 <i>22</i> %	138 24%z	137 <i>20%</i>	22 15%	98 <i>20%</i>	55 21%	109 <i>18%</i>	31 <i>20%</i>	95 17%	37 21%
[4] Strongly disagree	193 <i>16%</i>	101 <i>17</i> %	53 17%	53 20%z	43 16%	53 20%g	24 19%	89 <i>15%</i>	108 <i>16%</i>	27 18%	65 13%	56 21%zj	113 19%z	26 17%	110 20%z	29 17%
MEAN	2.33j	2.36	2.28	2.54zd	2.25	2.50zg	2.38	2.36	2.33	2.16	2.23	2.41	2.32	2.30	2.33	2.33
Agree	713 58%c	355 <i>58%</i>	197 <i>62</i> %	130 <i>49%</i>	177 64%c	142 <i>5</i> 4%	75 <i>58%</i>	344 <i>59%</i>	407 <i>60%</i>	97 <i>6</i> 4%	311 63%z	147 <i>5</i> 5%	366 <i>61%</i>	89 <i>58%</i>	339 <i>61%</i>	103 <i>59%</i>
Disagree	444 36%	232 <i>38%</i>	117 <i>37</i> %	126 48%zd	97 <i>35</i> %	117 45%z	53 41%	228 <i>3</i> 9%	246 <i>37</i> %	49 <i>32</i> %	163 <i>33%</i>	111 <i>42</i> %	222 37%	57 <i>37</i> %	204 <i>37%</i>	66 <i>38%</i>
NET agree	269 22%c	123 ek <i>20%</i>	80 <i>25%</i>	4 2%	80 29%zc	25 10%	22 17%	117 20%e	161 <i>24%</i>	48 32%z	148 30%zk	36 13%	144 <i>2</i> 4%	32 <i>2</i> 1%	135 <i>2</i> 4%	37 21%
Not sure what a referendum is	7 1%n	2	-	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	2	-		2 1%	1 *	2 1%	*	-
Don't know	66 5%a	21 bcde <i>3%</i>	4 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	1 *	2 2%	11 2%e	18 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	16 <i>3</i> %	7 3%	15 <i>2</i> %	6 4%	16 <i>3</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 47

CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL	RADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very strong	93 8%bc	56 dj 9%b	36 <i>6%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	13 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>9%</i>	19 11%cd	32 11%zco	10 1 <i>3</i> %	32 8%j	50 11%zj	27 8%	29 <i>8%</i>	10 <i>4%</i>	26 <i>9</i> %	43 <i>6%</i>	50 10%zq	19 <i>5%</i>	74 8%
[2] Fairly strong	364 30%ejo	176 29%	187 <i>30%</i>	35 <i>25%</i>	49 <i>23%</i>	44 22%	86 39%zcde	51 e <i>28%</i>	100 36%zc	83 le <i>24%</i>	129 <i>31%</i>	151 33%j	119 36%zop	116 34%op	54 21%	75 24%	208 <i>2</i> 9%	155 <i>30%</i>	91 <i>26%</i>	272 31%
[3] Not very strong	420 34%a	182 <i>30%</i>	238 38%za	54 <i>38</i> %	74 35%	75 <i>37</i> %	71 <i>32%</i>	58 <i>32%</i>	88 <i>32%</i>	128 <i>36%</i>	146 <i>35%</i>	146 <i>32</i> %	124 <i>38%</i>	118 <i>35%</i>	85 <i>33%</i>	93 <i>30%</i>	255 <i>36%</i>	165 <i>32</i> %	113 <i>32%</i>	307 <i>35%</i>
[4] I am not a supporter of any political party	342 28%filn nt	180 n <i>30%</i>	163 <i>26%</i>	48 34%fi	75 36%zfi	67 34%fi	44 20%	50 28%	58 21%	123 35%zkl	112 <i>27</i> %	107 <i>23%</i>	58 18%	80 <i>23%</i>	103 40%zmn	102 34%mi	204 1 <i>28%</i>	139 <i>27%</i>	125 36%zt	217 25%
MEAN	2.83fil mnt	2.82	2.84	3.04zfh i	3.07zfh i	2.98zfi	2.64	2.78	2.62	3.06zkl	2.81	2.68	2.65	2.73	3.11zmn	2.92mn	2.87	2.77	2.99zt	2.77
Strong supporter	456 37%cd os	233 ej <i>39</i> %	223 <i>35%</i>	38 <i>27%</i>	55 26%	57 28%	104 47%zcde	70 9 39%d	132 47%zcc	93 le <i>26%</i>	161 38%j	201 44%zj	146 44%zop	144 42%zo	65 <i>26%</i>	101 <i>33</i> %	251 <i>35</i> %	205 <i>40%</i>	110 <i>31%</i>	346 39%zs
Weak supporter	763 62%filn t	362 n <i>60%</i>	401 <i>64%</i>	102 72%zfhi	149 71%zfi	142 71%zfi	116 <i>53%</i>	107 <i>60%</i>	146 <i>52%</i>	251 71%zkl	258 <i>61%</i>	253 55%	182 <i>55%</i>	198 <i>58%</i>	187 74%zmn	195 <i>64%</i>	459 <i>64%</i>	304 59%	238 68%zt	524 60%
NET supporter	-307 <i>-25%</i>	-129 <i>-22%</i>	-177 <i>-28%</i>	-64 -45%	-94 -45%	-86 <i>-43%</i>	-11 <i>-5%</i>	-38 <i>-21%</i>	-14 <i>-5%</i>	-158 <i>-45%</i>	-97 <i>-23%</i>	-52 -11%	-36 -11%	-54 -16%	-122 <i>-48%</i>	-95 -31%	-208 <i>-29%</i>	-99 -19%	-129 <i>-37%</i>	-178 <i>-20%</i>
Don't know	11 1%	5 1%	6 1%	1 *	7 3%zfi	1 1%	-	2 1%	1 *	8 2%z	1	2 1%	*	-	1 1%	9 3%zm	9 nn <i>1%</i>	2	1	10 1%
Refused	-	:	- :	-	- 1	- 1	-	:	:	- 1	-	:	-	-	-	- :	-		:	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 48

CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES INTER			TENU	JRE			GOVERN	IMENT (OFFICE	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUC/	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL I AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very strong	93 <i>8%</i>	79 <i>7</i> %	13	49	23	9	12	12 11%	4	22 7%	22 7%	23	9	84	8	24	12 <i>5</i> %	28	26	14	16	31	18	21
[2] Fairly strong	364	334	<i>13%</i> 30	12%zd 151	ef 6% 111	<i>5</i> % 32	4% 66	29	<i>7</i> % 13	7% 80	7% 79	<i>8</i> % 96	<i>5%</i> 68	<i>8%</i> 329	<i>6</i> % 35	<i>8%</i> 80	5% 75	<i>8</i> % 121	<i>9</i> % 69	9% 37	<i>8%</i> 55	<i>6</i> % 179	16%z 44	<i>9%</i> 96
[2] Famy strong	30%e	30%	29%	36%ze		20%	24%	27%	21%	27%	25%	34%h	41%zç hij		25%	27%	32%	36%zc		24%	28%	37%zs		41%z
[3] Not very strong	420 34%c	386 <i>34%</i>	35 <i>34%</i>	122 <i>2</i> 9%	150 42%zct	64 f 39%c	84 <i>30%</i>	35 <i>33</i> %	17 28%	116 <i>39%</i>	111 <i>35%</i>	90 <i>32%</i>	51 <i>30%</i>	367 <i>3</i> 4%	52 <i>37</i> %	94 <i>32</i> %	91 <i>39%</i>	119 <i>36%</i>	85 <i>30%</i>	49 <i>32</i> %	58 <i>30%</i>	165 <i>34%</i>	34 31%	71 31%
[4] I am not a supporter of any political party	342 28%cd quvw	317 28%	25 25%	98 <i>23%</i>	73 20%	57 35%zcc	107 d 39%zo d	30 : 28%	27 44%zg ijkl	77 26%	100 <i>31%</i>	72 26%	36 <i>22</i> %	299 <i>28%</i>	43 30%	97 33%q	57 24%	65 19%	97 34%q	52 34%u	67 34%u	112 <i>2</i> 3%	15 14%	44 19%
MEAN	2.83c quvw	2.84	2.70	2.64	2.77	3.05zc d	3.06z cd	2.79	3.10z gikl	2.84	2.931	2.75	2.70	2.82	2.95	2.90q	2.82	2.67	2.92q	2.91	2.90	2.73	2.41	2.59
Strong supporter	456 37%ef	413 <i>37</i> %	43 42%	199 47%zd f	134 e 37%e	41 25%	79 <i>28%</i>	41 38%	17 27%	101 <i>34</i> %	101 <i>32</i> %	119 42%hj	77 46%zh ij	413 38%	42 30%	104 <i>35%</i>	87 <i>37%</i>	149 45%zo r	94 33%	52 <i>34</i> %	71 <i>36%</i>	211 43%z	62 56%z	117 50%z
Weak supporter	763 62%cl quvw	703 <i>62%</i>	60 <i>58%</i>	220 <i>52%</i>	223 62%c	121 74%zcc	192 d 69%zd	65 61%	44 73%kl	194 65%l	211 66%l	162 <i>58%</i>	87 <i>52</i> %	667 <i>61%</i>	95 <i>68%</i>	192 65%q	147 <i>63%</i>	184 <i>55%</i>	182 <i>64%</i>	102 <i>66%</i>	126 <i>64%</i>	277 57%	49 44%	115 <i>4</i> 9%
NET supporter	-307 <i>-25%</i>	-290 <i>-26%</i>	-17 -17%	-21 <i>-5%</i>	-89 <i>-25%</i>	-80 -49%	-113 <i>-41%</i>	-24 -23%	-28 <i>-45%</i>	-92 -31%	-110 <i>-34%</i>	-42 -15%	-10 <i>-6%</i>	-254 <i>-23%</i>	-53 <i>-38%</i>	-88 <i>-30%</i>	-60 <i>-26%</i>	-35 -11%	-88 -31%	-50 <i>-33%</i>	-55 <i>-28%</i>	-67 -14%	13 12%w	2 1%
Don't know	11 1%u	11 1%	-	1 *	2	1	8 3%zc	1 1%	-	1 *	7 2%z	-	2 1%	8 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	-	*	8 3%z	- q -	:	:	-	1 *
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- :		-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 49

CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PO	OLITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT	-	KNOWLE UK POL		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very strong	93 8%fh pr	21 jmn 7%f	47 11%zf	3 4%	17 17%za f	1 ac 1%	85 13%zh	8 1%	81 13%zj	11 <i>2</i> %	83 11%zlm	8 n <i>4</i> %	1 1%	1 1%	93 20%zp	-	86 12%zr	7 1%
[2] Fairly strong	364 30%fh npr	114 jm 36%zf	185 41%zc	17 f 24%f	28 29%f	9 <i>6</i> %	266 42%zh	97 16%	268 44%zj	96 15%	287 38%zlm	58 n 28%mr	11 1 <i>8</i> %	7 6%	364 80%zp	-	301 43%zr	63 12%
[3] Not very strong	420 34%bf noq	126 gi 40%zb	134 f <i>30%</i>	32 45%bf	36 37%f	27 20%	177 <i>28%</i>	243 41%zg	166 <i>27</i> %	254 41%zi	247 33%n	86 42%zn	61 42%n	21 20%	-	420 55%zo	211 <i>30%</i>	209 40%zq
[4] I am not a supporter of any political party	342 28%al ikoq	51 peg <i>16%</i>	80 18%	19 <i>26%</i>	16 16%	93 70%za ce	107 ab <i>17</i> %	236 40%zg	91 <i>15%</i>	251 40%zi	140 <i>19%</i>	55 27%k	72 49%zkl	68 68%zk	- lm -	342 45%zo	104 <i>15%</i>	238 45%zq
MEAN	2.83abe gikoq	2.66	2.55	2.93a be	2.52	3.64za bce	2.48	3.21zg	2.44	3.22zi	2.59	2.91k	3.40zkl	3.62zkl m	1.80	3.45zo	2.48	3.31zq
Strong supporter	456 37%fh npr	ĺ	233 52%zc	20 f 29%f	45 47%cf	9 <i>7</i> %	351 55%zh	105 <i>18%</i>	349 58%zj	107 <i>17</i> %	370 49%zlm	66 n 32%mr		7 7%	456 100%zp		387 55%zr	70 13%
Weak supporter	763 62%bg oq	177 gik <i>57</i> %	214 48%	50 71%be	51 <i>53%</i>	120 90%za ce		478 81%zg		505 81%zi	388 <i>51%</i>	140 68%k	133 91%zkl	89 88%zk		763 100%zo		447 85%zq
NET supporter	-307 <i>-25%</i>	-42 -13%	19 4%e	-30 <i>-43%</i>	-6 -6%	-110 <i>-83%</i>	67 11%	-374 <i>-63%</i>	92 15%	-399 <i>-64%</i>	-18 <i>-2%</i>	-75 <i>-36%</i>	-120 <i>-82%</i>	-82 -81%	456 100%z	-763 -100%	71 10%	-378 <i>-72%</i>
Don't know	11 1%gi	1 kpq *	2	-	-	3 3%b	1 *	9 2%g	1 *	10 2%i	1 *	-	-	4 4%zk	-	-	1	10 2%zq
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 50

CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very strong	93 8%e	37 9%	36 <i>8%</i>	53 10%ze	35 8%e	4 2%	48 7%	43 10%	17 <i>5</i> %	75 9%zh
[2] Fairly strong	364 30%b	157 9 37%zb	102 <i>2</i> 3%	193 36%ze	140 33%e	30 11%	210 <i>30%</i>	148 <i>33%</i>	129 36%zi	230 <i>28%</i>
[3] Not very strong	420 <i>34%</i>	139 <i>33%</i>	161 <i>37</i> %	184 <i>35</i> %	151 <i>36%</i>	85 <i>31%</i>	264 <i>37</i> %	144 <i>32</i> %	123 <i>35%</i>	293 <i>36%</i>
[4] I am not a supporter of any political party	342 28%a	90 cd <i>21%</i>	140 32%a	101 <i>19%</i>	94 <i>22%</i>	147 54%zcd	190 <i>27%</i>	109 <i>25%</i>	87 24%	223 <i>27%</i>
MEAN	2.83acd	g 2.67	2.92za	2.63	2.72	3.41zcd	2.84	2.72	2.79	2.81
Strong supporter	456 37%b	194 e 46%zb	138 <i>32%</i>	246 46%ze	175 41%e	34 13%	258 <i>36%</i>	191 43%z	146 <i>41%</i>	305 <i>37%</i>
Weak supporter	763 62%a	229 cg 54%	300 68%za	285 <i>5</i> 4%	245 <i>58%</i>	233 85%zcd	454 <i>64%</i>	253 <i>57%</i>	210 <i>59%</i>	516 <i>63%</i>
NET supporter	-307 <i>-25%</i>	-35 <i>-8%</i>	-162 <i>-37%</i>	-39 <i>-7%</i>	-70 -16%	-198 <i>-72%</i>	-195 <i>-27%</i>	-62 -14%	-65 <i>-18%</i>	-211 <i>-26%</i>
Don't know	11 1%fi	-	-	-	5 1%c	6 2%zc	*	-	1 *	-
Refused	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 51

CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPP\ CHOIC POLIT PAR	ΓICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTIN	IG INFLUI	_	SOCIAL GIVES VI PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	DLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL	Agree	Disagre e	Active social media user	Non- active social media user	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very strong	93 <i>8%</i>	73 12%zb	17 5%	41 16%zd	16 <i>6</i> %	23 9%	13 10%	39 <i>7</i> %	54 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>12</i> %	41 <i>8</i> %	26 10%	49 <i>8%</i>	21 14%z	39 <i>7</i> %	30 17%zn
[2] Fairly strong	364 30%b	236 39%zb	65 20%	109 42%z	94 <i>34%</i>	111 42%zg	48 <i>37</i> %	204 35%z	215 <i>32</i> %	39 <i>26%</i>	147 <i>30%</i>	83 <i>31%</i>	205 34%z	51 <i>33%</i>	195 35%zo	39 <i>22%</i>
[3] Not very strong	420 34%c	207 <i>3</i> 4%	119 <i>37</i> %	69 <i>26</i> %	112 40%zc	81 <i>31%</i>	39 <i>30%</i>	212 <i>36%</i>	232 34%	58 <i>38%</i>	173 <i>35%</i>	91 <i>34%</i>	201 33%	42 28%	198 <i>35%</i>	58 <i>33%</i>
[4] I am not a supporter of any political party	342 28%acc gln	93 de <i>15</i> %	117 37%za	43 16%	56 20%	46 18%	29 <i>23</i> %	129 <i>22</i> %	172 <i>2</i> 6%	35 <i>23%</i>	128 <i>26</i> %	67 <i>25</i> %	150 <i>25</i> %	39 <i>25</i> %	127 <i>2</i> 3%	47 27%
MEAN	2.83ace ghlmn	2.53	3.06za	2.43	2.74c	2.58	2.65	2.74e	2.77	2.73	2.79	2.74	2.75	2.65	2.74	2.70
Strong supporter	456 37%b	309 51%zb	82 26%	150 57%zd	110 <i>40%</i>	134 51%zg	61 47%z	242 42%z	269 40%z	58 <i>38%</i>	188 <i>38%</i>	110 <i>41%</i>	253 42%z	72 47%z	235 42%z	69 <i>40%</i>
Weak supporter	763 62%ace Imn	300 eg <i>49</i> %	236 74%za	112 <i>43%</i>	167 60%c	127 49%	68 <i>53%</i>	340 58%e	404 60%	93 <i>61%</i>	301 <i>62%</i>	157 <i>59%</i>	351 <i>58%</i>	81 <i>53</i> %	325 <i>58%</i>	105 <i>60%</i>
NET supporter	-307 <i>-25%</i>	9 1%	-155 <i>-49%</i>	38 15%	-57 -21%	7 3%	-7 -6%	-98 -17%	-135 <i>-20%</i>	-35 <i>-23%</i>	-113 <i>-23%</i>	-48 -18%	-98 -16%	-9 -6%	-91 <i>-16%</i>	-37 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	11 1%ahl	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	-	-
Refused	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 52

CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AC	GE .				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] A great deal of influence	7 1%	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%	*	*	3 1%	2	2	4 1%	2 1%	4 1%		1 *	5 1%	3 1%	3 1%	4 *
[2] Some influence	320 26%	143 <i>24%</i>	177 <i>28%</i>	32 <i>22</i> %	54 25%	56 <i>28%</i>	48 22%	52 <i>2</i> 9%	79 <i>28%</i>	85 <i>2</i> 4%	105 <i>25%</i>	131 <i>2</i> 9%	100 <i>30%</i>	92 <i>27</i> %	57 23%	72 24%	187 <i>26%</i>	133 <i>26%</i>	94 <i>27</i> %	226 26%
[3] Not very much influence	477 39%r	239 40%	238 <i>38%</i>	60 <i>42%</i>	71 <i>34%</i>	73 <i>37</i> %	106 48%zdh	63 <i>35</i> %	104 <i>38%</i>	131 <i>37</i> %	179 <i>43%</i>	167 <i>37</i> %	150 46%zp	132 <i>39%</i>	92 <i>36</i> %	103 <i>34%</i>	295 41%	182 <i>36%</i>	130 <i>37</i> %	347 39%
[4] No influence at all	409 33%n	207 1 <i>35%</i>	202 <i>32</i> %	46 33%	76 <i>36%</i>	68 <i>34%</i>	66 <i>30%</i>	61 <i>34</i> %	92 <i>33</i> %	122 <i>35%</i>	134 <i>32</i> %	153 <i>33</i> %	77 23%	113 33%m	100 39%m	120 39%m	220 31%	190 37%zq	118 <i>34%</i>	291 <i>33%</i>
MEAN	3.06m	3.09	3.03	3.09	3.11	3.04	3.08	3.05	3.02	3.10	3.06	3.03	2.92	3.04	3.17m	3.15m	3.03	3.10	3.05	3.07
Have influence	328 <i>27</i> %	147 <i>2</i> 5%	180 <i>29%</i>	33 <i>23%</i>	54 26%	58 <i>29%</i>	49 <i>22</i> %	52 <i>2</i> 9%	82 <i>30%</i>	87 <i>25%</i>	107 <i>25%</i>	134 <i>2</i> 9%	102 <i>31%</i>	95 <i>28%</i>	57 <i>23</i> %	73 24%	192 <i>27</i> %	136 <i>27%</i>	98 <i>28%</i>	230 <i>26%</i>
Do not have influence	886 <i>72%</i>	446 <i>74%</i>	440 <i>70%</i>	106 <i>75%</i>	147 <i>70%</i>	142 <i>71%</i>	172 <i>78%</i>	124 <i>69%</i>	196 <i>70%</i>	253 <i>72%</i>	313 <i>74%</i>	320 <i>70%</i>	227 69%	245 <i>72%</i>	192 <i>76%</i>	223 <i>73%</i>	515 <i>72%</i>	371 <i>73%</i>	248 71%	639 <i>73%</i>
NET Influential	-559 <i>-45%</i>	-299 <i>-50%</i>	-260 -41%	-73 <i>-52%</i>	-93 -44%	-84 -42%	-123 <i>-56%</i>	-72 -40%	-114 <i>-41%</i>	-166 <i>-47%</i>	-207 -49%	-186 <i>-41%</i>	-125 <i>-38%</i>	-150 <i>-44%</i>	-134 <i>-53%</i>	-149 <i>-49%</i>	-323 <i>-45%</i>	-235 -46%	-150 <i>-43%</i>	-408 <i>-46%</i>
Don't know	16 1%k	6 1%	10 <i>2</i> %	2 2%	10 5%zefi	1 1%	-	2 1%	-	12 3%zkl	1	2 1%	-	2 1%	4 2%	9 3%zn	12 n <i>2</i> %	4 1%	4 1%	12 1%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 53

CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES			TE 1.				001/501						OIT)								DAILY NEV	
		INTER	KNEI		IEN	URE		-	GOVER	MENT O	FFICE R	EGION		ETHN	CITY	CCCE	EDUC	ATION		ANNU	JAL INCO	INIE	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH I	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] A great deal of influence	7 1%	7 1%		2 *	4 1%	1 *	:	-	2 3%zij	1 *	*	3 1%	1 1%	5 *	2 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%		*	1	3 1%	3 2%z	1 *
[2] Some influence	320 26%hi ot	296 <i>26%</i>	24 24%	128 30%ze	100 ef <i>28%</i>	32 <i>2</i> 0%	59 <i>21%</i>	24 22%h	5 <i>8</i> %	56 19%h	78 24%h	97 34%zg ij	61 h 37%zç hij	288 27%	30 <i>22</i> %	60 <i>20%</i>	64 27%	116 35%zo r	70 <i>25</i> %	30 <i>20%</i>	37 19%	152 31%zs	45 t 41%zw	69 30%
[3] Not very much influence	477 39%	436 <i>39%</i>	42 40%	162 <i>38%</i>	156 <i>44%</i>	56 <i>35%</i>	102 <i>37</i> %	43 41%	22 36%	114 <i>38%</i>	138 <i>43%</i>	97 <i>35%</i>	63 <i>38%</i>	421 <i>39%</i>	56 40%	121 <i>41%</i>	87 <i>37%</i>	146 44%zr	91 <i>32</i> %	59 <i>39%</i>	73 <i>37</i> %	202 41%	42 38%	91 <i>39%</i>
[4] No influence at all	409 33%dl quv	372 33%	37 <i>36%</i>	127 <i>30%</i>	95 <i>27%</i>	71 43%zc	109 d 39%d	37 35%l	32 53%zg jkl	124 42%zj kl	96 <i>30%</i>	82 <i>29%</i>	38 <i>23%</i>	362 <i>33%</i>	48 <i>34%</i>	112 38%q	79 34%q	67 20%	114 40%q	63 41%u	84 43%zu	131 <i>27</i> %	21 19%	70 30%v
MEAN	3.06c dklqu v	3.06	3.11	2.99	2.96	3.23zc d	3.18z cd	3.13k I	3.40z gjkl	3.22z jkl	3.051	2.92	2.85	3.06	3.09	3.17z q	3.04q	2.83	3.16q	3.21zu	3.23zu	2.95	2.73	2.99v
Have influence	328 27%hi ot	303 <i>27%</i>	25 24%	130 31%ze	104 ef 29%	33 20%	59 21%	24 22%	7 11%	57 19%	78 25%h	100 36%zg ij	62 h 37%zç hij	293 27%	33 <i>23%</i>	61 <i>21%</i>	66 <i>28%</i>	120 36%zo r	70 25%	30 <i>20%</i>	38 19%	155 32%zs	48 t 44%zw	70 30%
Do not have influence	886 72%kl qv	808 <i>72%</i>	78 <i>76%</i>	289 <i>69%</i>	251 70%	127 78%c	211 <i>76%</i>	81 76%kl	54 89%zg jkl	238 80%zk I	233 73%l	179 <i>64%</i>	102 <i>61%</i>	783 <i>72%</i>	103 <i>73%</i>	232 79%z	166 q <i>71%</i>	213 <i>64%</i>	205 <i>72%</i>	123 80%zu	157 80%zu	333 <i>68%</i>	62 56%	161 69%v
NET Influential	-559 <i>-45%</i>	-505 <i>-45%</i>	-54 <i>-52%</i>	-159 <i>-38%</i>	-147 <i>-41%</i>	-94 -58%	-152 <i>-55%</i>	-57 <i>-54%</i>	-48 <i>-78%</i>	-180 <i>-61%</i>	-155 <i>-48%</i>	-79 <i>-28%</i>	-39 <i>-24%</i>	-490 <i>-45%</i>	-71 <i>-50%</i>	-171 <i>-58%</i>	-100 <i>-43%</i>	-94 <i>-28%</i>	-135 <i>-48%</i>	-92 -60%	-119 <i>-61%</i>	-178 <i>-37%</i>	-14 -13%	-91 <i>-39%</i>
Don't know	16 1%gu	16 1%	-	2	3 1%	3 <i>2%</i>	8 3%c	2 2%i	-	1	8 2%	2 1%	2 1%	11 1%	5 3%z	2 m 1%	2 1%	*	9 3%a	-	1 1%	-	-	1

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 54

CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PC	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					DGE OF	KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] A great deal of influence	7 1%	2	3 1%	1 <i>2</i> %	2 2%	-	6 1%	2	6 1%	1	6 1%	1 1%	-	-	3 1%	4 1%	5 1%	2
[2] Some influence	320 26%fhj npr	100 m 32%ze	135 f 30%ze	22 f 32%f	18 19%	16 12%	215 34%zh	106 <i>18%</i>	206 34%zj	114 <i>18%</i>	244 32%zlm	40 n <i>20%</i>	21 <i>14%</i>	15 15%	160 35%zp	160 <i>21%</i>	235 33%zr	86 16%
[3] Not very much influence	477 39%fn	125 40%f	178 40%f	32 46%f	39 41%f	28 <i>21%</i>	263 41%	214 <i>36</i> %	251 41%	227 36%	304 40%n	97 47%zn	52 <i>36%</i>	23 23%	192 <i>42</i> %	284 <i>37</i> %	288 41%	190 <i>36</i> %
[4] No influence at all	409 33%bg oq	87 ik <i>28%</i>	128 <i>29%</i>	15 21%	37 38%c	83 62%za ce	153 ab <i>24%</i>	256 43%zg	144 <i>24%</i>	265 43%zi	202 <i>2</i> 7%	68 33%	70 48%zkl	59 59%zk	101 1 <i>22%</i>	309 40%zo	176 <i>25%</i>	233 44%zq
MEAN	3.06abg ikoq	2.95	2.97	2.86	3.15c	3.53za bce	2.89	3.26zg	2.88	3.24zi	2.93	3.12k	3.34zkl	3.46zkl	2.86	3.18zo	2.90	3.28zq
Have influence	328 27%fhj npr	101 m 32%zf	137 31%zf	23 33%f	20 21%	16 <i>12</i> %	221 35%zh	107 <i>18%</i>	212 35%zj	115 <i>19%</i>	250 33%zlm	41 n <i>20%</i>	21 14%	15 15%	162 36%zp	165 <i>22%</i>	240 34%zr	88 17%
Do not have influence	886 72%gil q	212 (o <i>68%</i>	307 <i>69%</i>	47 67%	77 79%	111 84%za c	416 ab <i>65%</i>	470 79%zg	395 <i>65%</i>	491 79%zi	506 <i>67%</i>	164 80%zk	122 83%zk	82 81%k	293 <i>64%</i>	593 78%zo	463 <i>66%</i>	423 80%zq
NET Influential	-559 <i>-45%</i>	-111 <i>-35%</i>	-170 <i>-38%</i>	-23 -33%	-56 <i>-58%</i>	-95 -72%	-196 <i>-31%</i>	-363 <i>-61%</i>	-183 <i>-30%</i>	-376 <i>-60%</i>	-256 <i>-34%</i>	-123 <i>-60%</i>	-101 <i>-69%</i>	-67 -67%	-130 <i>-29%</i>	-428 <i>-56%</i>	-224 <i>-32%</i>	-335 <i>-64%</i>
Don't know	16 1%gil	- кор -	4 1%	-	-	6 4%za	- ab -	15 3%zg	-	15 2%zi	2	-	3 2%k	4 4%kl	1 *	5 1%	-	16 3%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 55

CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] A great deal of influence	7 1%i	6 1%z	1 *	4 1%	2 1%	1	4 1%	4 1%	5 1%	2
[2] Some influence	320 26%b	151 e 36%zb	96 <i>22</i> %	178 34%zde	103 24%e	39 14%	189 <i>27</i> %	127 <i>2</i> 9%	114 32%zi	203 <i>25%</i>
[3] Not very much influence	477 39%e	158 <i>37</i> %	155 <i>35%</i>	230 43%ze	167 39%e	80 <i>29%</i>	275 39%	186 <i>42</i> %	159 44%z	312 <i>38%</i>
[4] No influence at all	409 33%a	105 cgh <i>25%</i>	186 42%za	116 <i>22</i> %	148 35%c	145 53%zcd	243 34%	124 <i>28%</i>	78 <i>22</i> %	302 37%zh
MEAN	3.06acg	h 2.86	3.20za	2.87	3.09c	3.39zcd	3.07	2.98	2.87	3.12zh
Have influence	328 27%b	157 e 37%zb	97 <i>22%</i>	182 34%zde	106 25%e	40 15%	193 <i>27%</i>	131 <i>30%</i>	119 33%zi	205 <i>25%</i>
Do not have influence	886 72%a	263 ch <i>62%</i>	341 78%za	346 <i>65%</i>	315 74%c	225 82%zcd	518 <i>73%</i>	311 <i>70%</i>	237 <i>66%</i>	614 75%zh
NET Influential	-559 <i>-45%</i>	-105 <i>-25%</i>	-244 -56%	-164 <i>-31%</i>	-209 -49%	-185 <i>-68%</i>	-325 <i>-46%</i>	-180 <i>-40%</i>	-118 <i>-33%</i>	-409 -50%
Don't know	16 1%bt	2 hi	1 *	3 1%	4 1%	9 3%zc	2	2 1%	*	3 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 56

CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		уоті	NG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] A great deal of influence	7 1%	6 1%	1 *	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	5 1%	6 1%	1 *	5 1%	1 *	6 1%	1 *	3 1%	3 <i>2</i> %
[2] Some influence	320 <i>26%</i>	189 31%z	85 <i>27%</i>	106 40%zd	73 <i>26%</i>	93 36%z	44 34%	189 32%z	195 29%z	33 <i>22</i> %	151 31%z	75 28%	174 <i>2</i> 9%	43 28%	157 <i>28%</i>	53 <i>30%</i>
[3] Not very much influence	477 39%	240 <i>3</i> 9%	124 <i>3</i> 9%	100 <i>38%</i>	124 <i>45%</i>	119 46%z	54 41%	247 42%z	254 <i>38%</i>	60 <i>40%</i>	193 <i>39%</i>	94 <i>35%</i>	231 <i>38%</i>	51 <i>33%</i>	229 41%	62 <i>36%</i>
[4] No influence at all	409 33%ac gjn	171 ef <i>28%</i>	106 <i>33%</i>	54 21%	79 28%	47 18%	31 24%	139 24%e	213 <i>32%</i>	57 <i>37</i> %	136 <i>28%</i>	97 36%j	190 <i>31%</i>	58 <i>38%</i>	166 <i>30%</i>	56 <i>32%</i>
MEAN	3.06ace fghjln	2.95	3.06	2.79	3.01c	2.81	2.88	2.89	3.01	3.15	2.95	3.08	3.01	3.09	3.00	2.98
Have influence	328 <i>27%</i>	196 32%z	86 <i>27%</i>	108 41%zd	75 <i>27%</i>	95 36%z	45 <i>35%</i>	195 33%z	201 30%z	34 <i>22</i> %	157 32%z	76 <i>28%</i>	180 30%z	44 <i>2</i> 9%	161 <i>29%</i>	56 <i>32%</i>
Do not have influence	886 72%ac hj	411 eg <i>67%</i>	230 <i>73%</i>	155 <i>59%</i>	203 73%c	166 <i>64%</i>	84 <i>65%</i>	386 <i>66%</i>	467 <i>69%</i>	117 <i>77</i> %	329 <i>67%</i>	191 <i>71%</i>	421 70%	109 <i>71%</i>	395 <i>71%</i>	118 <i>68%</i>
NET Influential	-559 <i>-45%</i>	-215 <i>-35%</i>	-145 <i>-45%</i>	-47 -18%	-128 <i>-46%</i>	-71 <i>-27</i> %	-39 <i>-30%</i>	-192 <i>-33%</i>	-266 <i>-40%</i>	-83 <i>-55%</i>	-172 <i>-35%</i>	-115 <i>-43%</i>	-240 -40%	-65 <i>-42%</i>	-234 <i>-42%</i>	-62 <i>-36%</i>
Don't know	16	3 h 1%	2	-	-	-	-	2	5	1	3	1	4	-	4	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 57
CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AG	iE				AGE			SOCIAL (GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF	
				10.01	25.04			55.04	0.5	10.01						D.F.	WOR-	NOT WOR-		
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	KING (q)	KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] A great deal of influence	9 1%	3	7 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%	3 1%	1 *	4 1%	2 1%	3 1%	7 1%	3 1%	1	9 1%
[2] Some influence	191 <i>16</i> %	94 16%	96 15%	27 19%	32 15%	33 16%	26 12%	38 21%fi	36 <i>13</i> %	59 17%	58 14%	73 16%	59 18%	56 16%	34 13%	43 14%	115 <i>16%</i>	75 15%	58 17%	133 <i>15%</i>
[3] Not very much influence	521 42%0	237 p 40%	284 <i>45%</i>	58 41%	78 <i>37%</i>	82 41%	115 52%zdh	69 <i>39%</i>	119 <i>43</i> %	136 <i>39%</i>	197 <i>47%</i>	188 <i>41%</i>	183 56%znop	156 45%op	82 <i>32%</i>	101 <i>33%</i>	318 <i>44%</i>	204 <i>40%</i>	146 <i>42%</i>	375 <i>43%</i>
[4] No influence at all	493 40%m	260 43%	233 <i>37</i> %	53 <i>38%</i>	90 <i>43%</i>	83 41%	77 35%	69 <i>38%</i>	121 <i>43</i> %	143 <i>41%</i>	160 <i>38%</i>	189 <i>41%</i>	87 <i>26%</i>	125 37%m	132 52%zmn	149 49%zm	268 in <i>37</i> %	225 44%zq	140 40%	353 40%
MEAN	3.23m	3.27	3.20	3.16	3.27	3.25	3.21	3.18	3.29	3.22	3.23	3.24	3.08	3.18	3.38zmn	3.34zmn	3.20	3.28z	3.23	3.23
Have influence	200 16%	97 16%	103 <i>16%</i>	29 21%	34 16%	33 16%	28 13%	38 21%	38 14%	63 18%	61 <i>14</i> %	76 17%	60 18%	60 17%	36 14%	45 15%	122 <i>17</i> %	78 15%	59 17%	141 <i>16%</i>
Do not have influence	1014 <i>82</i> %	497 <i>83%</i>	517 <i>82%</i>	111 <i>7</i> 9%	168 <i>80%</i>	165 <i>82%</i>	192 87%h	138 <i>77%</i>	239 86%h	279 <i>79%</i>	357 <i>85%</i>	377 <i>8</i> 3%	269 <i>82%</i>	281 <i>82%</i>	213 <i>84%</i>	250 <i>82%</i>	585 <i>81%</i>	429 <i>8</i> 4%	286 <i>82%</i>	728 <i>83%</i>
NET Influential	-814 <i>-66</i> %	-400 <i>-67%</i>	-414 <i>-66%</i>	-82 -58%	-134 <i>-63%</i>	-132 <i>-66%</i>	-164 <i>-74%</i>	-100 <i>-56%</i>	-201 <i>-72</i> %	-216 <i>-61%</i>	-296 <i>-70%</i>	-301 <i>-66%</i>	-210 <i>-64%</i>	-221 <i>-65%</i>	-178 <i>-70%</i>	-204 <i>-67%</i>	-463 <i>-64%</i>	-351 <i>-69%</i>	-227 -65%	-586 <i>-67%</i>
Don't know	16 1%	6 1%	10 <i>2</i> %	1 *	9 4%zfi	3 1%	-	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	10 <i>3</i> %	3 1%	4 1%		1 *	4 2%	10 <u>3</u> %zm	12 in <i>2%</i>	4 1%	5 1%	11 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 58
CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES INTER			TENL	JRE			GOVERN	MENT O	FFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC#	TION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NE	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT-	RENTED LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] A great deal of influence	9 1%	9 1%	-	3 1%	3 1%	-	4 1%	-	-	4 2%	-	2 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	8 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	5 1%	-	-	-	7 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	4 2%
[2] Some influence	191 16%gt	176 <i>16%</i>	15 14%	65 15%	64 18%	20 12%	41 15%	10 <i>9</i> %	5 <i>8</i> %	38 13%	45 14%	45 16%	49 29%zç hijk	163 15%	27 19%	46 15%	36 15%	66 20%z	38 13%	17 11%	20 10%	86 18%t	20 18%	59 25%z
[3] Not very much influence	521 42%ef hrw	480 <i>43%</i>	42 41%	188 45%ef	184 51%zef	56 34%	89 <i>32%</i>	53 50%hi	16 <i>27</i> %	112 <i>38</i> %	144 45%h	128 46%h	67 41%	464 <i>43</i> %	57 40%	114 <i>38%</i>	108 46%r	175 52%zo r	92 32%	52 <i>3</i> 4%	82 <i>42</i> %	237 48%zs	54 49%w	79 <i>34</i> %
[4] No influence at all	493 40%dl quv	446 40%	47 45%	163 39%d	105 <i>29%</i>	84 52%zcd	136 49%zc d	41 38%	40 65%zg ijkl	141 48%zj I	122 <i>38%</i>	104 <i>37</i> %	45 27%	441 41%	51 <i>37</i> %	133 45%q	87 37%q	86 <i>26%</i>	145 51%z	84 oq 55%zu	94 48%zu	159 <i>33%</i>	34 <i>30%</i>	87 <i>38%</i>
MEAN	3.23d lquvw	3.23	3.31	3.22	3.10	3.40zc d	3.32d	3.301	3.58z gijkl	3.32z I	3.251	3.201	2.94	3.24	3.16	3.28q	3.20q	3.03	3.39zp q	3.44zu	3.38zu	3.12	3.07	3.09
Have influence	200 16%gh t	185 <i>16%</i>	15 14%	68 16%	67 19%	20 12%	45 16%	10 <i>9</i> %	5 <i>8</i> %	42 14%	45 14%	47 17%	51 31%zç hijk	171 16%	28 20%	48 16%	38 16%	71 21%zr	38 13%	17 11%	20 10%	93 19%t	23 <i>2</i> 1%	63 27%z
Do not have influence	1014 82%lq w	926 <i>82</i> %	88 <i>86</i> %	350 <i>83</i> %	289 <i>81%</i>	140 <i>86%</i>	225 81%	94 88%l	56 92%zl	253 85%l	266 83%l	233 83%l	112 <i>68</i> %	905 <i>83%</i>	108 <i>77</i> %	247 83%	194 <i>83%</i>	261 <i>78%</i>	237 <i>83</i> %	135 <i>88%</i>	177 90%zu	396 <i>81%</i>	88 <i>79%</i>	167 <i>72</i> %
NET Influential	-814 -66%	-740 <i>-66%</i>	-74 -71%	-283 <i>-67%</i>	-223 <i>-62%</i>	-120 <i>-73%</i>	-180 <i>-65%</i>	-85 <i>-79%</i>	-51 <i>-84%</i>	-210 <i>-71%</i>	-221 <i>-69%</i>	-186 <i>-66%</i>	-61 <i>-37</i> %	-734 <i>-67</i> %	-80 <i>-57</i> %	-199 <i>-67</i> %	-156 <i>-67%</i>	-190 <i>-57%</i>	-199 <i>-70%</i>	-118 <i>-77</i> %	-157 <i>-80%</i>	-303 <i>-62%</i>	-65 -59%	-103 <i>-45%</i>
Don't know	16 1%u	16 1%	-	2	3 1%	3 2%	8 3%c	3 2%i	-	1 *	9 3%zi	2 1%	2 1%	11 1%	5 <u>3</u> %zı	1 m *	2 1%	1	10 3%z	1 q 1%	*	-	-	2 1%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 59
CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT				KNOWLE UK POL		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREM	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS	
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] A great deal of influence	9 1%r	*	4 1%	1 1%	2 2%	2 1%	5 1%	4 1%	5 1%	4 1%	8 1%	-	2 1%	-	7 1%	3	9 1%	1 *
[2] Some influence	191 16%fhj r	67 p 21%zei	85 f 19%zef	9 13%	9 <i>9</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	136 21%zh	55 <i>9</i> %	140 23%zj	50 <i>8</i> %	130 <i>17</i> %	36 18%	15 11%	9 <i>9</i> %	105 23%zp	85 11%	148 21%zr	43 <i>8</i> %
[3] Not very much influence	521 42%fhj nr	140 m 45%f	198 44%f	38 53%f	42 43%f	25 19%	312 49%zh	209 <i>35%</i>	289 48%zj	233 <i>37</i> %	370 49%zmr	88 n 43%n	45 31%	18 <i>18%</i>	214 47%z	307 40%	340 48%zr	181 <i>34</i> %
[4] No influence at all	493 40%ab koq	106 gi <i>34%</i>	157 <i>35%</i>	23 <i>32%</i>	44 46%	92 69%za ce	182 b <i>29%</i>	311 52%zg	172 <i>28%</i>	320 51%zi	249 <i>33%</i>	81 <i>39%</i>	83 57%zkl	69 69%zk	130 I <i>28%</i>	363 48%zo	205 <i>2</i> 9%	287 55%zq
MEAN	3.23abg ikoq	3.12	3.14	3.18	3.32a	3.63za bce	3.06	3.43zg	3.04	3.43zi	3.14	3.22	3.44zkl	3.62zkl	3.02	3.36zo	3.06	3.47zq
Have influence	200 16%fhj r	67 p 22%zf	90 20%zf	10 14%	11 11%	10 <i>7</i> %	141 22%zh	59 10%	145 24%zj	55 <i>9</i> %	137 <i>18%</i>	36 18%	17 12%	9 <i>9</i> %	112 25%zp	88 12%	156 22%zr	44 8%
Do not have influence	1014 82%gid	245 pq <i>78%</i>	355 <i>79%</i>	60 <i>86%</i>	86 <i>89%</i>	116 <i>88%</i>	494 <i>78%</i>	520 88%zg	461 <i>76%</i>	553 89%zi	619 <i>82%</i>	169 <i>82%</i>	127 <i>87</i> %	87 <i>87</i> %	344 <i>75%</i>	670 88%zo	545 <i>78%</i>	468 89%zq
NET Influential	-814 <i>-66%</i>	-178 <i>-57</i> %	-265 <i>-59%</i>	-50 - <i>72%</i>	-75 <i>-77%</i>	-107 <i>-81%</i>	-352 <i>-55%</i>	-461 <i>-78%</i>	-316 <i>-52</i> %	-498 <i>-80%</i>	-482 -64%	-132 <i>-64%</i>	-110 <i>-76%</i>	-78 -77%	-232 -51%	-582 <i>-76%</i>	-389 <i>-55%</i>	-425 -81%
Don't know	16 1%gil	1 (op *	3 1%	-	-	6 5%za	2 .b *	13 2%g	1 *	14 2%zi	2	1 *	2 1%	4 4%zk	-	5 1%	2	14 3%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 60
CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] A great deal	9	7	2	3	2	4	7	3	7	2 *
of influence	1%i	2%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%zi	
[2] Some influence	191	110	35	100	62	29	114	74	79	108
	16%be	ei 26%zb	<i>8%</i>	19%ze	15%	11%	<i>16%</i>	17%	22%zi	<i>13%</i>
[3] Not very much	521	192	160	282	165	74	302	206	171	343
influence	42%be	9 45%b	<i>36%</i>	53%zde	39%e	27%	<i>42</i> %	<i>46</i> %	<i>48%</i>	<i>42%</i>
[4] No influence	493	111	242	145	190	157	289	159	99	367
at all	40%ad	ch <i>26%</i>	55%za	<i>27%</i>	45%c	57%zcd	<i>41%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>28%</i>	45%zh
MEAN	3.23ach	2.97	3.47za	3.07	3.29c	3.46zcd	3.23	3.18	3.01	3.31zh
Have influence	200	117	36	103	64	33	121	77	86	110
	16%bi	28%zb	<i>8%</i>	19%ze	15%	12%	<i>17%</i>	17%	24%zi	<i>13%</i>
Do not have	1014	303	402	427	355	231	591	365	269	710
influence	82%al	n <i>72%</i>	92%za	80%	<i>84%</i>	<i>85%</i>	<i>83%</i>	<i>82%</i>	<i>75%</i>	86%zh
NET Influential	-814	-186	-366	-324	-291	-199	-470	-288	-183	-599
	<i>-66%</i>	<i>-44%</i>	<i>-83%</i>	-61%	<i>-69%</i>	<i>-73%</i>	-66%	<i>-65%</i>	<i>-51%</i>	<i>-73%</i>
Don't know	16 1%bo	2 cfi 1%	*	1 *	5 1%	10 4%zc	1 *	2 1%	1	1 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 61 CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTIN	IG INFLUI	_	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL	Agree	Disagre e	Active social media user	Non- active social media user	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e
Umariahtad Basa	(z) 1230	(a) 654	(b) 296	(c) 280	(d) 273	(e) 269	(f) 129	(g) 598	(h) 700	(i) 152	(j) 496	(k) 278	(l) 624	(m) 161	(n) 588	(o) 180
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	273	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] A great deal	9	5	3	4	3	1	2	8	8	1	8	1	8	1	4	2
of influence	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	*	2%z	*	1%	*	1%	1%
[2] Some influence	191 <i>16%</i>	122 20%zb	37 12%	61 23%z	54 20%	66 25%zg	27 21%	112 19%z	115 <i>17%</i>	25 16%	91 19%z	44 17%	98 16%	30 20%	94 17%	32 18%
[3] Not very much influence	521 <i>42</i> %	272 45%	133 <i>42%</i>	138 53%z	136 49%z	126 <i>48%</i>	66 <i>51%</i>	290 50%z	303 45%i	52 34%	230 47%z	105 <i>39%</i>	270 45%m	52 34%	253 <i>45%</i>	70 40%
[4] No influence at all	493 40%ac fghj	209 de <i>34%</i>	142 45%a	59 <i>22%</i>	83 <i>30%</i>	68 <i>26%</i>	35 <i>27%</i>	173 <i>30%</i>	244 <i>36%</i>	73 48%h	157 <i>32%</i>	117 44%j	225 <i>37%</i>	70 46%	205 <i>37%</i>	69 <i>40%</i>
MEAN	3.23acd efghjl	3.13	3.32a	2.96	3.09	3.00	3.03	3.08	3.17	3.31	3.10	3.27j	3.18	3.25	3.18	3.19
Have influence	200 <i>16</i> %	127 21%zb	40 13%	65 25%z	57 21%	67 26%z	29 22%	119 20%z	124 <i>18</i> %	26 17%	100 20%z	45 17%	107 <i>18%</i>	31 <i>20%</i>	98 18%	34 20%
Do not have influence	1014 82%ac j	481 eg <i>79%</i>	275 87%a	197 <i>75%</i>	220 <i>7</i> 9%	194 <i>74%</i>	101 <i>78%</i>	462 79%	546 <i>81%</i>	125 <i>83</i> %	387 <i>79%</i>	222 <i>83</i> %	495 <i>82%</i>	122 <i>80%</i>	458 <i>82%</i>	140 <i>80%</i>
NET Influential	-814 <i>-66%</i>	-354 <i>-58%</i>	-235 <i>-74%</i>	-132 <i>-50%</i>	-163 <i>-59%</i>	-127 <i>-49%</i>	-72 -55%	-343 <i>-59%</i>	-423 -63%	-100 <i>-66%</i>	-287 <i>-59%</i>	-177 <i>-66%</i>	-389 <i>-64%</i>	-91 <i>-60%</i>	-360 <i>-64%</i>	-105 <i>-61%</i>
Don't know	16	3 bl 1%	3 1%	1	1	-	-	1	3	1	3	1	3	*	4	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 62
CU11 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in.... - Summary table
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	your local area (a)	the country as a whole (b)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230
Effective Base	813	813
[1] A great deal of influence	7 1%	9 1%
[2] Some influence	320 26%b	191 <i>16%</i>
[3] Not very much influence	477 39%	521 42%a
[4] No influence at all	409 <i>33%</i>	493 40%a
MEAN	3.06	3.23a
Have influence	328 27%b	200 16%
Do not have influence	886 <i>72%</i>	1014 82%a
NET Influential	-559 <i>-45%</i>	-814 -66%
Don't know	16 <i>1%</i>	16 <i>1%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 63
CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

CHILDREN IN GENDER AGE AGE SOCIAL GRADE WORKING STATUS HOUSEHOLD WOR-TOTAL MALE **FEMALE** 18-24 C1 C2 DE YES NO 25-34 35-44 55-64 65+ 18-34 35-54 55+ AB KING KING 45-54 (d) (h) (1) (m) (n) (q) (s) (z) (a) (e) (f) (o) (q) (r) 551 1230 625 605 166 172 180 199 352 327 352 344 387 225 274 576 654 916 **Unweighted Base** 161 314 178 352 421 457 342 253 719 511 Weighted Base 1230 600 630 141 212 201 220 278 329 305 349 881 Effective Base 813 382 432 113 112 128 126 129 229 215 253 356 231 255 155 179 413 429 221 592 32 52 16 20 13 12 14 25 33 26 27 18 14 25 43 41 25 59 [1] Very involved 84 9 5% 8% 6% 7% 10% 6% 7% 5% 7% 8% 6% 8% 5% 6% 8% 6% 8% 7% 7% [2] Fairly involved 506 248 258 106 76 91 142 197 167 187 142 95 327 179 159 347 41%ilop 41% 34% 44%i 46%i 48%ci 42% 33% 40% 47%zl 37% 57%znop 41%p 32% 31% 45%zr 35% 45% 39% 41% 365 180 55 55 118 142 117 151 272 [3] Not very 185 50 50 68 87 105 77 75 96 214 92 involved 31% 35% 26% 25% 31% 31% 31% 30% 28% 31% 23% 34%m 30% 31% 30% 29% 26% 31% 267 70 130 137 [4] Not at all 131 136 33 42 38 32 35 86 121 36 65 80 86 69 198 involved 22%fkma 22% 22% 24% 20% 19% 15% 20% 31%zdef 21% 17% 27%zk 11% 19%m 31%zmn 28%zmn 18% 27%z 20% 23% MEAN 2.67kma 2.70 2.64 2.77ef 2.60 2.53 2.55 2.64 2.88zde 2.67 2.54 2.78zk 2.37 2.67m 2.88zmn 2.80zm 2.60 2.76zg 2.59 2.70 Want involvment 590 280 310 57 112 119 105 230 193 214 159 120 370 220 406 40% 52%i 56%ci 54%ci 49%i 47% 55%zl 42% 65%znop 47% 38% 51%zr 53% 632 316 315 83 97 88 100 90 173 180 188 263 182 155 181 344 288 161 471 Do not want 113 44% involvment 51%kmg 53% 50% 59%def 46% 46% 51% 62%zdef 51% 45% 58%zk 34% 53%m 61%zm 59%zn 48% 56%zc 46% 53% NET Involved 42 -23 -42 -37 -26 13 24 18 -2 -68 -70 101 -58 -62 26 -67 23 -65 -5 -14 -3% -6% -1% -19% 6%h 12%h 8%h -1% -24% -4% 10%zj -15% 31%znop -7% -23% -20% 4% -13% 7% -7% Don't know 5 3 3%zi 2%l

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 64

CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

ACCESS TO DAILY NEWSPAPER INTERNET **TENURE GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION ETHNICITY EDUCATION** ANNUAL INCOME READERSHIP RENTED BUYING OWNED LOCAL RENTED DEGR/ FORML UP TO MORT-SCOT MID-OR MAST/ OUT-AUTH-PRI-CSE/ £25000 TOTAL YES NO RIGHT GAGE ORITY VATE LAND WALES NORTH LANDS SOUTH LONDON WHITE BME NVQ12 **EQUIV** PHD QUAL £11499 £24999 PLUS QUALITY POPULAR (z) (b) (c) (d) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (q) (s) (v) (a) (e) (o) (p) (r) (t) (u) (w) 1131 486 295 197 240 182 108 301 155 1028 307 247 393 180 167 219 463 136 239 1230 99 291 193 200 **Unweighted Base** 1230 1127 103* 420 358 163 278 107 61* 296 320 281 166* 1087 141 296 234 333 284 153 197 488 110* 232 Weighted Base 72 Effective Base 813 747 66 318 218 137 152 133 217 215 141 96 688 139 214 175 273 128 106 138 319 93 145 [1] Very involved 80 18 24 11 29 23 17 24 12 73 10 18 15 31 16 10 17 34 17 18 7%c 7% 4% 4% 7% 10%0 3% 7% 8% 5% 9% 7% 7% 7% 6% 6% 9% 5% 7% 9% 16%zw 8% 482 24 172 170 55 102 42 22 114 123 96 449 56 98 191 82 68 262 49 78 [2] Fairly 108 104 50 506 involved 41%bo 43%zb 24% 41% 47%zef 34% 37% 40% 36% 39% 38% 39% 58%z 41% 40% 33% 45%or 57%zo 29% 32% 35% 54%zst 45% 34% hijk [3] Not very involved 365 338 132 104 324 111 131 36 30%ha 30% 26% 32% 29% 26% 31% 36%h 19% 31% 29% 31% 25% 30% 29% 30% 24% 28% 25% 28% 27% 33% 34% 37%zq [4] Not at all 267 219 48 ٩R 59 52 58 23 14 236 31 43 30 105 55 62 56 46%za involved 22%ad 19% 23% 17% 32%zdf 21% 20%l 37%zg 23%l 26%l 21%l 8% 22% 22% 23%q 18%q 9% 37%zop 36%zu 28%zu 13% 6% 24%v lauv 2.67a 2.61q MEAN 2.62 3.15za 2.74d 2.56 2.84zd 2.63 2.741 2.88z 2.681 2.77z 2.651 2.35 2.67 2.67 2.78z 2.33 2.97zp 2 90711 2.75u 2.45 2.29 2.75v dlquv Want involvment 590 562 190 193 131 26 138 139 132 108 522 116 221 296 48%bo 50%zb 27% 45% 54%zce 41% 47% 43% 43% 47% 44% 47% 65%zg 48% 47% 39% 51%or 66%zo 34% 39% 44% 61%zst 60%zw 41% hijk rs 632 177 557 75 158 146 55 560 72 179 113 184 109 43 134 Do not want 230 164 93 143 35 111 93 193 involvment 51%ad 49% 73%za 55%d 46% 57%d 52% 56%l 57%l 53% 55% 52%l 33% 52% 51% 61%zp 48%q 33% 65%zpq 61%zu 56%u 39% 39% 58%v lquv NET Involved -42 -46 -41 30 -27 -12 -9 -20 -38 -14 53 -38 -5 -63 111 -86 -34 -24 103 24 -38 -3% -10% -17% -14% -7% -12% 32%70 33%z -12% 22% -459 8%et -4% -13% -5% -4% -4% -21% 2% -30% -22% 21%zst -16% hijk opr Don't know 3 2 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%

1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 65

CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		P	DLITICAL	PARTY S	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very involved	84 7%ah r	11 njnp <i>4%</i>	48 11%za	6 f 9%	9 <i>9</i> %	3 <i>2%</i>	56 9%zh	28 <i>5%</i>	57 9%zj	27 4%	64 8%zn	10 <i>5</i> %	6 4%	1 1%	44 10%zp	40 <i>5</i> %	66 9%zr	18 <i>3</i> %
[2] Fairly involved	506 41%fh npr	132 m 42%f	210 47%zf	35 49%f	55 57%za f	24 18%	320 50%zh	186 <i>31%</i>	320 53%zj	186 <i>30%</i>	364 48%zlm	76 n 37%n	45 31%	18 17%	229 50%zp	275 <i>36%</i>	360 51%zr	146 <i>28%</i>
[3] Not very involved	365 30%i	100 <i>32%</i>	123 <i>27%</i>	24 34%	21 <i>22%</i>	36 <i>27%</i>	184 <i>29%</i>	180 <i>30%</i>	162 <i>27</i> %	203 <i>33%</i>	217 <i>2</i> 9%	72 35%	42 <i>2</i> 9%	28 <i>28%</i>	131 <i>2</i> 9%	230 <i>30%</i>	192 <i>27%</i>	173 <i>33</i> %
[4] Not at all involved	267 22%bo ikoq	70 eg 22%bc	66 : 15%	6 <i>8%</i>	12 12%	64 49%za ce	75 ab <i>12</i> %	192 32%zg	66 11%	201 32%zi	113 <i>15%</i>	47 23%k	50 35%zk	49 49%zk	53 1 12%	213 28%zo	84 12%	183 35%zq
MEAN	2.67bce gikoq	2.73bc e	2.46	2.42	2.37	3.27za bce	2.44	2.91zg	2.39	2.94zi	2.50	2.76k	2.95zk	3.32zkl m	2.42	2.81zo	2.42	3.00zq
Want involvment	590 48%fhj npr	143 m 46%f	259 58%za	41 f 58%f	64 66%za f	27 20%	376 59%zh	214 <i>36%</i>	377 62%zj	213 <i>34</i> %	428 56%zlm	86 n 42%n	51 35%n	18 18%	272 60%zp	316 41%	426 61%zr	164 <i>31%</i>
Do not want involvment	632 51%be koq	170 egi 54%be	189 42%	29 <i>42%</i>	33 <i>34%</i>	100 76%za ce	260 ab <i>41%</i>	372 63%zg	228 <i>38%</i>	403 65%zi	330 <i>44%</i>	119 58%k	93 63%zk	78 77%zk	183 I 40%	443 58%zo	276 39%	356 68%zq
NET Involved	-42 <i>-3</i> %	-26 <i>-8%</i>	70 16%za	11 16%	31 32%a b	-73 <i>-55%</i>	116 18%z	-158 <i>-27%</i>	149 25%z	-191 <i>-31%</i>	97 13%zlm n	-33 -16%	-42 <i>-28%</i>	-59 <i>-59%</i>	89 20%z	-128 <i>-17</i> %	150 21%z	-192 <i>-37%</i>
Don't know	8 1%gk	* (q*	-	-	-	5 <u>4</u> %za	1 ab*	6 1%	2	6 1%	*	-	2 2%k	5 <u>5</u> %zk	*	4 *	2	7 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 66

CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM		QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very involved	84 <i>7</i> %	46 11%zb	27 <i>6</i> %	35 <i>6%</i>	32 <i>8</i> %	17 <i>6</i> %	50 <i>7</i> %	34 <i>8</i> %	20 <i>6%</i>	64 <i>8%</i>
[2] Fairly involved	506 41%b	240 e 57%zb	148 <i>34%</i>	253 48%ze	173 41%e	80 <i>2</i> 9%	308 <i>43</i> %	187 <i>42%</i>	166 <i>46%</i>	337 41%
[3] Not very involved	365 30%a	97 e <i>23%</i>	121 <i>27%</i>	181 34%ze	123 <i>2</i> 9%	61 <i>22%</i>	214 <i>30</i> %	133 <i>30%</i>	110 <i>31%</i>	241 <i>2</i> 9%
[4] Not at all involved	267 22%a	38 ch <i>9</i> %	142 32%za	63 12%	96 23%c	108 39%zcd	140 <i>20%</i>	87 20%	60 <i>17</i> %	178 <i>22%</i>
MEAN	2.67ac	2.30	2.86za	2.51	2.67c	2.97zcd	2.62	2.62	2.59	2.65
Want involvment	590 48%b	286 e 68%zb	175 <i>40%</i>	288 54%ze	205 48%e	97 <i>35</i> %	358 <i>50%</i>	221 <i>50%</i>	186 <i>52%</i>	401 <i>49%</i>
Do not want involvment	632 51%a	136 c <i>32</i> %	263 60%za	244 46%	219 <i>52%</i>	169 62%zcd	354 <i>50%</i>	220 49%	170 <i>48%</i>	419 <i>51%</i>
NET Involved	-42 -3%	150 36%zb	-88 <i>-20%</i>	44 8%zd	-14 -3%	-72 -26%	4	2	16 <i>5</i> %	-19 <i>-2</i> %
Don't know	8 1%fi	1 *	1 *	-	*	8 3%zcd	1 *	3 1%	1 *	1 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 67

CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	_	SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPERI	DLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (q)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very involved	84 7%	42 7%	37 12%za	35 13%zd	13 <i>5</i> %	23 9%	18 14%zg	36 <i>6</i> %	56 8%z	8 <i>6</i> %	42 9%	17 <i>6</i> %	55 9%z	10 <i>6%</i>	46 <i>8</i> %	15 <i>9</i> %
[2] Fairly involved	506 <i>41%</i>	263 <i>43%</i>	148 <i>46%</i>	168 64%z	152 55%z	118 <i>45%</i>	66 <i>51%</i>	279 48%z	318 47%zi	54 <i>36</i> %	239 49%z	112 <i>42</i> %	292 48%zm	54 35%	291 52%zo	58 <i>33%</i>
[3] Not very involved	365 30%bcn	201 33%zb	65 21%	49 19%	75 <i>27</i> %	87 <i>33%</i>	36 <i>28%</i>	189 <i>32%</i>	194 <i>2</i> 9%	41 <i>27</i> %	143 <i>2</i> 9%	75 28%	164 <i>27</i> %	50 <i>32%</i>	143 <i>26%</i>	58 <i>33%</i>
[4] Not at all involved	267 22%acd fghjln	104 le <i>17</i> %	65 <i>20%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	37 13%c	31 <i>12</i> %	10 <i>8%</i>	77 13%	100 <i>15%</i>	47 31%zh	62 13%	63 23%j	89 15%	40 26%l	75 13%	42 24%n
MEAN	2.67abc defghjl n	2.60	2.50	2.14	2.49c	2.49	2.29	2.53f	2.50	2.84zh	2.46	2.69j	2.48	2.781	2.44	2.73n
Want involvment	590 <i>48%</i>	305 <i>50%</i>	185 58%z	202 77%zd	165 60%z	141 <i>54%</i>	84 65%zg	314 54%z	375 56%zi	63 41%	282 58%zk	129 <i>48%</i>	348 57%zm	63 41%	338 60%zo	74 42%
Do not want involvment	632 51%bcd ghjln	305 If 50%b	130 <i>41%</i>	60 <i>23%</i>	112 40%c	118 <i>45%</i>	46 <i>35%</i>	266 <i>46%</i>	294 <i>44%</i>	89 59%h	205 <i>42</i> %	137 51%j	253 <i>42</i> %	89 59%l	218 <i>39%</i>	101 58%n
NET Involved	-42 -3%	-1 *	55 17%za	143 54%zd	54 19%z	23 <i>9%</i>	38 29%eg	48 8%z	81 12%zi	-26 -17%	77 16%zk	-8 <i>-3%</i>	95 16%zm	-26 1 -17%	119 21%zo	-27 -16%
Don't know	8 1%a	*	3 1%	-		2 1%	-	3	4 1%	-	3 1%	1	4 1%	:	4 1%	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 68

CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AG	iE _				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very involved	84 <i>7</i> %	37 <i>6%</i>	48 <i>8</i> %	12 <i>9</i> %	13 <i>6%</i>	17 <i>8%</i>	16 <i>7</i> %	14 <i>8</i> %	12 4%	25 <i>7</i> %	33 <i>8%</i>	26 <i>6%</i>	27 <i>8</i> %	16 <i>5</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	24 <i>8</i> %	41 <i>6</i> %	43 <i>8</i> %	23 7%	61 <i>7%</i>
[2] Fairly involved	406 33%ilp	197 r <i>33</i> %	209 <i>33%</i>	42 30%	79 37%i	71 35%i	92 42%zi	62 35%i	61 <i>22%</i>	121 <i>34%</i>	162 39%zl	123 <i>27</i> %	153 46%znop	122 36%p	69 <i>27%</i>	63 <i>21%</i>	271 38%zr	135 <i>26</i> %	123 <i>35%</i>	284 <i>32%</i>
[3] Not very involved	408 <i>33</i> %	213 <i>35%</i>	195 <i>31%</i>	52 <i>37</i> %	65 31%	67 33%	71 <i>32%</i>	58 <i>32</i> %	96 <i>34%</i>	118 <i>33%</i>	137 <i>3</i> 3%	153 <i>34%</i>	106 <i>32%</i>	122 <i>36%</i>	71 28%	109 <i>36%</i>	245 <i>3</i> 4%	163 <i>32</i> %	120 <i>34%</i>	289 <i>33%</i>
[4] Not at all involved	316 26%km	150 ng <i>25%</i>	166 <i>26</i> %	32 <i>23%</i>	45 21%	46 23%	42 19%	43 24%	109 39%zcd fh	77 e <i>22%</i>	88 <i>21%</i>	151 33%zjk	44 13%	80 23%m	93 37%zmn	99 33%zm 	150 n <i>21%</i>	166 32%zq	81 <i>23</i> %	235 <i>27</i> %
MEAN	2.79fkm q	2.80	2.78	2.76	2.71	2.71	2.63	2.73	3.08zcd efh	2.73	2.66	2.95zjk	2.51	2.78m	2.96zm	2.96zmn	2.71	2.89zq	2.75	2.80
Want involvment	491 40%ilp		257 41%	54 38%i	92 43%i	88 44%i	108 49%zi	76 42%i	74 26%	146 41%l	195 46%zl	149 <i>3</i> 3%	179 55%znop	138 40%p	86 <i>34%</i>	87 <i>28%</i>	312 43%zr	178 <i>35%</i>	146 <i>42%</i>	345 <i>39%</i>
Do not want involvment	724 59%km	362 nq <i>60%</i>	362 <i>57</i> %	85 <i>60%</i>	110 <i>52%</i>	113 <i>56%</i>	112 <i>51%</i>	100 <i>56%</i>	204 73%zcd fh	195 e <i>55%</i>	225 <i>53</i> %	304 67%zjk	150 45%	202 59%m	164 65%m	209 68%zm	395 n <i>55%</i>	329 64%zq	201 <i>57</i> %	523 59%
NET Involved	-234 -19%	-128 <i>-21%</i>	-105 <i>-17</i> %	-31 <i>-22%</i>	-19 <i>-9%</i>	-25 -12%	-4 -2%	-24 -14%	-131 <i>-47</i> %	-49 -14%	-29 <i>-7</i> %	-155 <i>-34%</i>	30 <i>9%</i>	-64 -19%	-77 -31%	-122 -40%	-83 -11%	-151 <i>-30%</i>	-55 -16%	-178 <i>-20%</i>
Don't know	15 1%k	4 1%	12 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	10 <u>5</u> %zefi	1	-	2 1%	1 *	12 <u>3</u> %zkl	1 *	3 1%	-	2 1%	3 1%	10 <u>3</u> %zm	12 2%	3 1%	3 1%	12 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 69

 $CU12_2$ - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole? BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES INTER			TENU	JRE			GOVERN	MENT C	OFFICE I	REGION	I	ETHN	IICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANNU	JAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV READE	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (o)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very involved	84 <i>7</i> %	80 <i>7</i> %	4 4%	23 5%	20 <i>6</i> %	14 9%	24 9%	4 4%	4 6%	26 <i>9</i> %	21 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>6%</i>	13 <i>8</i> %	70 <i>6</i> %	13 <i>9</i> %	17 <i>6</i> %	15 <i>7</i> %	29 9%	17 <i>6</i> %	13 <i>8%</i>	17 <i>9</i> %	34 <i>7</i> %	11 10%	12 <i>5</i> %
[2] Fairly involved	406 33%br s	394 35%zb	12 12%	123 <i>29%</i>	148 41%zce f	44 27%	86 31%	31 <i>2</i> 9%	16 <i>27</i> %	86 <i>29%</i>	93 <i>29%</i>	98 <i>35%</i>	81 49%zg hijk	355 33%	51 <i>36%</i>	97 33%r	84 36%r	153 46%zo pr	49 17%	34 <i>22</i> %	59 <i>30%</i>	219 45%zs	52 t 48%zw	72 31%
[3] Not very involved	408 33%eh	375 <i>33</i> %	33 <i>32</i> %	146 35%e	124 35%e	40 24%	98 <i>35%</i>	48 45%zh jkl	10 1 <i>6</i> %	105 35%h	104 32%h	88 31%h	53 32%h	369 <i>34%</i>	39 <i>27</i> %	104 <i>35</i> %	85 <i>36%</i>	112 <i>34</i> %	85 <i>30</i> %	39 <i>25%</i>	66 <i>34%</i>	154 <i>32%</i>	34 31%	84 36%
[4] Not at all involved	316 26%ad Iquv	262 23%	54 52%z	127 a 30%zd	64 18%	61 37%zd	62 f <i>22</i> %	22 21%l	30 50%zg ijkl	77 26%l	95 30%l	76 27%l	16 10%	281 <i>26%</i>	35 <i>25%</i>	77 26%q	47 20%q	39 12%	125 44%zc q	67 p 44%ztu	53 27%u	81 <i>16%</i>	12 11%	64 27%v
MEAN	2.79a dlquv	2.74	3.33za	2.90zd	2.65	2.92d	2.73	2.841	3.11z gikl	2.791	2.871	2.801	2.44	2.80	2.70	2.82q	2.71q	2.48	3.15zo pq	3.05zt u	2.79u	2.58	2.43	2.86v
Want involvment	491 40%bc rs	474 42%zb	16 16%	146 <i>35%</i>	168 47%zce	59 9 <i>36%</i>	110 40%	35 <i>33</i> %	20 <i>33</i> %	112 <i>38%</i>	114 <i>36</i> %	115 <i>41%</i>	94 57%zg hijk	425 39%	64 <i>45%</i>	114 39%r	99 42%r	182 55%zo pr	66 <i>23</i> %	46 30%	76 <i>39%</i>	252 52%zs	64 t 58%zw	84 36%
Do not want involvment	724 59%ad Iquv	637 <i>57</i> %	87 84%z	273 a 65%zd	187 <i>52%</i>	101 <i>62%</i>	160 <i>58%</i>	70 66%l	40 66%l	182 62%l	198 62%l	164 58%l	69 <i>42%</i>	650 <i>60%</i>	74 53%	181 61%q	132 56%q	151 <i>45</i> %	210 74%zc q	106 p 69%zu	119 61%u	234 48%	46 <i>42</i> %	147 63%v
NET Involved	-234 -19%	-163 <i>-14%</i>	-71 <i>-69%</i>	-127 <i>-30%</i>	-19 <i>-5%</i>	-42 <i>-26%</i>	-50 -18%	-35 <i>-33%</i>	-20 <i>-33%</i>	-70 <i>-24%</i>	-84 <i>-26%</i>	-49 -18%	25 15%h	-225 <i>-21%</i>	-10 <i>-7</i> %	-67 <i>-23%</i>	-33 -14%	31 <i>9</i> %	-144 <i>-51%</i>	-60 <i>-39%</i>	-43 <i>-22</i> %	18 <i>4</i> %	18 16%	-63 <i>-27%</i>
Don't know	15 1%cu	15 1%		1	3 1%	4 2%c	8 3%c	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	7 2%	2 1%	2 1%	12 <i>1%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	3 1%	-	9 3‰o	1 *	1	1 *	-	1

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 70

CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		P	OLITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE		KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH		OTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREM	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very involved	84 7%hji	17 npr <i>6</i> %	48 11%zaf	1 2%	10 10%f	3 <i>2</i> %	62 10%zh	22 4%	62 10%zj	22 4%	68 9%zn	10 <i>5</i> %	5 <i>4%</i>	1 1%	44 10%zp	40 5%	71 10%zr	13 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly involved	406 33%fhj npr	95 jm 30%f	188 42%zaf	26 37%f	40 41%f	15 12%	270 42%zh	137 <i>23%</i>	258 42%zj	149 <i>24%</i>	309 41%zlmi	58 n 28%n	25 17%	9 <i>9</i> %	201 44%zp	205 <i>27</i> %	310 44%zr	96 18%
[3] Not very involved	408 <i>33%</i>	120 38%f	135 <i>30%</i>	32 46%bf	32 <i>33%</i>	34 <i>25%</i>	215 <i>34%</i>	193 <i>33%</i>	207 34%	201 <i>32%</i>	239 <i>31%</i>	87 42%zkr	56 n <i>39%</i>	25 <i>25%</i>	145 <i>32</i> %	263 <i>34%</i>	222 31%	187 <i>35</i> %
[4] Not at all involved	316 26%bo ikoq	81 eg 26%b	75 17%	9 13%	15 15%	75 56%za ce	90 b <i>14%</i>	226 38%zg	81 <i>13%</i>	235 38%zi	142 <i>19%</i>	50 24%	56 38%zkl	62 61%zk	65 lm <i>14%</i>	249 33%zo	101 <i>14%</i>	215 41%zq
MEAN	2.79beg ikoq	2.84be	2.53	2.72	2.53	3.42za bce	2.52	3.08zg	2.50	3.07zi	2.60	2.86k	3.14zkl	3.52zkl m	2.51	2.95zo	2.50	3.18zq
Want involvment	491 40%fhj npr	113 jm 36%f	236 53%zaf	28 39%f	50 52%za f	18 14%	332 52%zh	158 <i>27%</i>	320 53%zj	171 <i>27</i> %	377 50%zlmi	69 n 33%mr	30 n <i>21%</i>	10 10%	245 54%zp	246 <i>32</i> %	381 54%zr	109 <i>21%</i>
Do not want involvment	724 59%be koq	201 egi 64%be	210 e <i>47</i> %	42 60%	47 48%	109 82%za ce	305 b <i>48%</i>	420 71%zg	288 47%	437 70%zi	381 <i>50%</i>	137 66%k	112 77%zk	87 86%zk	211 I <i>46%</i>	512 67%zo	322 46%	402 76%zq
NET Involved	-234 -19%	-88 <i>-28%</i>	26 6%c	-14 <i>-20%</i>	3 4%	-90 <i>-68%</i>	28 4%	-261 <i>-44%</i>	32 <i>5</i> %	-266 <i>-43%</i>	-4 *	-68 <i>-33%</i>	-82 <i>-56%</i>	-77 -76%	34 <i>7</i> %	-266 <i>-35%</i>	59 <i>8%</i>	-293 <i>-56%</i>
Don't know	15 1%gil	kop -	2 1%	1 1%	-	5 4%za	- b -	15 2%zg	-	15 2%zi	1 *	1 *	4 3%k	4 4%k	1 *	5 1%	-	15 3%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 71

CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very involved	84	50	22	35	38	12	49	35	14	71
	7%h	12%zb	5%	<i>7%</i>	<i>9%</i>	<i>4</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>8</i> %	<i>4</i> %	9%zh
[2] Fairly involved	406	193	120	218	131	58	246	155	124	280
	33%b	e 46%zb	<i>2</i> 7%	41%zde	31%e	21%	<i>34%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>34%</i>
[3] Not very	408	132	129	203	126	79	249	148	142	258
involved	33%	<i>31%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	38%zde	<i>30%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>35</i> %	<i>33%</i>	40%zi	<i>31%</i>
[4] Not at all involved	316	46	168	75	125	115	167	105	75	211
	26%a	11%	38%za	14%	30%c	42%zcd	<i>23%</i>	<i>24%</i>	21%	<i>26%</i>
MEAN	2.79aci	2.42	3.01za	2.60	2.81c	3.13zcd	2.75	2.73	2.79	2.74
Want involvment	491	243	142	252	169	70	294	189	138	350
	40%b	57%zb	<i>32</i> %	47%ze	40%e	<i>25</i> %	41%	<i>43%</i>	<i>39%</i>	43%z
Do not want	724	178	297	278	252	194	415	252	218	469
involvment	59%a	2 42%	68%za	<i>52%</i>	<i>59%</i>	71%zcd	<i>58%</i>	<i>57</i> %	<i>61%</i>	<i>57%</i>
NET Involved	-234	64	-156	-26	-83	-125	-121	-63	-80	-119
	-19%	15%	<i>-35%</i>	<i>-5%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	<i>-46%</i>	<i>-17%</i>	-14%	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-14%</i>
Don't know	15 1%cf	2 *	-	2	4 1%	10 4%zc	3	2 1%	1 *	3 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 72

CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY WITH CHOICE OF POLITICAL PARTIES			E ON MEDIA	VOTII	NG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES VI PEO	DICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
				Active social	Non- active social	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly								
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	media user (c)	media user (d)	importa nt) (e)	importa nt) (f)	importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very involved	84 7%	34 <i>6%</i>	41 13%za	41 16%zd	11 <i>4</i> %	21 <i>8%</i>	20 15%zg	37 <i>6</i> %	55 <i>8%</i>	10 <i>7</i> %	47 10%z	14 5%	53 9%z	13 <i>9</i> %	49 9%z	15 <i>9</i> %
[2] Fairly involved	406 <i>33%</i>	231 38%z	112 <i>35%</i>	144 55%z	126 45%z	109 42%z	60 47%z	234 40%z	257 38%z	48 <i>32</i> %	198 40%z	98 <i>37</i> %	244 40%z	49 <i>32</i> %	229 41%z	53 <i>31%</i>
[3] Not very involved	408 33%bc	212 35%b	82 <i>26</i> %	58 <i>22</i> %	103 37%c	91 <i>35%</i>	36 <i>28</i> %	206 <i>3</i> 5%	233 <i>3</i> 5%	44 29%	170 <i>3</i> 5%	77 29%	187 <i>31%</i>	50 <i>33</i> %	170 <i>30%</i>	60 <i>35%</i>
[4] Not at all involved	316 26%acc fghjln	130 de <i>21%</i>	81 <i>26%</i>	20 7%	38 14%c	38 15%	14 10%	103 <i>18%</i>	123 18%	48 32%h	71 14%	78 29%j	118 <i>19%</i>	41 <i>27</i> %	107 <i>19%</i>	45 26%
MEAN	2.79abc defghjl n	2.72	2.64	2.21	2.61c	2.56f	2.34	2.65f	2.63	2.87h	2.55	2.82j	2.61	2.78	2.60	2.78
Want involvment	491 <i>40%</i>	265 43%z	153 48%z	185 71%zd	136 49%z	130 50%z	80 62%zg	270 46%z	312 46%z	58 <i>38%</i>	244 50%z	112 <i>42</i> %	297 49%z	62 40%	278 50%z	69 <i>40%</i>
Do not want involvment	724 59%bcc fghjln	342 de <i>56%</i>	163 <i>51%</i>	77 29%	141 51%c	129 <i>49%</i>	50 <i>38%</i>	309 53%f	356 <i>53%</i>	93 <i>61%</i>	241 <i>4</i> 9%	155 <i>58%</i>	305 <i>50%</i>	91 <i>60%</i>	277 49%	105 60%n
NET Involved	-234 -19%	-78 -13%	-10 <i>-3%</i>	108 41%d	-5 <i>-2%</i>	1 1%	30 23%e	-39 <i>-7</i> %	-44 -6%	-35 <i>-23%</i>	4 1%	-43 -16%	-8 -1%	-29 -19%	1 *	-37 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	15 1%al	3	2	-	-	1	:	3	5 1%	1	4	1	2	-	6 1%	

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 73
CU12 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in.... - Summary table BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	your local area (a)	the country as a whole (b)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230
Effective Base	813	813
[1] Very involved	84 <i>7</i> %	84 7%
[2] Fairly involved	506 41%b	406 <i>33</i> %
[3] Not very involved	365 <i>30%</i>	408 33%a
[4] Not at all involved	267 <i>22%</i>	316 26%a
MEAN	2.67	2.79a
Want involvment	590 48%b	491 <i>40%</i>
Do not want involvment	632 <i>51%</i>	724 59%a
NET Involved	-42 -3%	-234 -19%
Don't know	8 1%	15 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 74

		GEN	GENDER			AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
Can be trusted to keep its promises	396 32%i	175 <i>2</i> 9%	221 <i>3</i> 5%	49 <i>35%</i>	72 34%	57 28%	86 39%i	58 <i>32</i> %	75 27%	121 <i>34</i> %	143 <i>34%</i>	133 <i>2</i> 9%	104 <i>32%</i>	115 <i>34%</i>	73 29%	105 <i>34%</i>	228 <i>32%</i>	168 <i>33%</i>	106 <i>30%</i>	290 <i>33</i> %
Has policies I fully support	371 30%dj	189 or <i>32</i> %	182 <i>2</i> 9%	42 30%	46 22%	71 35%d	84 38%zdi	53 <i>30</i> %	76 <i>27</i> %	88 <i>25%</i>	154 37%zjl	129 <i>28%</i>	138 42%znop	101 29%	65 <i>26%</i>	68 <i>22</i> %	234 <i>33%</i>	137 <i>27</i> %	115 <i>33%</i>	256 <i>29%</i>
Represents the interests of people like me	349 28%pi	168 28%	180 <i>2</i> 9%	33 <i>23%</i>	62 <i>29%</i>	66 <i>33</i> %	67 <i>30%</i>	48 <i>27</i> %	72 26%	95 <i>27</i> %	133 <i>32%</i>	120 <i>26%</i>	89 <i>27</i> %	122 36%zm	71 o <i>28%</i>	67 <i>22%</i>	226 31%zr	122 <i>2</i> 4%	108 <i>31%</i>	241 <i>27</i> %
Takes on board the views of the public	289 24%	123 <i>20%</i>	166 26%za	46 33%zdi	41 19%	45 23%	55 <i>25%</i>	45 25%	57 21%	87 <i>25%</i>	100 <i>24%</i>	102 <i>22</i> %	63 19%	85 <i>25%</i>	67 <i>27</i> %	74 24%	163 <i>23%</i>	126 <i>25%</i>	86 <i>25%</i>	203 <i>23%</i>
Believes in the same thing as me	278 23%p	137 <i>23%</i>	141 <i>22%</i>	37 <i>26%</i>	51 <i>24%</i>	40 20%	52 <i>2</i> 4%	41 <i>23%</i>	58 21%	88 <i>25%</i>	92 <i>22%</i>	98 <i>22%</i>	99 30%zop	83 24%p	51 <i>20%</i>	46 15%	156 <i>22%</i>	122 <i>2</i> 4%	94 <i>2</i> 7%	184 <i>21%</i>
Is most competent	244 20%bo	151 op 25%zb	93 0 <i>15%</i>	30 21%	28 13%	38 19%	37 17%	56 31%zdef i	56 20%	57 16%	75 18%	111 24%zj	98 30%znop	71 21%p	34 14%	41 13%	148 <i>21%</i>	96 19%	57 16%	187 <i>21%</i>
Has a leader I prefer	171 14%p	94 16%	77 12%	16 11%	22 10%	23 12%	28 13%	29 17%	52 19%zd	38 11%	51 12%	82 18%zj	57 17%p	57 17%p	28 11%	28 <i>9</i> %	99 14%	72 14%	38 11%	133 <i>15%</i>
Has a local candidate I prefer	147 12%cj	69 12%	78 12%	7 5%	15 <i>7</i> %	15 <i>7</i> %	32 15%c	20 11%	58 21%zc	23 deh <i>6</i> %	47 11%	78 17%zjk	29 9%	51 15%m	38 15%	29 10%	79 11%	68 13%	37 11%	110 <i>12</i> %
Is the least worst option	113 9%p	55 <i>9</i> %	57 <i>9%</i>	11 <i>8</i> %	15 <i>7</i> %	15 <i>8%</i>	24 11%	23 13%	24 9%	26 7%	39 <i>9</i> %	47 10%	39 12%p	34 10%p	29 11%p	11 <i>3</i> %	65 <i>9%</i>	48 <i>9</i> %	32 <i>9</i> %	81 <i>9%</i>
Leads campaigns around local issues	97 <i>8</i> %	47 8%	50 <i>8%</i>	9 <i>6</i> %	26 12%f	14 <i>7</i> %	10 5%	15 <i>8</i> %	23 <i>8</i> %	35 10%	24 6%	38 <i>8%</i>	27 <i>8</i> %	20 <i>6</i> %	21 <i>8%</i>	30 10%	60 <i>8%</i>	37 <i>7</i> %	22 6%	76 9%
Draws party candidates from a cross-section of society	92 8%r	40 7%	52 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>5%</i>	21 11%	15 <i>7</i> %	15 <i>9%</i>	23 <i>8%</i>	18 <i>5</i> %	37 9%	38 <i>8%</i>	40 12%zop	23 7%	14 <i>5</i> %	16 <i>5%</i>	63 <i>9</i> %	30 <i>6%</i>	22 6%	71 <i>8%</i>
Promotes the single issue that is most important to me	83 7%lo	33 t <i>5%</i>	51 <i>8%</i>	9 <i>7</i> %	17 <i>8</i> %	16 <i>8%</i>	21 <i>9</i> %	7 4 %	13 <i>5</i> %	27 <i>8</i> %	37 9%l	20 <i>4</i> %	25 8‰	24 7%	7 3%	27 9%o	48 7%	36 <i>7</i> %	34 10%t	50 <i>6%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 74

Weighted Base
Campaigns on the doorstep to meet local voters
Family / friends influence
Someone who considers the interests of the country
No answer
Other
None of these
I never vote for a political party
Don't know

		GEN	IDER			AC	GE.				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	G STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Campaigns on the doorstep to meet local voters	65 <i>5%</i>	26 4%	40 <i>6</i> %	6 <i>5</i> %	9 4%	15 <i>7</i> %	14 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>3%</i>	17 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>4</i> %	28 7%	21 <i>5</i> %	14 <i>4</i> %	16 <i>5</i> %	13 <i>5</i> %	22 7%	34 <i>5%</i>	31 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>5%</i>	46 <i>5</i> %
Family / friends influence	4 *	2	2	3 2%zi	-	1			-	3 1%	1	-	-	3 1%	-	1 *	2	2	1	3
Someone who considers the interests of the country	2 *	2	*	-	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 .
No answer	9 1%	3	6 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	-	4 1%	3 1%	2 1%	7 1%	2	3 1%	6 1%
Other	7 1%	1	6 1%	-	-	:	2 1%	:	5 2%z	-	2	5 1%	3 1%	1 *		3 1%	2	5 1%	2 1%	5 1%
None of these	47 4%	25 4%	22 4%	5 <i>3</i> %	16 8%zfi	9 <i>4%</i>	4 2%	6 4%	7 3%	21 <i>6</i> %	13 <i>3</i> %	13 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>3%</i>	7 2%	15 6%n	16 <i>5%</i>	25 4%	22 4%	15 <i>4</i> %	32 4%
I never vote for a political party	47 4%lm	23 nn <i>4%</i>	24 4%	6 4%	16 8%zfh	13 i 6%i	4 2%	3 2%	6 2%	22 6%zl	17 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	5 1%	8 <i>3</i> %	31 10%zn	26 nno 4%	21 <i>4</i> %	14 <i>4</i> %	33 <i>4</i> %
Don't know	21 2%lm	13 1 <u>2%</u>	8 1%	4 3%f	9 <u>4</u> %zfi	5 <i>3</i> %	-	2 1%	1 *	13 4%zl	5 1%	3 1%	1 *	4 1%	4 2%	12 <u>4</u> %zn	14 1 2%	7 1%	7 2%	14 <i>2</i> %

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 75

		ACCES INTER			TEN				GOVERI	NMENT (OFFICE	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	ОМЕ	DAILY NEV READE	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH LO	ONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
Can be trusted to keep its promises	396 32%c	368 <i>33%</i>	28 <i>27%</i>	113 <i>27</i> %	134 37%c	60 37%c	86 31%	33 <i>31%</i>	21 <i>34%</i>	101 <i>34%</i>	110 <i>34%</i>	78 28%	54 <i>33%</i>	351 <i>32</i> %	45 <i>32</i> %	99 <i>34%</i>	89 <i>38%</i>	99 <i>30%</i>	87 <i>31%</i>	47 30%	72 <i>37</i> %	166 <i>34%</i>	33 <i>30%</i>	73 <i>31%</i>
Has policies I fully support	371 30%e	350 r <i>31%</i>	21 <i>20%</i>	136 32%e	119 33%e	27 17%	82 30%e	35 <i>33%</i>	15 <i>25%</i>	85 <i>29%</i>	90 <i>28%</i>	86 <i>31%</i>	59 <i>36</i> %	330 <i>30%</i>	40 28%	84 <i>28%</i>	81 35%r	135 40%zc	61 21%	43 28%	58 <i>30%</i>	180 37%z	51 46%zw	67 <i>2</i> 9%
Represents the interests of people like me	349 28%c	320 f <i>28%</i>	28 <i>27</i> %	103 <i>24%</i>	135 38%zc	45 f 28%	60 <i>22%</i>	36 <i>34%</i>	17 28%	79 <i>27%</i>	92 <i>2</i> 9%	79 28%	45 27%	310 <i>29%</i>	38 <i>27</i> %	75 <i>25%</i>	71 30%	106 <i>32</i> %	73 26%	43 <i>28%</i>	54 28%	174 36%z	28 <i>25%</i>	73 <i>32</i> %
Takes on board the views of the public	289 24%b	277 25%zt	13 12%	86 21%	81 <i>23%</i>	40 <i>25%</i>	80 29%c	26 <i>24%</i>	15 <i>25</i> %	95 32%zj kl	64 20%	61 <i>22%</i>	28 17%	250 <i>23%</i>	39 <i>28%</i>	74 25%	69 29%zc	68 1 20%	56 20%	35 <i>23</i> %	56 <i>29%</i>	129 <i>26%</i>	23 20%	46 20%
Believes in the same thing as me	278 23%e s	262 r <i>23</i> %	16 16%	99 24%e	96 27%e	24 15%	58 21%	18 <i>17</i> %	11 19%	62 21%	66 21%	72 <i>2</i> 5%	49 29%g	250 <i>23%</i>	28 20%	68 23%r	75 32%zo r	91 27%zr	34 12%	23 15%	44 22%	123 25%s	36 33%z	59 <i>25</i> %
Is most competent	244 20%e s	230 r <i>20</i> %	14 13%	108 26%ze	73 ef 20%e	18 11%	41 15%	26 24%i	10 16%	46 16%	68 21%	55 20%	39 <i>23%</i>	214 20%	30 21%	57 19%	42 18%	93 28%zc pr	36 13%	17 11%	32 16%	119 24%zs	34 31%zw	44 19%
Has a leader I prefer	171 14%e	156 f <i>14%</i>	15 15%	79 19%ze	58 ef 16%ef	11 <i>7</i> %	21 <i>8</i> %	15 14%	7 11%	38 13%	57 18%	36 13%	18 11%	156 <i>14%</i>	15 11%	32 11%	29 13%	58 17%zc	36 13%	16 11%	21 11%	84 17%z	23 <i>2</i> 1%	35 15%
Has a local candidate I prefer	147 12%a np	121 i <i>11%</i>	26 25%z	71 a 17%ze	40 ef 11%	13 <i>8</i> %	24 9%	11 10%	8 13%	20 <i>7</i> %	28 <i>9</i> %	60 21%zgi j	20 12%	138 13%n	9 <i>7</i> %	35 12%	15 <i>6</i> %	45 14%p	48 17%p	20 13%	33 17%	51 10%	10 <i>9</i> %	27 12%
Is the least worst option	113 9%ir	108 1 10%	5 <i>5</i> %	50 12%z	27 8%	13 <i>8</i> %	20 7%	9 <i>9</i> %	2 4%	14 5%	34 11%i	42 15%zhil	11 <i>7</i> %	109 10%n	4 3%	25 9%	20 <i>9</i> %	41 12%zr	16 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>8</i> %	20 10%	45 <i>9</i> %	6 <i>6%</i>	18 <i>8%</i>
Leads campaigns around local issues	97 <i>8%</i>	89 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	38 <i>9</i> %	27 8%	12 <i>7</i> %	20 <i>7</i> %	9 <i>9</i> %	5 <i>8</i> %	22 7%	26 <i>8</i> %	15 <i>6</i> %	20 12%	82 <i>8</i> %	14 10%	19 <i>6%</i>	16 <i>7</i> %	26 <i>8%</i>	29 10%	11 <i>7</i> %	14 <i>7</i> %	45 9%	12 11%	28 12%
Draws party candidates from a cross-section of society	92 8%r	88 <i>8%</i>	4 4%	32 <i>8%</i>	30 <i>8%</i>	8 5%	21 <i>7</i> %	7 7%	4 7%	25 <i>8</i> %	23 7%	22 8%	11 <i>7</i> %	80 <i>7</i> %	12 <i>9</i> %	24 8%	17 <i>7</i> %	38 11%zr	10 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	16 <i>8</i> %	49 10%z	12 11%	15 <i>7</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 75

			ESS TO ERNET TENURE				(GOVERN	MENT	OFFICE	REGION	ı	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV		
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	AUTH-	ENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES N	IORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH I	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (o)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Promotes the single issue that is most important to me	83 <i>7%</i>	79 <i>7</i> %	4 4%	24 <i>6</i> %	24 7%	14 <i>8</i> %	21 <i>8</i> %	4 4%	3 <i>6</i> %	15 <i>5</i> %	26 <i>8%</i>	17 <i>6</i> %	17 10%	70 <i>6</i> %	14 10%	21 <i>7</i> %	22 10%	19 <i>6</i> %	15 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>7</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	36 <i>7%</i>	5 <i>5</i> %	23 10%
Campaigns on the doorstep to meet local voters	65 <i>5%</i>	59 <i>5%</i>	7 6%	16 <i>4%</i>	17 <i>5</i> %	16 10%zc	16 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	7 11%zk	14 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>6</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>6</i> %	58 <i>5%</i>	6 4%	17 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>5</i> %	12 <i>4</i> %	20 7%	16 11%zı	10 . <i>5</i> %	23 <i>5</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>8</i> %
Family / friends influence	4	4	-	1 *	1	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%zj	-	1 *	-	1 1%	-	4	-	4 1%z	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Someone who considers the interests of the country	2 *	1 *	1 1%	1 *	-	-	1 *	1 1%z	*	1 *	-	:	-	2	-	-	2 1%	:	-	:	:	-	-	2 1%
No answer	9 1%	7 1%	2 2%	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	1 *	-	-	8 3%zj	- 1	1	-	9 1%	:	5 2%q	1	-	2 1%	2 1%	-	1 *	1 1%	3 1%
Other	7 1%a	4	3 2%a	2	2 1%	3 2%z	*	*	-	1 *	-	2 1%	3 2%j	7 1%	-	1 *	*	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2	-	2 1%
None of these	47 4%co	42 dq 4%	5 <i>5</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	14 8%zcd	21 8%zc	7 d 7%l	1 1%	15 <i>5%</i>	11 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	2 1%	45 <i>4</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	14 5%q	6 <i>3</i> %	5 1%	17 6%q	9 <i>6</i> %	4 2%	14 <i>3</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>3%</i>
I never vote for a political party	47 4%cl u	43 kq 4%	4 4%	5 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	11 7%cd	23 8%zc	7 d 6%k	10 16%zgi jkl	12 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	2 1%	9 5%k	42 4%	6 4%	7 3%	5 <i>2</i> %	5 1%	27 9%zo	13 ppq 8%zı	8 J 4%	8 <i>2</i> %	-	8 <i>3</i> %
Don't know	21 2%cr	20 mu <i>2%</i>	1 1%	1 *	7 2%	6 3%c	8 3%c	3 3%k	*	3 1%	11 4%zk	1	3 2%	13 <i>1%</i>	8 5%z	2 m 1%	7 3%	3 1%	5 2%	1 1%	*	3 1%	-	1 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 76

							KNOWLE	DGE OF	KNOWLE	DGE OF	LIKELIH	OOD TO V	OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP			
	i i	PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		UK PO		PARLIA			ELEC			STRE		INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE	LABOUR		OTHER		KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	CERTAIN	LIKELY	UNLIKELY	CERTA -INLY NOT	STRONG	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(0)	(p)	(q)	(r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
Can be trusted to keep its promises	396 32%fg	99 gn 32%f	165 37%zc	15 f <i>21%</i>	32 33%f	20 15%	187 <i>29%</i>	209 <i>35%</i>	191 <i>31%</i>	205 <i>33%</i>	262 35%n	59 <i>29%</i>	57 39%n	16 <i>16%</i>	137 <i>30%</i>	259 <i>34%</i>	226 <i>32%</i>	171 <i>32</i> %
Has policies I fully support	371 30%fh npr	99 njm 31%f	167 37%zf	27 39%f	26 27%f	14 10%	251 39%zh	120 <i>20%</i>	243 40%zj	128 <i>21%</i>	270 36%zm	68 n 33%mr	16 1 11%	16 <i>16</i> %	188 41%zp	182 <i>2</i> 4%	277 39%zr	94 18%
Represents the interests of people like me	349 28%fr	89 nr 28%f	138 31%f	25 35%f	31 32%f	22 17%	198 <i>31%</i>	151 <i>25</i> %	189 <i>31%</i>	159 <i>26%</i>	228 30%n	65 31%n	38 <i>26%</i>	13 <i>13</i> %	143 <i>31%</i>	205 <i>27%</i>	220 31%zr	129 <i>24%</i>
Takes on board the views of the public	289 24%n	75 24%	103 <i>23%</i>	21 <i>30</i> %	27 28%	25 19%	154 <i>24%</i>	135 <i>23%</i>	148 <i>2</i> 4%	141 <i>23%</i>	192 25%n	46 22%	37 <i>26</i> %	13 13%	102 <i>22</i> %	188 <i>25%</i>	164 <i>23%</i>	125 <i>2</i> 4%
Believes in the same thing as me	278 23%fh np	73 njm 23%f	114 26%f	24 34%f	19 19%f	12 9%	164 26%zh	114 19%	158 26%zj	120 <i>19%</i>	197 26%zm	48 n 23%mr	18 1 12%	11 11%	142 31%zp	137 18%	177 25%zr	101 <i>19%</i>
Is most competent	244 20%fh r	103 njm 33%zb f	79 ne 18%f	13 19%f	17 17%f	9 <i>7</i> %	156 25%zh	88 15%	154 25%zj	90 14%	170 22%zm	46 n <i>22</i> %	17 12%	11 11%	104 <i>23%</i>	140 18%	170 24%zr	74 14%
Has a leader I prefer	171 14%fh npr	70 njm 22%zb	62 of 14%f	9 13%	12 13%	6 <i>5</i> %	112 18%zh	59 10%	113 19%zj	58 <i>9%</i>	125 16%zm	28 n <i>14%</i>	9 <i>6</i> %	6 <i>6%</i>	85 19%zp	86 11%	123 18%zr	48 <i>9%</i>
Has a local candidate I prefer	147 12%fr	48 1 15%f	49 11%f	19 27%zb f	17 18%f	2 2%	75 12%	72 12%	82 14%	65 10%	104 14%zn	30 15%n	13 9%n	*	66 15%	80 10%	95 14%	52 10%
Is the least worst option	113 9%b	43 14%zb	29 6%	8 12%	11 11%	11 <i>8</i> %	71 11%z	42 7%	67 11%z	46 <i>7</i> %	75 10%	19 <i>9</i> %	13 <i>9</i> %	6 <i>6%</i>	41 <i>9</i> %	72 9%	63 <i>9%</i>	50 <i>9</i> %
Leads campaigns around local issues	97 <i>8%</i>	19 <i>6</i> %	34 <i>8</i> %	8 11%	9 10%	6 <i>5</i> %	58 <i>9</i> %	39 <i>7</i> %	48 <i>8%</i>	49 <i>8</i> %	65 <i>9</i> %	14 7%	11 <i>8</i> %	7 7%	41 <i>9</i> %	57 <i>7</i> %	67 10%z	31 <i>6</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 76

		Р	OLITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Draws party candidates from a cross-section of society	92 8%h	29 jr <i>9</i> %	35 <i>8%</i>	6 <i>9</i> %	8 <i>8%</i>	7 5%	70 11%zh	22 4%	66 11%zj	26 4%	71 9%z	12 <i>6</i> %	6 4%	4 4%	42 9%	51 <i>7</i> %	77 11%zr	16 <i>3</i> %
Promotes the single issue that is most important to me	83 7%fi	14 n <i>5</i> %	36 8%f	4 5%	12 12%za	2 f <i>2</i> %	45 7%	39 <i>7</i> %	46 <i>8</i> %	38 <i>6%</i>	57 8%n	18 9%n	7 5%	1 1%	35 <i>8%</i>	48 <i>6</i> %	54 <i>8%</i>	29 <i>6</i> %
Campaigns on the doorstep to meet local voters	65 <i>5</i> %	18 <i>6%</i>	23 <i>5</i> %	5 <i>8%</i>	9 10%	4 3%	26 <i>4%</i>	39 <i>7%</i>	26 <i>4%</i>	39 <i>6%</i>	38 <i>5%</i>	14 <i>7</i> %	11 <i>8</i> %	1 1%	25 <i>6</i> %	40 <i>5%</i>	35 <i>5</i> %	30 <i>6</i> %
Family / friends influence	4	-	3 1%				-	4 1%	-	4 1%	1 *	:	3 2%zk	-		4 1%	-	4 1%
Someone who considers the interests of the country	2 *	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	2 *	-	2 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *
No answer	9 1%g	- ik -	4 1%			5 4%z	1 ab *	8 1%	1 *	8 1%	*	1 1%	3 2%k	5 5%zk		9 1%	-	9 2%zq
Other	7 1%	2	3 1%	*		-	3	4 1%	3 1%	4 1%	5 1%		-	2 2%	5 1%	2	5 1%	2
None of these	47 4%a oq	5 bgik <i>2</i> %	4 1%	1 2%	4 4%b	22 16%z e	3 abc *	44 7%zg	3 *	44 7%zi	11 1%	4 2%	10 7%k	16 16%zk	1 *	41 5%zo	3 *	44 8%zq
I never vote for a political party	47 4%a loq	2 bgik <i>1%</i>	7 2%	-	1 1%	32 24%z e	8 abc <i>1%</i>	39 7%zg	1 *	46 7%zi	6 1%	1 1%	14 9%zkl	26 26%zk	1 lm *	46 6%zo	5 1%	42 8%zq
Don't know	21 2%q	ikog -	5 1%	-	-	7 6%z	2 abe *	18 3%zo	1	19 3%zi	4 1%	2 1%	4 3%	5 5%zk	1 *	15 2%o	2	19 4%za

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 77

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
Can be trusted to keep its promises	396 32%e	155 g <i>37</i> %	141 <i>32%</i>	183 34%e	148 35%e	65 24%	267 37%zg	119 <i>27</i> %	111 <i>31%</i>	282 34%
Has policies I fully support	371 30%e	157 f 37%zb	123 <i>28%</i>	207 39%zde	119 28%e	45 16%	183 <i>26%</i>	177 40%zf	107 <i>30%</i>	259 <i>32%</i>
Represents the interests of people like me	349 <i>28%</i>	132 <i>31%</i>	121 <i>28%</i>	158 <i>30%</i>	124 <i>2</i> 9%	67 24%	217 <i>30%</i>	121 <i>27%</i>	101 <i>28%</i>	245 <i>30%</i>
Takes on board the views of the public	289 24%b	110 e 26%b	81 <i>19%</i>	127 24%e	117 28%e	45 16%	183 <i>26%</i>	96 <i>22</i> %	67 19%	217 26%zh
Believes in the same thing as me	278 23%i	92 <i>22%</i>	106 <i>24</i> %	143 27%ze	87 <i>20%</i>	48 18%	147 <i>21%</i>	121 27%zf	104 29%zi	169 <i>21%</i>
Is most competent	244 20%e	82 i <i>20%</i>	92 <i>2</i> 1%	139 26%zde	78 18%e	27 10%	139 <i>20%</i>	98 <i>22%</i>	96 27%zi	145 <i>18%</i>
Has a leader I prefer	171 14%e	69 1 <i>6</i> %	68 15%	80 15%e	70 17%e	21 <i>8%</i>	93 <i>13%</i>	73 16%	66 18%zi	104 <i>13%</i>
Has a local candidate I prefer	147 12%e	55 13%	49 11%	73 14%e	63 15%e	11 <i>4</i> %	97 14%	47 11%	52 15%	94 11%
Is the least worst option	113 9%e	33 <i>8</i> %	51 <i>12</i> %	51 <i>10%</i>	47 11%e	14 <i>5</i> %	58 <i>8%</i>	55 12%z	26 <i>7</i> %	85 10%
Leads campaigns around local issues	97 8%b	48 e 11%zb	24 <i>6%</i>	55 10%ze	33 <i>8%</i>	10 4%	68 10%	29 <i>6%</i>	40 11%z	57 <i>7</i> %
Draws party candidates from a cross-section of society	92 8%e	40 9%	27 <i>6</i> %	51 10%ze	34 8%e	7 3%	48 7%	41 9%	28 <i>8%</i>	64 <i>8%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 77

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	FED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Promotes the single issue that is most important to me	83 <i>7</i> %	37 9%	24 5%	36 <i>7</i> %	29 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>7%</i>	55 <i>8%</i>	27 <i>6</i> %	25 7%	58 <i>7%</i>
Campaigns on the doorstep to meet local voters	65 <i>5%</i>	28 7%	23 <i>5</i> %	24 5%	25 <i>6%</i>	16 <i>6%</i>	44 6%	18 <i>4%</i>	17 <i>5%</i>	49 <i>6</i> %
Family / friends influence	4	-	2	2	1 *	1 1%	3 *	-	-	3
Someone who considers the interests of the country	2 *	1 *	1	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	- -	2 *
No answer	9 1%	-	4 1%	1 *	4 1%	4 2%	4 1%	3 1%	-	7 1%
Other	7 1%	3 1%	2	3 1%	3 1%	*	2	3 1%	1 *	5 1%
None of these	47 4%a	8 c <i>2</i> %	20 <i>5</i> %	1 *	17 4%c	29 10%zcd	21 <i>3</i> %	13 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	25 3%
I never vote for a political party	47 4%c	11 d <i>3</i> %	18 <i>4%</i>	2	2	44 16%zcd	25 4%	14 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>5</i> %	25 <i>3</i> %
Don't know	21 2%a	2 cdfi*	7 2%	2		19 7%zcd	4 1%	4 1%	-	9 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 78

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTI	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL	Agree	Disagre e	Active social media user	Non- active social media user	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)
	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	273	269	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
Can be trusted to keep its promises	396	225	101	93	95	84	51	195	249	46	176	87	215	49	189	60
	32%	37%z	<i>32%</i>	<i>35</i> %	<i>34</i> %	<i>32</i> %	40%	<i>34%</i>	37%z	30%	<i>36</i> %	<i>32%</i>	36%z	<i>32</i> %	<i>34%</i>	<i>34</i> %
Has policies I fully support	371	210	101	112	93	111	54	221	231	31	163	71	208	41	196	44
	30%i	34%z	<i>32%</i>	43%z	<i>34%</i>	43%z	42%z	38%z	34%zi	<i>20%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>26%</i>	34%z	27%	35%zo	25%
Represents the interests of people like me	349 28%	192 31%z	82 <i>26%</i>	94 36%z	90 <i>33%</i>	78 30%	52 40%z	187 32%z	217 32%z	38 <i>25%</i>	171 35%zk	70 26%	198 33%z	44 29%	165 <i>29%</i>	55 <i>32%</i>
Takes on board the views of the public	289 24%	139 <i>23%</i>	74 23%	71 <i>27</i> %	72 26%	67 <i>26%</i>	45 35%z	162 28%z	174 <i>26%</i>	40 26%	133 27%z	64 24%	146 <i>24%</i>	32 21%	131 <i>23%</i>	50 <i>29%</i>
Believes in the	278	160	64	76	66	85	33	152	180	31	122	82	164	30	156	38
same thing as me	23%	26%z	<i>20%</i>	29%z	24%	33%zg	<i>26%</i>	26%z	27%z	<i>20%</i>	<i>2</i> 5%	31%z	27%z	20%	28%z	<i>22</i> %
Is most competent	244	131	57	59	66	77	25	138	148	26	105	62	135	32	128	39
	20%	<i>21%</i>	18%	<i>22%</i>	<i>24%</i>	30%zg	20%	24%z	<i>22%</i>	17%	<i>21%</i>	<i>23%</i>	<i>22%</i>	21%	23%z	<i>22</i> %
Has a leader I	171	111	38	44	39	48	21	97	101	24	64	49	94	24	88	28
prefer	<i>14</i> %	18%zb	12%	17%	14%	18%	<i>16%</i>	17%z	<i>15%</i>	16%	13%	19%z	<i>15%</i>	16%	16%	16%
Has a local	147	87	36	25	32	44	11	69	71	12	50	25	59	22	58	16
candidate I prefer	12%l	14%z	11%	<i>9</i> %	11%	17%zg	<i>9</i> %	12%	11%	<i>8</i> %	10%	<i>9%</i>	10%	15%	10%	<i>9</i> %
Is the least worst option	113	46	35	28	26	17	16	58	65	20	33	41	62	16	66	16
	9%j	<i>8</i> %	11%	11%	<i>9</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>12%</i>	10%	10%	13%	<i>7</i> %	15%zj	10%	10%	12%z	<i>9%</i>
Leads campaigns around local issues	97 8%k	50 8%	26 <i>8</i> %	24 9%	26 <i>9</i> %	20 <i>8%</i>	11 <i>8</i> %	51 <i>9</i> %	55 <i>8%</i>	9 <i>6</i> %	53 11%zk	10 <i>4%</i>	51 <i>8</i> %	7 5%	55 10%o	6 <i>3</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 78

Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party ... BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPP) CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTI	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Draws party candidates from a cross-section of society	92 <i>8%</i>	57 9%z	18 <i>6</i> %	30 12%z	22 8%	22 9%	15 12%	59 10%z	66 10%z	6 4%	42 9%	17 <i>6</i> %	47 8%	13 <i>9</i> %	49 <i>9</i> %	15 9%
Promotes the single issue that is most important to me	83 7%	43 <i>7</i> %	22 7%	16 <i>6%</i>	23 <i>8%</i>	17 <i>7</i> %	9 <i>7</i> %	53 9%z	52 8%	13 <i>9</i> %	39 <i>8%</i>	15 <i>6%</i>	42 7%	13 <i>8%</i>	42 7%	9 5%
Campaigns on the doorstep to meet local voters	65 <i>5</i> %	37 <i>6</i> %	18 <i>6</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	18 <i>6%</i>	10 <i>4%</i>	9 7%	32 <i>5%</i>	33 <i>5%</i>	9 <i>6%</i>	29 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	35 <i>6%</i>	7 5%	30 <i>5%</i>	8 5%
Family / friends influence	4 *	1 *	1	-	-	-	-	2	3	1 1%	2	1 *	3 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%
Someone who considers the interests of the country	2 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	-	2 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *
No answer	9 1%n	-	3 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	2	2	1 1%	1 *	1 *	-	1 1%	1 *	1 1%
Other	7 1%	7 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	2 1%	3	2	2 1%	1 *	2 1%	2	2 1%	1 *	2 1%
None of these	47 4%a hjln	5 acdeg 1%	16 5%a	-	2 1%	-	-	6 1%	12 <i>2</i> %	7 4%	9 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	6 4%	10 <i>2</i> %	4 2%
I never vote for a political party	47 4%a jn	1 acegh *	20 6%a	4 1%	7 2%	2 1%	2 1%	3 1%	17 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	9 <i>2</i> %	13 5%j	17 <i>3</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	8 5%
Don't know	21	5 aghn 1%	3 1%	-	2 1%	1	-	1	6 1%	1	6 1%	1	6 1%	-	4 1%	:

11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 79

Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54	55-64 (h)	65+	18-34	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	(z) 1230	(a) 625	(D) 605	161	(u) 166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	(P) 274	(q) 576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	23 2%	14 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	3 1%	7 3%	1 *	4 1%	9 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	5 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	4 1%	7 3%	6 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	16 2%
[2] Fairly good at it	175 14%0	94 16%	81 <i>13%</i>	20 14%	30 14%	25 12%	33 15%	25 14%	43 16%	49 14%	58 14%	68 15%	48 15%	58 17%o	22 9%	47 15%	104 <i>14</i> %	71 14%	42 12%	133 <i>15%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	509 41%	241 40%	268 <i>43%</i>	68 <i>48%</i>	97 46%	82 41%	79 <i>36%</i>	75 42%	108 <i>39%</i>	165 <i>47</i> %	161 <i>38%</i>	183 <i>40%</i>	125 <i>38%</i>	132 <i>38%</i>	113 <i>45%</i>	140 <i>46%</i>	305 <i>42%</i>	204 40%	155 <i>44%</i>	354 40%
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 <i>27</i> %	155 <i>26%</i>	173 <i>27</i> %	31 <i>22%</i>	47 22%	64 <i>32%</i>	62 <i>28%</i>	46 <i>26</i> %	78 <i>28%</i>	78 <i>22</i> %	127 <i>30%</i>	124 <i>27</i> %	109 33%zop	97 <i>28%</i>	55 <i>22</i> %	68 <i>22%</i>	188 <i>26%</i>	141 <i>28%</i>	94 <i>27%</i>	235 <i>27%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	185 <i>15%</i>	90 <i>15%</i>	95 <i>15%</i>	16 11%	32 15%	26 13%	39 18%	29 16%	43 16%	48 13%	66 16%	72 16%	41 <i>12</i> %	51 <i>15%</i>	55 22%zmp	39 13%	105 <i>15%</i>	80 16%	50 14%	135 <i>15</i> %
MEAN	3.39	3.36	3.42	3.26	3.33	3.43	3.43	3.44	3.41	3.30	3.43	3.42	3.40	3.39	3.51p	3.29	3.37	3.42	3.39	3.39
Very/ fairly good at it	198 <i>16%</i>	108 <i>18%</i>	90 <i>14%</i>	23 16%	35 17%	28 14%	39 18%	25 14%	47 17%	58 17%	67 16%	73 16%	54 16%	62 18%	29 11%	53 17%	118 <i>16%</i>	80 16%	50 14%	148 <i>17</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	514 42%c	246 jp <i>41%</i>	268 <i>42%</i>	46 33%	79 <i>37</i> %	91 <i>45%</i>	102 46%c	75 42%	121 <i>44</i> %	125 <i>36</i> %	192 46%j	196 <i>43%</i>	150 45%p	148 <i>43%</i>	109 <i>43%</i>	107 <i>35%</i>	292 41%	221 <i>43%</i>	144 <i>41%</i>	370 <i>42%</i>
NET good	-315 <i>-26%</i>	-138 <i>-23%</i>	-178 <i>-28%</i>	-23 -17%	-44 -21%	-63 <i>-31%</i>	-62 <i>-28%</i>	-49 -28%	-74 <i>-27</i> %	-67 -19%	-125 <i>-30%</i>	-123 <i>-27%</i>	-95 <i>-29%</i>	-86 <i>-25%</i>	-80 <i>-32%</i>	-54 -18%	-174 <i>-24%</i>	-142 <i>-28%</i>	-94 <i>-27%</i>	-221 <i>-25%</i>
Don't know	9 1%	5 1%	4 1%	3 2%z	-		-	3 <i>2%</i>	2 1%	3 1%	:	5 1%	1 *	1	2 1%	5 2%	3	6 1%	*	8 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 80

Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

			ACCESS TO INTERNET TENURE OWNED BUYING LOCAL RENTED OWNED BUYING LOCAL RENTED					(GOVERN	IMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	WSPAPER ERSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)		RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	23 2%c	23 <i>2</i> %	*	3 1%	7 2%	2 1%	11 4%c	3 <i>3</i> %	*	3 1%	12 4%z	2 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	18 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	7 2%	2 1%	7 2%	4 1%	3 2%	6 <i>3%</i>	8 <i>2</i> %	*	6 <i>3</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	175 <i>14</i> %	156 <i>14%</i>	19 19%	66 <i>16</i> %	52 15%	18 11%	35 12%	18 <i>17</i> %	5 9%	43 15%	42 13%	32 11%	34 <i>20%</i>	145 <i>13%</i>	29 21%z	39 m <i>13%</i>	31 <i>13%</i>	56 17%	38 13%	21 14%	35 18%	78 16%	19 <i>18%</i>	37 16%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	509 41%g	468 q <i>42%</i>	42 40%	164 <i>3</i> 9%	133 <i>37%</i>	72 44%	138 50%zc d	34 <i>32</i> %	28 46%	121 <i>41%</i>	126 <i>39%</i>	129 46%g	71 <i>43</i> %	455 <i>42%</i>	54 38%	133 45%d	97 42%	109 <i>33%</i>	130 46%q	57 <i>37</i> %	80 41%	187 <i>38%</i>	40 <i>37</i> %	104 <i>45</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 27%ft	302 <i>27%</i>	27 26%	113 <i>27</i> %	115 32%zf	45 28%	53 19%	34 <i>32</i> %	15 24%	79 <i>27%</i>	88 <i>27</i> %	74 26%	39 <i>23%</i>	298 <i>27%</i>	30 <i>22%</i>	69 <i>23%</i>	70 30%	112 34%zo r	59 21%	46 30%t	33 17%	155 32%zt	31 <i>28%</i>	56 24%
[5] Very bad at it	185 <i>15%</i>	172 <i>15</i> %	13 13%	71 <i>17</i> %	48 13%	25 15%	40 14%	13 <i>13%</i>	11 18%	47 16%	51 <i>16</i> %	44 16%	19 11%	162 <i>15%</i>	23 16%	47 16%	33 14%	48 14%	46 16%	24 15%	42 21%zu	60 12%	19 <i>17</i> %	25 11%
MEAN	3.39w	3.40	3.32	3.44	3.40	3.46	3.27	3.36	3.521	3.42	3.39	3.45	3.22	3.41	3.27	3.38	3.44	3.41	3.38	3.44	3.35	3.37	3.44	3.24
Very/ fairly good at it	198 16%m	178 1 16%	20 19%	69 16%	60 17%	20 12%	46 16%	21 <i>20%</i>	6 9%	46 16%	54 17%	34 12%	37 22%hl	163 15%	34 24%z	45 m 15%	33 14%	63 19%	42 15%	24 16%	41 21%	86 18%	20 18%	44 19%
Fairly/ very bad at it	514 42%f	474 <i>42</i> %	40 <i>39%</i>	184 44%f	163 46%f	70 <i>43%</i>	93 <i>33%</i>	48 44%	26 <i>42%</i>	125 <i>42%</i>	139 <i>43%</i>	118 <i>42%</i>	58 <i>35%</i>	460 <i>42%</i>	53 <i>38%</i>	116 <i>39</i> %	103 <i>44%</i>	160 48%zı	105 37%	69 <i>45%</i>	75 38%	215 <i>44%</i>	50 <i>45%</i>	81 <i>35</i> %
NET good	-315 <i>-26%</i>	-295 <i>-26%</i>	-20 -19%	-115 <i>-27%</i>	-104 <i>-29%</i>	-50 -31%	-47 -17%	-27 -25%	-20 -33%	-79 <i>-27%</i>	-85 <i>-26%</i>	-84 <i>-30%</i>	-21 -13%	-297 <i>-27%</i>	-19 <i>-14%</i>	-70 <i>-24%</i>	-71 -30%	-96 <i>-29%</i>	-63 <i>-22%</i>	-45 -30%	-34 -17%	-128 <i>-26%</i>	-30 <i>-28%</i>	-37 -16%
Don't know	9 1%	7 1%	2 2%	3 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	4 4%zij kl	2 j 3%zjk I	3 1%		-	-	9 1%	-	1 *	1 *	1	6 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	*	1 *	-	3 <i>2</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 81

Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		P	OLITICAL	. PARTY S	SUPPORT		KNOWLE UK POL	LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE		POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	23 2%	3 1%	12 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	9 1%	14 2%	9 1%	14 <i>2</i> %	11 1%	7 3%	5 <i>3</i> %		9 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>2</i> %	7 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	175 14%h	53 r <i>17</i> %	67 15%	14 20%f	12 13%	11 <i>8</i> %	108 17%zh	67 11%	97 16%	77 12%	118 <i>16%</i>	29 14%	16 11%	9 <i>9</i> %	69 15%	106 <i>14%</i>	119 17%zr	56 11%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	509 41%b kq	144 egi 46%be	159 36%	25 35%	26 26%	73 55%z e	226 bc <i>35%</i>	283 48%zg	217 <i>36%</i>	292 47%zi	291 <i>38%</i>	86 <i>42</i> %	67 46%	52 52%k	175 <i>38%</i>	325 <i>43%</i>	256 <i>36%</i>	254 48%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 27%h	83 jr <i>27</i> %	134 <i>30%</i>	23 <i>33</i> %	26 <i>27</i> %	27 20%	202 32%zh	127 <i>21%</i>	189 31%zj	139 <i>22%</i>	226 30%z	46 23%	34 <i>23%</i>	21 <i>21%</i>	128 <i>28%</i>	200 <i>26%</i>	215 31%zr	113 <i>22</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	185 15%a	30 <i>9%</i>	74 17%a	7 10%	29 30%za bcf	17 13%	90 14%	95 16%	94 16%	91 <i>15%</i>	107 <i>14%</i>	37 18%	24 16%	16 16%	75 16%	110 <i>14%</i>	97 14%	88 17%
MEAN	3.39a	3.27	3.43	3.31	3.73z abcf	3.35	3.40	3.38	3.43	3.35	3.40	3.38	3.39	3.44	3.42	3.38	3.37	3.42
Very/ fairly good at it	198 16%r	56 18%	79 18%	15 <i>21%</i>	14 14%	13 10%	117 18%z	81 <i>14%</i>	106 <i>17</i> %	92 15%	129 <i>17</i> %	36 17%	20 14%	9 <i>9</i> %	78 17%	120 <i>16%</i>	135 19%zr	63 12%
Fairly/ very bad at it	514 42%h	,	208 47%za	30 af 43%	55 57%za f	44 33%	292 46%zh	221 <i>37</i> %	283 47%zj	230 <i>37</i> %	334 <i>44</i> %	83 <i>41%</i>	58 39%	37 36%	203 <i>45</i> %	310 <i>41%</i>	312 <i>44</i> %	202 38%
NET good	-315 <i>-26%</i>	-57 -18%	-129 <i>-29%</i>	-15 <i>-22%</i>	-41 -43%	-31 <i>-23%</i>	-175 <i>-27%</i>	-140 <i>-24%</i>	-177 <i>-29%</i>	-138 <i>-22%</i>	-205 <i>-27%</i>	-48 <i>-23%</i>	-37 <i>-25%</i>	-28 <i>-27%</i>	-125 <i>-27%</i>	-190 <i>-25%</i>	-177 <i>-25%</i>	-138 <i>-26%</i>
Don't know	9 1%g	1 ioq*	1 *	-	2 2%b	3 <i>2</i> %	2	7 1%	1	8 1%	5 1%	1 *	1 1%	3 3%z	*	7 1%	1	8 1%q

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 82

Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	23	13	3	10	5	9	13	9	8	15
	<i>2</i> %	<i>3%</i>	1%	<i>2</i> %	1%	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	175	98	36	95	46	34	114	56	86	86
	14%b	di 23%zb	<i>8%</i>	18%zd	11%	13%	<i>16%</i>	13%	24%zi	11%
[3] Neither good	509	162	146	190	169	150	288	173	161	303
nor bad at it	41%bo	ci <i>38%</i>	<i>33</i> %	<i>36%</i>	<i>40%</i>	55%zcd	40%	<i>39%</i>	45%i	<i>37%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	329	105	143	162	125	42	194	126	75	253
	27%el	n <i>25%</i>	32%za	30%ze	29%e	15%	<i>27%</i>	<i>28%</i>	21%	31%zh
[5] Very bad at it	185	41	106	72	77	36	101	78	25	159
	15%al	10%	24%za	14%	18%	13%	<i>14%</i>	18%	<i>7</i> %	19%zh
MEAN	3.39aeh	3.15	3.72za	3.36	3.53zce	3.23	3.36	3.47	3.06	3.56zh
Very/ fairly good at it	198	111	40	105	50	43	126	65	94	101
	16%b	di 26%zb	<i>9%</i>	20%zd	12%	16%	<i>18%</i>	<i>15%</i>	26%zi	<i>12%</i>
Fairly/ very bad	514	146	249	234	202	78	295	205	100	412
at it	42%a	eh <i>35%</i>	57%za	44%e	48%ze	28%	41%	46%	<i>28%</i>	50%zh
NET good	-315	-35	-209	-129	-151	-35	-169	-139	-6	-311
	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-8%</i>	<i>-48%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-36%</i>	<i>-13%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-31%</i>	<i>-2%</i>	<i>-38%</i>
Don't know	9 1%	3 1%	4 1%	3	3 1%	3 1%	3	1 *	2	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 83

Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI	_	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	23 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	4 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	11 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	17 4%zk	1 *	17 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	3 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	175 14%	108 18%z	39 12%	35 13%	51 <i>18%</i>	41 <i>16%</i>	22 17%	99 17%z	115 17%z	15 10%	101 21%zk	27 10%	94 16%	17 11%	89 16%	32 18%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	509 41%b In	241 ghj <i>39</i> %	101 <i>32</i> %	98 <i>37</i> %	100 <i>36</i> %	109 <i>42%</i>	45 35%	216 <i>37</i> %	256 <i>38%</i>	56 <i>37</i> %	176 <i>36%</i>	111 <i>41%</i>	219 <i>36%</i>	59 <i>38%</i>	204 <i>36%</i>	60 <i>35%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 <i>27%</i>	161 <i>26%</i>	102 <i>32</i> %	87 33%z	81 <i>29%</i>	71 <i>27</i> %	47 36%z	182 31%z	193 <i>29%</i>	36 <i>24%</i>	125 <i>26%</i>	81 <i>30%</i>	172 <i>28%</i>	43 28%	155 <i>28%</i>	47 27%
[5] Very bad at it	185 <i>15%</i>	80 13%	68 21%za	34 13%	41 <i>15%</i>	34 13%	13 10%	74 13%	93 14%	40 26%zh	69 14%	46 17%	102 <i>17</i> %	32 21%	95 <i>17</i> %	32 18%
MEAN	3.39aj	3.30	3.59za	3.39	3.38	3.34	3.35	3.36	3.36	3.63zh	3.26	3.54zj	3.41	3.55	3.40	3.42
Very/ fairly good at it	198 16%k	124 20%z	45 14%	44 17%	55 20%	47 18%	25 19%	110 19%z	127 19%z	18 <i>12%</i>	118 24%zk	28 10%	110 <i>18%</i>	20 13%	104 <i>19%</i>	35 20%
Fairly/ very bad at it	514 <i>42</i> %	241 <i>3</i> 9%	170 53%za	121 46%	122 44%	105 <i>40%</i>	60 <i>46%</i>	256 44%	286 <i>43%</i>	76 50%	194 <i>40%</i>	127 <i>48%</i>	274 45%z	75 49%	250 <i>45%</i>	79 <i>45%</i>
NET good	-315 <i>-26%</i>	-117 <i>-19%</i>	-125 <i>-39%</i>	-78 -30%	-68 <i>-24%</i>	-58 <i>-22%</i>	-35 <i>-27%</i>	-146 <i>-25%</i>	-159 <i>-24%</i>	-58 <i>-38%</i>	-76 -15%	-99 <i>-37%</i>	-164 <i>-27%</i>	-55 <i>-36%</i>	-145 <i>-26%</i>	-44 -25%
Don't know	9 1%	4 1%	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	4 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	2	-	2	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 84

Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	34	18	16	6	10	3	4	3	9	16	6	12	6	6	12	10	19	15	7	27
	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>3%</i>	4%	<i>5</i> %	1%	2%	<i>2</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>4%</i>	1%	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	5%	<i>3</i> %	<i>3%</i>	<i>3</i> %	2%	3%
[2] Fairly good at it	195	95	99	13	30	35	40	30	47	43	75	77	65	61	31	38	120	75	59	136
	16%c	16%	16%	<i>9</i> %	14%	18%	18%	17%	17%	12%	18%	17%	<i>20%</i>	<i>18%</i>	<i>12</i> %	<i>13%</i>	<i>17</i> %	15%	17%	<i>15%</i>
[3] Neither good	454	205	249	66	94	72	63	56	102	160	136	158	108	112	102	132	263	190	148	305
nor bad at it	37%ft	<i>34%</i>	<i>39%</i>	47%zfr	44%fh	36%	<i>29%</i>	31%	<i>37</i> %	45%zkl	<i>32%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>40%</i>	43%zn	nn <i>37%</i>	<i>37</i> %	42%zt	<i>35%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	355	183	172	38	47	62	71	56	81	85	133	137	107	114	62	71	213	142	97	258
	<i>29%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>27</i> %	<i>27</i> %	22%	31%	<i>32</i> %	31%	<i>29%</i>	24%	<i>31%</i>	<i>30%</i>	33%p	33%p	<i>25%</i>	<i>23%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%
[5] Very bad at it	187	94	93	14	31	28	43	32	39	46	71	70	42	49	45	51	100	86	37	150
	15%s	16%	15%	10%	<i>15%</i>	14%	19%	18%	14%	13%	<i>17</i> %	15%	13%	14%	18%	<i>17</i> %	<i>14%</i>	17%	11%	17%zs
MEAN	3.38	3.40	3.36	3.30	3.29	3.39	3.50	3.47	3.34	3.29	3.44	3.39	3.35	3.41	3.39	3.38	3.36	3.41	3.28	3.42
Very/ fairly good	229	114	115	19	40	38	43	33	56	59	81	89	71	67	43	48	140	90	66	163
at it	19%	<i>19%</i>	<i>18%</i>	<i>14%</i>	19%	19%	20%	19%	<i>20%</i>	17%	<i>19%</i>	<i>20%</i>	<i>22</i> %	20%	17%	16%	<i>19%</i>	18%	19%	<i>19%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	542	277	265	52	78	90	113	88	120	130	203	208	149	163	107	122	313	228	134	407
	44%js	46%	<i>42</i> %	37%	<i>37</i> %	<i>45%</i>	52%cd	<i>49%</i>	<i>43</i> %	<i>37%</i>	48%j	45%j	<i>45%</i>	<i>48%</i>	<i>42%</i>	40%	<i>44</i> %	<i>45%</i>	<i>38%</i>	46%zs
NET good	-312	-163	-149	-33	-39	-52	-70	-55	-64	-72	-122	-118	-78	-96	-64	-74	-174	-139	-68	-244
	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-27%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-18%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-32%</i>	-31%	<i>-23%</i>	-20%	<i>-29%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-28%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	-24%	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-27%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	<i>-28%</i>
Don't know	5 *	4 1%	1 *	3 2%zi		*		1 1%	-	3 1%	*	1	*	1	1 1%	3 1%	3	3 *	*	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 85

Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		ACCE			TENURE																	DAILY NE		
		INTE	RNET		TEN				GOVERN	IMENT C	DFFICE	REGIO	V	ETHN	ICITY	0005/	EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND	WALES (h)	NORTH I	MID- LANDS (i)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	34 <i>3</i> %	31 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	16 6%zd	2 2%	* 1%	5 2%	15 <i>5</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	28 <i>3</i> %	7 5%	7 2%	6 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	8 4%	14 <i>3</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>5</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	195 16%j	179 <i>16%</i>	15 15%	68 16%	65 18%	21 <i>13%</i>	39 14%	14 13%	7 12%	52 18%	36 11%	42 15%	43 26%zç hjk	166 1 15%	28 20%	35 12%	35 15%	66 20%zc	51 18%	20 13%	26 13%	98 20%z	21 19%	46 20%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	454 37%q	407 u <i>36</i> %	47 46%	150 <i>36%</i>	119 <i>33%</i>	64 <i>39</i> %	118 <i>42%</i>	32 <i>30%</i>	25 42%	100 <i>34%</i>	116 <i>36%</i>	115 <i>41%</i>	66 <i>40%</i>	400 <i>37</i> %	53 <i>38%</i>	112 <i>38</i> %	84 <i>36%</i>	103 <i>31%</i>	121 42%q	56 <i>37</i> %	65 <i>33%</i>	150 <i>31%</i>	32 <i>2</i> 9%	89 <i>38%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%ln r	326 <i>29%</i>	29 <i>28%</i>	124 <i>30%</i>	114 <i>32</i> %	43 26%	69 <i>25%</i>	38 36%zi I	17 28%	76 26%	105 33%l	86 <i>31%</i>	32 20%	324 30%n	30 21%	88 30%r	78 33%r	113 34%zr	57 20%	37 24%	64 <i>32%</i>	155 <i>32%</i>	37 <i>34%</i>	58 <i>25</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	187 <i>15%</i>	179 <i>16%</i>	8 <i>8</i> %	67 16%	51 14%	32 20%	34 12%	16 15%	9 14%	62 21%zk I	48 15%	32 11%	19 12%	164 <i>15%</i>	23 16%	53 18%	31 <i>13%</i>	44 13%	44 15%	32 21%	34 17%	71 14%	18 17%	26 11%
MEAN	3.38l w	3.39	3.22	3.41	3.39	3.50f	3.24	3.511	3.451	3.471	3.421	3.34	3.11	3.40	3.23	3.50z r	3.40	3.36	3.27	3.46	3.45	3.35	3.44w	3.17
Very/ fairly good at it	229 19%0	210 19%	19 18%	77 18%	72 20%	24 15%	56 <i>20%</i>	16 <i>15%</i>	8 13%	57 19%	51 16%	48 17%	48 29%zç hjk	194 1 18%	35 25%z	41 m <i>14</i> %	41 17%	73 22%o	60 <i>21%</i>	26 17%	34 17%	112 23%z	23 <i>21%</i>	58 25%z
Fairly/ very bad at it	542 44%lr w	505 45%	37 <i>36%</i>	191 <i>46</i> %	166 <i>46</i> %	75 46%	103 <i>37</i> %	55 51%l	26 43%	138 47%l	153 48%l	118 <i>42%</i>	52 31%	488 <i>45%</i>	52 37%	141 48%r	109 47%r	157 47%r	100 <i>35</i> %	69 <i>45</i> %	97 <i>50%</i>	226 <i>46%</i>	56 50%w	83 <i>36</i> %
NET good	-312 <i>-25</i> %	-294 <i>-26%</i>	-18 <i>-18</i> %	-114 <i>-27</i> %	-94 <i>-26%</i>	-51 <i>-31%</i>	-48 <i>-17</i> %	-39 <i>-36%</i>	-18 <i>-30%</i>	-81 <i>-27%</i>	-102 <i>-32%</i>	-69 <i>-25%</i>	-4 -2%	-294 <i>-27</i> %	-17 -12%	-100 <i>-34%</i>	-68 <i>-29%</i>	-83 <i>-25%</i>	-41 -14%	-43 <i>-28%</i>	-64 <i>-32%</i>	-114 <i>-23%</i>	-33 <i>-29%</i>	-25 -11%
Don't know	5 *	5	-	1 *	2 1%	-	1 1%	4 4%zij kl	2 3%zij kl	-	-	-	-	5	-	1 *	1 *	*	3 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	-	1 *	-	2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 86

Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	34 3%g	9 <i>3</i> %	13 <i>3</i> %		2 2%	6 <i>5</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	23 4%	12 <i>2</i> %	23 4%	17 <i>2</i> %	7 4%	8 <i>5%</i>	2 2%	13 <i>3</i> %	21 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>3</i> %	17 3%
[2] Fairly good at it	195 16%fj	80 r 25%zb f	57 e 13%f	12 17%f	9 <i>9</i> %	7 5%	115 <i>18%</i>	80 13%	117 19%zj	78 12%	128 <i>17</i> %	37 18%	21 <i>14</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	84 18%	111 <i>15</i> %	128 18%zr	67 13%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	454 37%e oq	111 gik <i>36</i> %	155 <i>35</i> %	23 <i>33</i> %	24 <i>2</i> 5%	64 48%z e	205 ab <i>32</i> %	248 42%zg	191 <i>31%</i>	263 42%zi	257 <i>3</i> 4%	79 <i>38%</i>	60 <i>41%</i>	42 42%	145 <i>32%</i>	299 39%o	232 <i>33%</i>	222 42%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%h	83 mr <i>26%</i>	156 35%za	29 of 41%f	31 <i>32%</i>	28 21%	208 33%zh	147 <i>2</i> 5%	193 32%z	161 <i>26</i> %	244 32%zm	56 1 <i>27</i> %	27 18%	26 <i>26%</i>	141 <i>31%</i>	214 <i>28%</i>	225 32%zr	130 <i>25%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	187 15%a	31 10%	66 15%	6 <i>9%</i>	29 30%za bc	25 19%a	97 15%	90 <i>15%</i>	95 16%	92 15%	111 <i>15%</i>	26 13%	30 20%	19 19%	74 16%	113 <i>15%</i>	100 <i>14%</i>	86 16%
MEAN	3.38a	3.15	3.46a	3.42	3.79z abcf	3.45a	3.41	3.34	3.40	3.36	3.40	3.27	3.35	3.54	3.39	3.38	3.37	3.39
Very/ fairly good at it	229 19%f	88 28%zb f	70 e 16%	12 17%	11 <i>12</i> %	13 10%	126 <i>20%</i>	103 <i>17</i> %	129 21%z	101 <i>16%</i>	145 19%	44 22%n	28 19%	10 10%	97 <i>21%</i>	131 <i>17</i> %	146 <i>21%</i>	83 16%
Fairly/ very bad at it	542 44%a	113 h <i>36</i> %	222 50%za	35 50%	60 62%za bf	53 40%	305 48%zh	237 40%	288 47%z	253 41%	355 47%z	82 40%	57 39%	46 45%	214 <i>47</i> %	327 <i>43%</i>	325 46%	216 41%
NET good	-312 <i>-25</i> %	-25 -8%	-152 <i>-34%</i>	-23 -33%	-49 -51%	-40 <i>-30%</i>	-178 <i>-28%</i>	-134 <i>-23%</i>	-159 <i>-26%</i>	-153 <i>-25%</i>	-210 <i>-28%</i>	-38 -18%	-29 <i>-20%</i>	-36 <i>-35%</i>	-117 <i>-26%</i>	-196 <i>-26%</i>	-179 <i>-25%</i>	-133 <i>-25%</i>
Don't know	5 *gko	- q -	1	-	1 1%	3 2%z	* ab *	5 1%	-	5 1%	1 *	1	1 1%	3 3%zk	-	5 1%	*	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 87

Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		INVOLVED IN P		HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	34	18	10	10	10	15	24	8	22	12
	3%i	<i>4%</i>	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	5%zc	3%	<i>2</i> %	6%zi	1%
[2] Fairly good at it	195	89	42	96	63	36	112	81	109	82
	16%bi	21%zb	10%	18%	15%	13%	<i>16</i> %	<i>18%</i>	30%zi	10%
[3] Neither good	454	146	130	178	138	138	257	144	149	261
nor bad at it	37%b	gi <i>35%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>33%</i>	50%zcd	36%	<i>32</i> %	42%i	<i>32%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	355	123	145	181	123	50	198	147	60	295
	29%el	h <i>29%</i>	<i>33</i> %	34%ze	29%e	18%	<i>28%</i>	33%z	<i>17</i> %	36%zh
[5] Very bad at it	187	46	108	64	91	32	121	63	17	169
	15%a	ch 11%	25%za	12%	21%zce	12%	<i>17</i> %	14%	<i>5</i> %	21%zh
MEAN	3.38aeh	3.21	3.69za	3.37e	3.52ze	3.18	3.39	3.40	2.83	3.64zh
Very/ fairly good at it	229	107	52	106	73	51	135	89	131	94
	19%bi	25%zb	12%	<i>20%</i>	17%	19%	<i>19%</i>	20%	37%zi	11%
Fairly/ very bad at it	542	169	253	246	214	82	319	211	77	464
	44%el	h <i>40%</i>	58%za	46%e	50%ze	<i>30</i> %	<i>45%</i>	<i>47</i> %	21%	56%zh
NET good	-312	-62	-201	-140	-141	-31	-184	-122	54	-369
	<i>-25%</i>	-15%	<i>-46%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	-33%	-11%	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-27</i> %	15%	<i>-45%</i>
Don't know	5 *	1	4	2	-	3 1%	1	1	1 *	3

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 88

Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTI\ SOCIAL	-	VOTI	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	34 3%k	23 4%	9 <i>3</i> %	11 4%	10 4%	14 5%zg	2 1%	13 <i>2</i> %	19 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	20 4%k	2 1%	21 <i>3</i> %	3 2%	17 <i>3</i> %	4 3%
[2] Fairly good at it	195 16%i	128 21%zb	41 13%	31 <i>12</i> %	56 20%c	52 20%	21 16%	96 16%	104 <i>15</i> %	13 <i>9</i> %	88 18%k	31 11%	87 14%	18 <i>12</i> %	86 15%	23 13%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	454 37%b j	211 dgh <i>35%</i>	85 <i>27%</i>	83 <i>32</i> %	85 31%	88 <i>34%</i>	43 <i>33</i> %	192 <i>33</i> %	229 <i>34</i> %	56 <i>37</i> %	160 <i>33%</i>	102 <i>38%</i>	209 <i>35</i> %	52 34%	193 <i>34</i> %	67 39%
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%	171 <i>28%</i>	114 36%z	88 <i>33%</i>	89 <i>32</i> %	82 <i>32</i> %	41 <i>32%</i>	200 34%z	208 <i>31%</i>	41 <i>27</i> %	142 <i>2</i> 9%	83 <i>31%</i>	178 <i>2</i> 9%	56 37%z	162 <i>2</i> 9%	44 25%
[5] Very bad at it	187 15%a	74 e 12%	70 22%za	49 19%	37 14%	24 9%	23 18%e	80 14%e	110 <i>16%</i>	35 23%z	78 16%	49 18%	109 18%z	23 15%	99 18%	36 21%
MEAN	3.38ae	3.24	3.62za	3.50	3.32	3.20	3.48e	3.41e	3.43	3.57z	3.35	3.55zj	3.44	3.51	3.43	3.48
Very/ fairly good at it	229 19%k	152 25%zb	49 15%	43 16%	66 24%z	66 25%zg	23 18%	109 <i>19%</i>	122 18%	19 <i>12</i> %	109 22%zk	32 12%	107 <i>18%</i>	22 14%	104 <i>19%</i>	28 16%
Fairly/ very bad at it	542 44%a	246 <i>40%</i>	184 58%za	137 52%z	127 <i>46</i> %	107 <i>41%</i>	64 <i>50%</i>	281 48%ze	318 47%z	76 <i>50%</i>	220 <i>45%</i>	132 <i>49%</i>	287 48%z	79 <i>52%</i>	261 <i>47%</i>	79 46%
NET good	-312 <i>-25%</i>	-94 -15%	-135 <i>-42%</i>	-94 <i>-36%</i>	-61 <i>-22%</i>	-41 -16%	-41 -32%	-172 <i>-29%</i>	-196 <i>-29%</i>	-57 <i>-38%</i>	-111 <i>-23%</i>	-100 <i>-37%</i>	-180 <i>-30%</i>	-58 <i>-38%</i>	-157 <i>-28%</i>	-52 -30%
Don't know	5 *	2	:	-	-	-	-	1 *	3 1%	1 1%	1	1 1%	1 *	-	2	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 89

Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		GEN	DER			AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL C	RADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64	65+ (i)	18-34	35-54 (k)	55+	AB (m)	C1	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (a)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	(a) 625	(D) 605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	(P) 274	(q) 576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	55	29	26	9	13	7	13	6	6	22	20	12	17	12	15	11	38	17	23	32
	4%il	<i>5</i> %	4%	<i>6</i> %	<i>6%</i>	4%	<i>6</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	6%l	5%	<i>3</i> %	<i>5</i> %	<i>3%</i>	<i>6</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>5</i> %	3%	<i>6</i> %	4%
[2] Fairly good at it	235	116	119	21	35	34	46	36	63	56	80	99	68	85	37	45	135	101	64	171
	19%	<i>19%</i>	<i>19%</i>	<i>15%</i>	17%	17%	21%	20%	<i>23</i> %	16%	19%	<i>22</i> %	21%	25%zop	15%	15%	<i>19%</i>	<i>20%</i>	18%	<i>19%</i>
[3] Neither good	462	218	244	66	93	86	61	54	102	159	147	156	105	113	99	146	278	184	137	325
nor bad at it	38%fr	n <i>36%</i>	39%	47%zfh	44%fh	43%fh	<i>28%</i>	30%	<i>37</i> %	45%zki	<i>35%</i>	<i>34</i> %	<i>32</i> %	<i>33%</i>	<i>39%</i>	48%zr	nn <i>39%</i>	<i>36</i> %	<i>3</i> 9%	<i>37</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	323	163	160	33	47	43	74	51	76	80	117	126	102	96	63	62	188	135	94	229
	26%p	<i>27%</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>23%</i>	22%	21%	34%ze	<i>28%</i>	27%	<i>23</i> %	<i>28%</i>	<i>28%</i>	31%p	<i>28%</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>20%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>27</i> %	<i>26%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	148	69	79	9	23	30	27	30	30	32	57	60	37	36	38	38	77	71	31	118
	12%c	<i>12%</i>	13%	<i>6</i> %	11%	15%c	12%	17%c	11%	<i>9</i> %	13%	13%	11%	10%	<i>15%</i>	<i>12%</i>	11%	14%	<i>9%</i>	<i>13</i> %
MEAN	3.22	3.21	3.24	3.08	3.15	3.27	3.26	3.35c	3.22	3.12	3.26	3.27	3.22	3.17	3.29	3.24	3.18	3.28	3.13	3.26
Very/ fairly good at it	290	146	144	30	48	41	59	43	69	78	100	112	85	97	52	56	172	117	87	203
	<i>24%</i>	<i>2</i> 4%	<i>2</i> 3%	<i>21%</i>	<i>23%</i>	21%	<i>27%</i>	24%	<i>25%</i>	<i>22%</i>	<i>24%</i>	<i>24</i> %	<i>26%</i>	28%zp	21%	18%	<i>24%</i>	<i>2</i> 3%	<i>25%</i>	<i>23%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	471	232	239	41	70	73	101	80	106	111	173	186	139	131	101	100	265	206	125	347
	38%c	39%	<i>38%</i>	29%	33%	36%	46%cd	45%c	<i>38%</i>	<i>32</i> %	41%j	41%j	<i>42</i> %	<i>38%</i>	<i>40%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>37%</i>	<i>40%</i>	<i>36%</i>	39%
NET good	-181	-86	-95	-11	-21	-31	-42	-38	-37	-33	-73	-75	-53	-35	-49	-44	-93	-88	-38	-144
	<i>-15%</i>	-14%	-15%	<i>-8%</i>	-10%	-16%	-19%	<i>-21%</i>	-13%	<i>-9%</i>	-17%	-16%	-16%	-10%	-19%	-14%	-13%	-17%	-11%	<i>-16</i> %
Don't know	7 1%	4 1%	3 *	3 2%z	-	*	-	1 1%	1	3 1%		3 1%	*	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	3	4 1%	*	6 1%

11 Jan 2018

Table 90

Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		ACCES			TENURE				GOVERN	MENT	OEEICE	BEGIO	vi .	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	NTION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	
	1	114121	IIVL I			RENTED		i	GOVEIII	AIVILIA I		TILGIOI	•	LIIII	10111	GCSE/	LDGC	111011		ANN	OAL INC	/IVIL	ILADE	
				OUT-	BUYING MORT-	LOCAL F	ENTED PRI-	SCOT-			MID-					O-LV/ CSE/	A-LVL OR	DEGR/ MAST/	NO FORML	UP TO	£11500	£25000		
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	RIGHT (c)	GAGE (d)	ORITY (e)	VATE (f)	LAND (g)	(h)	NORTH (i)	LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	(m)	BME (n)	NVQ12 (0)	EQUIV (p)	PHD (q)	QUAL (r)	£11499 (s)	£24999 (t)	PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	55 4%	54 <i>5</i> %		12 <i>3</i> %	21 <i>6%</i>	4 3%	17 <i>6</i> %	4 4%	3 5%	7 2%	23 7%zi	9 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>5</i> %	43 4%	12 8%z	11 m 4%	14 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>6%</i>	6 <i>2</i> %	3 2%	12 <i>6</i> %	27 5%	5 <i>5</i> %	15 <i>6</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	235 19%	214 19%	21 <i>20%</i>	83 <i>20%</i>	71 20%	29 18%	47 17%	22 21%	9 15%	52 18%	47 15%	61 <i>22%</i>	42 26%j	207 19%	27 19%	50 17%	41 17%	78 23%z	54 19%	35 <i>23%</i>	38 19%	109 <i>22%</i>	25 <i>22%</i>	42 18%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	462 38%q v	419 u <i>37</i> %	44 42%	151 <i>36</i> %	120 <i>33%</i>	61 <i>37</i> %	127 46%zo d	37 35%	27 44%	116 <i>3</i> 9%	115 <i>36</i> %	94 <i>33</i> %	74 44%	403 <i>37</i> %	60 <i>42%</i>	119 40%q	98 42%q	99 <i>30%</i>	113 40%q	55 <i>36%</i>	69 <i>35%</i>	158 <i>32</i> %	31 <i>28%</i>	98 42%v
[4] Fairly bad at it	323 26%	294 <i>26</i> %	29 <i>28%</i>	126 <i>30%</i>	102 <i>2</i> 9%	35 21%	60 <i>21%</i>	24 <i>22</i> %	13 <i>21%</i>	73 <i>25%</i>	99 31%l	82 <i>2</i> 9%	32 19%	294 <i>27</i> %	29 <i>21%</i>	71 24%	64 <i>27</i> %	100 <i>30%</i>	67 <i>23%</i>	31 <i>20%</i>	49 <i>25%</i>	140 <i>2</i> 9%	34 31%	59 <i>25</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	148 12%lp w	140 12%	8 <i>8</i> %	46 11%	42 12%	32 20%zcdi	26 9%	15 14%l	7 12%	46 16%l	35 11%	35 12%	9 <i>6</i> %	134 <i>12%</i>	14 10%	43 15%p	17 7%	38 11%	40 14%	25 17%	29 15%	53 11%	16 14%w	16 <i>7</i> %
MEAN	3.22l n	3.22	3.23	3.27	3.20	3.38f	3.12	3.231	3.21	3.331	3.241	3.261	2.95	3.25n	3.05	3.29	3.13	3.18	3.29	3.28	3.22	3.17	3.27	3.08
Very/ fairly good at it	290 <i>24%</i>	269 <i>24%</i>	21 <i>21%</i>	95 <i>23%</i>	92 <i>26%</i>	34 21%	64 <i>23%</i>	27 <i>25%</i>	12 <i>20%</i>	59 <i>20%</i>	70 22%	70 <i>25%</i>	51 31%i	250 <i>23%</i>	38 <i>27</i> %	61 <i>21%</i>	55 <i>23%</i>	96 29%z	59 21%	37 <i>24%</i>	50 <i>26%</i>	136 28%z	30 <i>27%</i>	57 25%
Fairly/ very bad at it	471 38%fl n	434 39%	37 <i>36%</i>	172 41%f	144 40%	67 41%	86 31%	39 <i>37</i> %	20 <i>34%</i>	119 40%l	134 42%l	117 42%l	41 <i>25</i> %	428 39%	43 31%	114 <i>38</i> %	81 <i>35%</i>	138 <i>41%</i>	107 <i>38%</i>	57 <i>37</i> %	78 40%	193 <i>40%</i>	50 45%w	75 <i>32%</i>
NET good	-181 <i>-15%</i>	-166 <i>-15%</i>	-16 <i>-15%</i>	-77 -18%	-52 -15%	-33 <i>-20%</i>	-22 -8%	-13 <i>-12</i> %	-8 -13%	-59 <i>-20%</i>	-64 <i>-20%</i>	-47 -17%	10 6%h	-178 <i>-16%</i>	-5 -4%	-52 -18%	-26 -11%	-41 -12%	-48 -17%	-19 <i>-13%</i>	-27 -14%	-57 -12%	-19 <i>-18%</i>	-18 <i>-8%</i>
Don't know	7 1%	6 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	4 4%zi kl	2 j 3%zij kl	1 *	:	-	-	7 1%	-	1 *	1 *	*	4 2%	4 3%zı	- I -	1 *	-	2 1%

11 Jan 2018

Table 91

Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

						KNOWLE	DGE OE	KNOWLE	DGE OF	LIVELIL	OOD TO	VOTE IN GE	MEDAI	POLITICA SUPP				
		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		UK POL		PARLIA		LIKELII		CTION	NENAL	STRE		INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE	LABOUR	LIB DEM	OTHER	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	CERTAIN	LIKELY	UNLIKELY	CERTA -INLY NOT	STRONG	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED
Unweighted Base	(z) 1230	(a) 308	(b) 450	(c) 68	(e) 116	122	(g) 688	(h) 541	(i) 660	(j) 569	(k) 793	(I) 199	(m) 124	(n) 98	(o) 481	(p) 741	(q) 738	(r) 492
Weighted Base	1230	313	430	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at	55	15	16	3	6	9	30	25	33	21	33	11	7	4	23	31	40	15
it	4%r	5%	4%	5%	6%	7%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%z	3%
[2] Fairly good at it	235 19%fj	86 nr 27%zb	85 of 19%f	20 28%f	20 20%f	3 2%	139 22%zh	96 16%	135 22%zj	100 <i>16%</i>	166 22%zn	42 20%n	20 14%	7 7%	106 23%zp	129 <i>17</i> %	157 22%zr	78 15%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	462 38%e oq	116 gik 37%e	154 34%e	22 31%	20 21%	75 57%z ce	206 ab <i>32</i> %	256 43%zg	181 <i>30%</i>	280 45%zi	254 <i>33</i> %	82 40%	66 46%k	47 47%k	146 <i>32</i> %	306 40%o	227 <i>32</i> %	236 45%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	323 26%n	79 nr <i>25%</i>	136 30%zf	18 <i>25%</i>	25 26%	24 18%	178 <i>28%</i>	145 <i>24%</i>	173 <i>2</i> 9%	150 <i>24%</i>	218 29%zm	57 n 28%m	20 14%	24 <i>23%</i>	118 <i>26%</i>	204 <i>2</i> 7%	206 29%zr	117 <i>22</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	148 12%a	17 I 5%	54 12%a	8 11%	25 26%za bcf	18 14%a	83 13%	66 11%	83 14%	65 11%	86 11%	14 <i>7</i> %	31 21%zkl	16 16%l	62 14%	86 11%	73 10%	75 14%
MEAN	3.22aq	2.99	3.29a	3.09	3.46z a	3.31a	3.23	3.22	3.23	3.22	3.21	3.11	3.33	3.421	3.20	3.24	3.16	3.31
Very/ fairly good at it	290 24%fj r	101 np 32%zb	101 of 23%f	23 33%f	26 26%f	12 9%	169 26%zh	121 <i>20%</i>	169 28%zj	121 <i>19%</i>	198 26%zn	53 26%n	27 19%	11 11%	128 28%zp	161 <i>21%</i>	197 28%zr	93 18%
Fairly/ very bad at it	471 38%a	ĺ	190 43%a	25 36%	50 52%za f	43 32%	261 <i>41%</i>	210 <i>36</i> %	256 42%zj	215 <i>35%</i>	304 <i>40%</i>	71 <i>34%</i>	51 <i>35</i> %	40 39%	181 <i>40%</i>	291 <i>38%</i>	279 40%	192 <i>37</i> %
NET good	-181 <i>-15%</i>	5 1%c	-89 <i>-20%</i>	-2 -3%	-25 <i>-26%</i>	-31 <i>-23%</i>	-92 -14%	-89 -15%	-88 -14%	-94 -15%	-106 <i>-14%</i>	-18 <i>-9%</i>	-24 -16%	-29 -28%	-52 -11%	-130 <i>-17%</i>	-82 -12%	-99 -19%
Don't know	7 1%	*	2		1 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	5 1%	1 *	6 1%	2	1	1 1%	3 <u>3</u> %zk	1 *	5 1%	1 *	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 92

Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	55	29	20	24	15	15	29	22	26	29
	4%i	7%z	<i>5</i> %	5%	4%	<i>6</i> %	4%	5%	7%zi	4%
[2] Fairly good at it	235	100	71	124	70	41	152	79	98	135
	19%i	24%zb	16%	23%zde	1 <i>6</i> %	15%	<i>21%</i>	18%	27%zi	<i>16%</i>
[3] Neither good	462	152	120	187	135	140	252	159	148	269
nor bad at it	38%b	di 36%b	<i>2</i> 7%	<i>35</i> %	<i>32</i> %	51%zcd	35%	<i>36%</i>	42%i	<i>33%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	323	111	133	143	133	46	192	126	69	253
	26%el	1 <i>26%</i>	30%z	27%e	31%ze	17%	<i>27</i> %	<i>28%</i>	19%	31%zh
[5] Very bad at it	148	29	90	50	70	28	87	57	16	131
	12%a	ch <i>7</i> %	21%za	<i>9</i> %	16%zc	10%	12%	13%	<i>4</i> %	16%zh
MEAN	3.22ach	3.03	3.46za	3.13	3.41zce	3.12	3.22	3.26	2.86	3.39zh
Very/ fairly good at it	290	129	91	149	85	56	181	101	124	164
	24%i	30%zb	<i>21%</i>	28%zd	20%	20%	<i>25</i> %	<i>23%</i>	35%zi	<i>20%</i>
Fairly/ very bad	471	140	223	194	203	74	279	183	85	384
at it	38%a	eh <i>33%</i>	51%za	36%e	48%zce	27%	<i>39%</i>	<i>41%</i>	<i>24%</i>	47%zh
NET good	-181	-11	-132	-45	-118	-18	-98	-82	39	-220
	<i>-15%</i>	<i>-3%</i>	<i>-30%</i>	-8%	<i>-28%</i>	<i>-7</i> %	-14%	-18%	11%	-27%
Don't know	7 1%	2	4 1%	2	1	3 1%	1 *	2	1 *	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 93

Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT	E OF	ACTIV	E ON				SOCIAL GIVES V		SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE	DOWN	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI	OLITICAL	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE	OLITICAL
		PART	TIES	SOCIAL	MEDIA	VOTI	NG INFLUE	_	PEO	PLE	VOT	ERS	DIVI	SIVE	SUPER	FICIAL
						Printed newspap er or magazin	Discuss ion on social	TV or radio news or news program								
			Disagre	Active social media	Non- active social media	es (Very/ fairly importa	media (Very/ fairly importa	mes (Very/ fairly importa		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	e (b)	user (c)	user (d)	nt) (e)	nt) (f)	nt) (g)	Agree (h)	e (i)	Agree (j)	e (k)	Agree (I)	e (m)	Agree (n)	e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	55 4%	37 6%z	8 <i>3</i> %	20 7%z	16 <i>6%</i>	21 8%z	14 11%zg	30 <i>5</i> %	37 <i>5</i> %	7 5%	33 7%z	8 <i>3</i> %	36 6%z	5 <i>3</i> %	36 6%z	6 3%
[2] Fairly good at it	235 19%	155 25%zb	51 <i>16%</i>	65 25%z	54 19%	63 <i>24%</i>	20 15%	137 23%z	139 <i>21%</i>	25 16%	108 <i>22%</i>	48 18%	127 <i>21%</i>	24 16%	103 <i>18%</i>	39 <i>22%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	462 38%ab ghjln	205 ocf <i>34%</i>	98 <i>31%</i>	80 <i>31%</i>	90 <i>32</i> %	84 <i>32</i> %	36 <i>28%</i>	193 <i>33%</i>	222 33%	51 <i>34</i> %	157 <i>32</i> %	94 <i>35</i> %	188 <i>31%</i>	52 <i>34</i> %	183 <i>33%</i>	65 <i>37</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	323 <i>26%</i>	151 <i>25%</i>	104 33%za	56 21%	82 30%	79 <i>30%</i>	45 35%	163 <i>28%</i>	193 <i>29%</i>	34 <i>23</i> %	132 <i>2</i> 7%	62 <i>23%</i>	171 <i>28%</i>	44 29%	159 <i>28%</i>	34 20%
[5] Very bad at it	148 12%a	59 eg 10%	57 18%za	41 16%	35 13%	15 <i>6%</i>	15 11%	57 10%e	78 12%	33 22%zh	58 12%	53 20%zj	83 14%	28 18%z	76 14%	30 18%
MEAN	3.22aeg	3.06	3.48za	3.13	3.24	3.02	3.20	3.14	3.21	3.41z	3.15	3.39zj	3.23	3.43z	3.24	3.26
Very/ fairly good at it	290 <i>24%</i>	192 32%zb	59 18%	84 32%z	70 <i>25%</i>	83 32%z	34 26%	167 29%z	176 26%z	32 21%	141 29%z	56 21%	162 27%z	29 19%	139 <i>25%</i>	45 26%
Fairly/ very bad at it	471 38%a	209 <i>34%</i>	161 51%za	98 <i>37</i> %	118 <i>42%</i>	94 <i>36%</i>	59 46%	221 <i>38</i> %	271 40%	68 <i>45</i> %	190 <i>39%</i>	116 <i>43%</i>	254 42%z	72 47%z	235 42%z	65 <i>37%</i>
NET good	-181 <i>-15%</i>	-17 <i>-3%</i>	-102 <i>-32%</i>	-13 <i>-5%</i>	-47 -17%	-10 <i>-4%</i>	-25 -20%	-54 -9%	-96 -14%	-36 <i>-24%</i>	-49 -10%	-60 <i>-22%</i>	-91 <i>-15%</i>	-43 <i>-28%</i>	-96 <i>-17</i> %	-20 -12%
Don't know	7 1%	4 1%	-	-	-		-	2	3 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 *	-	2	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 94

Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		GEN	DER			AG	iE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34	35-54	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (a)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	22 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>2%</i>	12 <i>2</i> %	4 3%	*	1 1%	4 2%	4 2%	10 3%zd	4 1%	5 1%	13 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2%</i>	6 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	7 1%	15 3%zq	4 1%	18 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	219 18%bj	123 21%b	96 15%	17 <i>12</i> %	28 13%	32 16%	55 25%zcd	28 16%	59 21%c	45 13%	87 21%j	87 19%j	58 18%	69 20%	34 14%	57 19%	127 18%	91 18%	65 19%	154 <i>17</i> %
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	496 40%fk	228 38%	268 <i>43</i> %	76 54%zfhi	104 49%zfhi	84 42%f	64 <i>29%</i>	62 <i>35%</i>	105 <i>38%</i>	181 51%zkl	148 <i>35%</i>	167 <i>37%</i>	116 <i>35%</i>	126 <i>37</i> %	115 45%m	139 46%m	289 <i>40%</i>	207 41%	144 <i>41%</i>	353 40%
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%a	152 cjp <i>25%</i>	203 32%za	26 18%	54 26%	64 32%c	72 33%c	57 32%c	83 30%c	80 <i>23%</i>	136 32%j	140 31%j	110 33%p	110 32%p	66 <i>26%</i>	69 <i>23%</i>	213 <i>30%</i>	142 <i>28%</i>	105 <i>30%</i>	250 <i>28%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	131 11%b	82 14%zb	49 8%	14 10%	25 12%	19 <i>9</i> %	25 12%	26 14%i	22 <i>8</i> %	39 11%	44 11%	47 10%	37 11%	30 <i>9</i> %	33 13%	31 10%	79 11%	52 10%	30 <i>9</i> %	100 <i>11%</i>
MEAN	3.29i	3.29	3.29	3.22	3.36	3.34	3.27	3.41i	3.17	3.30	3.30	3.27	3.34	3.26	3.36	3.21	3.32	3.25	3.27	3.30
Very/ fairly good at it	241 20%j	133 <i>22%</i>	108 <i>17%</i>	21 <i>15%</i>	28 13%	33 17%	59 27%zcde	32 18%	68 25%zc	49 d <i>14%</i>	92 22%j	100 22%j	66 <i>20%</i>	75 22%	38 15%	63 21%	135 <i>19%</i>	106 <i>21%</i>	69 <i>20%</i>	172 <i>2</i> 0%
Fairly/ very bad at it	486 40%cj	234 p <i>39%</i>	253 40%	40 <i>28%</i>	79 <i>37</i> %	83 41%c	97 44%c	83 46%c	104 <i>38%</i>	119 <i>34%</i>	180 43%j	187 <i>41%</i>	147 45%p	140 <i>41%</i>	99 <i>39%</i>	100 <i>33%</i>	292 41%	194 <i>38%</i>	136 <i>3</i> 9%	350 <i>40%</i>
NET good	-245 -20%	-100 <i>-17%</i>	-145 <i>-23%</i>	-19 <i>-14%</i>	-51 <i>-24%</i>	-50 <i>-25%</i>	-38 <i>-17</i> %	-51 <i>-28%</i>	-36 -13%	-70 <i>-20%</i>	-88 -21%	-87 -19%	-81 <i>-25%</i>	-65 -19%	-62 -24%	-38 -12%	-157 <i>-22%</i>	-88 -17%	-67 -19%	-178 <i>-20%</i>
Don't know	6 1%	4 1%	2	4 3%zdfi	-	*	-	1 1%	1	4 1%	*	2	1 *	1	1 1%	3 1%	3	4 1%	1	6 1%

11 Jan 2018

Table 95

Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		ACCE:			TENURE RENTED				GOVERN	MENT	OFFICE	REGION	N	ETHN	IICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	22 2%a	16 <i>1%</i>	7 6%z	14 a 3%z	3 1%	2 1%	3 1%	1 1%	2 <i>3</i> %	4 1%	4 1%	11 <i>4</i> %	1 1%	20 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	2 1%	2 1%	7 2%	3 <i>2</i> %	7 4%	8 <i>2</i> %	2 2%	5 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	219 18%	197 <i>18%</i>	22 21%	73 17%	75 21%	27 16%	43 15%	21 <i>20%</i>	10 16%	48 16%	53 17%	53 19%	34 21%	190 <i>17</i> %	29 21%	44 15%	39 <i>17</i> %	67 20%	58 <i>20%</i>	31 <i>20%</i>	37 19%	103 <i>21%</i>	22 20%	54 23%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	496 40%c quv	455 k <i>40%</i>	42 40%	145 <i>34%</i>	128 <i>36</i> %	76 46%cd	146 52%zc d	36 34%	30 49%gk	126 43%	133 <i>42</i> %	91 <i>32</i> %	80 48%gl	434 40%	61 <i>43%</i>	131 44%q	102 44%q	107 <i>32%</i>	117 <i>41%</i>	57 37%	76 <i>38%</i>	171 <i>35</i> %	32 <i>2</i> 9%	107 46%v
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%ft nw	327 1 <i>29%</i>	28 <i>27</i> %	145 34%ze	114 f 32%f	39 <i>2</i> 4%	55 20%	34 32%h	11 18%	77 26%	103 32%h	92 33%h	39 <i>23</i> %	324 30%	31 <i>22</i> %	81 <i>27%</i>	66 <i>28%</i>	118 35%zr	70 25%	36 <i>24</i> %	52 <i>26%</i>	156 <i>32</i> %	38 35%w	51 <i>22%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	131 11%w	126 11%	5 <i>5</i> %	41 10%	36 10%	19 <i>12%</i>	30 11%	11 10%	6 10%	41 <i>14%</i>	26 <i>8%</i>	35 12%	11 <i>7</i> %	114 10%	17 12%	29 10%	25 11%	38 11%	29 10%	23 15%	25 13%	49 10%	16 15%w	14 <i>6</i> %
MEAN	3.29b w	3.31z b	3.04	3.30	3.29	3.28	3.25	3.32	3.17	3.35	3.30	3.31	3.15	3.30	3.21	3.26	3.32	3.37	3.20	3.30	3.25	3.27	3.41w	3.06
Very/ fairly good at it	241 20%	213 <i>19%</i>	28 <i>27</i> %	88 21%	79 <i>22%</i>	29 18%	45 16%	22 20%	12 19%	52 18%	57 18%	64 <i>23%</i>	35 21%	209 19%	32 <i>23%</i>	53 18%	41 17%	70 21%	65 <i>23%</i>	34 22%	44 23%	111 <i>23%</i>	24 <i>22%</i>	58 <i>25</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	486 40%ft lw	453 1 40%	33 <i>32</i> %	186 44%zf	149 42%f	58 <i>36%</i>	85 31%	45 42%	17 28%	118 <i>40%</i>	129 <i>41%</i>	127 45%hl	50 <i>30</i> %	438 40%	48 <i>34%</i>	110 <i>37%</i>	91 <i>39%</i>	156 47%zc r	99 35%	59 <i>39</i> %	76 39%	205 <i>42</i> %	55 49%zw	64 28%
NET good	-245 -20%	-240 <i>-21%</i>	-5 <i>-5%</i>	-99 <i>-23%</i>	-71 <i>-20%</i>	-29 -18%	-40 -14%	-23 <i>-22</i> %	-6 -9%	-66 <i>-22%</i>	-73 <i>-23%</i>	-63 <i>-22%</i>	-15 <i>-9%</i>	-229 <i>-21%</i>	-16 -11%	-57 -19%	-50 <i>-21%</i>	-86 <i>-26%</i>	-34 -12%	-25 -17%	-32 -16%	-93 <i>-19%</i>	-30 <i>-27%</i>	-6 -3%
Don't know	6 1%	6 1%	-	2	2 1%	*	1 1%	4 4%zi kl	2 3%zij kl	-	*	-	-	6 1%	-	1 *	1	1 *	3 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	-	1 *	-	2 1%

11 Jan 2018

Table 96

Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	IPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIF		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STRE	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	22 2%p	12 4%z	6 1%	2 2%	*	*	17 <i>3</i> %	6 1%	16 <i>3</i> %	6 1%	19 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	-	1 1%	17 4%zp	4 1%	17 2%	5 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	219 18%fr	82 26%zb	74 of 16%	19 27%f	16 16%	12 <i>9</i> %	129 20%z	90 15%	118 <i>19%</i>	101 <i>16%</i>	139 <i>18%</i>	42 20%	28 19%	10 <i>9</i> %	93 <i>20%</i>	126 <i>17</i> %	149 21%zr	70 13%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	496 40%c koq	127 egi 40%c	164 <i>37</i> %	16 <i>23</i> %	28 <i>2</i> 9%	78 59%z ce	212 ab <i>33</i> %	284 48%zg	199 <i>33%</i>	296 48%zi	278 <i>37</i> %	84 <i>41%</i>	66 <i>45%</i>	54 54%zk	153 <i>33%</i>	333 44%zo	238 <i>34</i> %	259 49%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%fj	75 nr <i>24%</i>	154 34%za	25 f 36%f	33 34%f	20 15%	200 <i>31%</i>	155 <i>26%</i>	198 33%zj	157 <i>25%</i>	237 31%zn	59 <i>2</i> 9%	37 <i>25</i> %	18 <i>18%</i>	142 <i>31%</i>	213 <i>28%</i>	224 32%zr	131 <i>2</i> 5%
[5] Very bad at it	131 11%a	16 <i>5</i> %	49 11%a	9 12%	20 20%za b	19 14%a	79 12%	52 9%	76 13%	55 <i>9%</i>	84 11%	17 <i>8</i> %	14 10%	15 15%	51 11%	79 10%	74 11%	56 11%
MEAN	3.29a	3.01	3.37a	3.30	3.58z a	3.35a	3.31	3.27	3.33	3.25	3.30	3.22	3.26	3.39	3.26	3.31	3.27	3.32
Very/ fairly good at it	241 20%ft r	94 nnp 30%zb f	80 ne <i>18%</i>	20 29%f	16 17%	12 <i>9</i> %	145 23%zh	96 16%	134 <i>22%</i>	108 <i>17</i> %	158 21%n	45 22%n	28 19%	10 10%	110 24%zp	131 <i>17</i> %	166 24%zr	75 14%
Fairly/ very bad at it	486 40%a	,	203 45%za		52 54%za f		279 44%zh	207 <i>35%</i>	274 45%zj	212 <i>34%</i>	321 42%z	76 <i>37</i> %	51 <i>35</i> %	33 <i>33</i> %	194 <i>42</i> %	292 <i>38%</i>	299 42%z	188 <i>36%</i>
NET good	-245 -20%	3 1%	-122 <i>-27%</i>	-14 -19%	-37 <i>-38%</i>	-26 <i>-20%</i>	-133 <i>-21%</i>	-112 <i>-19%</i>	-141 <i>-23%</i>	-104 <i>-17</i> %	-163 <i>-22%</i>	-31 <i>-15%</i>	-23 -16%	-23 -23%	-84 -18%	-162 <i>-21%</i>	-132 <i>-19%</i>	-113 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	6 1%g	1 iq*	1	-	1 1%	3 <u>2</u> %z	1 b *	6 1%	*	6 1%	2	1	1 1%	3 <u>3</u> %zk	-	6 1%	1 *	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 97

Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	423 439 276 293 2 11 3		576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423 439 276 293 11 3		532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	423 439 276 293 11 3		376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	22 2%g	423 439 276 293 11 3 3% 1% 113 62		6 1%	14 3%z	2 1%	19 3%g	1 *	11 <i>3</i> %	11 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	219 18%bi		276 293 11 3 3% 1% 113 62 27%zb 14%		79 19%	37 14%	143 <i>20%</i>	71 16%	102 29%zi	113 <i>14%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	496 40%b	153 cdi <i>36%</i>	147 34%	193 <i>36%</i>	138 <i>33%</i>	165 60%zcd	279 <i>39%</i>	164 <i>37</i> %	145 <i>41%</i>	308 <i>38%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	355 29%e	115 <i>27</i> %	145 <i>33%</i>	177 33%ze	143 34%ze	36 13%	204 <i>2</i> 9%	144 32%	87 24%	267 32%zh
[5] Very bad at it	131 11%a	29 n <i>7</i> %	77 18%za	51 <i>10%</i>	50 12%	30 11%	66 <i>9</i> %	62 14%zf	11 <i>3</i> %	119 14%zh
MEAN	3.29afh	3.09	3.53za	3.31	3.32	3.20	3.22	3.44zf	2.96	3.45zh
Very/ fairly good at it	241 20%bi	125 29%zb	65 15%	109 <i>20%</i>	93 <i>22%</i>	40 14%	162 23%zg	73 16%	113 32%zi	124 <i>15%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	486 40%a	144 eh <i>34%</i>	222 51%za	228 43%e	193 45%ze	65 <i>24%</i>	270 38%	206 46%zf	98 <i>27</i> %	385 47%zh
NET good	-245 <i>-20</i> %	-19 <i>-5%</i>	-157 <i>-36%</i>	-119 <i>-22%</i>	-100 <i>-23%</i>	-26 -9%	-109 <i>-15%</i>	-133 <i>-30%</i>	15 <i>4</i> %	-262 <i>-32%</i>
Don't know	6 1%	1 *	4 1%	3	*	3 1%	2	1	1 *	4

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 98

Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES VI PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPERI	MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	22	17	2	5	5	6	1	9	7	4	10	3	11	3	9	2
	2%h	<i>3</i> %	1%	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	1%	<i>2</i> %	1%	2%	<i>2%</i>	1%	2%	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	1%
[2] Fairly good at it	219	141	43	48	52	59	25	133	132	27	111	41	109	29	99	43
	<i>18%</i>	23%zb	14%	18%	19%	<i>23%</i>	19%	23%z	<i>20%</i>	18%	23%zk	<i>15%</i>	<i>18%</i>	19%	18%	25%z
[3] Neither good	496	217	122	93	106	101	49	197	243	54	184	92	230	51	194	65
nor bad at it	40%a	ghn <i>35%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>39%</i>	38%	<i>34%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>37</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	355	178	104	79	83	76	46	195	205	40	127	92	174	52	179	40
	<i>29%</i>	<i>29%</i>	<i>3</i> 3%	<i>30%</i>	<i>30%</i>	29%	<i>35</i> %	33%z	<i>31%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>26%</i>	34%j	<i>2</i> 9%	34%	<i>32%</i>	23%
[5] Very bad at it	131	54	46	38	30	18	9	47	82	26	55	38	80	17	77	24
	11%g	9%	15%a	15%z	11%	<i>7</i> %	<i>7</i> %	8%	12%	17%z	11%	14%	13%z	11%	14%z	14%
MEAN	3.29ae	3.19	3.47za	3.38	3.29	3.15	3.27	3.24	3.33	3.38	3.22	3.45zj	3.34	3.34	3.39z	3.23
Very/ fairly good at it	241	158	45	52	57	66	26	142	139	30	121	44	120	33	108	45
	20%b	26%zb	14%	<i>20%</i>	21%	25%z	20%	24%z	<i>21%</i>	<i>20%</i>	25%zk	17%	<i>20%</i>	21%	<i>19%</i>	26%
Fairly/ very bad at it	486	233	150	117	114	93	54	242	287	66	183	130	254	70	256	64
	<i>40%</i>	<i>38%</i>	47%za	<i>45</i> %	<i>41%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>42%</i>	41%	43%z	<i>43%</i>	<i>37%</i>	49%zj	<i>42%</i>	<i>45%</i>	46%z	<i>37</i> %
NET good	-245	-75	-105	-65	-56	-27	-28	-100	-149	-35	-62	-86	-134	-37	-148	-19
	-20%	-12%	<i>-33%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	-11%	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-17</i> %	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	-13%	<i>-32%</i>	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	-11%
Don't know	6 1%	3 *	*	-	*	-	-	2	4 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	2	-	2	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 99

Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER		AGE						AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (a)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	29 2%	13 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	5 2%	3 <i>2</i> %	7 3%	2 1%	7 3%	9 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %	7 2%	6 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	15 <i>2</i> %	14 3%	6 <i>2%</i>	23 <i>3</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%o	125 <i>21%</i>	111 <i>18%</i>	22 16%	44 21%	27 14%	43 20%	43 24%e	57 21%	66 19%	70 17%	100 <i>22%</i>	73 22%0	84 25%zo	27 11%	52 17%	146 <i>20%</i>	90 18%	62 18%	174 20%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	471 38%a t	195 fmn <i>33%</i>	276 44%za	69 49%zfhi	87 41%f	92 46%fi	62 28%	64 <i>36</i> %	97 <i>35%</i>	155 44%zl	154 <i>37</i> %	161 <i>35%</i>	100 <i>31%</i>	113 <i>33</i> %	107 42%m	150 49%zr	273 nn <i>38%</i>	197 <i>39%</i>	157 45%zt	314 <i>36</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	328 27%p	172 <i>2</i> 9%	156 <i>25%</i>	33 24%	54 <i>26%</i>	52 <i>26%</i>	67 <i>31%</i>	44 25%	78 28%	87 <i>25%</i>	119 <i>28%</i>	122 <i>27</i> %	104 32%zp	98 29%p	74 29%p	52 17%	193 <i>27</i> %	136 <i>27</i> %	88 <i>25%</i>	241 <i>27</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%cj	90 <i>15%</i>	69 11%	9 <i>6</i> %	22 11%	26 13%	41 18%zc	24 13%	37 13%c	31 <i>9%</i>	67 16%j	61 <i>13%</i>	46 14%	39 11%	38 15%	37 12%	88 12%	70 14%	36 10%	123 <i>14%</i>
MEAN	3.29	3.34	3.24	3.14	3.22	3.35	3.42c	3.26	3.29	3.19	3.38j	3.28	3.34	3.23	3.44znp	3.17	3.27	3.31	3.24	3.30
Very/ fairly good at it	265 22%0	138 <i>23%</i>	127 <i>20%</i>	27 19%	48 <i>23%</i>	31 <i>15%</i>	50 <i>23%</i>	45 25%e	65 <i>23%</i>	75 21%	80 19%	110 <i>24%</i>	78 24%o	91 27%zo	33 13%	63 21%	161 <i>22%</i>	104 <i>20%</i>	69 <i>20%</i>	197 <i>22%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	487 40%b	262 cjp 44%zt	225 36%	42 30%	76 <i>36%</i>	78 <i>3</i> 9%	108 49%zcd	68 <i>38%</i>	115 41%c	118 <i>34%</i>	186 44%j	183 <i>40%</i>	150 45%zp	137 40%p	112 44%p	89 <i>29%</i>	281 <i>39%</i>	206 <i>40%</i>	124 <i>35%</i>	363 41%
NET good	-222 -18%	-124 <i>-21%</i>	-98 <i>-15%</i>	-15 -11%	-28 -13%	-47 -23%	-58 <i>-26%</i>	-23 -13%	-50 -18%	-43 -12%	-105 <i>-25%</i>	-73 -16%	-71 <i>-22%</i>	-46 -13%	-78 <i>-31%</i>	-27 -9%	-120 <i>-17</i> %	-102 <i>-20%</i>	-55 -16%	-167 <i>-19%</i>
Don't know	7 1%	4 1%	3 *	3 2%z	-	*	-	1 1%	2 1%	3 1%	*	3 1%	1	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	3 *	4 1%	*	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 100

Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		ACCE:			TENURE RENTED			GOVEF	RNMENT	OFFICE	REGION		ETHNI	CITY		EDUC	ATION		ANNI	JAL INC	OME	DAILY NE\ READE		
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	29 <i>2</i> %	26 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2%</i>	2 1%	12 <i>4</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	7 2%	4 1%	4 2%	9 6%zj	22 2%	7 5%z	12 m <i>4</i> %	2 1%	6 <i>2%</i>	7 3%	3 2%	4 2%	11 <i>2</i> %	4 4%	9 4%
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%io	221 20%	15 15%	88 <i>21%</i>	70 20%	29 18%	48 17%	16 15%	9 15%	42 14%	66 21%	57 20%	46 28%zg i	204 19%	33 <i>23</i> %	43 15%	44 19%	80 24%zo	55 19%	29 19%	38 19%	110 <i>2</i> 3%	26 24%	58 <i>25</i> %
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	471 38%qı v	422 u <i>37</i> %	49 47%	146 <i>35%</i>	128 <i>36%</i>	66 40%	127 46%zc	36 <i>34</i> %	28 46%	118 40%	126 40%	100 <i>35%</i>	62 <i>37</i> %	408 <i>38%</i>	61 <i>43%</i>	124 42%q	96 41%q	92 <i>28%</i>	113 40%q	48 31%	78 40%	164 <i>33</i> %	28 <i>25%</i>	86 37%v
[4] Fairly bad at it	328 27%n	302 <i>27%</i>	27 26%	115 <i>27%</i>	106 <i>30%</i>	36 <i>22%</i>	68 <i>25%</i>	33 <i>31%</i>	14 23%	91 <i>31%</i>	82 <i>26%</i>	73 <i>26%</i>	35 21%	305 28%n	24 17%	74 25%	69 <i>30%</i>	101 <i>30%</i>	71 <i>25%</i>	40 <i>26%</i>	50 <i>26%</i>	143 <i>2</i> 9%	34 <i>30%</i>	61 <i>26%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%fv	150 v <i>13%</i>	8 <i>8</i> %	61 15%f	46 13%	28 17%f	22 8%	14 13%	7 12%	36 12%	41 <i>13</i> %	47 17%	13 <i>8%</i>	142 <i>13%</i>	17 12%	41 14%	22 9%	53 16%p	34 12%	29 19%z	26 13%	61 <i>12%</i>	18 16%w	16 <i>7</i> %
MEAN	3.29f Inw	3.29	3.22	3.32	3.32	3.36	3.15	3.381	3.28	3.371	3.281	3.361	2.98	3.31n	3.08	3.31	3.28	3.34	3.25	3.43	3.29	3.27	3.32	3.07
Very/ fairly good at it	265 22%i	247 <i>22</i> %	18 18%	97 <i>23%</i>	77 21%	31 <i>19%</i>	60 <i>21%</i>	19 <i>18%</i>	10 <i>17</i> %	49 16%	70 <i>22</i> %	62 <i>22</i> %	55 33%zg hij	226 <i>21%</i>	39 28%z	55 19%	46 20%	87 26%z	62 <i>22</i> %	32 <i>2</i> 1%	42 21%	121 <i>25%</i>	31 <i>28</i> %	67 29%z
Fairly/ very bad at it	487 40%fl n	452 40%	35 <i>34%</i>	176 42%f	152 42%f	64 40%	90 <i>32</i> %	47 44%l	21 <i>34</i> %	128 43%l	123 <i>38</i> %	120 43%l	48 <i>2</i> 9%	446 41%zr	41 n <i>29%</i>	115 <i>3</i> 9%	91 <i>39%</i>	154 46%z	104 <i>37</i> %	70 <i>45%</i>	76 39%	203 <i>42</i> %	52 47%w	77 33%
NET good	-222 -18%	-204 <i>-18%</i>	-17 <i>-17</i> %	-79 -19%	-76 <i>-21%</i>	-33 <i>-20%</i>	-30 -11%	-28 -26%	-11 <i>-18%</i>	-79 <i>-27</i> %	-53 -17%	-58 <i>-21%</i>	7 4%	-220 <i>-20%</i>	-1 -1%	-60 <i>-20%</i>	-45 -19%	-67 <i>-20%</i>	-42 -15%	-38 <i>-24%</i>	-34 -17%	-83 <i>-17</i> %	-21 -19%	-10 -4%
Don't know	7 1%	6 1%	1 1%	2	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	4 4%zij kl	2 3%zij kl	1 *	*	-	-	7 1%	-	1 *	1	1 *	4 2%	4 3%zu	-	1 *	-	2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 101

Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		P	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH		VOTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STRE	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	29 2%p	9 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	3 <i>3%</i>	2 1%	11 2%	18 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>2</i> %	17 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>2%</i>	9 4%	3 <i>2</i> %	-	20 4%zp	8 1%	21 <i>3</i> %	8 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%b nr	103 fhj 33%zb f	67 e 15%	14 20%	14 14%	11 <i>9</i> %	144 23%zh	93 16%	140 23%zj	97 16%	160 21%n	33 16%	32 22%n	9 <i>9</i> %	93 <i>20%</i>	143 19%	152 22%zr	84 16%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	471 38%e oq	106 gik <i>34%</i>	160 <i>36%</i>	25 35%	24 24%	72 54%z ce	211 ab <i>33%</i>	260 44%zç	193 1 <i>32%</i>	277 45%zi	259 <i>34%</i>	91 44%k	57 <i>3</i> 9%	51 51%zk	147 <i>32%</i>	314 41%zo	243 <i>3</i> 5%	227 43%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	328 <i>27</i> %	71 <i>23%</i>	148 33%za	22 f <i>32</i> %	29 <i>30%</i>	25 19%	175 <i>28%</i>	153 <i>26%</i>	175 <i>2</i> 9%	154 <i>25%</i>	223 29%zm	58 <i>28%</i>	27 18%	20 <i>20%</i>	131 <i>29%</i>	197 <i>26%</i>	193 <i>27</i> %	136 <i>26</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%a	25 I <i>8%</i>	59 13%	8 11%	27 28%za bcf	20 15%	94 15%	64 11%	87 14%	71 11%	99 13%	15 <i>7</i> %	26 18%l	17 17%l	63 14%	95 12%	92 13%	66 13%
MEAN	3.29a	3.00	3.39za	3.29	3.66z ab	3.38a	3.31	3.26	3.31	3.27	3.30	3.18	3.29	3.471	3.27	3.30	3.26	3.32
Very/ fairly good at it	265 22%b r	112 fjn 36%zb f	80 e 18%	16 <i>22</i> %	17 17%	13 10%	155 24%zh	110 19%	151 25%zj	114 <i>18</i> %	176 23%n	42 20%n	35 24%n	9 <i>9</i> %	113 <i>2</i> 5%	151 <i>20%</i>	173 25%zr	92 17%
Fairly/ very bad at it	487 40%a	96 <i>31%</i>	206 46%za		56 58%za f		270 <i>42%</i>	217 <i>37</i> %	262 43%zj	225 <i>36</i> %	322 42%z	73 <i>35</i> %	53 <i>36</i> %	37 <i>37</i> %	194 <i>43%</i>	292 <i>38%</i>	285 41%	202 <i>38</i> %
NET good	-222 -18%	16 5%c	-126 <i>-28%</i>	-14 <i>-20%</i>	-39 -41%	-32 <i>-24%</i>	-115 <i>-18%</i>	-107 <i>-18</i> %	-111 <i>-18%</i>	-111 <i>-18%</i>	-145 <i>-19%</i>	-31 <i>-15%</i>	-18 <i>-13%</i>	-29 <i>-28%</i>	-81 <i>-18%</i>	-141 <i>-19%</i>	-112 <i>-16%</i>	-110 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	7 1%io	*	2	-	1 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	1 *	6 1%	1 *	6 1%	3	1 *	1 1%	3 3%zk	1 *	6 1%	1 *	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 102

Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	29 2%b	16 gi 4%b	4 1%	13 <i>2</i> %	8 2%	8 <i>3</i> %	25 4%zg	1 *	16 4%zi	13 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%bi	104 25%zb	67 15%	108 <i>20%</i>	85 <i>20%</i>	44 16%	150 <i>21%</i>	82 18%	130 36%zi	102 <i>12%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	471 38%b	147 cg <i>35%</i>	139 <i>32%</i>	184 <i>35</i> %	144 <i>3</i> 4%	143 52%zcd	267 37%	150 <i>34%</i>	128 <i>36%</i>	300 <i>37</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	328 27%el	107 h <i>25%</i>	132 <i>30%</i>	164 31%ze	117 28%e	48 17%	183 <i>26%</i>	138 31%z	65 18%	262 32%zh
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%h	46 11%	92 21%za	61 <i>11%</i>	70 16%z	28 10%	86 12%	70 16%	18 <i>5</i> %	140 17%zh
MEAN	3.29afh	3.15	3.55za	3.29	3.37e	3.16	3.22	3.44zf	2.83	3.51zh
Very/ fairly good at it	265 22%bi	120 28%zb	71 16%	121 <i>2</i> 3%	92 <i>22</i> %	52 19%	175 25%z	83 19%	146 41%zi	115 <i>14%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	487 40%el	153 h <i>36%</i>	224 51%za	225 42%e	187 44%e	75 28%	269 <i>38%</i>	209 47%zf	83 <i>23</i> %	402 49%zh
NET good	-222 -18%	-33 <i>-8%</i>	-152 <i>-35%</i>	-104 <i>-20%</i>	-95 <i>-22%</i>	-23 -8%	-93 -13%	-125 <i>-28%</i>	63 18%	-287 <i>-35%</i>
Don't know	7 1%	2	4 1%	3	1	3 1%	1 *	2	1 *	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 103

Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT	E OF	ACTIV		VOT.		-10-	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE	OLITICAL MORE
		PART	IES	SOCIAL	MEDIA		NG INFLU	TV or	PEO	PLE	VOT	EHS	DIVIS	SIVE	SUPER	FICIAL
						Printed newspap	Discuss	radio news or								
						er or magazin	ion on social	news								
					Non-	es	media	program mes								
				Active social	active social	(Very/ fairly	(Very/ fairly	(Very/ fairly								
			Disagre	media	media	importa	importa	importa		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	e (b)	user (c)	user (d)	nt) (e)	nt) (f)	nt) (g)	Agree (h)	e (i)	Agree (j)	e (k)	Agree (I)	e (m)	Agree (n)	e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	29 2%	21 3%z	5 1%	4 2%	12 <i>4</i> %	12 5%z	1 1%	15 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	17 4%	3 1%	21 3%z	3 <i>2</i> %	17 <i>3</i> %	1 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%	158 26%zb	53 17%	52 20%	54 20%	66 25%z	29 <i>22%</i>	141 24%z	145 <i>21%</i>	27 18%	113 23%z	54 20%	124 <i>21%</i>	28 18%	113 <i>20%</i>	40 23%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	471 38%a hjln	209 beg <i>34%</i>	99 <i>31%</i>	91 <i>35</i> %	102 <i>37</i> %	79 <i>30%</i>	47 36%	181 <i>31%</i>	232 <i>35</i> %	51 <i>33</i> %	163 <i>33%</i>	92 <i>34</i> %	193 <i>32</i> %	52 <i>34</i> %	188 <i>34%</i>	61 <i>35</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	328 27%	160 <i>26%</i>	92 <i>2</i> 9%	70 <i>27</i> %	78 <i>28%</i>	80 <i>31%</i>	38 <i>29%</i>	186 32%z	184 <i>27</i> %	39 <i>26%</i>	129 <i>2</i> 6%	68 <i>25%</i>	170 <i>28%</i>	43 <i>28%</i>	144 <i>2</i> 6%	47 27%
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%a	59 g 10%	69 22%za	45 17%z	31 11%	24 9%	15 12%	58 10%	96 14%	31 20%z	65 13%	50 19%z	95 16%z	26 17%	96 17%z	25 15%
MEAN	3.29ae	3.13	3.53za	3.38	3.22	3.14	3.28	3.23	3.31	3.45	3.23	3.41	3.32	3.40	3.34	3.32
Very/ fairly good at it	265 <i>22%</i>	179 29%zb	58 18%	56 21%	66 24%	78 30%z	30 <i>23%</i>	155 27%z	157 <i>2</i> 3%	30 <i>20%</i>	131 27%z	57 21%	145 <i>2</i> 4%	31 <i>20%</i>	130 <i>23%</i>	41 24%
Fairly/ very bad at it	487 40%a	219 <i>36%</i>	162 51%za	115 <i>44</i> %	109 <i>39%</i>	104 <i>40%</i>	53 41%	244 <i>42</i> %	280 <i>42%</i>	70 46%	194 <i>40%</i>	118 <i>44%</i>	265 44%z	69 <i>45%</i>	240 <i>43%</i>	72 41%
NET good	-222 -18%	-41 <i>-7%</i>	-104 <i>-33%</i>	-59 <i>-22%</i>	-43 -15%	-26 -10%	-23 -17%	-89 <i>-15%</i>	-123 <i>-18%</i>	-40 <i>-26%</i>	-64 -13%	-61 <i>-23%</i>	-120 <i>-20%</i>	-38 <i>-25%</i>	-110 <i>-20%</i>	-31 <i>-18%</i>
Don't know	7 1%	4 1%	-	-	-			2	3 1%	1 1%	1	1 1%	1 *		2	-

Table 104
Q16 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general.
On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? - Summary Table
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	Providing a way for ordinary people to get involved with politics (a)	Providing capable politicians to run the country (b)	Telling voters about the issues they feel are most important in Britain and how they will work to solve them (c)	Ensuring that their candidates for elections represent a cross-section of British society (d)	Creating policy ideas that are in the long-term interests of Britain as a whole (e)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230
Effective Base	813	813	813	813	813
[1] Very good at it	23	34	55	22	29
	<i>2%</i>	<i>3</i> %	4%abde	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	175	195	235	219	236
	<i>14%</i>	<i>16%</i>	19%ab	18%a	19%ab
[3] Neither good	509	454	462	496	471
nor bad at it	41%bc	37%	<i>38%</i>	40%	<i>38%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	329	355	323	355	328
	<i>27%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>26%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>27%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	185	187	148	131	158
	15%cd	15%cd	<i>12%</i>	<i>11%</i>	<i>13%</i>
MEAN	3.39cde	3.38cde	3.22	3.29	3.29
Very/ fairly good at it	198	229	290	241	265
	<i>16%</i>	19%	24%abd	20%a	22%a
Fairly/ very bad at it	514	542	471	486	487
	<i>42%</i>	44%cde	<i>38%</i>	<i>40%</i>	40%
NET good	-315	-312	-181	-245	-222
	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-15%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	-18%
Don't know	9	5	7	6	7
	1%	*	1%	1%	1%

11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 105

Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	DER		AGE						AGE			SOCIAL O	RADE		WORKING	G STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	181 15%cc	93 ljs <i>16%</i>	88 14%	11 <i>8</i> %	17 <i>8</i> %	25 13%	30 14%	34 19%cd	63 23%zc	28 def <i>8%</i>	55 13%	97 21%zjk	42 13%	72 21%zmp	34 14%	34 11%	99 14%	82 16%	35 10%	146 17%zs
[2] Tend to agree	429 35%e	211 <i>35</i> %	218 <i>35%</i>	48 34%	67 <i>32%</i>	50 <i>25%</i>	81 37%e	66 37%e	116 42%ze	115 <i>33%</i>	131 <i>31%</i>	183 40%zk	137 42%zp	121 <i>35%</i>	83 <i>33</i> %	88 <i>29%</i>	255 <i>35</i> %	174 <i>34</i> %	115 <i>33%</i>	314 <i>36%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	289 23%iln	140 n <i>23</i> %	149 <i>2</i> 4%	43 31%i	64 30%i	49 24%i	53 24%i	39 <i>22%</i>	41 15%	107 30%zl	102 24%l	80 17%	55 17%	70 20%	72 29%m	91 30%zr	174 nn <i>24</i> %	115 <i>22</i> %	96 <i>28%</i>	192 <i>22%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	203 17%hl	92 15%	111 <i>18%</i>	16 12%	39 19%	50 25%zchi	37 17%	19 10%	42 15%	56 16%	87 21%zl	61 <i>13</i> %	71 22%zo	54 16%	35 14%	43 14%	120 <i>17</i> %	83 16%	62 18%	141 <i>16</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	114 9%i	55 <i>9%</i>	59 <i>9</i> %	15 11%	24 11%	22 11%	19 <i>9%</i>	19 11%	16 <i>6</i> %	39 11%	41 10%	35 <i>8%</i>	23 <i>7</i> %	26 <i>8%</i>	25 10%	40 13%zr	62 n 9%	53 10%	34 10%	80 <i>9</i> %
MEAN	2.70iln t	2.67	2.74	2.83i	2.93zhi	2.96zhi	2.70i	2.56	2.39	2.89zl	2.821	2.46	2.68	2.54	2.73	2.90zn	2.71	2.70	2.84zt	2.65
Agree	610 50%de ps	304 ejk <i>51%</i>	306 <i>49%</i>	59 <i>42</i> %	84 40%	75 38%	111 50%e	101 56%cde	179 64%zc f	144 de <i>41%</i>	186 <i>44%</i>	280 61%zjk	178 54%p	192 56%zp	118 <i>46%</i>	122 40%	354 49%	257 50%	150 <i>43%</i>	460 52%zs
Disagree	318 26%il	147 <i>2</i> 5%	170 <i>27%</i>	32 <i>2</i> 3%	63 <i>30%</i>	72 36%zchi	56 <i>26%</i>	37 21%	58 <i>21%</i>	95 <i>27%</i>	128 30%l	95 21%	94 <i>2</i> 9%	80 <i>23%</i>	60 <i>24%</i>	84 <i>27%</i>	182 <i>25%</i>	136 <i>27</i> %	97 <i>28%</i>	221 <i>2</i> 5%
NET Agree	292 24%de ps	157 ejk <i>26%</i>	136 <i>22</i> %	28 20%de	22 10%e	4 2%	55 25%de	63 35%zcde	122 44%zc f	49 de <i>14%</i>	59 14%	185 40%zjk	84 26%p	112 33%zop	58 23%p	38 12%	172 <i>24%</i>	121 <i>24</i> %	53 15%	239 27%zs
Don't know	13 1%n	8 1%	5 1%	6 5%zdf	-	5 3%i	-	2 1%	*	6 <i>2</i> %	5 1%	2	2 1%	*	3 1%	8 <u>3</u> %zr	9 1 1%	4 1%	7 2%	7 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 106

Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCE			TENURE																	DAILY NEV		
		INTE	RNET						GOVER	NMENT (OFFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY	0005/	EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCC	OME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL	YES	NO	OWNED OUT- RIGHT	BUYING MORT- GAGE	RENTED LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY	RENTED PRI- VATE	SCOT- LAND	WALES	NORTH	MID-	SOUTH	ONDON	WHITE	BME	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12	A-LVL OR EQUIV	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£11500 - £24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY	POPULAR
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(p)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	181 <i>15%</i>	163 <i>14%</i>	18 <i>18%</i>	85 20%ze	51 f <i>14</i> %	17 10%	29 10%	14 13%	9 14%	40 13%	42 13%	62 22%ziji	16 <i>9</i> %	164 <i>15%</i>	16 11%	52 18%	28 12%	47 14%	46 16%	23 15%	43 22%z	72 15%	22 20%	40 17%
[2] Tend to agree	429 35%f	393 <i>35%</i>	36 <i>35%</i>	158 38%f	145 40%zet	47 29%	76 <i>27%</i>	44 41%i	23 <i>38%</i>	90 <i>30%</i>	110 <i>35%</i>	99 <i>35%</i>	62 <i>38</i> %	383 <i>35</i> %	46 33%	89 <i>30%</i>	91 <i>39</i> %	127 <i>38%</i>	91 <i>32%</i>	48 31%	60 <i>31%</i>	187 <i>38%</i>	50 46%z	96 41%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	289 23%q vw	270 u <i>24%</i>	19 18%	86 <i>20%</i>	74 21%	42 26%	85 31%zo d	21 : 20%	19 <i>31%</i>	85 29%z	69 <i>22%</i>	61 <i>22</i> %	34 21%	246 23%	42 30%	79 27%q	56 24%	62 19%	65 <i>23%</i>	43 28%u	42 22%	92 19%	10 10%	37 16%
[4] Tend to disagree	203 17%a h	177 g <i>16%</i>	27 26%z	57 a <i>13%</i>	68 19%	27 16%	49 18%	11 11%	4 7%	49 17%h	68 21%zg hk	35 13%	35 21%gh	178 n <i>16%</i>	26 18%	44 15%	39 17%	68 21%z	44 15%	25 16%	28 14%	95 19%	15 14%	36 15%
[5] Strongly disagree	114 9%d	111 <i>10%</i>	3 <i>3</i> %	35 <i>8%</i>	18 <i>5</i> %	27 17%zcd	35 13%d	10 10%	6 10%	31 10%	29 <i>9</i> %	24 9%	14 <i>9</i> %	104 <i>10</i> %	10 <i>7</i> %	31 10%	21 <i>9</i> %	27 8%	34 12%	13 <i>8</i> %	21 11%	39 <i>8%</i>	12 11%	21 9%
MEAN	2.70c k	2.71	2.62	2.52	2.60	3.00zc d	2.95z cd	2.60	2.60	2.80k	2.79k	2.51	2.81	2.70	2.77	2.70	2.72	2.70	2.75	2.71	2.61	2.67	2.50	2.57
Agree	610 50%e i	556 49%	54 53%	243 58%ze	196 f 55%ef	63 <i>39</i> %	104 <i>38</i> %	58 <i>54%</i>	32 <i>52</i> %	129 <i>44%</i>	152 <i>48%</i>	161 57%i	78 47%	548 50%	62 44%	141 <i>48%</i>	118 <i>51%</i>	174 <i>52</i> %	137 <i>48%</i>	71 46%	103 <i>53%</i>	259 <i>53%</i>	72 66%z	136 59%z
Disagree	318 26%c	288 <i>26</i> %	30 <i>29%</i>	91 <i>22</i> %	86 24%	54 33%zc	84 30%c	22 20%	10 17%	80 <i>27%</i>	97 30%gh	60 21%	49 <i>30</i> %	282 <i>26</i> %	36 <i>2</i> 5%	75 <i>25%</i>	59 <i>25</i> %	95 <i>28%</i>	78 <i>27</i> %	38 <i>25</i> %	49 <i>25%</i>	134 <i>27%</i>	27 <i>25%</i>	56 <i>24%</i>
NET Agree	292 24%e ij	268 24%	24 23%	151 36%ze	110 f 31%zef	10 <i>6</i> %	20 <i>7</i> %	36 34%zi jl	22 36%zi jl	50 17%	55 17%	101 36%zij I	29 17%	265 24%	26 18%	66 <i>22</i> %	59 <i>25%</i>	79 <i>2</i> 4%	59 <i>21%</i>	33 <i>22%</i>	54 27%	126 <i>26%</i>	45 41%z	80 34%z
Don't know	13 1%c	13 1%	:	*	3 1%	4 3%c	4 1%	6 6%zł jk	- ni -	2 1%	1	-	4 2%	12 1%	2 1%	1 *	1 *	2 1%	4 2%	1 1%	2 1%	4 1%	-	3 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 107

Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		P(POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT				KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	181 15%cf mnpr	70 hj 22%zc	74 f 17%cf	3 <i>5%</i>	20 21%cf	3 3%	119 19%zh	62 11%	118 19%zj	64 10%	147 19%zlm	23 n 11%n	9 <i>6%</i>	2 2%	116 25%zp	65 <i>9%</i>	136 19%zr	45 <i>9</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	429 35%fh npr	139 jm 44%zf	168 38%f	25 35%f	39 40%f	11 <i>8</i> %	256 40%zh	173 <i>2</i> 9%	246 41%zj	183 <i>29%</i>	307 40%zmr	76 n 37%mn	32 1 <i>22</i> %	10 10%	194 42%zp	235 <i>31%</i>	284 40%zr	145 <i>28%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	289 23%al koq	42 ogi 14%	83 19%	19 28%a	16 16%	72 55%za ce	98 ab <i>15%</i>	191 32%zg	94 15%	195 31%zi	121 <i>16%</i>	52 25%k	59 40%zkl	48 48%zk	65 I <i>14%</i>	216 28%zo	108 <i>15%</i>	180 34%zq
[4] Tend to disagree	203 17%fo	39 13%	79 18%f	21 29%za ef	13 13%	9 <i>7</i> %	114 18%	89 15%	106 <i>17%</i>	98 16%	133 <i>18%</i>	35 17%	23 15%	11 10%	56 12%	147 19%zo	126 <i>18%</i>	77 15%
[5] Strongly disagree	114 9%gi	22 koq <i>7</i> %	40 9%	2 3%	9 10%	27 21%za	48 abc <i>8%</i>	66 11%	44 7%	70 11%i	51 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>9%</i>	23 16%zk	22 22%zk	26 I <i>6</i> %	89 12%zo	49 7%	66 12%zq
MEAN	2.70agi koq	2.38	2.65a	2.91a	2.50	3.37za bce	2.55	2.87zg	2.53	2.88zi	2.52	2.76k	3.13zkl	3.42zkl	2.30	2.95zo	2.53	2.95zq
Agree	610 50%fh npr	209 jm 67%zb f	242 oc 54%zf	28 40%f	59 61%zo f	14 : 11%	375 59%zh	235 40%	364 60%zj	246 40%	454 60%zlm	99 n 48%mn	41 1 28%n	13 13%	309 68%zp	300 <i>39%</i>	419 60%zr	191 <i>36</i> %
Disagree	318 26%a	62 20%	120 <i>27%</i>	23 <i>32</i> %	22 <i>2</i> 3%	37 <i>28%</i>	162 <i>26%</i>	155 <i>26%</i>	150 <i>25%</i>	168 <i>27%</i>	184 <i>24%</i>	54 26%	46 31%	32 <i>32</i> %	82 18%	236 31%zo	175 <i>25%</i>	143 <i>27%</i>
NET Agree	292 24%cf mnpr	147 hj 47%zb f	123 oc 27%cf	5 <i>8</i> %	37 39%z cf	-22 -17%	212 33%zh	80 <i>13%</i>	214 35%zj	78 13%	270 36%zlm	45 n 22%mn	-5 1 <i>-3</i> %	-20 -20%	227 50%zp	64 <i>8</i> %	245 35%zr	48 9%
Don't know	13 1%gi	- kq <u>-</u>	3 1%	<u>-</u>		9 <u>7</u> %za	2 abe*	11 2%g	-	13 2%zi		1 1%	-	8 <u>7</u> %zk	- lm <u>-</u>	10 1%o	1 *	13 2%zq

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 108

707_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	DENDUM	IMPORTANT		SATISFACTION	
		THE UK	15 KUN	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	KENDUM	DETERMINED BY	KEFEKENDUMS	SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL	AGREE	DISAGREE	REMAIN	LEAVE	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO	AGREE	DISAGREE	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	181 15%e	82 19%zb	54 12%	90 17%e	82 19%ze	9 <i>3</i> %	107 <i>15%</i>	65 15%	61 <i>17%</i>	118 <i>14%</i>
[2] Tend to agree	429 35%e	153 <i>36%</i>	158 <i>36%</i>	219 41%ze	146 34%e	64 <i>23%</i>	248 <i>35%</i>	167 <i>38%</i>	155 43%zi	272 33%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	289 23%a	74 bch <i>17</i> %	85 19%	85 16%	88 <i>21%</i>	115 42%zcd	157 <i>22</i> %	94 21%	62 17%	195 <i>24%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	203 17%	76 18%	77 18%	106 20%ze	65 <i>15%</i>	32 12%	133 <i>19%</i>	69 15%	51 14%	149 <i>18%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	114 9%c	35 <i>8%</i>	60 14%za	31 <i>6%</i>	43 10%	40 15%zc	63 <i>9</i> %	48 11%	26 <i>7</i> %	82 10%
MEAN	2.70ch	2.59	2.84za	2.57	2.62	3.12zcd	2.71	2.70	2.51	2.76zh
Agree	610 50%e	235 56%z	212 <i>48%</i>	309 58%ze	228 54%e	73 27%	355 <i>50%</i>	232 <i>52%</i>	216 61%zi	391 <i>48%</i>
Disagree	318 <i>26%</i>	111 <i>26%</i>	137 31%z	137 <i>26%</i>	108 <i>25%</i>	72 26%	197 <i>28%</i>	117 <i>26%</i>	77 22%	231 28%z
NET Agree	292 24%b	125 ei 29%zb	75 17%	171 32%ze	120 28%ze	1 *	158 <i>22</i> %	115 <i>26%</i>	139 39%zi	159 <i>19%</i>
Don't know	13 1%c	3 fi1%	5 1%	-	-	13 5%zcd	4 1%	1	2	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 109

Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTI	NG INFLUE	NCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	S DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (q)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	181 15%b	181 30%zb	-	36 14%	39 14%	43 16%	17 13%	99 17%	107 <i>16%</i>	20 13%	84 17%	38 14%	105 17%z	24 15%	93 17%	33 19%
[2] Tend to agree	429 35%b	429 70%zb	-	107 41%z	97 <i>35%</i>	122 47%zg	63 49%z	235 40%z	258 38%z	50 <i>33%</i>	176 <i>36%</i>	106 <i>40%</i>	234 39%z	52 <i>34%</i>	203 <i>36%</i>	70 40%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	289 23%ab ghjlno	- ocef -	-	40 15%	56 20%	28 11%	16 12%	97 17%e	127 19%	33 <i>22</i> %	86 18%	57 21%	111 <i>18%</i>	36 24%	107 <i>19%</i>	25 14%
[4] Tend to disagree	203 17%a	-	203 64%za	54 21%	62 22%z	48 18%	20 16%	111 <i>19%</i>	109 <i>16%</i>	29 19%	92 19%	38 14%	91 <i>15%</i>	23 15%	101 <i>18%</i>	25 14%
[5] Strongly disagree	114 9%aç	- 1 -	114 36%za	24 9%	23 <i>8%</i>	21 <i>8%</i>	13 10%	42 7%	64 10%	19 <i>12</i> %	48 10%	26 10%	58 10%	19 <i>12</i> %	53 <i>9%</i>	21 <i>12</i> %
MEAN	2.70agl	1.70	4.36za	2.70	2.76	2.55	2.61	2.59	2.65	2.84	2.68	2.65	2.60	2.74	2.67	2.60
Agree	610 50%b	610 100%zb	-	143 <i>55%</i>	136 <i>49%</i>	165 63%z	81 62%z	333 57%z	365 54%z	71 <i>46%</i>	260 <i>53%</i>	144 <i>54%</i>	339 56%z	76 49%	296 <i>53%</i>	103 59%z
Disagree	318 26%a	-	318 100%za	78 <i>30%</i>	85 <i>31%</i>	69 <i>26%</i>	34 26%	153 <i>26%</i>	173 <i>26%</i>	48 31%	140 <i>2</i> 9%	63 <i>24%</i>	149 <i>25%</i>	41 <i>27</i> %	153 <i>27%</i>	46 <i>26</i> %
NET Agree	292 24%di	610 100%zb	-318 -100%	65 <i>25%</i>	51 18%	96 37%z	47 36%z	180 31%z	191 28%zi	23 15%	120 <i>25%</i>	81 30%z	190 31%z	34 <i>22%</i>	142 <i>25%</i>	57 33%z
Don't know	13 1%ad	- 1 -	-	1	-	-	-	-	8 1%	*	4 1%	3 1%	7 1%	-	4 1%	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 110

707_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	DER		AGE						AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	80 7%l	38 <i>6%</i>	42 7%	8 <i>5%</i>	11 <i>5</i> %	20 10%i	23 10%i	9 <i>5%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	19 <i>5%</i>	42 10%zl	20 4%	25 <i>8%</i>	22 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>5</i> %	22 7%	53 <i>7%</i>	28 <i>5%</i>	26 <i>7%</i>	55 <i>6%</i>
[2] Tend to agree	277 23%or	134 <i>22%</i>	143 <i>2</i> 3%	30 <i>21%</i>	56 <i>26%</i>	40 20%	63 <i>28%</i>	33 18%	56 <i>20%</i>	86 <i>24%</i>	103 <i>24%</i>	89 19%	96 29%zo	78 <i>23%</i>	38 15%	65 21%	177 <i>2</i> 5%	100 <i>20%</i>	83 24%	194 <i>22%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	263 21%hil t	134 m <i>22</i> %	129 <i>20%</i>	39 27%hi	66 31%zhi	51 25%hi	44 20%	26 14%	37 13%	105 30%zl	95 23%l	63 14%	34 10%	64 19%m	79 31%zmn	87 28%zn	161 nn <i>22%</i>	102 <i>20%</i>	94 27%zt	169 <i>19%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	267 <i>2</i> 2%	127 <i>21%</i>	140 <i>22</i> %	34 24%	44 21%	39 19%	46 21%	39 <i>22%</i>	65 <i>23%</i>	78 <i>22%</i>	85 <i>20%</i>	104 <i>23%</i>	81 <i>25%</i>	83 <i>24%</i>	46 18%	58 19%	159 <i>22%</i>	108 <i>21%</i>	76 22%	191 <i>22%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	331 27%cd qs	161 jk <i>27</i> %	171 <i>27</i> %	25 18%	34 16%	48 24%	45 20%	71 40%zcde f	109 39%zc f	59 de <i>17</i> %	93 <i>22%</i>	179 39%zjk	92 28%	95 <i>28%</i>	76 30%	68 <i>22%</i>	160 <i>22%</i>	171 33%zq	64 18%	267 30%zs
MEAN	3.40dfj kqs	3.40	3.41	3.29	3.16	3.28	3.13	3.74zcd ef	3.73zcd ef	3.21	3.20	3.74zjk	3.36	3.44	3.54	3.29	3.28	3.58zq	3.20	3.48zs
Agree	358 29%ilo	172 r <i>2</i> 9%	185 <i>29%</i>	38 <i>27%</i>	67 <i>32%</i>	60 <i>30%</i>	85 39%zhi	41 23%	67 <i>2</i> 4%	104 <i>30%</i>	145 34%zl	108 <i>24%</i>	121 37%zo	100 29%o	50 20%	87 <i>28%</i>	229 32%r	128 <i>25%</i>	109 <i>31%</i>	249 <i>28%</i>
Disagree	598 49%dji qs	288 xp 48%	310 <i>49%</i>	60 <i>42%</i>	78 <i>37</i> %	87 <i>43%</i>	91 <i>41%</i>	110 62%zcde f	174 62%zc f	137 de <i>39%</i>	177 <i>42</i> %	284 62%zjł	173 53%p	178 52%p	121 <i>48</i> %	126 <i>41%</i>	320 <i>44%</i>	279 55%zq	140 40%	458 52%zs
NET Agree	-241 <i>-20%</i>	-116 <i>-19%</i>	-125 <i>-20%</i>	-22 -16%	-11 <i>-5%</i>	-27 -13%	-6 <i>-3%</i>	-69 <i>-38%</i>	-107 <i>-38%</i>	-33 <i>-9%</i>	-32 <i>-8%</i>	-175 <i>-38%</i>	-52 -16%	-78 <i>-23%</i>	-72 -28%	-40 -13%	-90 -13%	-151 <i>-29%</i>	-31 <i>-9%</i>	-209 <i>-24%</i>
Don't know	11 <i>1</i> %	5 1%	6 1%	5 4%zfi	1 *	3 <i>2</i> %	-	2 1%	*	6 2%	3 1%	2	2 1%	1 *	3 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	9 1%	2	6 <i>2</i> %	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 111
Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
There was more than one political party that appealed to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES			TENI	JRE			GOVER	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	AUTH-	ENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	80 <i>7</i> %	77 <i>7</i> %	4 4%	26 <i>6</i> %	28 <i>8</i> %	6 4%	19 <i>7</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	1 2%	19 <i>6%</i>	22 7%	25 <i>9%</i>	10 <i>6%</i>	69 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>8</i> %	18 <i>6</i> %	13 <i>5%</i>	33 10%z	14 <i>5</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	17 9%	42 9%	10 <i>9</i> %	12 <i>5</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	277 23%io	261 23%	16 16%	81 <i>19%</i>	85 <i>24%</i>	28 17%	80 29%zo e	30 28%i	14 23%	51 17%	62 19%	68 <i>24%</i>	51 31%zi j	245 22%	33 <i>23%</i>	50 17%	57 24%	97 29%zo r	55 0 19%	32 21%	46 23%	116 <i>24%</i>	38 34%z	58 <i>25%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	263 21%cg kmquv w	243 22%	20 19%	67 16%	70 19%	47 29%zcd	77 28%zc	15 14%	19 31%zg k	64 <i>22</i> %	82 26%gk	40 14%	43 26%gk	218 20%	44 31%z	70 m 24%q	57 25%q	37 11%	82 29%z	37 q 24%u	38 19%	75 15%	9 <i>8</i> %	36 15%
[4] Tend to disagree	267 <i>22</i> %	240 <i>21%</i>	27 <i>26%</i>	95 <i>23%</i>	94 26%f	29 18%	49 17%	22 21%	10 16%	72 24%	75 <i>2</i> 3%	56 20%	32 20%	232 21%	35 <i>25</i> %	66 22%	57 24%	82 25%r	44 16%	26 17%	37 19%	115 <i>24%</i>	25 <i>23%</i>	52 <i>22%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	331 27%fl n	295 <i>26%</i>	36 <i>35%</i>	151 36%zd	79 f <i>22%</i>	48 29%f	50 18%	31 29%l	17 28%l	87 30%l	78 24%	92 33%l	25 15%	313 29%zı	18 n <i>12%</i>	91 31%p	50 21%	82 24%	86 <i>30%</i>	54 35%z	56 <i>29%</i>	138 <i>28%</i>	29 <i>26</i> %	74 32%
MEAN	3.40a flnq	3.37	3.73za	3.63zd f	3.31	3.53f	3.11	3.471	3.471	3.531	3.391	3.441	3.06	3.44n	3.11	3.55q	3.32	3.25	3.48	3.60	3.36	3.39	3.23	3.51
Agree	358 29%ei o	338 <i>30%</i>	20 19%	107 <i>25%</i>	113 32%e	34 21%	99 36%ce	34 9 <i>32%</i>	15 24%	70 24%	84 <i>26%</i>	93 <i>33%</i>	62 37%i	314 <i>29%</i>	44 31%	68 <i>23%</i>	70 30%	130 39%zc pr	69 24%	37 24%	63 <i>32%</i>	158 <i>32%</i>	47 43%zw	70 <i>30%</i>
Disagree	598 49%af In	535 48%	63 61%za	246 a 59%zd f	173 e 48%f	77 47%f	99 <i>36%</i>	54 50%l	27 44%	159 54%l	153 48%l	148 53%l	57 <i>35%</i>	545 50%zı	52 n <i>37</i> %	157 <i>53%</i>	106 <i>45%</i>	164 <i>49%</i>	130 <i>46%</i>	79 <i>52%</i>	94 <i>48%</i>	253 <i>52%</i>	54 49%	125 <i>54%</i>
NET Agree	-241 <i>-20</i> %	-198 <i>-18%</i>	-43 -42%	-140 <i>-33%</i>	-60 -17%	-43 <i>-26%</i>	*	-20 -19%	-12 <i>-20%</i>	-89 <i>-30%</i>	-69 <i>-22</i> %	-55 <i>-20%</i>	4 3%	-231 <i>-21%</i>	-9 -6%	-89 <i>-30%</i>	-37 -16%	-33 -10%	-61 <i>-22</i> %	-43 <i>-28%</i>	-31 <i>-16</i> %	-94 -19%	-7 -6%	-56 -24%
Don't know	11 1%c	11 1%	*	*	3 1%	5 3%zc	3 1%	4 4%zi	- j -	2 1%	-	1	4 2%j	10 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 *	2 1%	3 1%	-	2 1%	2		1 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 112

Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PC	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					DGE OF	KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	80 7%h	18 jlpr <i>6</i> %	37 <i>8</i> %	5 <i>7</i> %	8 <i>9</i> %	4 3%	57 9%zh	23 4%	61 10%zj	20 <i>3</i> %	73 10%zlm	2 in 1%	3 2%	2 <i>2</i> %	41 9%zp	38 <i>5</i> %	69 10%zr	11 <i>2</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	277 23%fr nr	68 njm 22%f	122 27%zf	19 26%f	23 24%f	8 <i>6</i> %	168 26%zh	109 <i>18%</i>	167 28%zj	110 <i>18%</i>	193 25%zm	54 n 26%mr	19 1 13%	8 <i>8</i> %	121 26%zp	157 <i>21%</i>	193 27%zr	84 16%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	263 21%a koq	37 bgi <i>12%</i>	74 16%	10 14%	13 14%	61 46%z ce	67 ab 11%	196 33%zg	58 10%	204 33%zi	95 13%	53 26%k	61 42%zkl	45 45%zk	41 1 9%	215 28%zo	75 11%	187 36%zq
[4] Tend to disagree	267 22%fr	71 23%	102 <i>23%</i>	27 38%za bef	16 1 <i>7</i> %	17 13%	157 25%zh	110 19%	150 25%zj	117 19%	172 <i>2</i> 3%	53 26%n	28 19%	13 13%	93 <i>20%</i>	174 <i>23%</i>	175 25%zr	91 <i>17</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	331 27%p	119 38%zb	110 oc <i>25%</i>	10 15%	36 37%zb c	35 27%	186 <i>2</i> 9%	145 <i>2</i> 4%	171 <i>28%</i>	160 <i>26%</i>	227 30%zl	42 21%	34 <i>23%</i>	28 28%	160 35%zp	172 <i>2</i> 3%	190 <i>27%</i>	141 27%
MEAN	3.40bq	3.65zb	3.28	3.28	3.50	3.57	3.39	3.42	3.34	3.47	3.38	3.38	3.48	3.59	3.46	3.38	3.32	3.52zq
Agree	358 29%fr npr	86 njm 28%f	159 36%zf	23 33%f	31 32%f	12 <i>9</i> %	225 35%zh	133 <i>22%</i>	228 37%zj	130 <i>21%</i>	265 35%zm	57 n 28%mr	22 1 15%	10 10%	162 36%zp	195 <i>26%</i>	262 37%zr	96 <i>18%</i>
Disagree	598 49%h	190 jpr 61%zb	212 of 47%	37 53%	52 54%	52 40%	343 54%zh	255 43%	321 53%zj	277 45%	398 53%z	95 46%	61 <i>42</i> %	41 40%	253 55%zp	345 <i>45%</i>	365 52%zr	233 44%
NET Agree	-241 -20%	-104 <i>-33%</i>	-53 -12%	-14 <i>-20%</i>	-21 <i>-22%</i>	-41 -31%	-118 <i>-19%</i>	-123 <i>-21%</i>	-93 -15%	-147 <i>-24%</i>	-133 <i>-18%</i>	-39 -19%	-39 <i>-27%</i>	-31 <i>-30%</i>	-91 <i>-20%</i>	-151 <i>-20%</i>	-103 <i>-15%</i>	-137 <i>-26%</i>
Don't know	11 1%a	ika -	3 1%	-	-	7 5%z	2 ab *	9 1%	-	11 2%i	-	1 1%	1 1%	4 4%zk	-	8 1%	*	11 2%zg

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 113
Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
There was more than one political party that appealed to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	80	38	26	49	27	5	50	27	23	57
	7%e	<i>9</i> %	<i>6</i> %	9%ze	6%e	<i>2</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>6</i> %	<i>6</i> %	7%
[2] Tend to agree	277	124	83	156	85	36	145	128	84	190
	23%e	29%zb	19%	29%zde	<i>20%</i>	13%	<i>20%</i>	29%zf	24%	<i>23%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	263	62	88	67	81	115	159	66	72	163
	21%a	cg 15%	<i>20%</i>	13%	19%c	42%zcd	22%g	15%	20%	<i>20%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	267	90	92	130	91	45	171	90	75	189
	22%	<i>21%</i>	<i>2</i> 1%	25%e	<i>21%</i>	17%	<i>24%</i>	<i>20%</i>	21%	<i>23%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	331	105	148	129	141	61	185	132	102	220
	<i>27</i> %	<i>25%</i>	34%za	<i>24%</i>	33%zce	<i>22</i> %	<i>26%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>27</i> %
MEAN	3.40ac	3.24	3.58za	3.26	3.55zc	3.46	3.41	3.39	3.42	3.40
Agree	358	162	109	205	111	41	196	155	107	246
	29%b	e 38%zb	<i>25%</i>	39%zde	26%e	15%	<i>27%</i>	35%zf	<i>30%</i>	<i>30%</i>
Disagree	598	195	240	260	232	106	356	222	176	408
	49%e	<i>46%</i>	55%za	49%e	55%ze	<i>3</i> 9%	<i>50%</i>	50%	<i>49%</i>	<i>50%</i>
NET Agree	-241	-33	-131	-55	-121	-65	-160	-67	-69	-162
	-20%	<i>-8%</i>	<i>-30%</i>	-10%	<i>-28%</i>	-24%	<i>-22</i> %	-15%	-19%	<i>-20%</i>
Don't know	11 1%i	4 1%	2 *	-	-	11 4%zcd	3	1 *	2	4 *

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 114

Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTI	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	DICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	80 <i>7</i> %	51 8%z	20 <i>6</i> %	32 12%z	29 10%z	16 <i>6</i> %	11 <i>8</i> %	47 8%	62 9%z	8 <i>5</i> %	48 10%z	14 <i>5</i> %	50 <i>8</i> %	9 <i>6</i> %	47 8%z	12 <i>7</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	277 23%b	204 33%zb	41 13%	76 29%z	72 <i>26</i> %	86 33%zg	39 <i>30%</i>	153 26%z	171 25%z	29 19%	123 <i>2</i> 5%	61 <i>23%</i>	159 26%z	31 <i>21%</i>	140 <i>25%</i>	39 <i>22%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	263 21%al hijklno	54 oceg <i>9</i> %	46 14%a	31 <i>12%</i>	48 17%	26 10%	20 15%	87 15%e	108 <i>16%</i>	21 14%	85 17%	39 15%	87 14%	24 15%	87 16%	21 <i>12</i> %
[4] Tend to disagree	267 22%	122 <i>20%</i>	99 31%za	56 21%	66 24%	71 <i>27</i> %	29 <i>23%</i>	151 26%z	144 <i>21%</i>	38 <i>25</i> %	112 <i>2</i> 3%	51 19%	133 <i>22%</i>	33 <i>21%</i>	126 <i>23%</i>	42 24%
[5] Strongly disagree	331 <i>27%</i>	179 <i>2</i> 9%	112 35%z	67 <i>25</i> %	62 <i>22</i> %	62 24%	31 <i>24%</i>	144 <i>2</i> 5%	182 <i>27</i> %	55 36%z	116 <i>24</i> %	101 38%zj	171 <i>28%</i>	56 36%z	156 <i>28%</i>	60 <i>35%</i>
MEAN	3.40acd hj	3.28	3.76za	3.19	3.22	3.29	3.23	3.33	3.32	3.68zh	3.26	3.61zj	3.36	3.61	3.37	3.57
Agree	358 29%b	255 42%zb	61 19%	108 41%z	101 36%z	102 39%z	50 38%z	200 34%z	233 35%zi	37 <i>25%</i>	171 35%z	76 28%	208 34%z	41 <i>27</i> %	186 33%z	51 <i>2</i> 9%
Disagree	598 <i>49%</i>	301 <i>49%</i>	211 66%za	122 <i>47</i> %	128 <i>46</i> %	133 <i>51%</i>	60 <i>46%</i>	295 <i>51%</i>	326 49%	93 61%zh	228 47%	151 57%zj	303 <i>50%</i>	88 58%z	282 <i>50%</i>	102 59%z
NET Agree	-241 <i>-20%</i>	-46 <i>-8%</i>	-150 <i>-47%</i>	-15 <i>-6%</i>	-27 -10%	-31 <i>-12%</i>	-10 <i>-8%</i>	-95 -16%	-93 -14%	-56 <i>-37%</i>	-57 -12%	-76 <i>-28%</i>	-95 -16%	-47 -31%	-95 <i>-17%</i>	-51 <i>-29%</i>
Don't know	11 1%g	-	-	1 *	-	-	:	1 *	6 1%	*	4 1%	2 1%	6 1%	-	4 1%	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 115
Q17- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Summary Table
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me (a)	There was more than one political party that appealed to me (b)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230
Effective Base	813	813
[1] Strongly agree	181 15%b	80 <i>7%</i>
[2] Tend to agree	429 35%b	277 <i>2</i> 3%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	289 <i>23%</i>	263 <i>21%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	203 17%	267 22%a
[5] Strongly disagree	114 <i>9</i> %	331 27%a
MEAN	2.70	3.40a
Agree	610 50%b	358 <i>29%</i>
Disagree	318 <i>26%</i>	598 49%a
NET Agree	292 <i>2</i> 4%	-241 <i>-20%</i>
Don't know	13 1%	11 1%

11 Jan 2018

Table 116

78_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER			AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSEI	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	33	16	17	5	6	1	6	5	10	11	7	15	5	9	8	11	19	14	7	27
	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	4%	<i>3%</i>	1%	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>4</i> %	<i>3</i> %	2%	<i>3</i> %	2%	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>4</i> %	<i>3%</i>	3%	2%	3%
[2] Fairly good at it	236	131	105	22	35	29	42	40	68	57	72	107	64	71	44	56	136	100	66	169
	19%b	<i>22%</i>	<i>17</i> %	15%	17%	15%	19%	22%	24%ze	16%	17%	23%zj	20%	21%	17%	18%	<i>19%</i>	<i>20%</i>	19%	<i>19%</i>
[3] Neither good	429	195	235	55	93	80	67	43	91	149	147	134	99	123	81	127	250	179	144	286
nor bad at it	35%hl	It <i>32%</i>	<i>37%</i>	39%h	44%zfhi	40%h	<i>30%</i>	24%	<i>33%</i>	42%zl	<i>35%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>30%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>32%</i>	41%zn	n <i>35%</i>	<i>35%</i>	41%zt	<i>32</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	370	174	196	47	51	67	72	57	75	98	140	132	114	106	77	72	219	151	109	261
	30%p	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>31%</i>	33%	<i>24%</i>	<i>34%</i>	33%	<i>32</i> %	27%	<i>28%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	35%p	<i>31%</i>	30%	24%	<i>30%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>31%</i>	30%
[5] Very bad at it	158	81	78	10	25	22	33	34	33	35	55	68	45	31	42	39	93	65	24	134
	13%n:	s <i>13</i> %	12%	<i>7</i> %	12%	11%	15%	19%zc	12%	10%	13%	15%	14%	<i>9%</i>	17%n	13%	13%	13%	7%	15%zs
MEAN	3.31i	3.29	3.34	3.26	3.25	3.40	3.38	3.43i	3.19	3.26	3.39	3.29	3.40	3.24	3.40	3.24	3.32	3.30	3.22	3.35
Very/ fairly good at it	269	148	121	27	41	31	48	44	78	68	79	122	70	80	52	67	155	114	73	196
	22%e	<i>25%</i>	<i>19%</i>	19%	19%	<i>15</i> %	22%	25%	28%ze	19%	19%	27%zjk	21%	<i>23%</i>	20%	<i>22%</i>	<i>21%</i>	22%	21%	<i>22%</i>
Fairly/ very bad	528	254	273	58	76	90	105	92	108	133	195	200	160	138	119	111	311	216	133	395
at it	43%p	<i>42%</i>	<i>43%</i>	41%	<i>36%</i>	<i>45%</i>	<i>48%</i>	51%zdi	<i>39%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>46%</i>	<i>44</i> %	49%zp	<i>40%</i>	<i>47</i> %	<i>36%</i>	<i>43</i> %	<i>42%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>45%</i>
NET good	-259	-107	-152	-31	-35	-59	-57	-47	-30	-65	-116	-77	-90	-58	-67	-44	-157	-102	-60	-199
	<i>-21%</i>	<i>-18%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-22%</i>	-16%	<i>-30%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	-27%	-11%	-19%	<i>-28%</i>	-17%	<i>-27%</i>	-17%	<i>-26%</i>	-14%	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	-17%	<i>-23%</i>
Don't know	4	3 1%	1 *	1 1%	2 1%	*		-	1	3 1%	*	1 *	1	2 1%	2 1%	-	3	1 *	*	4

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 117

Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		ACCES INTER			TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT (OFFICE	REGION	ı	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC#	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL F AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	33 3%m	29 <i>3%</i>	5 4%	13 <i>3</i> %	5 1%	2 2%	13 5%d	3 <i>3</i> %	2 3%	6 2%	9 <i>3</i> %	5 2%	8 <i>5</i> %	23 <i>2</i> %	10 7%zi	7 n <i>3</i> %	4 2%	9 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>4</i> %	7 5%	3 1%	14 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	13 5%z
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%	209 19%	27 26%	94 22%	67 19%	28 17%	46 17%	22 20%	11 18%	51 17%	60 19%	65 23%	28 17%	210 19%	25 18%	52 18%	39 17%	67 20%	64 22%	28 18%	45 23%	97 20%	22 20%	59 26%z
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	429 35%q	388 <i>34%</i>	41 40%	131 <i>31%</i>	125 <i>35%</i>	61 <i>38%</i>	111 40%	36 33%	31 51%zg ijk	89 <i>30%</i>	109 <i>34%</i>	89 <i>32</i> %	76 46%zi jk	369 <i>34%</i>	59 <i>42%</i>	105 <i>36%</i>	90 39%q	96 <i>29%</i>	102 <i>36%</i>	52 <i>34%</i>	66 <i>34%</i>	154 <i>32</i> %	30 28%	96 41%v
[4] Fairly bad at it	370 30%w	348 <i>31%</i>	22 21%	124 30%	125 35%e	38 <i>23%</i>	80 <i>29%</i>	26 25%	13 <i>22</i> %	107 36%zg hl	100 31%	82 <i>29%</i>	41 <i>2</i> 5%	335 31%	34 24%	84 28%	77 33%	118 35%zr	68 <i>24%</i>	38 <i>25%</i>	55 28%	164 <i>34</i> %	32 <i>2</i> 9%	46 20%
[5] Very bad at it	158 <i>13</i> %	150 <i>13%</i>	8 <i>8</i> %	58 14%	36 10%	31 19%zdf	28 10%	18 17%l	4 7%	42 14%	41 13%	41 14%	12 <i>7</i> %	145 <i>13%</i>	13 <i>9</i> %	46 16%	22 9%	43 13%	37 13%	27 18%	28 14%	59 12%	22 20%zw	19 <i>8</i> %
MEAN	3.31b nw	3.34z b	3.03	3.29	3.34	3.42	3.23	3.32	3.13	3.43z hl	3.33	3.32	3.13	3.34n	3.11	3.37	3.32	3.36	3.19	3.33	3.31	3.32	3.43w	3.00
Very/ fairly good at it	269 22%	238 <i>21%</i>	31 <i>30</i> %	107 <i>25%</i>	71 20%	30 19%	59 21%	25 <i>2</i> 4%	12 20%	57 19%	68 21%	69 <i>25%</i>	36 <i>22</i> %	234 <i>21%</i>	35 <i>25%</i>	60 <i>20%</i>	43 18%	76 23%	76 <i>27</i> %	35 <i>23%</i>	48 24%	111 <i>23%</i>	26 <i>23%</i>	72 31%z
Fairly/ very bad at it	528 43%bh Inw	498 1 44%zb	30 29%	182 <i>43</i> %	161 <i>45%</i>	69 <i>42%</i>	108 <i>39</i> %	44 41%	18 <i>2</i> 9%	149 50%zh I	141 n 44%hl	123 44%h	53 <i>32%</i>	480 44%n	47 33%	130 <i>44%</i>	99 <i>42%</i>	161 48%zr	105 <i>37</i> %	65 <i>42%</i>	83 <i>42%</i>	223 46%	54 49%w	65 28%
NET good	-259 <i>-21%</i>	-260 <i>-23%</i>	1 1%	-75 -18%	-89 <i>-25%</i>	-39 <i>-24%</i>	-49 -17%	-19 <i>-18</i> %	-5 -9%	-91 <i>-31%</i>	-73 <i>-23%</i>	-53 -19%	-17 -10%	-246 <i>-23%</i>	-12 <i>-9%</i>	-70 <i>-24%</i>	-56 -24%	-85 <i>-25%</i>	-29 -10%	-30 <i>-20%</i>	-35 -18%	-112 <i>-23%</i>	-29 <i>-26%</i>	7 3%
Don't know	4	3	1 1%	* *	1	3 2%zc	-	2 2%z	-	1 *	2 1%	-	-	4		1	2 1%	1	1 *	1 1%	-	*		-

11 Jan 2018

Table 118

Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	33 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	3 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	12 <i>2</i> %	21 4%	16 <i>3</i> %	17 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>2</i> %	8 4%	4 2%	3 <i>3</i> %	17 4%	16 <i>2</i> %	24 3%	9 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%b nr	95 fhj 30%zt f	69 oe <i>16</i> %	18 26%f	16 17%	13 10%	142 22%zh	93 16%	136 22%zj	99 16%	152 20%n	47 23%n	25 17%	8 <i>8</i> %	99 <i>22</i> %	137 <i>18%</i>	153 22%zr	83 16%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	429 35%e q	99 gik <i>31%</i>	145 <i>32%</i>	22 31%	23 24%	68 51%z ce	190 ab <i>30%</i>	238 40%zg	175 <i>2</i> 9%	254 41%zi	245 <i>32</i> %	74 36%	49 <i>33%</i>	50 49%zk	142 <i>31%</i>	277 <i>36%</i>	220 31%	209 40%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	370 <i>30%</i>	86 <i>28%</i>	155 35%zf	19 <i>26</i> %	34 <i>3</i> 5%	28 21%	194 <i>30%</i>	176 <i>30%</i>	192 <i>32</i> %	178 <i>29%</i>	240 <i>32%</i>	58 28%	46 31%	23 <i>23</i> %	129 <i>28%</i>	240 <i>32</i> %	213 <i>30%</i>	157 <i>30%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%a	23 <i>7</i> %	66 15%a	11 16%	21 22%za	17 a <i>13%</i>	97 15%zh	61 10%	88 15%	70 11%	103 <i>14%</i>	17 <i>8</i> %	22 15%	15 <i>15%</i>	68 <i>15%</i>	90 <i>12%</i>	93 13%	65 12%
MEAN	3.31al	3.05	3.44za	3.32	3.55z a	3.29	3.35	3.28	3.33	3.30	3.34	3.15	3.40	3.39	3.29	3.33	3.28	3.35
Very/ fairly good at it	269 22%b	106 jnr 34%zt f	81 pe <i>18%</i>	19 <i>27</i> %	19 <i>20%</i>	19 14%	154 <i>24%</i>	114 19%	152 25%zj	117 19%	170 <i>22</i> %	55 27%n	29 20%	12 12%	115 <i>25</i> %	152 <i>20%</i>	177 25%zr	92 17%
Fairly/ very bad at it	528 43%a	109 35%	221 49%za	30 af 43%	55 56%za f	45 a <i>34</i> %	291 46%	237 40%	280 46%z	248 40%	343 <i>45%</i>	76 <i>37</i> %	68 <i>46%</i>	39 <i>39</i> %	197 <i>43%</i>	331 <i>43%</i>	306 <i>43</i> %	222 42%
NET good	-259 -21%	-3 -1%	-141 <i>-31%</i>	-11 <i>-16</i> %	-35 <i>-36%</i>	-26 <i>-20%</i>	-136 <i>-21%</i>	-123 <i>-21%</i>	-128 <i>-21%</i>	-131 <i>-21%</i>	-173 <i>-23%</i>	-21 -10%	-39 <i>-27%</i>	-27 -27%	-82 -18%	-178 <i>-23%</i>	-129 <i>-18%</i>	-130 <i>-25%</i>
Don't know	4	-	1	-	-	*	1 *	3 *	1	3 1%	1 *	2 1%	1 1%	*	1 *	3 *	1	3 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 119

Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	33	20	4	15	11	7	24	6	19	14
	3%bi	5%zb	1%	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	2%	3%	1%	5%zi	2%
[2] Fairly good at it	236	103	73	111	83	41	144	87	115	119
	19%i	24%zb	17%	21%	20%	15%	<i>20%</i>	19%	32%zi	<i>14%</i>
[3] Neither good	429	124	122	167	127	136	244	134	139	249
nor bad at it	35%al	ocdgi <i>29%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>30%</i>	50%zcd	34%	<i>30%</i>	39%i	<i>30%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	370	129	149	174	129	67	209	152	70	296
	30%h	<i>31%</i>	<i>34%</i>	33%e	<i>30%</i>	24%	<i>2</i> 9%	34%z	<i>20%</i>	36%zh
[5] Very bad at it	158	46	88	64	74	21	92	64	14	142
	13%el	n <i>11%</i>	20%za	12%	17%ze	<i>8%</i>	<i>13%</i>	14%	<i>4</i> %	17%zh
MEAN	3.31ah	3.19	3.56za	3.30	3.40e	3.20	3.28	3.41z	2.84	3.53zh
Very/ fairly good at it	269	122	78	126	95	48	168	93	134	132
	22%bi	29%zb	18%	<i>2</i> 4%	<i>22%</i>	17%	<i>24%</i>	<i>21%</i>	38%zi	<i>16%</i>
Fairly/ very bad	528	175	237	238	202	88	301	216	84	438
at it	43%el	n <i>41%</i>	54%za	45%e	48%e	<i>32</i> %	<i>42</i> %	49%z	<i>23%</i>	53%zh
NET good	-259	-53	-159	-111	-108	-40	-133	-123	50	-306
	<i>-21%</i>	<i>-13%</i>	<i>-36%</i>	<i>-21%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	-15%	<i>-19%</i>	<i>-28%</i>	14%	<i>-37</i> %
Don't know	4	1 *	3 1%	1 *	1	2 1%	*	1	-	2

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 120

Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL	-	VOTII	NG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	33 3%k	22 4%	6 <i>2</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	13 5%z	3 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>3</i> %	*	22 4%zk	1	23 4%	2 1%	18 <i>3</i> %	2 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%b	174 28%zb	32 10%	42 16%	59 <i>2</i> 1%	58 <i>22%</i>	19 <i>15%</i>	134 23%z	150 22%z	22 14%	107 <i>22%</i>	51 19%	114 19%	28 18%	107 <i>19%</i>	36 21%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	429 35%a hjln	186 bcg <i>31%</i>	86 <i>27%</i>	70 <i>27</i> %	95 <i>34</i> %	80 <i>31%</i>	34 <i>27%</i>	172 <i>30%</i>	194 <i>29%</i>	50 <i>33</i> %	131 <i>27</i> %	93 <i>35%</i>	181 <i>30%</i>	52 34%	173 <i>31%</i>	60 <i>35</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	370 30%a	161 <i>26%</i>	129 40%za	90 <i>34%</i>	79 28%	85 <i>33%</i>	55 42%zg	188 <i>32</i> %	212 <i>31%</i>	48 31%	155 <i>32%</i>	80 <i>30%</i>	189 <i>31%</i>	52 34%	179 <i>32%</i>	46 27%
[5] Very bad at it	158 13%a	65 11%	63 20%za	51 20%z	34 12%	25 <i>9%</i>	18 <i>14%</i>	72 12%	97 14%	31 20%z	72 15%	42 16%	95 16%z	19 <i>12%</i>	82 15%	30 17%
MEAN	3.31a	3.12	3.66za	3.51zd	3.24	3.19	3.51zeg	3.28	3.33	3.58zh	3.30	3.42	3.36	3.38	3.36	3.38
Very/ fairly good at it	269 22%b	196 i 32%zb	39 12%	51 19%	70 <i>2</i> 5%	71 27%f	22 17%	150 26%z	168 25%zi	22 15%	129 26%z	52 19%	136 <i>23%</i>	30 <i>20%</i>	125 <i>22%</i>	38 <i>22%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	528 43%a	226 <i>37</i> %	192 60%za	142 54%zd	113 <i>41%</i>	110 <i>42%</i>	73 56%zeg	259 45%	308 46%z	79 52%z	227 46%	122 <i>46%</i>	284 47%z	71 <i>47</i> %	262 47%z	76 44%
NET good	-259 -21%	-30 <i>-5%</i>	-153 <i>-48%</i>	-91 <i>-35%</i>	-43 -16%	-38 <i>-15%</i>	-51 <i>-39%</i>	-109 <i>-19%</i>	-140 <i>-21%</i>	-57 <i>-37%</i>	-98 <i>-20%</i>	-71 <i>-26%</i>	-147 <i>-24%</i>	-42 <i>-27%</i>	-137 <i>-25%</i>	-39 <i>-22%</i>
Don't know	4	2	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	3	*	3 1%	*	3 1%	-	1 *	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 121

Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

Providing Britain with a stable government
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AC	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	АВ	C1	C2	DE	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING	YES	NO
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(C)	25-34 (d)	(e)	45-54 (f)	(h)	(i)	(j)	35-54 (k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(0)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	46	25	21	5	6	4	7	6	18	10	11	24	10	17	4	15	16	29	11	34
	4%q	4%	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	2%	3%	<i>3</i> %	6%z	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	5%	<i>3%</i>	<i>5</i> %	1%	<i>5%</i>	<i>2</i> %	6%zq	<i>3</i> %	4%
[2] Fairly good at it	230	124	106	17	24	29	55	35	71	40	84	105	80	72	37	40	127	102	65	165
	19%co	djp <i>21%</i>	<i>17</i> %	12%	11%	14%	25%cde	19%	25%zc	de 11%	20%j	23%zj	24%zop	21%p	15%	13%	<i>18%</i>	<i>20%</i>	19%	<i>19%</i>
[3] Neither good	420	205	215	65	94	70	53	52	86	159	123	138	88	106	98	127	253	167	135	284
nor bad at it	34%fk	dm <i>34%</i>	<i>34%</i>	46%zfhi	44%zfhi	<i>35%</i>	24%	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>31%</i>	45%zkl	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>30%</i>	<i>27%</i>	<i>31%</i>	39%m	42%zr	nn <i>35%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>32%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	341	148	192	36	51	56	73	51	74	87	129	125	95	101	67	78	203	138	88	253
	<i>28</i> %	<i>25%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>24</i> %	28%	<i>33%</i>	<i>28%</i>	27%	<i>25%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>27</i> %	<i>29%</i>	<i>30%</i>	26%	<i>25%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>27%</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>29%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	190	95	95	17	36	39	33	35	30	53	72	65	54	45	46	45	116	74	48	141
	15%i	16%	<i>15</i> %	12%	17%	19%i	15%	20%i	11%	15%	17%	14%	16%	13%	18%	15%	<i>16</i> %	14%	14%	<i>16%</i>
MEAN	3.33ilr	3.28	3.37	3.32	3.42i	3.49i	3.31	3.41i	3.10	3.38	3.40	3.22	3.31	3.25	3.45	3.32	3.38	3.24	3.28	3.34
Very/ fairly good at it	275	148	127	21	29	33	62	41	88	51	95	129	90	89	41	55	143	132	76	199
	22%c	djo <i>25%</i>	<i>20%</i>	15%	14%	17%	28%cde	<i>23%</i>	32%zc	de <i>14%</i>	23%j	28%zj	27%zop	26%o	16%	18%	<i>20%</i>	26%zq	22%	<i>23%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	531	243	288	54	86	96	106	86	104	140	201	189	149	147	112	123	318	212	136	395
	43%i	41%	<i>46%</i>	<i>38%</i>	41%	<i>48%</i>	<i>48%</i>	48%i	<i>37</i> %	<i>40%</i>	<i>48%</i>	<i>41%</i>	<i>45%</i>	<i>43%</i>	<i>44</i> %	<i>40%</i>	<i>44%</i>	<i>42</i> %	<i>39%</i>	<i>45%</i>
NET good	-255	-95	-161	-32	-57	-62	-44	-45	-15	-89	-106	-60	-59	-58	-71	-68	-175	-80	-60	-196
	-21%	-16%	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-27</i> %	<i>-31%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-6%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-25%</i>	-13%	-18%	-17%	<i>-28%</i>	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	-16%	-17%	<i>-22%</i>
Don't know	4	3	1	1	2	2	-	-	-	3	2	-	2	1	2	-	4	-	2	3

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 122

Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

Providing Britain with a stable government
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

ACCESS TO DAILY NEWSPAPER **TENURE GOVERNMENT OFFICE REGION** ETHNICITY **EDUCATION** ANNUAL INCOME INTERNET READERSHIP OWNED BUYING LOCAL RENTED O-LV/ DEGR/ £11500 UP TO OUT-MORT-AUTH-PRI-SCOT-MID-CSE/ OR MAST/ FORML £25000 WALES NORTH SOUTH LONDON WHITE QUALITY **POPULAR TOTAL** YES NO **RIGHT** GAGE ORITY VATE LAND LANDS BME NVQ12 **EQUIV** PHD QUAL £11499 £24999 **PLUS** (z) (a) (b) (d) (f) (h) (k) (m) (n) (o) (n) (s) (v) (w) **Unweighted Base** 1131 99 486 295 197 240 182 108 291 301 193 155 1028 200 307 247 393 180 167 219 463 136 239 Weighted Base 1127 103* 420 358 163 278 107 61* 296 320 281 166* 1087 141 296 234 333 284 153 197 488 110* 232 Effective Base 813 747 66 318 218 137 152 133 72 217 215 141 96 688 139 214 175 273 128 106 138 319 93 145 10 [1] Very good at 40 21 10 16 12 36 q 13 13 20 4% 4% 5% 5% 3% 3% 3% 1% 3% 3% 5% 2% 7% 3% 7%z 3% 3% 4% 5% 5% 3% 4% 5% 4% [2] Fairly good at 230 213 16 101 69 21 38 15 10 56 49 67 33 195 34 57 78 43 31 33 110 19 53 19% 24%zef 19% 13% 16% 15% 24%i 24% 20% 17% 18% 19% 16% 14% 14% 19% 20% 18% 19% 15% 23%zp 15% 23% 23% [3] Neither good 420 378 42 125 106 61 126 29 23 91 124 93 60 377 43 94 84 82 125 35 68 148 27 94 31% 24% 23% 24% nor bad at it 34%cq 34% 41% 30% 30% 37% 45%zc 27% 38% 39%g 33% 36% 35% 30% 32% 36%q 35%s 30% 41%v d SV [4] Fairly bad at 341 310 31 117 106 46 69 83 ۵q 71 41 302 38 103 54 137 39 289 27% 30% 28% 30% 28% 25% 37%zk 27% 28% 28% 25% 25% 28% 27% 28% 32% 31% 22% 30% 28% 28% 36%w 24% 190 65 28 37 40 20 173 17 52 32 56 35 71 20 181 56 21 9 55 44 39 33 19 [5] Very bad at it 16% 8% 13% 18% 17% 13% 19% 16% 19% 12% 16% 12% 16% 12% 18% 14% 17% 14% 21% 18% 14% 17%w 9% MEAN 3.33c 3.34 3.21 3.20 3.41c 3.43c 3.32 3.60z 3.35 3.41 3.28 3.28 3.15 3.35n 3.12 3.37 3.38 3.33 3.26 3.42 3.40 3.26 3.41w 3.11 Very/ fairly good 275 65 45 231 67 254 21 123 26 43 91 56 39 131 25 62 23% 219 29%zef 22% 16% 17% 15% 19% 22% 20% 26%g 27% 21% 31%zr 23% 18% 27%zp 20% 26% 20% 27% 23% 27% Fairly/ very bad 531 491 173 171 74 106 60 26 139 129 115 62 475 134 106 159 103 79 89 208 58 76 44% 38% 48% 45% 38% 56%zj 42% 47% 40% 37% 44% 38% 45% 45% 48%r 52% 45% 53%w at it 43% 41% 41% 369 43% 33% NET good -255 -237 -18 -92 -48 -60 -44 -74 -64 -42 -17 -243 -10 -64 -68 -47 -40 -50 -77 -33 -14 -21% -21% -18% -12% -26% -29% -22% -41% -23% -25% -20% -15% -10% -22% -7% -23% -27% -20% -16% -26% -25% -16% -29% -6% 2 2 2 Don't know 4 1% 1% 1% 1%

11 Jan 2018

Table 123

Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

Providing Britain with a stable government
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY S	UPPORT		KNOWLE UK POL	LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH	OOD TO V	OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STRE	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	46 4%	19 <i>6</i> %	15 <i>3%</i>	2 3%	1 1%	4 3%	28 4%	18 <i>3%</i>	29 5%	17 <i>3%</i>	29 4%	6 <i>3%</i>	6 4%	4 4%	22 5%	23 3%	32 5%	13 <i>3</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	230 19%fl r	104 nmn 33%zb ef	70 nc 16%f	13 18%	17 17%f	9 <i>7</i> %	137 22%zh	92 16%	129 21%z	100 <i>16%</i>	160 21%zmi	45 n 22%mn	14 9%	6 <i>6</i> %	98 <i>21%</i>	132 <i>17</i> %	152 22%zr	77 15%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	420 34%e oq	97 gik 31%e	142 32%e	26 36%e	16 16%	69 52%z e	174 ab <i>27</i> %	245 41%zg	174 <i>2</i> 9%	245 39%zi	223 <i>29%</i>	72 35%	61 42%k	51 51%zk	128 1 <i>28%</i>	282 37%zo	195 <i>28%</i>	224 43%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	341 28%a	70 22%	139 31%a	12 17%	40 42%za cf	36 <i>27%</i>	194 30%z	147 <i>2</i> 5%	176 <i>2</i> 9%	165 <i>26%</i>	213 <i>28%</i>	59 <i>29%</i>	40 <i>28%</i>	27 27%	130 <i>2</i> 9%	210 <i>28%</i>	203 <i>29%</i>	137 <i>26%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	190 15%a	24 <i>8</i> %	80 18%a	18 25%af	23 24%za f	15 11%	102 <i>16%</i>	87 15%	98 16%	92 15%	132 17%z	22 11%	23 16%	11 11%	76 17%	114 <i>15</i> %	118 <i>17</i> %	72 14%
MEAN	3.33a	2.93	3.45za	3.44a	3.69z af	3.36a	3.32	3.33	3.31	3.35	3.34	3.22	3.42	3.35	3.31	3.34	3.32	3.34
Very/ fairly good at it	275 22%ft nr	122 njm 39%zb ef	86 oc 19%	15 <i>21%</i>	18 <i>18%</i>	13 10%	165 26%zh	111 <i>19%</i>	158 26%zj	117 <i>19%</i>	190 25%zmi	51 n 25%mn	20 14%	10 10%	120 26%zp	154 <i>20%</i>	185 26%zr	91 <i>17</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	531 43%a	94 <i>30</i> %	219 49%za	30 43%	63 65%za bcf	50 <i>38%</i>	296 47%zh	234 40%	274 45%	256 41%	345 <i>45%</i>	81 <i>39%</i>	64 44%	39 <i>38</i> %	206 <i>45%</i>	324 <i>42%</i>	322 46%	209 40%
NET good	-255 -21%	28 9%c	-134 <i>-30%</i>	-15 <i>-22%</i>	-45 -47%	-37 <i>-28%</i>	-131 <i>-21%</i>	-124 <i>-21%</i>	-116 <i>-19%</i>	-139 <i>-22%</i>	-156 <i>-21%</i>	-30 <i>-15%</i>	-44 -30%	-28 <i>-28%</i>	-86 -19%	-170 <i>-22</i> %	-137 <i>-19%</i>	-118 <i>-22%</i>
Don't know	4	-	1 *	- :	-	*	2	3	1 *	3	1 *	2 1%	1 1%	*	1 *	3	2	3

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 124

Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

Providing Britain with a stable government
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	46	29	8	19	18	8	28	16	23	22
	4%b	i 7%zb	<i>2</i> %	<i>4%</i>	<i>4</i> %	<i>3</i> %	4%	<i>4%</i>	7%zi	3%
[2] Fairly good at it	230	79	73	104	89	37	131	92	123	102
	19%e	i 19%	17%	<i>20%</i>	21%e	13%	<i>18%</i>	<i>2</i> 1%	34%zi	<i>12</i> %
[3] Neither good	420	140	120	160	125	134	235	133	135	245
nor bad at it	34%b	ci <i>33%</i>	<i>2</i> 7%	<i>30%</i>	<i>30%</i>	49%zcd	<i>33%</i>	<i>30%</i>	38%i	<i>30%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	341	122	135	167	109	65	210	124	68	271
	28%h	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>31%</i>	31%z	<i>26%</i>	24%	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>28%</i>	19%	33%zh
[5] Very bad at it	190	52	100	79	83	28	108	78	7	180
	15%e	h <i>12</i> %	23%za	15%	20%ze	10%	<i>15%</i>	18%	2%	22%zh
MEAN	3.33ah	3.21	3.56za	3.34	3.35	3.25	3.34	3.35	2.75	3.59zh
Very/ fairly good at it	275	108	81	124	107	45	159	108	146	124
	22%b	ei 26%b	<i>18%</i>	<i>2</i> 3%	25%e	16%	<i>22%</i>	<i>24%</i>	41%zi	<i>15%</i>
Fairly/ very bad	531	174	235	246	192	92	318	202	75	452
at it	43%e	h <i>41%</i>	54%za	46%e	45%e	<i>34%</i>	<i>45%</i>	<i>45%</i>	21%	55%zh
NET good	-255	-66	-154	-122	-85	-48	-159	-93	71	-328
	-21%	<i>-16%</i>	<i>-35%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	-17%	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-21%</i>	<i>20%</i>	-40%
Don't know	4 *i	1 *	3 1%	2	-	2 1%	*	1	1	1 *

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 125

Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

Providing Britain with a stable government
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL	-	VOTII	NG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	B DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	46 4%	30 <i>5%</i>	9 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	17 7%z	7 5%	27 5%	31 <i>5</i> %	2 2%	27 5%z	7 3%	30 5%	3 2%	32 6%z	3 2%
[2] Fairly good at it	230 19%b	160 26%zb	40 13%	47 18%	63 <i>23%</i>	66 25%z	21 16%	124 <i>21%</i>	124 <i>18%</i>	23 15%	94 19%	49 18%	117 19%	25 16%	120 <i>21%</i>	27 15%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	420 34%a hjln	169 cfg <i>28%</i>	96 <i>30%</i>	64 24%	81 <i>29%</i>	73 28%	30 <i>23%</i>	167 <i>2</i> 9%	198 <i>29%</i>	54 <i>35</i> %	139 <i>28%</i>	93 <i>35%</i>	171 <i>28%</i>	54 <i>35</i> %	159 <i>28%</i>	63 <i>36</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	341 <i>28%</i>	177 <i>2</i> 9%	93 <i>29%</i>	80 <i>31%</i>	85 31%	82 <i>31%</i>	52 40%zg	176 <i>30%</i>	202 <i>30%</i>	43 28%	144 <i>2</i> 9%	71 <i>26</i> %	171 <i>28%</i>	45 29%	145 <i>26%</i>	53 31%
[5] Very bad at it	190 15%a	74 e 12%	78 25%za	58 22%zd	38 14%	23 <i>9</i> %	19 <i>15%</i>	88 15%e	117 <i>17</i> %	30 20%	84 17%	46 17%	111 18%z	27 17%	102 18%z	28 16%
MEAN	3.33ae	3.17	3.61za	3.48z	3.29	3.11	3.43e	3.30e	3.37	3.50	3.34	3.37	3.36	3.44	3.30	3.44
Very/ fairly good at it	275 22%b	190 31%zb	49 15%	59 <i>22%</i>	73 <i>26%</i>	83 32%z	28 <i>22</i> %	151 26%z	154 <i>2</i> 3%	25 16%	120 <i>25%</i>	56 21%	147 <i>2</i> 4%	28 18%	152 27%zo	30 <i>17</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	531 <i>43</i> %	250 41%	171 54%za	138 53%z	124 <i>45</i> %	105 <i>40%</i>	72 55%ze	263 <i>45%</i>	318 47%z	73 48%	228 <i>47%</i>	116 <i>44%</i>	283 47%z	72 47%	247 44%	81 <i>47</i> %
NET good	-255 -21%	-60 -10%	-122 <i>-39%</i>	-79 -30%	-51 -18%	-22 -9%	-44 -34%	-112 <i>-19%</i>	-164 <i>-24%</i>	-48 -31%	-108 <i>-22%</i>	-60 <i>-22%</i>	-136 <i>-22%</i>	-44 -29%	-95 -17%	-52 -30%
Don't know	4	1	2 1%	1		-	-	1	3		3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	-	2	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 126

Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	54 4%cj	29 <i>5</i> %	25 4%	*	7 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	13 6%c	13 7%c	16 6%c	7 2%	19 <i>4%</i>	29 6%zj	18 <i>5</i> %	15 <i>4%</i>	12 5%	9 <i>3</i> %	31 <i>4%</i>	24 5%	13 <i>4</i> %	42 5%
[2] Fairly good at it	333 27%cj	178 <i>30%</i>	154 <i>2</i> 4%	24 17%	47 22%	51 <i>25%</i>	70 32%c	57 32%c	85 30%c	71 20%	120 29%j	142 31%zj	94 <i>2</i> 9%	109 32%zo	55 <i>22</i> %	74 24%	203 <i>28%</i>	130 <i>2</i> 5%	86 <i>2</i> 5%	247 <i>28</i> %
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	446 36%fr	208 1 <i>35</i> %	237 <i>38%</i>	66 47%zfh	89 42%f	82 41%f	52 24%	54 30%	102 37%f	155 44%zkl	134 <i>32</i> %	156 <i>34%</i>	112 <i>34</i> %	105 <i>31%</i>	94 <i>37</i> %	135 44%zr	254 nn <i>35%</i>	191 <i>37</i> %	144 <i>41%</i>	301 <i>34%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	263 <i>21%</i>	119 <i>20%</i>	144 <i>2</i> 3%	38 <i>27%</i>	42 20%	38 19%	59 <i>27</i> %	35 19%	52 19%	80 <i>23%</i>	97 <i>23%</i>	86 19%	74 23%	77 23%	57 <i>2</i> 3%	55 18%	161 <i>22%</i>	102 <i>20%</i>	74 21%	190 <i>22%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	128 <i>10</i> %	60 10%	68 11%	12 <i>8</i> %	25 12%	24 12%	26 12%	17 10%	23 <i>8%</i>	37 11%	50 12%	41 9%	29 <i>9</i> %	36 10%	32 12%	32 10%	66 <i>9%</i>	62 12%	33 <i>9</i> %	95 11%
MEAN	3.06il	3.00	3.12	3.26zhi	3.16	3.11	3.07	2.92	2.94	3.20zl	3.09	2.93	3.01	3.03	3.16	3.08	3.04	3.09	3.08	3.06
Very/ fairly good at it	387 31%b	208 cj <i>35%</i>	179 <i>28%</i>	24 17%	53 <i>25%</i>	56 28%c	83 38%cd	70 39%zcd	100 36%cd	78 <i>22%</i>	139 33%j	170 37%zj	112 <i>34</i> %	124 36%o	67 <i>27%</i>	84 <i>27</i> %	233 <i>32%</i>	154 <i>30%</i>	98 <i>28%</i>	289 <i>33%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	391 32%l	179 <i>30%</i>	211 <i>34%</i>	49 <i>35%</i>	67 <i>32%</i>	62 31%	86 39%i	52 <i>29%</i>	75 27%	117 <i>3</i> 3%	147 <i>3</i> 5%	127 <i>28%</i>	103 <i>31%</i>	113 <i>33%</i>	89 <i>35</i> %	86 <i>28%</i>	227 <i>32%</i>	164 <i>32%</i>	106 <i>30%</i>	285 <i>32%</i>
NET good	-4 *	28 5%z	-32 -5%	-25 -18%	-14 <i>-7</i> %	-5 <i>-3%</i>	-3 -1%	18 10%zdef	25 9%zd f	-39 e -11%	-8 <i>-2%</i>	43 9%zjk	9 3%zp	11 3%zp	-21 <i>-8%</i>	-3 -1%	6 1%z	-10 <i>-2%</i>	-8 <i>-2%</i>	4 *
Don't know	6 1%	4 1%	2	1 1%	2 1%	*	-	2 1%	1	3 1%	*	3 1%	2 1%	1	3 1%	-	4 1%	2	*	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 127

Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		ACCE			TENURE DENTED				GOVER	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	J	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)			MID-		LONDON (I)		BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	54 <i>4</i> %	50 4%	4 4%	24 <i>6%</i>	15 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>5%</i>	7 3%	5 <i>5</i> %	2 4%	16 <i>5%</i>	14 <i>4</i> %	9 <i>3%</i>	8 <i>5</i> %	48 <i>4%</i>	6 4%	13 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>4%</i>	19 <i>6%</i>	10 <i>4%</i>	6 4%	8 <i>4%</i>	27 6%	8 <i>7</i> %	12 <i>5</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	333 <i>27</i> %	300 <i>27%</i>	33 <i>32</i> %	131 31%zf	96 <i>27%</i>	43 26%	62 <i>22%</i>	31 <i>2</i> 9%	13 <i>22%</i>	76 <i>26</i> %	78 24%	92 <i>33%</i>	43 26%	297 <i>27%</i>	34 24%	72 <i>2</i> 5%	55 23%	107 32%zp	83 <i>29%</i>	47 31%	53 <i>27</i> %	148 <i>30%</i>	28 <i>26</i> %	59 <i>26</i> %
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	446 36%q u	407 s <i>36%</i>	39 <i>38%</i>	139 <i>33%</i>	122 <i>34</i> %	58 <i>36%</i>	124 45%zo d	35 33%	27 44%k	103 <i>35</i> %	128 <i>40%</i>	84 30%	69 <i>42%</i>	402 <i>37</i> %	44 31%	97 <i>33%</i>	95 41%q	101 <i>30%</i>	116 41%q	42 27%	78 40%s	153 <i>31%</i>	31 <i>28%</i>	109 47%zv
[4] Fairly bad at it	263 21%rv	249 v <i>22%</i>	14 13%	90 <i>22%</i>	87 <i>24%</i>	29 18%	56 <i>20%</i>	22 20%	16 <i>26%</i>	60 <i>20%</i>	69 <i>22%</i>	68 <i>24%</i>	28 17%	228 <i>21%</i>	35 <i>25%</i>	76 26%r	57 24%r	75 23%r	38 13%	33 <i>22%</i>	35 18%	120 <i>25%</i>	33 30%zw	30 13%
[5] Very bad at it	128 10%	114 10%	13 <i>13</i> %	33 <i>8%</i>	38 10%	23 14%c	29 10%	10 10%	2 4%	41 14%h	29 <i>9%</i>	28 10%	17 10%	106 <i>10%</i>	21 15%z	38 13%	17 <i>7</i> %	31 <i>9</i> %	34 12%	26 17%zu	21 11%	40 <i>8</i> %	10 <i>9</i> %	20 9%
MEAN	3.06c	3.07	3.00	2.95	3.10	3.10	3.13	3.01	3.05	3.12	3.07	3.05	3.03	3.04	3.23z	3.18q	3.08	2.98	3.01	3.18	3.05	3.00	3.08	2.94
Very/ fairly good at it	387 <i>3</i> 1%	350 <i>31%</i>	37 <i>35</i> %	154 37%zf	111 <i>31%</i>	51 <i>31%</i>	69 <i>25%</i>	36 <i>34</i> %	16 <i>26</i> %	91 <i>31%</i>	92 <i>29%</i>	101 <i>36%</i>	51 <i>31%</i>	346 <i>32%</i>	40 29%	85 <i>2</i> 9%	63 <i>27</i> %	126 38%zo p	94 <i>33</i> %	52 <i>3</i> 4%	61 <i>31%</i>	175 36%z	36 <i>33%</i>	71 31%
Fairly/ very bad at it	391 32%w	364 32%	27 26%	124 <i>2</i> 9%	125 <i>35%</i>	52 <i>32%</i>	85 <i>30%</i>	32 <i>30%</i>	18 <i>30%</i>	101 <i>34%</i>	98 <i>31%</i>	96 <i>34%</i>	46 28%	333 <i>31%</i>	57 40%z	113 m 38%z	74 r <i>31%</i>	106 <i>32%</i>	72 <i>2</i> 5%	59 <i>39%</i>	56 <i>29%</i>	160 <i>33%</i>	43 39%w	50 21%
NET good	-4 *	-13 <i>-1%</i>	9 9%z	31 7%zo f	-14 de -4%	-1 -1%	-15 <i>-6%</i>	4 4%h	-3 -4%	-9 -3%	-7 -2%	5 2%zł	5 1 3%zł	12 1 1%z	-17 -12%	-28 -9%	-10 -4%	19 6%zp	22 8%z	-7 o -4%	4 2%z	15 3%zs	-7 -6%	21 9%zv
Don't know	6 1%	6 1%	*	3 1%	1 *	2 1%	-	4 4%zi kl	* ij *	1 *	2 1%	-	-	6 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	1 .	3 1%	* *	1 1%	*		2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 128

Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		РО	LITICAL I	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI	LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH	OOD TO V	OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	54 4%bj	28 r 9%zb	10 <i>2</i> %	2 2%	8 8%b	4 3%	37 6%zh	17 <i>3</i> %	38 6%zj	16 <i>3%</i>	42 6%z	6 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>2%</i>	3 <i>3</i> %	25 <i>5</i> %	30 <i>4%</i>	44 6%zr	11 2%
[2] Fairly good at it	333 27%fh r	138 jn 44%zb f	106 e 24%f	25 36%ef	19 <i>20%</i>	15 11%	199 31%zh	133 <i>2</i> 3%	190 31%zj	143 <i>2</i> 3%	220 29%n	62 30%n	34 23%	12 12%	132 <i>2</i> 9%	200 <i>26</i> %	215 31%zr	118 <i>22%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	446 36%bo q	96 gik <i>31%</i>	140 <i>31%</i>	17 25%	39 40%	76 57%z ce	186 ab <i>29%</i>	259 44%zg	178 <i>2</i> 9%	268 43%zi	239 <i>32%</i>	77 37%	64 44%k	55 55%zk	152 ! <i>33%</i>	284 <i>37</i> %	221 <i>31%</i>	225 43%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	263 21%a	38 <i>12%</i>	131 29%za	20 f 28%a	19 <i>20%</i>	22 17%	144 <i>2</i> 3%	120 <i>20%</i>	135 <i>22%</i>	128 <i>21%</i>	169 <i>22%</i>	46 <i>22%</i>	28 19%	18 <i>18%</i>	101 <i>22%</i>	162 <i>21%</i>	150 <i>21%</i>	113 <i>22</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	128 10%a	13 <i>4%</i>	59 13%a	6 <i>9</i> %	11 11%a	15 11%a	69 11%	59 10%	65 11%	63 10%	86 11%	14 <i>7</i> %	16 11%	11 11%	45 10%	83 11%	72 10%	56 11%
MEAN	3.06aiq	2.58	3.27za	3.06a	3.08a	3.22a	3.01	3.12	3.00	3.13	3.05	2.99	3.14	3.23	3.02	3.09	2.99	3.16zq
Very/ fairly good at it	387 31%bf nr	166 hj 53%zb f	116 e 26%f	27 38%f	27 27%f	19 14%	236 37%zh	151 <i>25%</i>	228 38%zj	159 <i>26%</i>	262 34%zn	68 33%n	37 <i>2</i> 5%	15 <i>15</i> %	157 <i>34%</i>	230 <i>30%</i>	258 37%zr	129 <i>24%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	391 32%a	51 <i>16</i> %	190 42%za	26 f 37%a	31 32%a	37 28%a	213 <i>33%</i>	178 <i>30%</i>	199 <i>33%</i>	192 <i>31%</i>	255 <i>3</i> 4%	59 <i>29%</i>	44 30%	30 <i>30%</i>	146 <i>32%</i>	245 <i>32%</i>	222 <i>32</i> %	169 <i>32</i> %
NET good	-4 *	115 37%zb cef	-74 -16%	1 1%	-4 -4%	-18 <i>-14%</i>	24 4%z	-28 <i>-5%</i>	29 5%z	-33 <i>-5%</i>	7 1%z	9 4%zkr	-7 n <i>-5%</i>	-15 -15%	11 2%z	-15 <i>-2%</i>	36 5%z	-40 <i>-8%</i>
Don't know	6 1%	1 *	1		1 1%	*	2	4 1%	2	4 1%	3	2 1%	1 1%	*	1 *	4 1%	2	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 129

Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	54 4%0	20 <i>5%</i>	20 4%	14 <i>3</i> %	31 7%zc	9 <i>3</i> %	33 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>5</i> %	21 <i>6</i> %	33 4%
[2] Fairly good at it	333 27%b	144 ei 34%zb	90 <i>21%</i>	141 27%e	141 33%ze	51 19%	207 <i>2</i> 9%	119 <i>27</i> %	139 39%zi	191 <i>23%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	446 36%a	126 di <i>30%</i>	161 <i>37</i> %	174 <i>33</i> %	129 <i>30%</i>	142 52%zcd	243 34%	153 <i>34%</i>	128 <i>36%</i>	276 <i>34%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	263 21%d	89 h <i>21%</i>	108 <i>25%</i>	144 27%zde	72 17%	48 17%	155 <i>22</i> %	99 <i>22</i> %	56 16%	206 25%zh
[5] Very bad at it	128 10%h	41 10%	58 13%	57 11%	50 12%	22 8%	75 11%	50 11%	11 <i>3</i> %	112 14%zh
MEAN	3.06dh	2.97	3.22za	3.17zd	2.92	3.08	3.04	3.09	2.71	3.21zh
Very/ fairly good at it	387 31%b	164 ei 39%zb	110 <i>25%</i>	155 <i>29%</i>	172 40%zce	60 <i>22</i> %	240 <i>34%</i>	139 <i>31%</i>	160 45%zi	225 <i>27</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	391 32%e	130 h <i>31%</i>	166 38%z	200 38%zde	121 <i>2</i> 9%	69 <i>25</i> %	230 <i>32</i> %	149 <i>34%</i>	67 19%	319 39%zh
NET good	-4 *	35 8%z	-56 -13%	-45 -9%	50 12%zce	-9 -3%	10 1%zg	-10 <i>-2</i> %	93 26%z	-94 -11%
Don't know	6 1%f	2	3 1%	2	2	2	1 *	2	2	2

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 130

Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTIN	IG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V PEO	OICE TO		S DOWN RS FOR	MAKES P DEBATI	MEDIA OLITICAL E MORE SIVE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	54 4%	24 4%	17 <i>5</i> %	18 <i>7</i> %	12 4%	18 <i>7</i> %	4 3%	28 5%	32 5%	10 <i>7</i> %	20 4%	19 <i>7</i> %	29 <i>5</i> %	7 5%	33 6%z	10 <i>6</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	333 27%b	214 35%zb	65 <i>20%</i>	68 <i>26%</i>	78 <i>28%</i>	76 29%	43 <i>33%</i>	177 30%z	190 <i>28%</i>	36 <i>2</i> 4%	147 <i>30%</i>	66 <i>25</i> %	167 <i>28%</i>	44 29%	162 <i>2</i> 9%	52 <i>30%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	446 36%a hjln	187 cfg <i>31%</i>	103 <i>32%</i>	72 27%	93 <i>34%</i>	87 <i>3</i> 3%	32 <i>2</i> 4%	184 <i>32%</i>	212 <i>32</i> %	51 <i>34%</i>	152 <i>31%</i>	87 <i>32%</i>	195 <i>32</i> %	50 <i>33</i> %	174 <i>31%</i>	55 31%
[4] Fairly bad at it	263 21%	132 <i>22%</i>	76 24%	72 27%z	62 <i>22</i> %	61 <i>23%</i>	41 31%z	136 <i>23%</i>	165 25%z	32 21%	113 <i>23%</i>	61 <i>23%</i>	141 <i>23%</i>	34 22%	124 <i>22%</i>	37 21%
[5] Very bad at it	128 10%a	49 <i>8</i> %	56 18%za	34 13%	32 12%	18 <i>7</i> %	10 <i>8%</i>	56 10%	69 10%	21 <i>14%</i>	54 11%	33 12%	68 11%	18 <i>12</i> %	64 11%	19 11%
MEAN	3.06a	2.95	3.28za	3.13	3.09	2.95	3.08	3.03	3.07	3.12	3.07	3.09	3.08	3.08	3.04	3.02
Very/ fairly good at it	387 31%b	238 39%zb	82 <i>26</i> %	86 <i>33</i> %	90 <i>32</i> %	94 <i>36%</i>	47 36%	205 35%z	222 33%	46 <i>30%</i>	167 <i>34%</i>	84 <i>32</i> %	197 <i>33%</i>	51 <i>33%</i>	195 35%z	62 <i>36</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	391 <i>32</i> %	180 <i>30%</i>	132 41%za	105 40%z	94 <i>34%</i>	79 <i>30%</i>	51 <i>39%</i>	192 <i>33%</i>	235 35%z	53 <i>35%</i>	168 <i>34%</i>	94 <i>35%</i>	208 <i>34%</i>	52 <i>34</i> %	189 <i>34%</i>	56 <i>32%</i>
NET good	-4 *	58 10%zb	-50 -16%	-19 <i>-7</i> %	-4 -2%	14 6%zfg	-4 -3%	13 2%zf	-12 <i>-2</i> %	-7 -4%	-1 *	-9 <i>-3%</i>	-12 <i>-2</i> %	-1 -1%	7 1%z	6 4%z
Don't know	6 1%	4 1%	2 1%	-	-	*	-	1	4 1%	1 1%	3 1%	3 1%	4 1%	-	2	1 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 131

Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		GEN	DER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	24 2%	12 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	5 4%h	6 <i>3%</i>	1 *	5 <i>2</i> %	:	7 3%	11 <i>3</i> %	5 1%	8 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	7 2%	3 1%	12 4%m	9 1%	15 3%z	4 1%	20 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	189 <i>15%</i>	85 14%	104 <i>17</i> %	22 16%	26 12%	25 12%	30 14%	30 <i>17</i> %	57 20%z	47 13%	55 13%	87 19%zk	50 15%	55 16%	30 12%	54 18%	99 14%	90 18%	47 13%	142 16%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	498 40%alı	213 m <i>36%</i>	284 45%za	71 50%zfhi	104 49%zfhi	82 41%	75 <i>34%</i>	64 <i>36</i> %	101 <i>36</i> %	175 50%zkl	158 <i>37</i> %	165 <i>36%</i>	114 <i>35%</i>	131 <i>38%</i>	111 <i>44</i> %	142 46%m	293 <i>41%</i>	204 <i>40%</i>	158 <i>45%</i>	340 <i>39%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 27%pr	177 30%	152 <i>2</i> 4%	33 <i>23%</i>	48 23%	56 28%	71 <i>32%</i>	48 27%	74 27%	81 <i>23%</i>	127 30%	122 <i>27</i> %	92 <i>28%</i>	106 31%p	68 <i>27%</i>	63 21%	208 <i>29%</i>	121 <i>24%</i>	91 <i>26%</i>	238 <i>27%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	181 15%bo	106 cj 18%zb	76 12%	8 <i>6%</i>	26 12%	37 18%c	39 18%c	36 20%c	35 13%	34 10%	76 18%j	71 16%j	70 21%znp	41 12%	38 15%	32 11%	107 <i>15%</i>	74 15%	49 14%	132 <i>15</i> %
MEAN	3.37bcj pr	3.47zb	3.28	3.12	3.30	3.52ci	3.50ci	3.50ci	3.26	3.23	3.51zj	3.36	3.54znp	3.35	3.43p	3.17	3.43	3.29	3.39	3.37
Very/ fairly good at it	213 <i>17</i> %	97 16%	116 <i>18%</i>	27 19%	32 15%	25 13%	35 16%	31 <i>17</i> %	64 23%ze	58 17%	60 14%	95 21%zk	52 16%	63 18%	33 13%	66 22%o	108 <i>15%</i>	106 21%zq	51 <i>15</i> %	162 <i>18%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	511 42%bo r	283 cjp 47%zb	227 36%	41 <i>2</i> 9%	74 35%	93 46%c	110 50%zcd	84 47%c	109 <i>39%</i>	115 <i>33</i> %	203 48%zj	193 42%j	162 49%zp	147 43%p	106 42%p	95 <i>31</i> %	315 <i>44%</i>	196 <i>38%</i>	140 <i>40</i> %	370 <i>42%</i>
NET good	-298 <i>-24%</i>	-186 <i>-31%</i>	-111 <i>-18%</i>	-14 -10%	-42 -20%	-68 <i>-34%</i>	-75 -34%	-53 <i>-30%</i>	-45 -16%	-56 -16%	-143 <i>-34%</i>	-98 <i>-22</i> %	-110 <i>-34%</i>	-84 <i>-25%</i>	-73 <i>-2</i> 9%	-30 -10%	-207 <i>-29%</i>	-90 <i>-18%</i>	-90 <i>-26%</i>	-208 <i>-24%</i>
Don't know	8 1%	6 1%	3	2 1%	2 1%	*	-	-	4 2%	4 1%	*	4 1%	1 *	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	3	5 1%	*	8 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 132

Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		ACCE			TENURE																	DAILY NEV		
		INTE	RNET						GOVERN	MENT	OFFICE	REGION	•	ETHNI	CITY	0.005/	EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	24 2%m	22 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	1 1%	11 4%d	1 1%	1 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2%</i>	4 2%	6 4%	16 1%	8 6%z	5 m <i>2</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	2 1%	7 2%	1 1%	4 2%
[2] Fairly good at it	189 <i>15%</i>	170 <i>15%</i>	19 <i>18%</i>	69 1 <i>6</i> %	55 15%	29 18%	35 13%	11 10%	9 15%	51 <i>17</i> %	43 14%	52 18%	23 14%	163 <i>15%</i>	26 19%	44 15%	25 11%	51 <i>15</i> %	56 20%p	27 18%	32 16%	73 15%	17 15%	48 21%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	498 40%cg qsv	451 40%	46 <i>45%</i>	149 <i>36</i> %	135 <i>38%</i>	66 41%	144 52%zc d	34 31%	27 45%	110 <i>37</i> %	133 <i>42</i> %	99 <i>35</i> %	94 57%zg ijk	433 40%	63 <i>45%</i>	112 <i>38%</i>	109 47%q	100 <i>30%</i>	135 48%q	47 31%	83 <i>42</i> %	177 <i>36%</i>	31 <i>28%</i>	102 44%v
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 27%lr	309 <i>27%</i>	20 19%	129 31%zf	99 <i>28%</i>	38 <i>23</i> %	61 <i>22</i> %	44 41%zh ijkl	15 <i>25</i> %	88 30%l	81 <i>25</i> %	71 <i>25</i> %	29 18%	298 <i>27</i> %	32 <i>22</i> %	85 29%r	67 29%r	108 33%zr	50 17%	38 <i>25%</i>	48 24%	150 <i>31%</i>	36 <i>32%</i>	51 <i>22</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	181 15%fl n	168 <i>15%</i>	13 13%	59 14%	66 18%f	26 16%	27 10%	15 14%	6 10%	38 13%	55 17%l	54 19%l	13 <i>8%</i>	169 16%n	12 <i>9</i> %	49 16%	24 10%	66 20%zp r	34 12%	33 22%z	31 16%	80 16%	26 23%zw	24 11%
MEAN	3.37f Inrw	3.39	3.22	3.38	3.48f	3.36	3.20	3.59z hil	3.29	3.34	3.431	3.421	3.12	3.41z n	3.09	3.43r	3.34	3.54z pr	3.18	3.44	3.37	3.46	3.62zw	3.19
Very/ fairly good at it	213 17%g	192 <i>17</i> %	22 21%	79 19%	57 16%	30 19%	47 17%	12 11%	10 16%	57 19%	49 15%	56 <i>20%</i>	29 18%	179 <i>16%</i>	34 24%z	50 m <i>17</i> %	31 <i>13</i> %	57 17%	61 <i>22</i> %	32 <i>2</i> 1%	34 18%	81 <i>17</i> %	18 16%	52 22%
Fairly/ very bad at it	511 42%fl nrw	478 <i>42</i> %	33 <i>32</i> %	189 45%f	165 46%f	64 39%	88 <i>32</i> %	60 56%zh ijl	21 <i>35</i> %	126 43%l	136 42%l	125 45%l	42 <i>2</i> 5%	467 43%n	44 31%	133 45%r	92 <i>39</i> %	175 52%zp r	84 29%	71 46%	79 40%	230 47%z	62 56%zw	76 33%
NET good	-298 <i>-24%</i>	-286 <i>-25%</i>	-12 -11%	-110 <i>-26%</i>	-108 <i>-30%</i>	-34 -21%	-41 -15%	-48 -45%	-11 -19%	-69 <i>-23%</i>	-87 <i>-27%</i>	-69 <i>-25%</i>	-13 <i>-8%</i>	-288 <i>-27%</i>	-9 - <i>7</i> %	-84 <i>-28%</i>	-60 <i>-26%</i>	-118 <i>-35%</i>	-22 -8%	-38 <i>-25%</i>	-45 <i>-23%</i>	-149 <i>-31%</i>	-44 -40%	-24 -10%
Don't know	8 1%	6 1%	2 2%	3 1%	2 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	-	2 2%	2 4%zij kl	2 1%	2 1%	1 *	-	8 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	1 *	4 1%	3 2%u	*	*	-	2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 133

Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		Р	OLITICAL	PARTY SL	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE		POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	24 2%	8 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	*	3 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>2</i> %	13 <i>2</i> %	13 <i>2</i> %	7 3%	2 2%	2 2%	11 <i>2</i> %	13 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	189 15%b	85 f 27%zb f	52 e 12%	14 20%f	9 10%	10 <i>8</i> %	102 <i>16%</i>	87 15%	106 <i>17</i> %	83 13%	128 <i>17</i> %	34 17%	14 10%	9 <i>9</i> %	68 15%	121 <i>16</i> %	117 <i>17</i> %	72 14%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	498 40%e q	110 gik <i>35%</i>	182 41%e	22 <i>32</i> %	27 28%	71 54%z ce	222 ab <i>35%</i>	275 46%zg	207 <i>3</i> 4%	290 47%zi	282 <i>37</i> %	82 40%	66 <i>45%</i>	54 54%zk	179 39%	308 <i>40%</i>	251 <i>36%</i>	247 47%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 <i>27</i> %	81 <i>26</i> %	127 <i>28</i> %	17 <i>2</i> 5%	38 39%za f	25 19%	180 <i>28%</i>	150 <i>25</i> %	171 <i>28%</i>	159 <i>26</i> %	214 <i>2</i> 8%	63 31%n	35 <i>24%</i>	17 17%	124 <i>27</i> %	205 <i>27</i> %	203 <i>2</i> 9%	127 <i>2</i> 4%
[5] Very bad at it	181 15%a	29 hjl 9%	75 17%a	16 23%a	23 23%za	21 16%	121 19%zh	61 10%	111 18%zj	71 11%	119 16%l	18 <i>9</i> %	26 18%	17 17%	72 16%	110 <i>14%</i>	116 <i>17</i> %	65 12%
MEAN	3.37ah	3.12	3.46za	3.50a	3.75z abf	3.39a	3.47zh	3.27	3.44z	3.31	3.39	3.25	3.47	3.39	3.39	3.37	3.41	3.32
Very/ fairly good at it	213 17%b	93 f 30%zb f	62 e 14%	14 21%	10 10%	13 10%	112 <i>18%</i>	101 <i>17</i> %	117 <i>19</i> %	96 15%	141 19%	41 20%	17 12%	11 11%	79 17%	134 <i>18%</i>	131 <i>19%</i>	82 16%
Fairly/ very bad at it	511 42%a	110 hjr <i>35%</i>	202 45%a	34 48%	61 63%za bf	46 35%	300 47%zh	210 <i>36%</i>	281 46%zj	230 <i>37</i> %	333 <i>44%</i>	81 <i>39%</i>	61 <i>42</i> %	34 <i>34</i> %	196 <i>43%</i>	315 <i>41%</i>	319 45%zr	192 <i>36%</i>
NET good	-298 <i>-24%</i>	-17 <i>-5%</i>	-141 <i>-31%</i>	-19 <i>-27%</i>	-51 <i>-53%</i>	-33 <i>-25%</i>	-188 <i>-30%</i>	-109 <i>-18%</i>	-164 <i>-27%</i>	-133 <i>-21%</i>	-191 <i>-25%</i>	-40 -19%	-44 -30%	-23 <i>-23%</i>	-117 <i>-26%</i>	-181 <i>-24%</i>	-188 <i>-27%</i>	-110 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	8 1%	1 *	1 *	-	-	3 <i>2</i> %	2	6 1%	2	6 1%	3	2 1%	2 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	2	5 1%	2	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 134

Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	24	19	3	9	8	7	20	4	16	9
	2%bi	4%zb	1%	<i>2</i> %	2%	3 %	<i>3</i> %	1%	4%zi	1%
[2] Fairly good at it	189	86	46	90	69	31	127	57	88	99
	15%bi	20%zb	11%	17%	1 <i>6</i> %	11%	18%z	13%	25%zi	12%
[3] Neither good	498	168	149	193	158	147	288	155	155	299
nor bad at it	40%bo	cgi <i>40%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>37</i> %	54%zcd	<i>40%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>43%</i>	<i>36%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	329	95	141	159	114	56	184	140	76	253
	27%a	eh <i>22%</i>	32%za	30%e	<i>2</i> 7%	21%	<i>26%</i>	31%z	21%	31%zh
[5] Very bad at it	181	54	93	80	74	28	93	86	22	157
	15%h	13%	21%za	<i>15%</i>	17%e	10%	<i>13%</i>	19%zf	6%	19%zh
MEAN	3.37afh	3.19	3.64za	3.40	3.42	3.25	3.29	3.56zf	3.00	3.55zh
Very/ fairly good at it	213	105	49	99	76	38	147	61	104	107
	17%b	gi 25%zb	11%	19%	18%	14%	21%zg	14%	29%zi	<i>13%</i>
Fairly/ very bad	511	149	234	238	188	84	277	225	98	410
at it	42%a	eh <i>35%</i>	53%za	45%e	44%e	31%	39%	51%zf	<i>27</i> %	50%zh
NET good	-298	-44	-185	-139	-112	-46	-130	-164	6	-302
	<i>-24%</i>	-10%	<i>-42%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	-17%	<i>-18%</i>	<i>-37%</i>	<i>2</i> %	<i>-37%</i>
Don't know	8 1%f	1 *	6 1%	1	3 1%	4 2%	*	2 1%	1 *	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 135

Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL	-	VOTI	NG INFLU			MEDIA OICE TO PLE	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	24 <i>2</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	10 4%z	7 2%	10 <i>4%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	13 <i>2</i> %	17 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	13 <i>3</i> %	3 1%	18 <i>3</i> %	1 *	17 <i>3</i> %	*
[2] Fairly good at it	189 15%b	143 23%zb	26 <i>8</i> %	37 14%	48 17%	44 17%	27 21%	104 <i>18%</i>	114 <i>17</i> %	15 10%	95 19%zk	34 13%	102 <i>17</i> %	22 14%	85 15%	34 20%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	498 40%a hjln	219 cfg <i>36%</i>	111 <i>35%</i>	88 <i>34%</i>	104 <i>37</i> %	92 <i>35</i> %	40 31%	213 <i>37</i> %	242 36%	55 <i>36</i> %	166 <i>34%</i>	102 <i>38%</i>	214 <i>3</i> 5%	50 <i>33</i> %	195 <i>35%</i>	68 <i>39%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	329 <i>27</i> %	158 <i>26%</i>	91 <i>2</i> 9%	73 28%	76 <i>28%</i>	68 <i>26%</i>	40 <i>31%</i>	157 <i>27%</i>	186 <i>28%</i>	50 <i>33%</i>	139 <i>29%</i>	73 <i>27%</i>	161 <i>27%</i>	54 36%z	157 <i>28%</i>	47 27%
[5] Very bad at it	181 15%a	71 12%	81 26%za	53 20%z	42 15%	47 18%	20 16%	94 16%	111 <i>16%</i>	30 20%	73 15%	56 21%z	106 18%z	26 17%	106 19%z	25 14%
MEAN	3.37a	3.20	3.69za	3.46	3.36	3.38	3.37	3.37	3.39	3.62zh	3.34	3.55zj	3.39	3.55z	3.45z	3.35
Very/ fairly good at it	213 17%bi	159 i 26%zb	31 10%	48 18%	55 <i>20%</i>	54 <i>21%</i>	29 <i>23%</i>	117 20%z	131 19%i	16 10%	108 22%zk	36 14%	120 <i>20%</i>	22 14%	101 <i>18%</i>	35 20%
Fairly/ very bad at it	511 42%a	229 <i>38%</i>	172 54%za	126 48%z	119 <i>43%</i>	115 <i>44%</i>	61 <i>47%</i>	252 43%	296 <i>44%</i>	80 53%z	213 <i>43%</i>	129 48%z	268 44%	80 53%z	263 47%z	71 41%
NET good	-298 <i>-24%</i>	-70 -11%	-141 <i>-44</i> %	-79 -30%	-64 <i>-23%</i>	-61 <i>-23%</i>	-31 <i>-24%</i>	-135 <i>-23%</i>	-165 <i>-25%</i>	-64 <i>-42%</i>	-105 <i>-21%</i>	-93 <i>-35%</i>	-148 <i>-24%</i>	-58 <i>-38%</i>	-161 <i>-29%</i>	-37 <i>-21%</i>
Don't know	8 1%g	3 1%	4 1%	-	-	-	-	1	4 1%	*	3 1%	*	3 1%	-	1 *	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 136

Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	AB	C1	C2	DE	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING	YES	NO
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	37	18	19	5	9	6	3	2	12	14	9	14	7	7	4	19	19	18	9	28
	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>3%</i>	<i>3</i> %	4%	<i>3</i> %	1%	1%	4%	<i>4</i> %	<i>2%</i>	<i>3</i> %	2%	2%	2%	6%zr	nno <i>3%</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>3</i> %	3%
[2] Fairly good at it	217	115	102	26	46	27	40	29	49	72	67	78	84	58	25	51	140	77	55	162
	18%0	r <i>19</i> %	<i>16%</i>	18%	22%	13%	18%	16%	18%	21%	16%	17%	25%znop	17%o	10%	<i>17</i> %	<i>19%</i>	15%	16%	18%
[3] Neither good	437	196	241	56	76	80	60	65	100	132	141	164	83	132	95	126	233	204	145	292
nor bad at it	36%fr	nt <i>33%</i>	<i>38%</i>	40%f	<i>36</i> %	40%f	<i>27%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>37</i> %	<i>33%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>25%</i>	39%m	38%m	41%m	<i>32%</i>	40%zq	42%zt	<i>33</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	380	185	195	35	50	67	80	57	90	85	147	148	121	104	81	74	233	147	103	277
	31%jp	31%	<i>31%</i>	<i>25%</i>	24%	<i>33%</i>	36%d	<i>32</i> %	<i>32%</i>	<i>2</i> 4%	35%j	32%j	37%zp	<i>30%</i>	<i>32</i> %	24%	<i>32%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>2</i> 9%	31%
[5] Very bad at it	153	81	72	17	28	20	37	25	25	46	57	51	33	41	46	34	92	62	37	116
	12%	<i>14%</i>	11%	12%	13%	10%	17%i	14%	<i>9%</i>	13%	14%	11%	10%	<i>12</i> %	18%zm	11%	13%	12%	11%	<i>13</i> %
MEAN	3.32p	3.33	3.32	3.24	3.21	3.34	3.49zdi	3.42	3.24	3.22	3.42j	3.31	3.27	3.34	3.55zmn p	3.17	3.33	3.31	3.30	3.33
Very/ fairly good at it	254	133	121	31	55	33	43	31	62	86	76	93	91	64	29	70	159	96	64	190
	21%0	<i>22%</i>	<i>19%</i>	<i>22%</i>	<i>26</i> %	16%	19%	<i>18%</i>	<i>22%</i>	<i>2</i> 4%	18%	<i>20%</i>	28%zno	19%	12%	23%o	<i>22%</i>	19%	18%	<i>22</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	533	266	267	52	79	87	117	83	115	131	204	198	154	145	127	107	324	209	140	393
	43%jp	44%	<i>42%</i>	<i>37</i> %	<i>37</i> %	<i>43%</i>	53%zcdi	46%	<i>41%</i>	<i>37</i> %	49%zj	<i>43%</i>	47%p	<i>42%</i>	50%p	<i>35%</i>	<i>45</i> %	41%	<i>40%</i>	<i>45%</i>
NET good	-279	-132	-147	-21	-24	-55	-74	-51	-54	-45	-129	-105	-63	-80	-98	-37	-166	-113	-76	-203
	-23%	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	-15%	-11%	<i>-27%</i>	-34%	<i>-29%</i>	-19%	-13%	<i>-31%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-19%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-39%</i>	-12%	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-22%</i>	<i>-23%</i>
Don't know	6	4 1%	2	2 1%	2 1%	*	-	-	2 1%	4 1%	*	2	1	2 1%	2 1%	1	3 *	3 1%	*	5 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 137

Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		ACCES			TEN	URE			GOVERN	MENT (OFFICE	REGION	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NE	WSPAPER RSHIP
	TOTAL	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES I	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	37 <i>3</i> %	30 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	13 <i>3</i> %	6 2%	3 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>5</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	*	5 2%	11 <i>4</i> %	6 <i>2%</i>	12 8%zh	29 ii <i>3</i> %	8 <i>6%</i>	9 <i>3</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	7 4%	12 <i>2</i> %	4 4%	8 <i>3</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	217 18%	204 18%	13 13%	74 18%	65 18%	22 13%	55 20%	22 20%	9 15%	47 16%	52 16%	43 15%	к 43 26%zi jk	190 <i>17%</i>	26 19%	43 14%	39 17%	77 23%zo	46 16%	25 16%	26 13%	109 22%zt	21 19%	56 24%z
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	437 36%q	400 u <i>36</i> %	37 <i>36%</i>	148 <i>35%</i>	125 <i>35</i> %	58 <i>35%</i>	105 <i>38%</i>	34 <i>32</i> %	28 46%zg	112 <i>38%</i>	111 <i>35%</i>	96 <i>34%</i>	55 <i>33%</i>	379 <i>35%</i>	57 40%	109 37%q	85 <i>37</i> %	93 <i>28%</i>	109 38%q	46 <i>30%</i>	78 40%u	140 <i>2</i> 9%	38 <i>35%</i>	87 <i>38%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	380 31%h	345 n <i>31%</i>	35 <i>34%</i>	139 <i>33%</i>	112 <i>31%</i>	49 30%	72 <i>2</i> 6%	38 36%h	12 19%	81 <i>27%</i>	111 35%h	92 33%h	46 <i>27</i> %	348 32%n	32 <i>23%</i>	89 <i>30%</i>	77 33%	119 36%z	77 27%	49 <i>32</i> %	61 <i>31%</i>	174 36%z	37 <i>3</i> 4%	59 <i>26</i> %
[5] Very bad at it	153 12%l	143 <i>13%</i>	11 11%	46 11%	47 13%	28 17%	31 11%	10 <i>9</i> %	11 17%l	49 17%zg jl	31 10%	43 15%l	10 <i>6</i> %	135 <i>12%</i>	18 13%	45 15%	26 11%	34 10%	39 14%	26 17%	24 12%	52 11%	10 <i>9</i> %	22 9%
MEAN	3.32l w	3.33	3.30	3.31	3.36	3.48zf	3.18	3.301	3.391	3.421	3.311	3.431	2.98	3.34	3.18	3.40	3.34	3.27	3.31	3.45	3.35	3.30	3.26	3.13
Very/ fairly good at it	254 21%	235 21%	20 19%	87 21%	71 20%	25 15%	70 25%e	24 22%	9 15%	52 18%	64 20%	50 18%	56 34%zh ijk	219 20%	34 24%	52 18%	45 19%	87 26%zo	57 20%	29 19%	33 17%	121 25%z	25 <i>22</i> %	64 27%z
Fairly/ very bad at it	533 43%ln w	488 1 <i>43%</i>	46 <i>44%</i>	185 <i>44%</i>	160 <i>45%</i>	77 47%	103 <i>37%</i>	48 <i>44</i> %	22 37%	131 <i>44</i> %	142 <i>45%</i>	135 48%l	55 <i>33%</i>	483 44%n	50 <i>35%</i>	134 <i>45%</i>	102 <i>44%</i>	153 <i>46</i> %	116 <i>41%</i>	75 49%	85 <i>43%</i>	226 46%	47 43%	81 <i>35%</i>
NET good	-279 -23%	-253 <i>-22%</i>	-26 <i>-25%</i>	-98 <i>-23%</i>	-89 <i>-25%</i>	-52 <i>-32%</i>	-34 -12%	-24 -22%	-13 <i>-21%</i>	-79 <i>-27%</i>	-79 <i>-25%</i>	-85 <i>-30%</i>	1 *	-264 <i>-24%</i>	-16 -11%	-82 <i>-28%</i>	-58 <i>-25%</i>	-66 <i>-20%</i>	-59 <i>-21%</i>	-46 -30%	-52 <i>-27%</i>	-105 <i>-22%</i>	-23 <i>-21%</i>	-17 -8%
Don't know	6	5 *	1 1%	*	2 1%	3 2%zc	-	2 2%	1 2%k	1	2 1%	-	-	6 1%	-	1.	2 1%	1	2 1%	2 2%	-	*	-	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 138

Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SU	IPPORT		KNOWLE UK POL		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST II	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	37 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>4</i> %	-	2 2%	4 3%	17 <i>3</i> %	20 <i>3</i> %	18 <i>3</i> %	19 <i>3</i> %	20 <i>3%</i>	11 <i>5</i> %	2 2%	4 4%	15 <i>3</i> %	21 <i>3</i> %	21 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>3%</i>
[2] Fairly good at it	217 18%fr	77 25%zbe f	65 e 14%	19 27%bf	13 14%	11 <i>8</i> %	130 20%zh	87 15%	124 20%zj	94 15%	148 <i>19%</i>	39 19%	16 11%	11 11%	83 18%	134 <i>18%</i>	149 21%zr	68 13%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	437 36%e q	110 gik 35%e	149 33%e	23 <i>32%</i>	21 <i>22</i> %	65 49%z e	193 ab <i>30%</i>	244 41%zg	188 <i>31%</i>	248 40%zi	246 <i>32</i> %	74 36%	57 39%	47 47%k	149 <i>33%</i>	278 <i>36%</i>	220 <i>31%</i>	217 41%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	380 31%h	97 <i>31%</i>	148 <i>33%</i>	23 <i>3</i> 3%	39 40%f	34 <i>26%</i>	220 35%zh	160 <i>27%</i>	204 <i>34%</i>	176 <i>28%</i>	250 <i>33%</i>	58 <i>28%</i>	45 31%	25 <i>25</i> %	157 <i>34%</i>	223 <i>2</i> 9%	234 <i>33%</i>	146 <i>28%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	153 12%a	19 <i>6</i> %	68 15%a	6 <i>8</i> %	22 22%za c	17 13%	76 12%	77 13%	72 12%	81 <i>13</i> %	94 12%	22 11%	25 17%	12 12%	51 11%	103 <i>13%</i>	77 11%	76 15%
MEAN	3.32a	3.12	3.42za	3.21	3.68z acf	3.36a	3.33	3.32	3.31	3.33	3.33	3.21	3.521	3.30	3.32	3.33	3.28	3.38
Very/ fairly good at it	254 21%fr	87 nr 28%zbe f	81 e <i>18%</i>	19 27%f	15 16%	16 12%	147 23%z	107 <i>18%</i>	142 23%z	113 <i>18%</i>	168 22%m	49 24%m	18 <i>12%</i>	15 15%	99 <i>22%</i>	155 <i>20%</i>	171 24%zr	83 16%
Fairly/ very bad at it	533 43%a	116 <i>37</i> %	217 48%za	29 41%	61 63%za bcf	51 <i>38%</i>	296 46%z	237 40%	276 46%	257 41%	344 <i>45%</i>	80 <i>39%</i>	70 48%	37 37%	208 <i>46%</i>	326 <i>43%</i>	311 <i>44%</i>	222 42%
NET good	-279 <i>-23%</i>	-29 <i>-</i> 9%	-136 <i>-30%</i>	-9 -13%	-46 -47%	-35 <i>-27%</i>	-149 <i>-23%</i>	-130 <i>-22%</i>	-135 <i>-22%</i>	-144 <i>-23%</i>	-176 <i>-23%</i>	-31 <i>-15%</i>	-52 <i>-35%</i>	-22 -22%	-109 <i>-24%</i>	-171 <i>-22%</i>	-140 <i>-20%</i>	-139 <i>-26%</i>
Don't know	6 *	*	1 *	-	-	2 1%	1 *	4 1%	1	5 1%	2	2 1%	1 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	1 *	4 1%	1 *	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 139

Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	37 3%b	24 i 6%zb	2	15 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	24 3%	10 <i>2</i> %	21 6%zi	16 <i>2%</i>
[2] Fairly good at it	217 18%b	111 di 26%zb	53 12%	112 21%zd	59 14%	47 17%	137 <i>19%</i>	73 17%	113 32%zi	101 <i>12%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	437 36%b	133 fi <i>31%</i>	133 <i>30%</i>	178 <i>34%</i>	137 <i>32</i> %	122 44%zcd	230 <i>32%</i>	161 <i>36%</i>	133 <i>37</i> %	262 <i>32%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	380 31%e	118 h <i>28%</i>	159 36%za	175 33%e	143 34%e	62 <i>23%</i>	221 31%	148 <i>33%</i>	77 21%	301 37%zh
[5] Very bad at it	153 12%a	36 ch <i>9</i> %	88 20%za	51 10%	73 17%zc	29 11%	100 <i>14%</i>	51 <i>12%</i>	14 <i>4</i> %	137 17%zh
MEAN	3.32ah	3.07	3.64za	3.25	3.49zce	3.20	3.33	3.36	2.86	3.54zh
Very/ fairly good at it	254 21%b	135 di 32%zb	55 13%	127 24%zd	70 17%	57 21%	161 <i>23%</i>	83 19%	133 37%zi	117 <i>14%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	533 43%a	154 eh <i>36%</i>	247 56%za	226 42%e	216 51%zce	91 <i>33%</i>	321 <i>45%</i>	199 <i>45%</i>	91 <i>25%</i>	438 53%zh
NET good	-279 -23%	-19 <i>-4%</i>	-192 <i>-44%</i>	-99 <i>-19%</i>	-146 <i>-34%</i>	-34 -12%	-160 <i>-22%</i>	-116 <i>-26%</i>	43 12%	-321 <i>-39%</i>
Don't know	6	1	4	1	1	3 1%	1	1	:	4

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 140

Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI			. MEDIA OICE TO PLE	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
			Disagre	Active social media	Non- active social media	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre		Disagre
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	e (b)	user (c)	user (d)	nt) (e)	nt) (f)	nt) (g)	Agree (h)	e (i)	Agree (j)	e (k)	Agree (I)	e (m)	Agree (n)	e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	37 3%k	27 4%z	7 2%	15 6%z	12 4%	14 5%g	2 1%	15 <i>3</i> %	22 <i>3</i> %	:	20 4%k	1	22 4%	3 <i>2</i> %	23 4%	2 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	217 18%k	143 23%zb	47 15%	46 17%	64 23%z	55 21%	34 27%z	124 21%z	134 <i>20%</i>	19 <i>12</i> %	123 25%zk	34 13%	128 21%zm	19 1 13%	118 21%z	31 18%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	437 36%bd jln	200 fg 33%b	78 <i>25%</i>	80 <i>30</i> %	78 <i>28%</i>	88 <i>34%</i>	33 <i>26</i> %	182 <i>31%</i>	223 <i>33</i> %	49 <i>33</i> %	141 <i>2</i> 9%	99 <i>37</i> %	187 <i>31%</i>	46 30%	170 <i>30</i> %	62 <i>36%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	380 <i>31%</i>	183 <i>30%</i>	118 37%z	84 <i>32</i> %	91 <i>33%</i>	84 <i>32</i> %	44 34%	196 <i>34%</i>	219 <i>33%</i>	49 <i>32</i> %	141 <i>2</i> 9%	86 <i>32%</i>	182 <i>30%</i>	57 <i>37</i> %	166 <i>30%</i>	48 28%
[5] Very bad at it	153 12%ae	55 h <i>9%</i>	65 21%za	38 <i>15%</i>	33 12%	21 <i>8%</i>	16 <i>13%</i>	65 11%	71 11%	34 22%zh	62 13%	47 18%z	83 14%	28 18%	82 15%	30 18%
MEAN	3.32aej	3.16	3.59za	3.33	3.25	3.16	3.29	3.30e	3.27	3.64zh	3.21	3.54zj	3.29	3.57zl	3.30	3.43
Very/ fairly good at it	254 21%ik	170 28%zb	54 17%	60 <i>23%</i>	76 27%z	69 26%z	36 <i>28%</i>	138 24%z	156 23%zi	19 <i>13</i> %	143 29%zk	35 13%	150 25%zm	22 1 15%	141 25%z	33 19%
Fairly/ very bad at it	533 43%a	238 <i>39%</i>	184 58%za	122 <i>47</i> %	124 <i>45%</i>	104 <i>40%</i>	60 <i>46%</i>	262 45%	290 <i>43%</i>	83 55%zh	202 41%	133 50%z	265 44%	85 55%zl	248 44%	79 <i>45%</i>
NET good	-279 <i>-23%</i>	-68 -11%	-129 <i>-41%</i>	-62 <i>-24%</i>	-48 -17%	-35 -14%	-24 -18%	-123 <i>-21%</i>	-135 <i>-20%</i>	-64 <i>-42%</i>	-59 -12%	-99 <i>-37%</i>	-115 <i>-19%</i>	-63 -41%	-107 <i>-19%</i>	-46 <i>-26%</i>
Don't know	6 *	2	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1	4 1%	*	3 1%	*	3 1%	-	1 *	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 141

Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	AB	C1	C2	DE	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING	YES	NO
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at it	29 2%0	16 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	7 5%h	3 1%	6 <i>3</i> %	4 2%	1 *	9 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>2</i> %	11 3‰	8 <i>2</i> %	1 *	9 <i>3</i> %	12 <i>2</i> %	17 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	21 <i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	296 24%e	149 oqs <i>25%</i>	147 <i>23%</i>	33 <i>2</i> 4%	37 17%	34 17%	56 <i>25%</i>	44 25%	92 33%zd	70 e <i>20</i> %	90 21%	136 30%zjk	83 <i>25%</i>	100 29%zo	46 18%	68 <i>22%</i>	149 <i>21%</i>	146 29%zq	65 19%	231 26%zs
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	466 38%m	230 ort <i>38%</i>	236 <i>37%</i>	62 44%f	96 46%fi	84 <i>42%</i>	67 <i>30%</i>	62 35%	95 <i>34%</i>	159 45%zkl	150 <i>36%</i>	157 <i>34%</i>	102 <i>31%</i>	121 <i>35%</i>	108 43%m	135 44%zn	290 n <i>40%</i>	176 <i>34%</i>	153 44%zt	313 <i>36%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	306 25%c	136 <i>23%</i>	169 <i>27%</i>	23 16%	54 26%	51 <i>25%</i>	68 31%c	50 28%c	60 <i>21%</i>	77 22%	119 <i>28%</i>	109 <i>24%</i>	103 31%zp	82 <i>2</i> 4%	61 <i>24%</i>	60 <i>20%</i>	192 <i>27</i> %	114 <i>22</i> %	92 <i>26%</i>	213 24%
[5] Very bad at it	127 <i>10</i> %	63 10%	65 10%	12 <i>9</i> %	20 <i>9%</i>	26 13%	26 12%	21 <i>12</i> %	21 <i>8</i> %	32 <i>9</i> %	52 12%	43 <i>9</i> %	30 <i>9%</i>	30 <i>9%</i>	36 14%	31 10%	73 10%	55 11%	31 <i>9</i> %	97 11%
MEAN	3.17ilr	3.13	3.20	3.01	3.25i	3.29ci	3.26i	3.26ci	2.97	3.15	3.27zl	3.08	3.18	3.08	3.34znp	3.12	3.23r	3.09	3.21	3.15
Very/ fairly good at it	325 26%d	166 oqs <i>28%</i>	159 <i>25%</i>	40 <i>28%</i>	39 19%	40 20%	59 <i>27%</i>	45 25%	101 36%zd	79 eh <i>22%</i>	99 24%	146 32%zjk	94 28%o	108 31%zo	46 18%	77 25%	162 <i>22%</i>	163 32%zq	72 21%	252 29%zs
Fairly/ very bad at it	433 35%ci	199 <i>33%</i>	234 <i>37%</i>	35 <i>25</i> %	74 35%	77 38%c	94 43%ci	71 40%ci	81 <i>29%</i>	110 <i>31%</i>	171 41%zj	152 <i>33%</i>	133 40%p	112 <i>33%</i>	97 <i>38%</i>	91 <i>30%</i>	264 <i>37</i> %	168 <i>3</i> 3%	123 <i>35%</i>	310 <i>35%</i>
NET good	-108 <i>-9%</i>	-33 <i>-6%</i>	-75 -12%	5 <i>3</i> %	-35 -17%	-37 -18%	-35 -16%	-26 -15%	20 <i>7</i> %	-30 <i>-9%</i>	-72 -17%	-6 -1%	-39 <i>-12%</i>	-5 -1%	-50 <i>-20%</i>	-14 <i>-5%</i>	-103 <i>-14%</i>	-6 -1%	-51 <i>-15%</i>	-58 <i>-7%</i>
Don't know	7 1%	5 1%	2	3 2%z	2 1%	*	-	-	1 1%	5 1%	*	1 *	1	2 1%	2 1%	1 *	3	4 1%	:	6 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

11 Jan 2018

Table 142

Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		ACCE:			TENU	JRE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION	١	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)		BUYING MORT-	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	29 <i>2</i> %	28 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	9 <i>2</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	*	10 <i>4</i> %	2 2%	2 3%	9 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>3</i> %	3 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	23 <i>2</i> %	6 4%	7 2%	6 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>3%</i>	3 1%	2 1%	6 <i>3</i> %	15 <i>3</i> %	4 3%	5 2%
[2] Fairly good at it	296 24%n	267 24%	29 <i>28%</i>	126 30%zde f	79 e <i>22%</i>	33 20%	57 21%	26 24%	11 18%	67 23%	78 24%	80 28%	35 21%	271 <i>25%</i>	25 17%	63 21%	57 24%	80 <i>24%</i>	78 27%	32 21%	50 <i>26%</i>	129 <i>26%</i>	27 25%	61 <i>26</i> %
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	466 38%q	427 u <i>38%</i>	39 <i>38%</i>	153 <i>36%</i>	118 <i>33%</i>	60 <i>37</i> %	128 46%zc d	35 33%	27 44%	115 <i>39%</i>	111 <i>35%</i>	97 34%	81 49%zç jk	400 37%	65 46%z	117 m 40%q	91 <i>39%</i>	101 <i>30%</i>	117 41%q	51 <i>34%</i>	80 40%	156 <i>32%</i>	44 40%	105 45%z
[4] Fairly bad at it	306 <i>25%</i>	278 <i>25%</i>	27 26%	92 <i>22%</i>	111 31%zcf	43 26%	57 20%	30 28%	15 24%	69 <i>23%</i>	84 <i>26%</i>	72 26%	35 21%	274 25%	31 <i>22</i> %	65 <i>22</i> %	59 <i>25%</i>	110 33%zc r	56 20%	42 28%	42 21%	139 <i>28%</i>	29 <i>26%</i>	46 20%
[5] Very bad at it	127 10%	121 <i>11%</i>	7 <i>7</i> %	39 <i>9</i> %	39 11%	24 15%	25 <i>9</i> %	12 <i>12</i> %	6 10%	34 12%	34 11%	29 10%	12 <i>7</i> %	113 <i>10%</i>	14 10%	43 14%z	20 <i>8%</i>	32 <i>9</i> %	29 10%	23 15%	19 <i>9</i> %	49 10%	6 <i>6%</i>	14 <i>6</i> %
MEAN	3.17c w	3.18	3.10	3.06	3.26c	3.36zc f	3.11	3.25	3.21	3.18	3.17	3.16	3.11	3.17	3.16	3.24	3.13	3.22	3.10	3.35zt	3.08	3.16	3.06	3.01
Very/ fairly good at it	325 <i>26%</i>	295 <i>26%</i>	30 <i>29%</i>	135 32%ze	88 <i>25%</i>	33 20%	68 <i>24%</i>	27 <i>26%</i>	12 <i>20%</i>	77 26%	88 <i>27%</i>	83 <i>29%</i>	38 <i>23%</i>	294 <i>27</i> %	31 <i>22</i> %	70 24%	63 <i>27%</i>	89 <i>27%</i>	81 <i>28%</i>	34 22%	57 <i>2</i> 9%	144 <i>2</i> 9%	31 <i>28%</i>	67 29%
Fairly/ very bad at it	433 35%c	399 w <i>35%</i>	34 <i>33%</i>	131 <i>31%</i>	151 42%zcf	67 41%cf	82 <i>30%</i>	43 40%	21 <i>34%</i>	104 <i>35</i> %	118 <i>37</i> %	101 <i>36%</i>	47 28%	387 <i>36%</i>	45 <i>32</i> %	107 <i>36%</i>	79 <i>34%</i>	142 43%zr	. 84 . 30%	65 <i>43%</i>	61 <i>31%</i>	189 <i>39%</i>	35 <i>32</i> %	60 <i>26%</i>
NET good	-108 <i>-9%</i>	-104 <i>-9%</i>	-4 -4%	4 1%	-63 -18%	-34 <i>-21%</i>	-15 <i>-5%</i>	-16 <i>-14%</i>	-8 -13%	-27 -9%	-31 <i>-10%</i>	-18 <i>-6%</i>	-9 <i>-5%</i>	-93 <i>-9%</i>	-14 -10%	-37 -12%	-16 <i>-7</i> %	-53 -16%	-4 -1%	-31 <i>-20%</i>	-4 -2%	-45 -9%	-4 -4%	6 3%v
Don't know	7 1%	7 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	3 2%z	-	2 <i>2</i> %	1 2%	1	2 1%	1 *		7 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	1	2 1%	2 2%u	-	*	-	1 *

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 143

Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

							KNOWI E	DGE OF	KNOWLE	DGE OF	LIKELIH	OOD TO	VOTE IN GEI	JERAI	POLITICA SUPP			
		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	PPORT		UK POL		PARLIA		LIIXLLII		CTION	ILIIAL	STREM		INTEREST I	N POLITICS
							KNOW A GREAT	KNOW NOT VERY	KNOW A	KNOW NOT VERY								
		CONSER					DEAL/ FAIR	MUCH/ NOTH -ING	GREAT DEAL/ FAIR	MUCH/ NOTH -ING				CERTA -INLY			VERY/ FAIRLY	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL
	TOTAL (z)	-VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	AMOUNT (g)	AT ALL (h)	AMOUNT (i)	AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	INTERESTED (q)	INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	29 2%r	9 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	20 <i>3</i> %	9 1%	20 <i>3</i> %	9 1%	22 3%	3 1%	2 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>2</i> %	18 <i>2</i> %	24 3%zr	5 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	296 24%fh npr	116 im 37%zbo ef	110 24%f	12 17%	21 22%f	10 <i>7</i> %	185 29%zh	111 19%	182 30%zj	114 18%	214 28%zmi	51 1 25%n	22 15%	8 <i>8</i> %	151 33%zp	144 19%	202 29%zr	94 18%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	466 38%aq q	99 jik <i>32</i> %	160 <i>36%</i>	24 34%	31 <i>32</i> %	80 61%z ce	202 ab <i>32</i> %	263 44%zç	187 31%	278 45%zi	251 <i>33%</i>	81 <i>39%</i>	62 <i>42%</i>	59 58%zk	154 I <i>34</i> %	302 40%	234 <i>33%</i>	232 44%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	306 25%f	70 <i>22%</i>	118 26%f	24 34%f	25 26%	21 <i>16</i> %	160 <i>25%</i>	146 <i>25%</i>	156 <i>26%</i>	150 <i>24%</i>	200 <i>26%</i>	55 <i>27</i> %	30 <i>20%</i>	17 17%	103 <i>23%</i>	203 <i>27</i> %	184 <i>26%</i>	121 <i>2</i> 3%
[5] Very bad at it	127 10%ad	19 oq <i>6%</i>	47 10%	9 13%	18 18%za	17 1 13%	68 11%	60 10%	61 <i>10%</i>	66 11%	69 <i>9%</i>	15 <i>7</i> %	29 20%zkl	14 14%	35 <i>8%</i>	92 12%	58 <i>8%</i>	69 13%zq
MEAN	3.17aik oq	2.92	3.18a	3.38a	3.37a	3.30a	3.11	3.23	3.09	3.24zi	3.11	3.15	3.42zkl	3.32	3.00	3.27zo	3.07	3.30zq
Very/ fairly good at it	325 26%fh npr	124 im 40%zbo ef	121 27%f	14 19%	23 24%f	13 <i>9</i> %	205 32%zh	119 <i>20%</i>	202 33%zj	123 <i>20%</i>	236 31%zmi	53 1 26%n	25 17%	10 10%	162 36%zp	162 <i>21%</i>	225 32%zr	99 19%
Fairly/ very bad at it	433 35%ad	89 28%	165 37%a	33 47%af	43 44%af	38 <i>29%</i>	227 <i>36</i> %	205 <i>35%</i>	217 <i>36</i> %	216 <i>35%</i>	269 <i>35%</i>	70 <i>34</i> %	59 40%	30 <i>30</i> %	138 <i>30%</i>	295 39%zo	242 34%	191 <i>36%</i>
NET good	-108 <i>-9%</i>	35 11%ce f	-44 -10%	-20 <i>-28%</i>	-20 -20%	-25 -19%	-22 -4%	-86 -15%	-15 <i>-2%</i>	-94 -15%	-33 -4%	-17 <i>-8%</i>	-34 <i>-23%</i>	-20 <i>-20%</i>	24 5%	-132 <i>-17</i> %	-17 <i>-2</i> %	-91 <i>-17%</i>
Don't know	7 1%	1	1	-	-	2 1%	2	4 1%	2	5 1%	2	2 1%	1 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	1 *	4 1%	2	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 144

Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	29	20	5	21	5	3	18	11	16	13
	2%b	i 5%zb	1%	4%zd	1%	1%	<i>2</i> %	<i>3</i> %	4%zi	<i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	296	130	83	135	115	46	184	106	127	167
	24%b	ei 31%zb	19%	25%e	27%e	17%	<i>26%</i>	<i>24%</i>	36%zi	<i>2</i> 0%
[3] Neither good	466	141	147	188	129	149	254	160	136	288
nor bad at it	38%a	di <i>33</i> %	<i>34</i> %	<i>35%</i>	<i>30%</i>	54%zcd	36%	<i>36%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>35%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	306	101	128	146	110	49	174	125	63	239
	25%e	h <i>24%</i>	29%z	28%e	<i>26%</i>	18%	<i>2</i> 4%	<i>28%</i>	18%	29%zh
[5] Very bad at it	127	30	72	40	64	23	82	42	13	111
	10%a	ch <i>7</i> %	16%za	<i>8%</i>	15%zce	<i>8%</i>	11%	9%	<i>4%</i>	14%zh
MEAN	3.17ah	2.98	3.42za	3.10	3.27zc	3.16	3.17	3.18	2.81	3.33zh
Very/ fairly good at it	325	150	87	155	120	49	201	117	143	180
	26%b	ei 35%zb	20%	29%e	28%e	18%	<i>28%</i>	<i>26%</i>	40%zi	<i>22%</i>
Fairly/ very bad at it	433	131	200	186	174	72	256	167	77	350
	35%e	h <i>31%</i>	46%za	35%e	41%ze	26%	<i>36%</i>	<i>38%</i>	21%	43%zh
NET good	-108	19	-113	-31	-55	-23	-55	-50	66	-170
	<i>-9%</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-6%</i>	-13%	<i>-8%</i>	<i>-8%</i>	-11%	19%	<i>-21%</i>
Don't know	7	1 *	4	2	1 *	3 1%	2	*	1 *	4

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 145

Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTIN	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	29 <i>2</i> %	20 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	14 5%z	10 <i>4%</i>	10 <i>4</i> %	2 1%	18 <i>3</i> %	22 3%	2 2%	21 4%z	4 2%	19 <i>3%</i>	4 2%	22 4%z	4 2%
[2] Fairly good at it	296 24%b	209 34%zb	57 18%	67 <i>26%</i>	68 <i>25%</i>	98 38%zg	34 27%	177 30%z	187 28%zi	25 17%	138 28%zk	53 <i>20%</i>	170 28%z	29 19%	145 <i>26%</i>	43 25%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	466 38%be jln	214 gh 35%b	78 <i>25%</i>	89 <i>34%</i>	92 <i>33</i> %	73 <i>28%</i>	40 31%	173 <i>30%</i>	220 <i>33%</i>	52 <i>34</i> %	149 <i>31%</i>	100 <i>38%</i>	203 <i>34%</i>	50 <i>32</i> %	184 <i>33%</i>	59 34%
[4] Fairly bad at it	306 <i>25%</i>	135 <i>22%</i>	108 34%za	65 <i>25%</i>	79 <i>28%</i>	67 <i>26%</i>	40 31%	158 <i>27</i> %	174 <i>26</i> %	40 <i>26</i> %	125 <i>25%</i>	75 28%	144 <i>24%</i>	46 <i>30%</i>	138 <i>25%</i>	49 28%
[5] Very bad at it	127 10%ae	30 5%	67 21%za	26 10%	29 10%	13 <i>5%</i>	12 <i>9</i> %	56 10%e	66 10%	31 21%zh	53 11%	35 13%	65 11%	25 16%z	68 <i>12%</i>	20 11%
MEAN	3.17aeg	2.91	3.55za	3.09	3.17	2.91	3.21e	3.10e	3.11	3.48zh	3.11	3.31zj	3.11	3.38zl	3.15	3.22
Very/ fairly good at it	325 26%bi	229 37%zb	63 <i>20%</i>	81 <i>31%</i>	78 28%	108 41%zfg	36 28%	195 33%z	208 31%zi	28 18%	158 32%zk	57 21%	189 31%z	33 <i>22</i> %	167 30%z	47 27%
Fairly/ very bad at it	433 35%a	165 <i>27%</i>	175 55%za	92 <i>35%</i>	107 <i>39%</i>	80 <i>31%</i>	53 41%	214 <i>37</i> %	240 <i>36%</i>	71 47%zh	178 <i>36%</i>	109 <i>41%</i>	209 <i>34%</i>	70 46%zl	206 <i>37%</i>	68 <i>39%</i>
NET good	-108 -9%	64 10%	-113 <i>-35%</i>	-11 <i>-4%</i>	-29 -11%	28 11%fg	-17 -13%	-19 <i>-3%</i>	-32 <i>-5%</i>	-44 -29%	-20 -4%	-52 -20%	-20 -3%	-37 <i>-24%</i>	-39 <i>-7%</i>	-22 -13%
Don't know	7 1%	3 1%	2 1%	1	-	-	1 1%	1 *	5 1%	*	4 1%	*	4 1%	-	2	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 146

Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		GEN	IDER			AG	iE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	AB	C1	C2	DE	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING	YES	NO (1)
Unweighted Base	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(h)	(I)	(J) L	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)
	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
ŭ	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Weighted Base												-					ł	-		- 1
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Very good at	36	13	23	6	7	3	2	5	14	13	4	19	10	14	6	6	15	21	6	29
it	3%k	<i>2</i> %	4%	4%	3%	1%	1%	<i>3</i> %	5%zf	<i>4</i> %	1%	4%k	<i>3</i> %	<i>4</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	4%	<i>2</i> %	3%
[2] Fairly good at it	236	122	114	27	47	39	44	25	54	73	84	79	73	63	35	65	153	83	72	163
	19%0	r <i>20%</i>	<i>18%</i>	19%	22%	<i>20%</i>	20%	14%	19%	21%	20%	1 <i>7</i> %	22%0	18%	14%	21%	<i>21%</i>	16%	21%	<i>19%</i>
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	453	209	244	64	91	74	73	61	89	155	147	151	107	119	97	131	266	187	146	306
	37%l	<i>35%</i>	<i>3</i> 9%	45%zi	43%i	37%	<i>33%</i>	<i>34</i> %	<i>32%</i>	44%zkl	<i>3</i> 5%	<i>33%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>38%</i>	43%m	<i>37</i> %	<i>37</i> %	<i>42</i> %	<i>35%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at it	319	158	162	28	37	54	66	61	73	66	120	134	94	98	65	62	180	139	85	234
	26%d	j <i>26%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>20%</i>	18%	<i>27%</i>	30%d	34%zcd	26%	19%	28%j	29%j	<i>29%</i>	<i>29%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>20%</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>27%</i>	24%	<i>27%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	182	93	88	14	28	30	36	27	47	42	66	74	45	48	49	40	102	80	39	143
	<i>15%</i>	<i>16%</i>	14%	10%	13%	<i>15%</i>	16%	15%	17%	12%	16%	16%	14%	14%	19%	13%	<i>14%</i>	16%	11%	<i>16</i> %
MEAN	3.31cj	3.33	3.28	3.13	3.16	3.35	3.41c	3.46cd	3.31	3.15	3.38j	3.36j	3.28	3.30	3.47zp	3.21	3.28	3.34	3.22	3.34
Very/ fairly good at it	271 22%0	135 <i>23%</i>	136 <i>22%</i>	33 <i>2</i> 3%	53 <i>25%</i>	42 21%	46 21%	29 16%	68 <i>25%</i>	86 24%	88 21%	97 21%	83 25%o	77 23%	40 16%	71 <i>23%</i>	168 <i>23%</i>	104 <i>20%</i>	79 <i>2</i> 3%	193 <i>22</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	501	251	250	42	65	84	101	88	120	108	185	208	139	146	114	102	282	219	124	377
	41%c	djp <i>42%</i>	<i>40%</i>	30%	<i>31%</i>	<i>42%</i>	46%cd	49%zcd	43%cd	<i>31%</i>	44%j	46%zj	<i>42%</i>	<i>43%</i>	45%p	<i>34%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>43%</i>	<i>3</i> 5%	43%z
NET good	-230	-116	-114	-9	-12	-42	-55	-59	-52	-22	-97	-111	-56	-69	-74	-31	-114	-116	-45	-185
	-19%	<i>-19%</i>	<i>-18%</i>	<i>-7</i> %	-6%	-21%	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-33%</i>	-19%	-6%	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	-17%	<i>-20%</i>	-29%	-10%	<i>-16%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	-13%	<i>-21%</i>
Don't know	5 *b	5 1%	*	2 1%	2 1%	*	-	-	1	4 1%	*	1	1	1	2 1%	1	3	2	*	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 147

Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		ACCE:			TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION	l	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Very good at it	36 <i>3</i> %	31 <i>3</i> %	4 4%	16 <i>4</i> %	4 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	12 <i>4</i> %	2 2%	2 3%	6 <i>2</i> %	13 <i>4%</i>	8 <i>3</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	29 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>5%</i>	8 <i>3</i> %	5 2%	11 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	8 <i>4%</i>	10 <i>2</i> %	10 9%z	12 <i>5</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%eo v	215 19%	20 20%	79 19%	72 20%	20 12%	62 22%e	21 <i>20%</i>	11 <i>17</i> %	61 <i>21%</i>	59 18%	48 17%	37 <i>22</i> %	200 18%	35 <i>25%</i>	39 13%	51 22%0	76 23%0	55 19%	25 16%	41 21%	102 <i>21%</i>	11 10%	50 21%v
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	453 37%q	415 <i>37</i> %	38 <i>37%</i>	147 <i>35%</i>	124 <i>35%</i>	61 <i>38%</i>	120 <i>43%</i>	32 30%	24 40%	102 <i>35%</i>	117 <i>37</i> %	111 <i>39%</i>	67 40%	398 <i>37%</i>	55 <i>39%</i>	112 <i>38%</i>	88 <i>38%</i>	104 <i>31%</i>	111 <i>39%</i>	44 28%	67 <i>34%</i>	172 <i>35%</i>	36 <i>33</i> %	96 41%
[4] Fairly bad at it	319 26%f	293 <i>26%</i>	26 <i>26%</i>	118 28%f	97 27%f	49 30%f	49 18%	31 <i>2</i> 9%	15 <i>24%</i>	83 <i>28%</i>	90 <i>28%</i>	66 <i>24%</i>	33 <i>20%</i>	291 <i>27%</i>	28 <i>20%</i>	83 <i>28%</i>	63 <i>27%</i>	89 <i>27%</i>	68 <i>24%</i>	45 30%	52 <i>26%</i>	131 <i>27</i> %	33 30%w	47 20%
[5] Very bad at it	182 <i>15</i> %	168 <i>15%</i>	14 13%	59 14%	58 16%	28 17%	35 13%	19 18%	8 14%	42 14%	40 12%	49 17%	24 14%	165 <i>15</i> %	16 11%	52 17%	25 11%	52 16%	41 14%	30 <i>20%</i>	29 15%	73 15%	18 <i>17%</i>	28 12%
MEAN	3.31f nw	3.31	3.24	3.30	3.37f	3.49zf	3.12	3.41	3.30	3.32	3.27	3.36	3.21	3.33n	3.09	3.44z p	3.23	3.29	3.28	3.43	3.27	3.32	3.35	3.13
Very/ fairly good at it	271 22%eo	247 22%	25 24%	95 23%e	77 21%	23 14%	75 27%e	23 22%	12 20%	68 <i>23%</i>	71 22%	55 20%	42 <i>2</i> 5%	230 <i>21%</i>	42 30%z	48 m <i>16%</i>	55 24%	87 26%o	63 <i>22%</i>	33 21%	48 <i>2</i> 5%	112 <i>2</i> 3%	21 19%	61 <i>26</i> %
Fairly/ very bad at it	501 41%fn w	461 41%	40 39%	177 42%f	155 43%f	77 47%f	84 30%	50 <i>47%</i>	23 <i>38%</i>	125 <i>42</i> %	130 <i>41%</i>	115 41%	57 <i>35%</i>	455 42%n	44 31%	135 <i>46%</i>	89 <i>38%</i>	141 <i>42</i> %	109 <i>38%</i>	76 49%	81 <i>41%</i>	204 <i>42%</i>	52 47%w	74 32%
NET good	-230 -19%	-214 <i>-19%</i>	-16 <i>-15</i> %	-82 <i>-20%</i>	-79 <i>-22%</i>	-54 <i>-33%</i>	-9 <i>-3%</i>	-27 <i>-25%</i>	-11 <i>-18%</i>	-58 -19%	-58 -18%	-60 <i>-21%</i>	-16 -10%	-226 <i>-21%</i>	-2 <i>-2</i> %	-88 <i>-30%</i>	-33 -14%	-54 -16%	-45 -16%	-43 <i>-28%</i>	-33 -17%	-92 -19%	-30 <i>-28%</i>	-13 <i>-6%</i>
Don't know	5 *	5 *	-	1	2 1%	2 1%		2 2%z	1 2%k	1	2 1%	-		5 *	-	1	2 1%	1	1	1 1%	-	*	1 *	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 148

Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		Р	OLITICAL	. PARTY S	UPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Very good at it	36 <i>3</i> %	17 5%zt	9 2%	4 5%	2 2%	1 1%	15 <i>2</i> %	21 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	20 <i>3</i> %	23 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	4 2%	*	12 3%	23 <i>3</i> %	21 <i>3</i> %	14 3%
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%n	84 nr 27%zt f	77 oc 17%	9 12%	17 17%	18 14%	135 <i>21%</i>	101 <i>17</i> %	128 <i>21%</i>	107 <i>17</i> %	171 23%zm	31 <i>15</i> %	15 10%	19 19%	96 <i>21%</i>	140 <i>18%</i>	166 24%zr	69 13%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	453 37%e q	119 gik 38%e	153 34%e	30 43%e	19 19%	69 52%z e	207 ab <i>33%</i>	245 41%zg	199 <i>33%</i>	253 41%zi	238 <i>31%</i>	97 47%zk	61 <i>42%</i>	43 <i>43</i> %	162 <i>36%</i>	281 <i>37</i> %	227 <i>32</i> %	226 43%zq
[4] Fairly bad at it	319 26%h	67 21%	137 31%za	20 a <i>28</i> %	31 <i>32%</i>	27 20%	186 29%zh	133 <i>23%</i>	177 29%zj	143 <i>2</i> 3%	205 <i>27%</i>	52 <i>25%</i>	39 <i>27%</i>	22 22%	112 <i>24%</i>	208 <i>27%</i>	191 <i>27%</i>	129 <i>24%</i>
[5] Very bad at it	182 15%a	26 I <i>8%</i>	72 16%a	8 11%	28 29%za bcf	16 12%	93 <i>15%</i>	89 15%	87 14%	95 15%	121 <i>16%</i>	19 <i>9</i> %	27 18%	15 15%	74 16%	108 <i>14%</i>	97 14%	84 16%
MEAN	3.31a	3.00	3.41za	3.28	3.69z abcf	3.30a	3.32	3.29	3.31	3.30	3.30	3.23	3.48	3.32	3.30	3.31	3.25	3.38
Very/ fairly good at it	271 22%n	101 nr 32%zt ef	86 oc 19%	12 17%	19 19%	19 14%	150 <i>24%</i>	121 <i>20%</i>	144 <i>2</i> 4%	127 <i>2</i> 0%	194 26%zm	36 18%	19 <i>13%</i>	19 19%	108 <i>24%</i>	162 <i>21%</i>	188 27%zr	84 16%
Fairly/ very bad at it	501 41%a	93 <i>30%</i>	209 47%za	28 af <i>39%</i>	60 62%za bcf	43 <i>32</i> %	279 44%z	222 38%	264 <i>43</i> %	237 <i>38%</i>	326 <i>43%</i>	71 <i>34</i> %	66 45%	36 <i>36</i> %	185 <i>41%</i>	316 <i>41%</i>	288 41%	213 40%
NET good	-230 -19%	8 <i>3</i> %	-123 <i>-27%</i>	-15 <i>-22%</i>	-41 -42%	-24 -18%	-129 <i>-20%</i>	-101 <i>-17</i> %	-119 <i>-20%</i>	-110 <i>-18%</i>	-132 <i>-17</i> %	-34 -17%	-47 -32%	-17 -17%	-77 -17%	-153 <i>-20%</i>	-100 <i>-14%</i>	-129 <i>-25%</i>
Don't know	5 *k	1 *	-	-	-	2 1%	1 *	4 1%	1 *	4 1%	1	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%k	1 *	4 1%	1 *	4 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 149

Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Very good at it	36	20	7	15	14	6	18	15	20	15
	3%i	5%zb	2%	<i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>3%</i>	<i>3%</i>	6%zi	<i>2</i> %
[2] Fairly good at it	236	121	56	103	80	53	156	74	113	122
	19%bi	29%zb	13%	<i>19%</i>	19%	19%	22%z	17%	32%zi	<i>15%</i>
[3] Neither good	453	132	133	185	139	129	235	165	153	260
nor bad at it	37%al	ofi <i>31%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>33%</i>	47%zcd	<i>33%</i>	<i>37</i> %	43%zi	<i>32</i> %
[4] Fairly bad at it	319	103	139	147	113	59	196	120	52	260
	26%h	<i>24%</i>	32%za	<i>28%</i>	<i>2</i> 7%	22%	<i>28%</i>	<i>27%</i>	15%	32%zh
[5] Very bad at it	182	47	98	80	79	23	107	70	18	162
	15%a	eh <i>11%</i>	22%za	15%e	19%ze	9%	<i>15%</i>	16%	<i>5</i> %	20%zh
MEAN	3.31aeh	3.08	3.61za	3.32	3.38e	3.15	3.30	3.36	2.82	3.53zh
Very/ fairly good at it	271	141	63	119	94	59	174	88	133	137
	22%bi	33%zb	14%	<i>22%</i>	<i>22</i> %	22%	<i>24%</i>	20%	37%zi	<i>17</i> %
Fairly/ very bad	501	150	238	227	192	83	303	191	70	422
at it	41%a	eh <i>35%</i>	54%za	43%e	45%e	<i>30%</i>	<i>42%</i>	<i>43%</i>	20%	51%zh
NET good	-230	-9	-174	-108	-98	-24	-129	-102	63	-285
	-19%	<i>-2%</i>	-40%	<i>-20%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	-9%	<i>-18%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	18%	<i>-35%</i>
Don't know	5 *	:	4	2		3	1	*	1	2

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 150

Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following?

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Very good at it	36 3%	27 4%z	6 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	15 5%zc	13 <i>5</i> %	6 4%	17 3%	19 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	17 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	23 4%	4 2%	23 4%	1 1%
[2] Fairly good at it	236 19%i	151 25%zb	54 17%	59 <i>22%</i>	59 21%	49 19%	25 19%	127 <i>22</i> %	145 22%zi	17 11%	107 22%k	39 <i>15%</i>	119 <i>20%</i>	23 15%	117 <i>21%</i>	29 17%
[3] Neither good nor bad at it	453 37%a n	204 abcl <i>33%</i>	89 <i>28%</i>	79 <i>30%</i>	91 <i>33%</i>	101 <i>39%</i>	43 34%	200 <i>34%</i>	232 34%	58 <i>38%</i>	175 <i>36%</i>	92 <i>34%</i>	202 <i>33%</i>	53 <i>35</i> %	177 <i>32%</i>	71 41%
[4] Fairly bad at it	319 <i>26</i> %	147 <i>2</i> 4%	103 32%za	66 <i>25%</i>	83 <i>30%</i>	69 <i>26%</i>	32 <i>25%</i>	157 <i>27%</i>	178 <i>27%</i>	39 <i>26%</i>	119 <i>2</i> 4%	74 28%	154 <i>26%</i>	48 31%	147 <i>26%</i>	41 24%
[5] Very bad at it	182 <i>15</i> %	79 13%	65 20%za	55 21%zd	30 11%	28 11%	23 18%	81 <i>14%</i>	94 14%	35 23%zh	68 14%	54 20%z	104 <i>17</i> %	25 17%	94 17%	31 18%
MEAN	3.31a	3.16	3.53za	3.42d	3.19	3.19	3.32	3.27	3.27	3.57zh	3.23	3.48zj	3.33	3.44	3.31	3.41
Very/ fairly good at it	271 22%i	178 29%zb	59 19%	62 <i>2</i> 4%	74 27%	62 24%	31 <i>24%</i>	144 <i>2</i> 5%	164 24%i	19 <i>13%</i>	124 25%zk	47 18%	142 <i>2</i> 3%	27 18%	141 25%z	31 18%
Fairly/ very bad at it	501 41%a	226 a <i>37%</i>	168 53%za	121 <i>46%</i>	113 <i>41%</i>	97 <i>37</i> %	55 <i>43%</i>	238 41%	273 41%	74 49%	187 <i>38%</i>	128 48%zj	258 <i>43%</i>	73 48%	241 <i>43%</i>	73 42%
NET good	-230 -19%	-48 <i>-8%</i>	-108 <i>-34%</i>	-59 <i>-22%</i>	-39 -14%	-35 <i>-13%</i>	-25 -19%	-94 -16%	-108 <i>-16%</i>	-55 <i>-36%</i>	-63 <i>-13%</i>	-81 <i>-30%</i>	-117 <i>-19%</i>	-46 <i>-30%</i>	-100 <i>-18%</i>	-42 -24%
Don't know	5 *	2 *	2 1%	-	-	1 *	-	1	4 1%	*	3 1%	1	4 1%	-	1	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 151
Q18 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today.
On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? - Summary Table
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	Ensuring the views of most Britons are represented (a)	Providing Britain with a stable government (b)	Ensuring the rights of minority groups are protected (c)	Encouraging governments to take long-term decisions (d)	Allowing ordinary people to get involved with politics (e)	Providing political parties who offer clear alternatives to one another (f)	Allowing for voters to have the final say about Britain's future direction (g)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230	1230
Effective Base	813	813	813	813	813	813	813
[1] Very good at	33	46	54	24	37	29	36
it	<i>3%</i>	4%df	4%adf	2%	3%d	<i>2</i> %	<i>3%</i>
[2] Fairly good at it	236	230	333	189	217	296	236
	19%d	19%d	27%abdeg	<i>15%</i>	18%	24%abdeg	19%d
[3] Neither good	429	420	446	498	437	466	453
nor bad at it	<i>35%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>36%</i>	40%abce	<i>36%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>37%</i>
[4] Fairly bad at	370	341	263	329	380	306	319
it	30%cfg	28%c	21%	27%c	31%cdfg	<i>25%</i>	26%c
[5] Very bad at it	158	190	128	181	153	127	182
	13%cf	15%acef	10%	15%cf	<i>12%</i>	10%	15%cf
MEAN	3.31cf	3.33cf	3.06	3.37cf	3.32cf	3.17c	3.31cf
Very/ fairly good at it	269	275	387	213	254	325	271
	22%d	22%d	31%abdefg	<i>17%</i>	21%d	26%abdeg	22%d
Fairly/ very bad at it	528	531	391	511	533	433	501
	43%cf	43%cf	<i>32%</i>	42%cf	43%cf	<i>35%</i>	41%cf
NET good	-259	-255	-4	-298	-279	-108	-230
	<i>-21%</i>	<i>-21%</i>	*	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-23%</i>	<i>-9%</i>	-19%
Don't know	4	4 *	6	8	6	7 1%	5 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 152

		GEN	IDER			AĢ	E				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
Watched politically related video content online (e.g. Facebook Live, YouTube)	358 29%h prt	190 ilo <i>32%</i>	169 <i>2</i> 7%	62 44%zfh	90 i 42%zhi	74 37%zhi	67 30%i	36 20%i	31 11%	151 43%zk	140 I 33%I	67 15%	148 45%zno	102 p 30%op	52 <i>2</i> 1%	56 18%	257 36%zr	101 <i>20%</i>	130 37%zt	229 <i>26</i> %
Created or signed an e-petition	348 28%ile r	156 op <i>26%</i>	193 <i>31%</i>	42 30%i	63 30%i	74 37%zi	68 31%i	55 31%i	46 16%	105 30%l	143 34%zl	101 <i>22</i> %	147 45%zno	115 p 33%zop	42 17%	44 15%	237 33%zr	111 <i>22</i> %	113 <i>32%</i>	235 <i>27</i> %
Visited the website or social media account of a politician or political party	235 19%ile r	104 op <i>17%</i>	131 <i>21%</i>	40 29%zi	60 28%zi	39 19%i	40 18%i	35 20%i	20 7%	100 29%zk	79 I 19%l	55 12%	107 32%zno	65 p 19%o	26 10%	37 12%	159 22%zr	76 15%	72 21%	163 19%
Shared something politically related on social media, such as a news story, article or your own political statement	212 17%ild r	103 op <i>17%</i>	109 <i>17%</i>	28 20%i	47 22%i	45 22%i	42 19%i	31 17%i	19 <i>7</i> %	75 21%l	87 21%l	50 11%	91 28%zno	63 p 18%op	26 10%	32 10%	146 20%zr	66 13%	70 20%	142 16%
Visited other politically related websites or social media accounts	151 12%ild r	84 op <i>14</i> %	67 11%	23 16%i	35 16%i	27 13%i	32 15%i	22 13%i	12 <i>4</i> %	58 16%zl	59 14%l	35 <i>8%</i>	79 24%zno	38 p 11%	15 <i>6</i> %	20 <i>7</i> %	101 <i>14%</i>	50 10%	46 13%	105 <i>12%</i>
Followed a politician or political party on social media	150 12%il	65 pr <i>11%</i>	86 14%	27 20%zi	36 17%i	22 11%i	34 15%i	22 13%i	9 <i>3</i> %	64 18%zl	55 13%l	31 <i>7</i> %	56 17%zop	50 14%p	23 9%	21 <i>7</i> %	107 15%zr	43 <i>8</i> %	52 15%	99 11%
Contributed to a political discussion on social media	126 10%il	67 p 11%	59 <i>9%</i>	16 11%i	28 13%i	37 18%zfi	19 8%i	18 10%i	9 <i>3</i> %	44 12%l	56 13%l	27 6%	53 16%znp	31 9%	24 9%	19 <i>6%</i>	81 11%	45 <i>9</i> %	41 12%	85 10%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 152

Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

Weighted Base Contacted a politician or political party on social media None of these

Don't know

		GEN	IDER			AC	GE.				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDI	
	OTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
1	230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
	67 5%p	36 <i>6%</i>	30 <i>5</i> %	5 4%	10 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>5</i> %	11 <i>5</i> %	16 <i>9</i> %	15 <i>5</i> %	15 <i>4</i> %	21 5%	31 <i>7</i> %	28 9%zop	25 7%p	8 <i>3</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %	33 <i>5</i> %	33 <i>7</i> %	18 <i>5</i> %	49 <i>6</i> %
1	596 48%cd	282 ej <i>47%</i>	314 50%	50 36%	79 <i>37</i> %	77 38%	108 49%c	83 47%	199 71%zc fh	129 de <i>37%</i>	185 <i>44%</i>	282 62%zjł	94 < 28%	144 42%m	161 64%zmn	197 65%zr	281 nn <i>39%</i>	315 62%zq	148 <i>42</i> %	448 51%zs
	5 *	2	3	-	-	*	2 1%	2 1%	1	-	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	*	-	3 1%	4 1%	2	*	5 1%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 153

		ACCESS			TENI	JRE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUCA	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NE\	
	TOTAL (z)		NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT-			MID-	SOUTH L			BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (o)	A-LVL	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
Watched politically related video content online (e.g. Facebook Live, YouTube)	358 29%bo eors	356 32%zb	2 <i>2</i> %	85 <i>20%</i>	138 38%zc	32 e <i>20%</i>	98 35%ce	37 9 35%k	16 <i>26%</i>	78 27%	86 27%	65 <i>23%</i>	77 46%zh ijk	317 1 <i>29%</i>	40 29%	71 24%r	83 35%zc r	161 48%zc r	25 op <i>9%</i>	26 17%	49 <i>25%</i>	200 41%zs	44 t 40%zw	60 <i>26%</i>
Created or signed an e-petition	348 28%be nrstw	344 31%zb	4 4%	103 25%e	145 41%zc f	26 e 16%	68 <i>24%</i>	33 31%	12 19%	70 24%	81 <i>25%</i>	101 36%zhi j	53 <i>32</i> %	320 29%n	27 19%	75 25%r	84 36%zo r	158 48%zo r	20 op <i>7</i> %	26 17%	40 21%	199 41%zs	50 t 46%zw	40 17%
Visited the website or social media account of a politician or political party	235 19%be hrsw	234 21%zb	1 1%	73 17%e	80 22%e	15 <i>9</i> %	60 22%e	22 20%h	6 <i>9</i> %	47 16%	57 18%	54 19%	49 30%zh ij	210 1 19%	24 17%	44 15%r	57 24%or	113 34%zc r	11 op 4%	14 <i>9</i> %	32 16%	133 27%zs	34 t 31%zw	27 11%
Shared something politically related on social media, such as a news story, article or your own political statement	212 17%br sw	211 19%zb	1 1%	69 17%	71 20%	22 13%	45 16%	24 23%i	9 14%	40 13%	56 18%	46 16%	37 <i>22</i> %	187 <i>17%</i>	23 16%	43 14%r	43 18%r	103 31%zc r	14 op <i>5%</i>	15 10%	28 14%	124 25%zs	37 t 33%zw	27 11%
Visited other politically related websites or social media accounts	151 12%be hortw	151 13%zb	*	49 12%e	59 16%ze	8 5%	31 11%	23 21%zi jk	3 hi <i>5%</i>	27 9%	39 12%	28 10%	31 19%zh ik	131 1 <i>12%</i>	20 14%	18 <i>6</i> %	31 13%or	89 27%zo r	8 op <i>3</i> %	11 7%	13 <i>7</i> %	101 21%zs	26 t 24%zw	14 <i>6</i> %
Followed a politician or political party on social media	150 12%bo rt	149 : 13%zb	2 <i>2</i> %	39 <i>9%</i>	55 15%c	13 <i>8</i> %	40 14%	16 15%	8 13%	29 10%	30 <i>9</i> %	34 12%	34 20%zi j	132 <i>12%</i>	17 12%	26 9%r	39 17%zo r	71 21%zc	9 or <i>3</i> %	16 10%	13 <i>6%</i>	82 17%zt	24 21%zw	21 9%
Contributed to a political discussion on social media	126 10%bo r	126 : 11%zb	1 1%	31 <i>7%</i>	46 13%c	14 <i>8%</i>	31 11%	16 <i>15%</i>	4 7%	24 8%	34 11%	25 <i>9%</i>	23 14%	117 11%	10 <i>7</i> %	25 8%r	30 13%r	63 19%zo	3 or 1%	11 <i>7</i> %	13 <i>7</i> %	74 15%zs	16 t 15%w	15 <i>6</i> %

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 153

		ACCE:	SS TO RNET		TEN	URE			GOVER	MENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	IICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NE	
	TOTAL	YES	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY	RENTED PRI- VATE	SCOT-	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS	SOUTH	LONDON	WHITE	BME	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12	OR	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£11500 - £24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Contacted a politician or political party on social media	67 <i>5%</i>	67 6%z	- b -	30 <i>7%</i>	23 <i>6</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	7 7%	4 7%	12 <i>4%</i>	16 <i>5</i> %	19 <i>7</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	63 <i>6</i> %	4 3%	12 <i>4</i> %	14 <i>6</i> %	30 9%z	7 or <i>2</i> %	7 5%	8 4%	37 8%z	14 12%zw	10 4%
None of these	596 48%ad glpqu v	503 1 <i>45%</i>	93 90%z	237 a 56%zo	123 df <i>34%</i>	108 66%zd	126 df 45%d	43 40%	37 60%zg I	159 54%g	168 52%g	134 I 48%l	56 <i>34%</i>	524 48%	72 51%	160 54%p	92 q 40%q	72 <i>22</i> %	225 79%z q	104 op 68%zı	114 ı 58%zu	149 <i>31%</i>	34 <i>31%</i>	132 57%zv
Don't know	5	5 *	-	3 1%	2 1%	*	-	3 3%zi	- ij -	-	1		2 1%	5 *		1 1%	*	-	-	-	1 1%	2	-	1 *

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 154

		P	OLITICAL	PARTY SU	PPORT		KNOWLE UK POI	LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH		VOTE IN GEI	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREN	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	(c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
Watched politically related video content online (e.g. Facebook Live, YouTube)	358 29%fh pr	86 jm <i>28%</i>	153 34%zf	22 <i>32</i> %	37 39%f	26 20%	239 37%zh	120 20%	229 38%zj	130 <i>21%</i>	260 34%zlm	48 n <i>24%</i>	26 18%	20 <i>20%</i>	174 38%zp	185 <i>24%</i>	275 39%zr	84 16%
Created or signed an e-petition	348 28%af mnpr	68 hj 22%f	170 38%za	28 f 40%af	32 33%f	10 <i>8%</i>	255 40%zh	93 16%	255 42%zj	93 15%	281 37%zlm	46 n 23%m	14 n <i>9</i> %	7 7%	185 40%zp	164 <i>21%</i>	287 41%zr	61 12%
Visited the website or social media account of a politician or political party	235 19%fh npr	53 jm 17%f	114 25%za	18 f 26%f	24 24%f	6 <i>5</i> %	180 28%zh	55 <i>9%</i>	179 30%zj	56 <i>9%</i>	193 25%zlm	31 n 15%m	5 n <i>4%</i>	4 4%	133 29%zp	102 <i>13%</i>	199 28%zr	35 7%
Shared something politically related on social media, such as a news story, article or your own political statement	212 17%af Imnpr l	39 hj <i>12%</i>	111 25%za	18 f 26%af	15 16%	10 7%	166 26%zh	45 8%	162 27%zj	50 <i>8%</i>	176 23%zlm	17 n <i>8%</i>	10 <i>7%</i>	7 7%	123 27%zp	89 12%	188 27%zr	24 5%
Visited other politically related websites or social media accounts	151 12%af mnpr	26 hjl <i>8%</i>	80 18%za	11 f 16%f	19 19%za	5 af 4%	123 19%zh	28 5%	125 21%zj	26 4%	128 17%zlm	14 n <i>7</i> %	6 4%	3 <i>3</i> %	89 19%zp	62 <i>8%</i>	140 20%zr	11 <i>2</i> %
Followed a politician or political party on social media	150 12%fh pr	29 jmn <i>9</i> %	76 17%za	5 f <i>7</i> %	17 17%f	7 5%	114 18%zh	37 6%	114 19%zj	36 <i>6</i> %	124 16%zm	22 n 11%m	2 1%	3 <i>3</i> %	103 23%zp	48 <i>6</i> %	127 18%zr	23 4%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 154

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Contributed to a political discussion on social media	126 10%ai npr	20 fhjm <i>6%</i>	68 15%za	9 af <i>12</i> %	14 15%at	5 f 4%	101 16%zh	25 4%	101 17%zj	25 4%	100 13%zm	19 nn 9%n	5 <i>3</i> %	2 2%	73 16%zp	53 <i>7</i> %	111 16%zr	15 <i>3</i> %
Contacted a politician or political party on social media	67 5%hj	16 ilpr <i>5%</i>	32 <i>7</i> %	6 <i>9</i> %	7 8%	3 <i>2</i> %	61 10%zh	6 1%	60 10%zj	7 1%	58 8%zi	3 1%	4 2%	2 2%	44 10%zp	23 <i>3</i> %	63 9%zr	4 1%
None of these	596 48%b oq	169 gik 54%be	179 40%	31 <i>43%</i>	38 <i>39%</i>	85 64%zt e	216 bc <i>34%</i>	379 64%zg	199 <i>33%</i>	396 64%zi	306 <i>40%</i>	107 52%k	100 69%zkl	70 69%zk	163 I <i>36%</i>	423 56%zo	237 34%	359 68%zq
Don't know	5 *	1	2	-	1 1%	:	2	3 1%	2	3 1%	-	3 2%zk	-	:	1	2	2	3 1%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 155

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
Watched politically related video content online (e.g. Facebook Live, YouTube)	358 29%b	168 de 40%zb	103 <i>24</i> %	209 39%zde	93 <i>22</i> %	56 21%	215 <i>30%</i>	138 <i>31%</i>	96 <i>27%</i>	263 32%z
Created or signed an e-petition	348 28%b	161 defh 38%zb	100 <i>23%</i>	217 41%zde	101 24%e	30 11%	172 <i>24%</i>	172 39%zf	79 <i>22</i> %	268 33%zh
Visited the website or social media account of a politician or political party	235 19%b	120 e 28%zb	58 13%	137 26%zde	68 16%	29 11%	128 18%	106 24%zf	61 <i>17</i> %	172 21%z
Shared something politically related on social media, such as a news story, article or your own political statement	212 17%e	99 fh 23%zb	61 14%	128 24%zde	59 14%	25 9%	104 <i>15%</i>	101 23%zf	43 12%	168 20%zh
Visited other politically related websites or social media accounts	151 12%b	76 def 18%zb	40 9%	106 20%zde	28 7%	18 <i>6</i> %	67 <i>9</i> %	83 19%zf	32 9%	119 14%zh
Followed a politician or political party on social media	150 12%b	74 17%zb	35 <i>8%</i>	84 16%zde	43 10%	23 9%	86 12%	64 14%	41 11%	107 13%
Contributed to a political discussion on social media	126 10%d	60 fh 14%zb	36 <i>8%</i>	74 14%zde	31 7%	21 <i>8%</i>	59 <i>8%</i>	67 15%zf	20 <i>6%</i>	106 13%zh

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 155

Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

WHEN PEOPLE LIKE ME GET INVOLVED IN POLITICS, THEY **IMPORTANT QUESTIONS** SATISFACTION WITH PRESENT **CAN REALLY CHANGE THE WAY** THE UK IS RUN **HOW VOTED AT EU REFERENDUM DETERMINED BY REFERENDUMS** SYSTEM OF GOVERNING BRITAIN COULD BE IMPROVED COULD NOT DID NOT BE IMPROVED/ VOTE/TOO IMPROVED IN QUITE A LOT/ TOTAL AGREE DISAGREE REMAIN LEAVE YOUNG TO AGREE DISAGREE SMALL WAYS A GREAT DEAL (z) (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) 1230 423 532 425 274 713 357 439 444 821 30 21 43 22 35 32 17 50 67 7% 5% 8%ze 5%e 1% 5% 7% 5% 6% 147 374 596 250 181 244 171 360 178 178 48%acgi 35% 57%za 34% 57%zc 63%zc 51%g 40% 50% 45% 2 2 2 3 2 1%

Weighted Base Contacted a politician or political party on social media None of these

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 156

		HAPPY CHOIO POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
Watched politically related video content online (e.g. Facebook Live, YouTube)	358 29%	184 <i>30%</i>	115 36%z	168 64%z	190 69%z	94 36%z	87 67%ze	210 g 36%z	262 39%zi	39 <i>25</i> %	209 43%zk	68 <i>25%</i>	244 40%zn	33 n <i>22%</i>	221 39%zo	51 29%
Created or signed an e-petition	348 28%	192 32%z	96 <i>30%</i>	168 64%zd	91 I <i>33</i> %	88 <i>34%</i>	70 54%ze	201 g 34%z	261 39%zi	36 <i>24%</i>	194 40%zk	76 <i>28%</i>	241 40%zn	33 n <i>21%</i>	210 37%z	53 30%
Visited the website or social media account of a politician or political party	235 19%	124 <i>20%</i>	74 <i>23%</i>	134 51%zd	100 I 36%z	63 24%	56 43%ze	141 g 24%z	170 25%zi	21 14%	135 28%zk	48 18%	160 26%zn	25 n <i>16%</i>	152 27%zo	28 16%
Shared something politically related on social media, such as a news story, article or your own political statement	212 17%d		68 21%	212 81%zd	-	52 <i>2</i> 0%	61 47%ze	121 g 21%z	164 24%zi	15 10%	122 25%zk	44 17%	156 26%zn	18 n <i>12</i> %	132 23%z	34 19%
Visited other politically related websites or social media accounts	151 12%	67 11%	60 19%za	93 35%zd	58 I 21%z	40 15%	40 31%ze	90 15%z	119 18%zi	11 7%	89 18%z	33 12%	103 17%z	15 10%	95 17%z	23 13%
Followed a politician or political party on social media	150 12%	82 13%	46 15%	91 35%zd	59 I 21%z	42 16%	47 36%ze	76 g 13%	105 16%z	13 <i>9</i> %	88 18%z	32 12%	96 16%z	21 14%	84 15%z	20 12%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 156

Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

SOCIAL MEDIA HAPPY WITH SOCIAL MEDIA SOCIAL MEDIA CHOICE OF SOCIAL MEDIA BREAKS DOWN MAKES POLITICAL MAKES POLITICAL **POLITICAL ACTIVE ON GIVES VOICE TO BARRIERS FOR** DEBATE MORE DEBATE MORE PARTIES SOCIAL MEDIA **VOTING INFLUENCE** PEOPLE VOTERS DIVISIVE SUPERFICIAL Printed radio newspap Discuss news or er or ion on news magazin social program Nonmedia mes Active active (Very/ (Very/ (Very/ social social fairly fairly fairly Disagre media media importa importa importa Disagre Disagre Disagre Disagre TOTAL Agree user user nt) nt) nt) Agree Agree Agree Agree е е е (z) (b) (c) (d) (k) (m) (o) 1230 610 318 262 277 261 130* 583 673 152 489 267 605 153 560 174 126 126 67 22 14 17 26 104 10%di 11% 35%zeg 15%zi 15%zk 14%z 10% 14% 48%zd 10% 11% 4% 8% 9% 14%z 67 39 22 67 20 16 36 47 12 39 21 40 12 39 14 7% 5%d 6% 25%zd 8% 12%zg 6% 7%z 8% 8%z 8% 7% 8% 7% 8% 130 596 286 110 16 246 233 81 153 140 199 88 192 84 48%bcdf 47% 41% 42%f 42%f 35% 53%h 52%i 33% 57%zl 34% 48%r 12% 31% ghjln 2 1%

Weighted Base
Contributed to a
political
discussion on
social media
Contacted a
politician or
political party on

None of these

Don't know

social media

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 157

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	AB	C1	C2	DE	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING	YES	NO (i)
Unweighted Base	(z) 1230	(a) 625	(b) 605	(c) 161	(d) 166	(e) 172	(f) 180	(h) 199	(i) 352	(j) 327	(k) 352	(l) 551	(m) 344	(n) 387	(o) 225	(p) 274	(q) 576	(r) 654	(s) 314	(t) 916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
News or news programmes on TV or radio	851 69%co	407 dej <i>68%</i>	443 70%	85 <i>60</i> %	127 <i>60%</i>	122 61%	153 <i>69%</i>	138 77%zcde	225 e 81%zc f	212 de <i>60</i> %	275 65%	363 79%zjk	280 85%znoj	261 76%zoj	147	163 <i>53%</i>	483 <i>67%</i>	368 72%	221 <i>63%</i>	630 71%zs
Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	606 49%cj	305 op <i>51%</i>	302 <i>48%</i>	44 31%	93 44%	95 47%c	130 59%zcd	109 61%zcde i	137 e 49%c	136 <i>39%</i>	224 53%j	246 54%zj	202 61%zop	180 53%op	96 <i>38%</i>	129 <i>42</i> %	362 <i>50%</i>	244 48%	162 <i>46%</i>	444 50%
Printed newspapers or magazines	484 39%co ops	244 dej <i>41%</i>	240 <i>38%</i>	43 30%	65 31%	53 <i>27%</i>	92 42%e	86 48%zcde	146 e 52%zc	108 de <i>31%</i>	145 <i>34%</i>	232 51%zjk	194 59%znop	142 o 41%op	69 <i>27%</i>	79 <i>26%</i>	274 38%	211 41%	117 <i>3</i> 3%	368 42%zs
Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	437 36%ild r	214 op <i>36%</i>	223 <i>35%</i>	46 <i>33</i> %	82 39%i	75 37%i	89 41%i	70 39%i	76 27%	128 <i>36%</i>	164 <i>39%</i>	145 <i>32</i> %	179 54%znop	148 o 43%zoj	59 23%	52 17%	286 40%zr	152 30%	126 36%	311 <i>35%</i>
Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	388 32%bi pr	209 lo 35%b	179 <i>28%</i>	40 <i>28%</i>	78 37%i	68 34%i	90 41%zci	59 33%i	54 19%	118 33%l	157 37%zl	113 <i>25%</i>	193 59%znop	115 o 33%op	42 16%	39 13%	267 37%zr	121 <i>24%</i>	118 <i>34%</i>	270 31%
Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	310 25%0	144 o <i>24%</i>	166 <i>26%</i>	30 <i>21%</i>	53 <i>25%</i>	55 <i>28%</i>	61 <i>28%</i>	48 <i>27%</i>	62 <i>22</i> %	83 <i>2</i> 4%	116 <i>28%</i>	111 <i>24</i> %	145 44%znop	90 26%op	37 15%	38 12%	189 <i>26%</i>	121 <i>24%</i>	88 <i>25%</i>	222 <i>2</i> 5%
Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	261 21%hi prt	111 lo <i>19</i> %	150 <i>2</i> 4%	50 35%zh	60 i 28%hi	55 27%hi	63 29%zhi	20 11%i	13 <i>5</i> %	110 31%zl	118 28%zl	34 <i>7</i> %	107 32%znop	78 o 23%p	38 15%	38 <i>12</i> %	187 26%zr	75 15%	95 27%zt	167 19%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 157

		GEN	IDER			AC	SE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	238 19%il	112 or <i>19%</i>	126 <i>20%</i>	44 31%zfh	60 i 29%zhi	45 22%i	43 20%i	24 14%	22 8%	104 30%zk	87 I 21%l	46 10%	102 31%znop	66 19%p	40 16%	30 10%	172 24%zr	66 13%	77 22%	161 <i>18%</i>
Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	214 17%ild r	103 pp <i>17%</i>	111 <i>18</i> %	40 29%zhi	42 20%i	39 19%i	43 20%i	30 17%i	20 <i>7</i> %	82 23%zl	82 19%l	50 11%	87 26%znop	65 19%0	26 10%	37 12%	145 20%zr	69 13%	56 16%	158 <i>18%</i>
Other politically related websites, such as blogs	76 6%p	39 <i>6%</i>	37 <i>6</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>9</i> %	7 3%	18 <i>8%</i>	12 <i>7</i> %	11 4%	27 8%	25 <i>6%</i>	24 5%	43 13%znop	21 6%p	8 <i>3%</i>	4 1%	50 <i>7%</i>	26 <i>5%</i>	18 <i>5%</i>	58 <i>7%</i>
Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	64 5%0	30 5%	34 <i>5</i> %	4 3%	8 4%	16 <i>8%</i>	15 <i>7</i> %	9 <i>5%</i>	12 <i>4</i> %	13 <i>4%</i>	30 <i>7</i> %	21 <i>5</i> %	38 12%znop	20 6%op	3 1%	4 1%	44 6%	20 4%	22 6%	42 5%
Printed campaign publicity (non- party)	12 1%	5 1%	6 1%	-	4 2%	4 2%	-	1 1%	2 1%	4 1%	4 1%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	4 2%	1 *	9 1%	3 1%	4 1%	8 1%
Politicians / party canvassing	6	4 1%	2	-	:	2 1%	1 *	2 1%	2 1%	-	2 1%	4 1%	*	2 1%	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%	2	2 1%	4
Online campaign publicity (non- party)	2 *	2	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	2 *	-	2
No answer	21 2%m	6 n <i>1%</i>	15 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	4 2%	4 2%	2 1%	*	9 3%h	6 <i>2%</i>	6 1%	9 <i>2</i> %	-	2	8 3%mn	12 4%zı	7 nn 1%	14 3%z	9 <i>3%</i>	12 1%
Other	6	3	3	2 2%		-	2 1%	1 1%		2 1%	2	1	-	5 1%z	*	-	5 1%	1 *		6 1%
None of the above	93 8%hi t	49 Imn <i>8</i> %	44 7%	15 11%hi	21 10%i	24 12%zh	17 i <i>7</i> %	6 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>4%</i>	36 10%l	41 10%l	16 <i>4</i> %	6 <i>2%</i>	15 4%	27 11%mn	44 14%zı	58 nn <i>8%</i>	35 <i>7</i> %	39 11%zt	53 <i>6%</i>
Don't know	9 1%	3 1%	6 1%	3 2%i	3 1%	*	1	3 <i>2%</i>	-	6 2%	1	3 1%	-	3 1%	2 1%	4 1%	6 1%	3 1%	1	9

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 158

		ACCES			TEN	JRE			GOVER	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH L	ONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
News or news programmes on TV or radio	851 69%ef Ino	778 69%	73 71%	329 78%z€	261 ef 73%ef	97 <i>59%</i>	157 <i>56%</i>	76 71%	37 61%	204 <i>69%</i>	210 <i>66%</i>	225 80%zhi jl	98 <i>59%</i>	769 71%zr	81 n <i>57%</i>	186 <i>63%</i>	163 <i>70%</i>	273 82%zo pr	178 63%	95 <i>62%</i>	135 <i>69%</i>	390 80%zs	93 t 85%z	177 76%z
Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	606 49%ef jnr	564 50%	42 41%	220 52%ef	207 58%ze	60 f <i>37</i> %	113 <i>41%</i>	53 49%	33 <i>54%</i>	138 <i>47</i> %	135 <i>42%</i>	165 59%zij	83 <i>50%</i>	554 51%zi	52 n <i>37</i> %	145 <i>49%</i>	112 48%	204 61%zc pr	115 40%	69 <i>45%</i>	110 <i>56%</i>	287 59%zs	64 58%w	99 <i>43%</i>
Printed newspapers or magazines	484 39%eh is	438 n <i>39%</i>	46 45%	209 50%zo f	145 le 40%e	38 <i>23%</i>	90 <i>32</i> %	47 44%h	17 i <i>27</i> %	88 <i>30%</i>	115 <i>36</i> %	139 49%zhi j	78 47%hi	432 40%	52 37%	106 <i>36</i> %	94 40%	169 51%zo pr	92 32%	41 27%	94 48%zs	234 48%zs	82 74%zw	141 61%z
Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	437 36%be hnors	423 9 38%zb	15 14%	145 35%e	167 47%zc f	31 e <i>19%</i>	86 31%e	45 42%hi	15 i <i>24%</i>	92 <i>31%</i>	120 38%h	100 <i>36%</i>	67 40%h	403 37%zr	34 n <i>24%</i>	85 29%r	99 42%zc r	192 58%zo pr	41 14%	31 <i>20%</i>	65 33%s	247 51%zs	68 t 62%zw	68 29%
Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	388 32%be hiors tw	386 9 34%zb	2 2%	130 31%e	151 42%zc f	25 e <i>15%</i>	77 28%e	38 35%hi	12 i <i>20</i> %	72 24%	95 <i>30%</i>	99 35%hi	72 44%zł ij	351 n <i>32%</i>	37 26%	58 <i>20%</i>	88 38%0	194 58%zc pr	33 12%	23 15%	48 <i>2</i> 4%	250 51%zs	61 t 55%zw	51 22%
Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	310 25%be or	298 e 26%zb	13 12%	122 29%z€	106 ef 30%ef	22 14%	54 20%	37 35%zi ij	12 h <i>20</i> %	67 <i>23</i> %	78 <i>25%</i>	71 <i>25%</i>	44 27%	284 <i>26</i> %	26 19%	55 18%r	62 26%r	148 44%zo pr	29 10%	31 <i>20%</i>	38 <i>20%</i>	179 37%zs	57 t 52%zw	52 22%
Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	261 21%bo eorst w	260 23%zb	2 2%	61 <i>15%</i>	110 31%zc	23 e 14%	62 22%c	32 30%zl ijk	8 h <i>14%</i>	55 19%	65 <i>20%</i>	52 19%	48 29%hi	237 <i>22</i> %	24 17%	47 16%r	75 32%zo r	119 36%zo	8 or <i>3</i> %	20 13%	20 10%	154 32%zs	32 t 29%w	31 <i>13</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 158

		ACCES			TENL	IRF			GOVERN	IMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUCA	ATION		ΔΝΝ	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NE\	
	TOTAL	YES	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT-			MID-		LONDON		BME	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (o)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	238 19%b eiors	238 c 21%zł	1) 1%	61 14%	87 24%zce	18 9 11%	69 25%ce	25 e 24%hi	7 12%	43 14%	60 19%	49 18%	53 32%zł ijk	208 1 19%	30 21%	42 14%r	58 25%zo r	118 35%zo r	7 op 3%	13 <i>8</i> %	33 17%	137 28%zs	40 t 36%zw	38 17%
Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	214 17%b t	213 r 19%zb	1) 1%	72 17%	74 21%	24 15%	43 15%	22 20%	7 12%	46 16%	50 16%	46 16%	43 26%zł ij	192 1 <i>18%</i>	22 16%	43 15%r	49 21%r	96 29%zc	21 or <i>7</i> %	18 <i>12</i> %	16 <i>8%</i>	126 26%zs	26 t 23%w	29 12%
Other politically related websites, such as blogs	76 6%b	76 rt 7%zt	-	33 <i>8%</i>	24 7%	5 <i>3</i> %	14 5%	10 9%hi	1 <i>2</i> %	11 4%	19 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>6%</i>	19 12%zł	68 ni <i>6%</i>	8 <i>6</i> %	16 5%r	15 6%r	41 12%zo r	2 p 1%	8 5%	5 2%	49 10%zt	17 15%zw	11 5%
Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	64 5%r	64 6%zt	-	24 <i>6</i> %	26 <i>7</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	2 3%	12 4%	18 <i>6</i> %	10 4%	16 10%zł	59 5%	5 4%	13 <i>4%</i>	14 6%r	34 10%zo	3 or 1%	6 4%	11 <i>5</i> %	39 8%z	17 16%zw	11 <i>5</i> %
Printed campaign publicity (non- party)	12 1%	10 1%	2 1%	2 1%	5 1%	1 1%	4 1%	1 1%	:	3 1%	7 2%z	1 *	-	11 1%	1 1%	1 *	4 2%	2 1%	2 1%	2 <i>2</i> %	4 2%	5 1%	1 1%	1 *
Politicians / party canvassing	6	5 *	1 *	2	3 1%	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	1 2%j	3 1%	-	1	1	5 *	1 1%	1 *	-	3 1%	1	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 *
Online campaign publicity (non- party)	2 *	2		:	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2	-	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-
No answer	21 2%q	17 u <i>2</i> %	4 4%	8 <i>2</i> %	2 1%	5 <i>3</i> %	7 2%	*	-	19 6%zg jkl	1 h *	1 *	-	21 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	4 1%	3 1%	-	12 4%z	5 q 3%tu	-	1 *	1 1%	5 <i>2</i> %
Other	6	5 *	*	1 *	3 1%	1 1%		1 1%	-	3 1%	1	1 *	-	6 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	2 1%	*	-	-	2	-	:
None of the above	93 8%c quv	86 km <i>8</i> %	7 7%	13 <i>3</i> %	22 6%	23 14%zcc	33 d 12%zc	8 8%k	11 17%zg k	20 i <i>7</i> %	32 10%k	8 <i>3</i> %	13 <i>8%</i>	68 <i>6%</i>	24 17%z	22 m 7%q	15 6%q	6 <i>2</i> %	42 15%z q	19 op 13%zı	18 u 9%u	16 <i>3</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %
Don't know	9 1%	9 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	3 <i>2</i> %	3 1%	2 2%j	-	3 1%	*	2 1%	2 1%	9 1%	1	4 1%	1 *	*	2 1%	-	1 *	1	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 159

		PO	LITICAL	PARTY SU	IPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
News or news programmes on TV or radio	851 69%fh npr	253 ijm 81%zb	296 f 66%f	63 89%zb ef	72 74%f	51 <i>39</i> %	528 83%zh	323 <i>54%</i>	501 82%zj	350 <i>56%</i>	597 79%zlm	129 n 63%n	80 <i>55%</i>	39 <i>39</i> %	347 76%zp	504 <i>66%</i>	570 81%zr	281 <i>53%</i>
Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	606 49%fh npr	176 ijm 56%zf	228 51%f	41 58%f	56 58%f	34 26%	380 60%zh	226 <i>38%</i>	362 60%zj	244 39%	439 58%zlm	91 n 44%n	51 <i>35</i> %	25 <i>25</i> %	271 59%zp	335 44%	430 61%zr	176 <i>33</i> %
Printed newspapers or magazines	484 39%fh npr	162 njm 52%zb	166 f 37%f	36 51%f	44 45%f	23 17%	324 51%zh	160 <i>27%</i>	316 52%zj	169 <i>27%</i>	352 46%zlm	70 n <i>34%</i>	35 24%	23 23%	241 53%zp	244 <i>32</i> %	358 51%zr	127 <i>2</i> 4%
Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	437 36%fh mnpr	128 ijl 41%f	173 39%f	39 55%zb f	45 47%zf	19 i 14%	325 51%zh	112 19%	313 52%zj	124 20%	342 45%zlm	52 n <i>25%</i>	23 16%	15 15%	216 47%zp	221 29%	353 50%zr	85 16%
Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	388 32%fh mnpr	116 ijl 37%f	155 35%f	34 48%zf	35 36%f	14 11%	298 47%zh	90 <i>15%</i>	296 49%zj	92 15%	320 42%zlm	42 n 20%m	11 <i>8</i> %	12 12%	204 45%zp	184 <i>24%</i>	342 49%zr	46 <i>9</i> %
Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	310 25%fh npr	102 jm 32%zf	125 28%f	30 43%zb ef	23 24%f	11 <i>8</i> %	249 39%zh	61 <i>10%</i>	239 39%zj	72 11%	242 32%zlm	45 n 22%mi	15 n <i>10</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	177 39%zp	133 <i>17%</i>	267 38%zr	43 <i>8</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 159

			POLITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE		POLITICA SUPF STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	261 21%fl npr	56 hjm <i>18%</i>	120 27%za	21 f 29%f	28 29%f	14 10%	181 28%zh	80 13%	174 29%zj	88 14%	206 27%zlm	33 nn 16%n	14 10%	5 <i>5</i> %	128 28%zp	133 <i>17</i> %	203 29%zr	58 11%
Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	238 19%fl pr	53 hjm <i>17%</i>	109 24%za	15 f 22%	21 22%f	14 11%	169 27%zh	69 12%	162 27%zj	76 12%	177 23%zm	35 n <i>17%</i>	12 <i>8</i> %	11 11%	115 25%zp	123 16%	186 27%zr	52 10%
Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	214 17%h r	45 ijmp <i>15%</i>	98 22%za	18 f 26%f	17 17%	14 11%	153 24%zh	62 10%	148 24%zj	66 11%	161 21%zm	31 n <i>15%</i>	13 <i>9</i> %	9 <i>9</i> %	122 27%zp	92 12%	166 24%zr	48 <i>9</i> %
Other politically related websites, such as blogs	76 6%fi r	16 hjnp <i>5%</i>	41 9%zf	4 5%	7 7%f	1 *	60 9%zh	16 <i>3</i> %	61 10%zj	14 <i>2</i> %	64 8%zm	9 n <i>4</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	-	52 11%zp	24 3%	69 10%zr	6 1%
Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	64 5%fi r	15 hjmp <i>5%</i>	34 8%zf	5 6%f	7 7%f	*	49 8%zh	15 <i>3</i> %	49 8%zj	16 <i>2</i> %	58 8%zlm	5 nn <i>2</i> %	1 1%	-	43 10%zp	21 <i>3</i> %	56 8%zr	8 <i>2</i> %
Printed campaign publicity (non- party)	12 1%k	1 *	3 1%	1 2%	1 1%	4 3%z	5 ab <i>1%</i>	7 1%	4 1%	7 1%	4 *	2 1%	5 3%k	2 2%	3 1%	9 1%	4 1%	7 1%
Politicians / party canvassing	6	*	4 1%	-	-	1 1%	2	4 1%	2	4 1%	4 1%	1	*	1 1%	4 1%	2	2	4 1%
Online campaign publicity (non- party)	2 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	1 1%	1 *	-	-	2	-	2 *
No answer	21 2%g	ikq -	6 1%	-	-	13 10%z e	abc *	20 3%zg	*	21 3%zi	5 1%	2 1%	5 3%k	9 9%zk	5 I 1%	17 <i>2</i> %	3 *	18 3%zq

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 159

Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		P	OLITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
							KNOW A GREAT DEAL/	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH				CERTA			VERY/	NOT VERY/
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE	LABOUR	LIB DEM	OTHER	NONE	FAIR AMOUNT	-ING AT ALL	FAIR AMOUNT	-ING AT ALL	CERTAIN	LIKELY	UNLIKELY	-INLY NOT	STRONG	WEAK	FAIRLY INTERESTED	NOT AT ALL INTERESTED
ŀ	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(e)	(1)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)
ı	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
	6	3 1%	2	-	-		2	4 1%	3	3	3	2 1%	-	*	3 1%	3	3	3 1%
	93 8%a	12 giko <i>4</i> %	31 <i>7%</i>	1 2%	7 7%	21 16%z	15 abc <i>2</i> %	77 13%zg	13 <i>2</i> %	79 13%zi	30 4%	18 9%k	22 15%zk	14 13%k	12 <i>3</i> %	72 9%zo	16 <i>2</i> %	76 14%zq
	q 9 1%k	1 *	2 1%	-	-	5 4%7	- ah -	9	-	9 1%i	2	-	-	6 6%zk	1 lm *	7 1%	*	9 2%za

Weighted Base Other

None of the above

Don't know

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 160

Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOM AO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
News or news programmes on TV or radio	851 69%e	319 76%zb	298 <i>68%</i>	434 82%zde	309 73%e	108 <i>39%</i>	487 <i>68%</i>	343 77%zf	258 <i>72%</i>	584 <i>71%</i>
Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	606 49%e	234 55%z	217 49%	297 56%ze	232 55%ze	78 <i>28%</i>	355 <i>50%</i>	240 54%z	180 <i>50%</i>	421 51%
Printed newspapers or magazines	484 39%e	176 fi <i>42</i> %	174 <i>40%</i>	263 50%zde	163 38%e	58 21%	257 <i>3</i> 6%	216 49%zf	172 48%zi	305 <i>37</i> %
Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	437 36%e	180 43%z	158 <i>36%</i>	258 49%zde	140 33%e	39 14%	237 <i>33</i> %	193 44%zf	117 <i>33</i> %	319 39%z
Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	388 32%d	171 ef 40%zb	126 <i>2</i> 9%	247 46%zde	112 26%e	29 11%	184 <i>26%</i>	202 46%zf	128 <i>36</i> %	260 <i>32%</i>
Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	310 25%e	132 f 31%zb	99 <i>23%</i>	191 36%zde	96 23%e	24 9%	154 <i>22%</i>	155 35%zf	98 <i>2</i> 7%	211 <i>2</i> 6%
Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	261 21%b	126 deh 30%zb	72 17%	156 29%zde	70 16%	36 13%	140 <i>20%</i>	117 26%zf	54 15%	207 25%zh

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - z/a/b - z/c/d/e - z/h/i - z/j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used.

11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 160

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	238 19%d	115 e 27%zb	72 16%	141 27%zde	64 15%	33 12%	123 17%	113 25%zf	60 17%	177 22%z
Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	214 17%b	104 e 25%zb	49 11%	128 24%zde	59 14%	27 10%	121 17%	91 <i>21</i> %	48 13%	163 20%zh
Other politically related websites, such as blogs	76 6%e	40 f 9%zb	18 <i>4%</i>	49 9%ze	22 5%	5 2%	34 5%	42 9%zf	20 <i>5</i> %	56 7%
Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	64 5%e	31 <i>7</i> %	22 5%	46 9%zde	14 <i>3</i> %	4 1%	28 4%	36 8%zf	12 <i>3</i> %	52 6%z
Printed campaign publicity (non- party)	12 <i>1</i> %	5 1%	3 1%	3	4 1%	5 2%	9 1%	3 1%	3 1%	9 1%
Politicians / party canvassing	6	2	4 1%	4 1%	2	-	3	3 1%	1	5 1%
Online campaign publicity (non- party)	2 *	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	- -	2 *
No answer	21 2%a	1 c *	5 1%	-	6 1%c	15 6%zcd	12 <i>2</i> %	7 2%	5 <i>2</i> %	11 1%
Other	6	2	3 1%	*	3 1%	3 1%	1 *	2 1%	*	5 1%
None of the above	93 8%a	15 cdfh 4%	35 8%a	19 <i>4</i> %	20 <i>5</i> %	54 20%zcd	39 <i>5%</i>	27 6%	15 <i>4</i> %	54 7%
Don't know	9 1%c	1 f *	3 1%	1 *	-	8 3%zcd	2	-	-	3

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 161 Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT	CE OF	ACTIV	E ON				SOCIAL GIVES V		SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE	DOWN	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI	OLITICAL	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE	OLITICAL
	1	PAR		SOCIAL		VOTI	NG INFLUE	NCE	PEO		VOT		DIVI		SUPER	
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
News or news programmes on TV or radio	851 <i>69%</i>	459 75%z	227 71%	206 79%z	205 <i>74%</i>	220 84%z	101 <i>78%</i>	583 100%ze	508 f 76%zi	94 <i>62%</i>	367 75%z	190 <i>71%</i>	456 75%zn	96 n <i>63%</i>	433 77%z	123 71%
Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	606 49%	340 56%z	156 <i>49%</i>	169 64%zd	143 <i>51%</i>	155 59%z	73 <i>57</i> %	343 59%z	353 52%z	76 <i>50%</i>	265 54%z	140 <i>52%</i>	333 55%z	74 48%	301 54%z	91 <i>52%</i>
Printed newspapers or magazines	484 39%i	288 47%z	132 <i>41%</i>	128 49%z	122 <i>44%</i>	261 100%zfg	53 41%	288 49%z	290 43%zi	45 29%	192 <i>39%</i>	114 <i>43%</i>	253 <i>42</i> %	56 <i>36%</i>	257 46%zo	57 <i>33</i> %
Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	437 36%i	240 39%z	131 <i>41%</i>	179 68%zd	113 41%	134 51%z	87 67%ze	284 g 49%z	316 47%zi	40 <i>26%</i>	228 47%z	106 40%	266 44%zn	48 n <i>31%</i>	234 42%z	74 42%
Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	388 32%i	219 36%z	115 <i>36</i> %	171 65%zd	125 45%z	121 46%z	78 60%ze	246 g 42%z	291 43%zi	32 21%	217 44%zk	85 <i>32%</i>	249 41%zn	37 n <i>24%</i>	234 42%z	65 <i>37</i> %
Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	310 <i>25%</i>	184 30%z	80 <i>25%</i>	124 47%zd	96 35%z	114 44%zg	55 42%z	217 37%z	220 33%zi	27 18%	162 33%z	71 <i>27</i> %	198 33%z	37 24%	186 33%zo	39 <i>22</i> %

11 Jan 2018

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	261 21%i	147 24%z	70 22%	147 56%zd	76 27%z	55 21%	130 100%ze	155 g 27%z	214 32%zi	13 <i>8</i> %	164 33%zk	48 18%	181 30%zn	27 n <i>17%</i>	141 25%z	52 30%z
Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	238 19%ir	121 n <i>20%</i>	83 26%z	133 51%zd	77 28%z	70 27%z	68 53%ze	146 g 25%z	191 28%zi	16 10%	145 30%zk	45 17%	165 27%zn	19 n <i>12%</i>	146 26%z	38 <i>22%</i>
Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	214 17%	117 <i>19%</i>	59 19%	110 42%zd	62 22%z	49 19%	61 47%ze	126 g 22%z	161 24%zi	22 15%	132 27%zk	44 17%	146 24%z	25 16%	131 23%z	45 26%z
Other politically related websites, such as blogs	76 <i>6</i> %	39 <i>6%</i>	24 8%	51 20%zd	19 <i>7</i> %	21 <i>8%</i>	19 15%zg	41 <i>7</i> %	58 9%z	6 4%	45 9%z	14 <i>5</i> %	49 8%z	13 <i>8%</i>	57 10%z	8 <i>5</i> %
Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	64 5%	31 <i>5%</i>	20 <i>6</i> %	41 16%zd	18 <i>6%</i>	17 <i>7</i> %	13 10%z	38 <i>7%</i>	45 7%z	6 <i>4%</i>	34 <i>7%</i>	18 <i>7</i> %	36 <i>6%</i>	9 <i>6</i> %	37 <i>7</i> %	15 <i>9</i> %
Printed campaign publicity (non-party)	12 1%g	6 1%	2 1%	1	3 1%	-	Ī	2	4 1%	5 3%zh	6 1%	2 1%	5 1%	2 2%	7 1%	2 1%
Politicians / party canvassing	6	4 1%	1	-	1	-	-	2	3	1 1%	2	2 1%	4 1%	1 1%	2	1 1%
Online campaign publicity (non- party)	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	1 1%	1 *	1 *	2	-	2	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 161

Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	CHOI:	Y WITH CE OF TICAL TIES	ACTI\ SOCIAL	-	VOTI	NG INFLUI		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	BREAK! BARRIE	MEDIA S DOWN RS FOR ERS	MAKES P DEBATI	MEDIA OLITICAL E MORE SIVE		OLITICAL E MORE
TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (i)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
21 2%a n	2 ghjl *	3 1%	1 *	-	-	-	-	2	1 1%	2	3 1%	1 *	4 3%l	*	3 2%n
6	2	1	2 1%	3 1%	1 *	-	2	4 1%	-	2	1	3	-	2	1 1%
93 8%a ghjln	27 cdef 4%	23 7%	4 2%	3 1%	-	-	-	31 <i>5</i> %	17 11%h	23 5%	22 8%	32 5%	16 11%l	31 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>6</i> %
9	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	2	1	2	-	2	-

Weighted Base No answer Other

None of the above

Don't know

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 162

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSEI	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64	65+ (i)	18-34	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (a)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	518	277	241	52	51	50	72	104	189	103	122	293	206	165	68	79	228	290	97	421
Weighted Base	484	244	240	43*	65*	53*	92*	86*	146	108*	145*	232	194	142*	69*	79*	274	211	117*	368
Effective Base	332	164	168	38	34	38	50	61	127	67	86	186	132	99	50	51	158	190	70	264
[1] Very important	73 15%t	36 15%	37 15%	8 18%	5 <i>8</i> %	9 18%	22 <i>2</i> 4%	9 10%	21 <i>14</i> %	13 12%	31 21%	29 13%	25 13%	24 17%	8 12%	15 19%	37 14%	36 17%	31 27%zt	42 11%
[2] Fairly important	188 <i>39%</i>	99 <i>41%</i>	89 <i>37</i> %	17 40%	20 <i>31%</i>	18 <i>33%</i>	38 <i>42%</i>	41 <i>48%</i>	53 <i>37</i> %	37 <i>35%</i>	56 <i>39%</i>	94 <i>41%</i>	81 <i>42</i> %	53 <i>37%</i>	22 <i>32</i> %	32 40%	115 <i>42</i> %	73 35%	34 30%	153 42%z
[3] Not very important	146 <i>30</i> %	68 <i>28%</i>	78 <i>33%</i>	13 <i>31%</i>	31 47%zfhi	22 42%f	20 <i>22%</i>	21 <i>24%</i>	39 <i>27%</i>	44 41%zl	42 29%	60 <i>26%</i>	58 <i>30%</i>	46 <i>33%</i>	25 <i>37</i> %	16 <i>21%</i>	85 31%	61 <i>2</i> 9%	41 <i>35%</i>	105 <i>28%</i>
[4] Not at all important	76 16%s	42 17%	35 14%	5 11%	9 14%	4 8%	12 13%	16 <i>18%</i>	31 21%z	14 13%	16 11%	47 20%z	30 16%	18 <i>13%</i>	13 19%	15 19%	37 14%	39 19%	10 <i>8%</i>	66 18%zs
MEAN	2.47ks	2.47	2.47	2.36	2.66f	2.39	2.24	2.51	2.56	2.54	2.30	2.54k	2.48	2.41	2.62	2.40	2.45	2.50	2.25	2.54zs
Important	261 <i>54%</i>	135 <i>55%</i>	125 <i>52%</i>	25 58%	25 <i>39%</i>	27 51%	60 65%d	50 <i>58%</i>	74 51%	50 <i>47</i> %	87 60%	124 <i>5</i> 3%	106 <i>55</i> %	78 <i>55%</i>	31 <i>45%</i>	47 59%	152 <i>56%</i>	109 <i>52</i> %	66 <i>56%</i>	195 <i>53%</i>
Not important	222 46%	109 <i>45%</i>	113 <i>47</i> %	18 <i>42%</i>	39 61%f	26 <i>49%</i>	32 <i>35%</i>	37 <i>42%</i>	70 48%	58 <i>53%</i>	58 40%	107 <i>46%</i>	88 <i>45</i> %	64 <i>45%</i>	38 <i>55%</i>	31 <i>39%</i>	122 <i>44</i> %	100 <i>48%</i>	51 <i>44%</i>	171 <i>47</i> %
NET important	39 8%b ort	26 dij <i>11</i> %	13 <i>5</i> %	7 16%ei	-14 <i>-21%</i>	1 1%	28 31%zdei	13 15%zei	4 3%	-7 -7%	29 20%zjl	17 7%j	18 9%o	13 9%0	-7 -11%	16 20%zo	30 11%r	8 4%	15 13%	24 7%
Don't know	2	-	2 1%		-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	•	-	2 <i>2</i> %	-	2 1%	-	2

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 163

Q21_1 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to

vote? Printed newspapers or magazines
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

			SS TO RNET		TENL	IRE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	1	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	WSPAPER ERSHIP
	TOTAL	YES	NO	OUT- RIGHT	BUYING MORT- GAGE	AUTH- ORITY	RENTED PRI- VATE	SCOT- LAND	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS		LONDON		BME	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12	A-LVL OR EQUIV	PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY	POPULAR
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(†)	(g)	(h)	(1)	(J)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(0)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)
Unweighted Base	518	476	42	260	116	53	85	88	36	102	123	99	70	444	73	116	103	207	59	55	107	235	98	152
Weighted Base	484	438	46**	209	145*	38*	90*	47*	17**	88*	115*	139*	78*	432	52*	106*	94*	169	92*	41*	94*	234	82*	141*
Effective Base	332	304	28	173	84	38	49	66	26	80	92	69	43	284	54	82	71	141	38	40	62	152	66	88
[1] Very important	73 15%	69 16%	4 8%	32 15%	18 12%	8 20%	16 18%	8 16%	2 15%	10 11%	17 15%	24 18%	12 15%	65 15%	8 16%	18 <i>17</i> %	9 <i>9</i> %	25 15%	18 19%	7 16%	19 <i>20%</i>	37 16%	16 19%	28 20%
[2] Fairly important	188 39%e	164 37%	24 52%	79 38%e	76 52%zce f	7 18%	25 28%	14 29%	7 40%	35 40%	53 46%g	45 <i>32</i> %	34 <i>44</i> %	159 <i>37%</i>	28 55%z	32 m <i>30%</i>	39 41%	66 <i>39%</i>	42 45%	16 <i>39%</i>	25 26%	98 42%t	38 <i>47</i> %	60 <i>42%</i>
[3] Not very important	146 30%v	137 <i>31%</i>	8 18%	57 <i>27</i> %	39 <i>27</i> %	17 46%zcd	33 <i>37</i> %	16 <i>33%</i>	7 41%	34 <i>3</i> 9%	31 <i>27</i> %	37 <i>27</i> %	20 <i>26%</i>	134 <i>31%</i>	12 <i>23%</i>	35 <i>33</i> %	34 <i>36%</i>	49 <i>2</i> 9%	22 <i>23</i> %	14 <i>34</i> %	26 28%	67 <i>29%</i>	14 18%	33 <i>23%</i>
[4] Not at all important	76 16%di	68 n <i>15%</i>	9 19%	41 20%zo	13 1 9%	5 12%	16 18%	10 <i>22</i> %	1 5%	9 11%	13 11%	32 23%i	10 13%	73 17%n	3 <i>6</i> %	21 <i>20%</i>	14 14%	29 17%	10 10%	5 11%	24 26%zu	31 <i>13</i> %	13 16%	19 <i>13</i> %
MEAN	2.47n	2.46	2.49	2.52	2.32	2.52	2.55	2.61	2.35	2.49	2.36	2.56	2.38	2.50n	2.19	2.55	2.55	2.49	2.25	2.40	2.59	2.40	2.31	2.30
Important	261 54%e	233 <i>53%</i>	28 <i>60%</i>	111 <i>53%</i>	94 65%zef	15 <i>38%</i>	41 <i>45%</i>	21 <i>45%</i>	9 <i>55%</i>	45 51%	70 61%g	69 <i>50%</i>	46 <i>59%</i>	223 <i>52%</i>	37 71%z	50 m <i>47</i> %	47 50%	91 <i>54%</i>	60 <i>65%</i>	22 55%	44 46%	135 <i>58%</i>	54 66%z	88 <i>62%</i>
Not important	222 46%di vw	205 n <i>47</i> %	17 <i>37</i> %	98 <i>47</i> %	51 <i>35</i> %	22 58%d	49 55%d	26 55%j	8 45%	43 49%	45 <i>39</i> %	70 <i>50%</i>	31 <i>39</i> %	207 48%n	15 <i>2</i> 9%	56 <i>53%</i>	47 50%	78 46%	31 <i>34</i> %	18 <i>45%</i>	50 <i>54%</i>	99 <i>42</i> %	28 34%	52 <i>37</i> %
NET important	39 8%a gikmo pt	28 ef <i>6</i> %	11 <i>23</i> %	12 6%ef	43 29%zc ef	-7 -19%	-8 <i>-9</i> %	-5 -10%	2 9%	1 2%k	26 22%zg ik	-1 -1%	16 20%zg k	16 ji <i>4</i> %	22 42%z	-6 m -5%	*	12 7%0	28 31%z q	4 op 10%	-7 -7%	36 15%zt	26 32%z	36 26%z
Don't know	2 *	-	2 <i>3</i> %		-	2 4%zcd	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 <i>2</i> %	2	-	-	-	-	2 <i>2</i> %	-	-	-	-	2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 164

		POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREM	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	518	163	181	39	56	25	361	157	350	168	389	70	33	22	258	260	387	131
Weighted Base	484	162	166	36**	44*	23**	324	160*	316	169	352	70*	35**	23**	241	244	358	127*
Effective Base	332	105	120	26	38	20	246	92	230	104	252	44	19	18	165	167	247	85
[1] Very important	73 15%p	25 15%	31 19%	2 6%	3 <i>7</i> %	5 20%	47 14%	26 16%	53 17%	20 12%	54 15%	10 14%	5 13%	4 17%	46 19%p	27 11%	56 16%	17 13%
[2] Fairly important	188 <i>39%</i>	71 44%	67 41%	8 22%	19 <i>42</i> %	5 23%	128 <i>40%</i>	59 <i>37</i> %	121 <i>38%</i>	67 39%	133 <i>38%</i>	31 <i>44%</i>	18 <i>51%</i>	6 28%	88 <i>37%</i>	100 <i>41%</i>	142 40%	46 36%
[3] Not very important	146 30%i	41 <i>25%</i>	47 28%	12 <i>34</i> %	11 <i>2</i> 5%	10 <i>42</i> %	91 <i>28%</i>	55 <i>34%</i>	85 <i>27</i> %	61 <i>36%</i>	106 <i>30%</i>	23 <i>32</i> %	8 24%	7 31%	65 <i>27%</i>	81 <i>33</i> %	107 <i>30%</i>	39 31%
[4] Not at all important	76 16%	25 15%	20 12%	14 38%	11 26%b	3 15%	58 18%	18 11%	56 18%	20 12%	58 16%	7 10%	4 12%	4 18%	42 17%	34 14%	53 15%	24 19%
MEAN	2.47	2.40	2.34	3.04	2.69b	2.51	2.50	2.41	2.46	2.49	2.48	2.39	2.35	2.53	2.43	2.51	2.44	2.56
Important	261 <i>54%</i>	96 <i>59%</i>	99 <i>60%</i>	10 <i>28%</i>	22 50%	10 44%	175 <i>54%</i>	86 <i>54%</i>	175 <i>55%</i>	86 51%	188 <i>53%</i>	40 58%	22 64%	10 44%	134 <i>56%</i>	127 <i>52%</i>	198 <i>55%</i>	62 49%
Not important	222 46%	66 41%	67 40%	26 <i>72%</i>	22 50%	13 <i>56</i> %	149 <i>46%</i>	73 46%	141 <i>45%</i>	81 <i>48%</i>	164 <i>47</i> %	30 <i>42%</i>	12 <i>36%</i>	11 <i>49</i> %	107 <i>44%</i>	115 <i>47</i> %	160 <i>45%</i>	62 49%
NET important	39 8%jr	31 or 19%ze	31 19%ze	-16 -44%	*	-3 -13%	26 <i>8</i> %	13 <i>8</i> %	34 11%zj	5 <i>3</i> %	24 7%	11 15%	10 28%	-1 -4%	27 11%zp	12 <i>5</i> %	39 11%zr	*
Don't know	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 <i>7</i> %	-	2 1%	-	2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 165

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	518	189	186	286	174	58	278	230	156	356
Weighted Base	484	176	174	263	163	58*	257	216	172*	305
Effective Base	332	118	123	178	114	40	173	151	97	238
[1] Very important	73 15%	30 17%	23 13%	35 13%	32 20%	6 10%	41 <i>16</i> %	32 15%	25 15%	45 15%
[2] Fairly important	188 39%i	68 <i>39%</i>	63 <i>36%</i>	109 <i>41%</i>	56 <i>35</i> %	23 39%	101 <i>39%</i>	85 <i>39%</i>	79 46%	107 <i>35</i> %
[3] Not very important	146 <i>30</i> %	54 31%	51 <i>2</i> 9%	81 <i>31%</i>	44 27%	20 <i>35</i> %	75 29%	63 <i>2</i> 9%	44 25%	98 <i>32</i> %
[4] Not at all important	76 16%	23 13%	35 <i>20%</i>	39 <i>15%</i>	28 17%	9 16%	40 16%	36 <i>17</i> %	23 14%	53 17%
MEAN	2.47	2.40	2.57	2.47	2.43	2.57	2.44	2.48	2.38	2.52
Important	261 54%i	99 <i>56%</i>	86 <i>50%</i>	144 <i>55%</i>	89 <i>54%</i>	29 49%	142 <i>55</i> %	117 <i>54%</i>	105 <i>61%</i>	153 <i>50%</i>
Not important	222 46%	77 44%	86 <i>49%</i>	120 <i>45%</i>	73 45%	30 51%	115 <i>45%</i>	99 46%	67 39%	151 49%z
NET important	39 8%b	22 ei 12%zb	*	24 9%e	16 10%e	-1 <i>-2%</i>	27 10%	17 <i>8%</i>	38 22%zi	2 1%
Don't know	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	2

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 166

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTIN	IG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES V PEO		SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	B DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	DLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	518	311	133	149	116	269	53	307	314	56	212	121	278	65	285	66
Weighted Base	484	288	132*	128	122*	261	53*	288	290	45*	192	114*	253	56*	257	57*
Effective Base	332	195	85	102	73	171	35	202	206	40	148	72	176	48	179	35
[1] Very important	73 15%	42 15%	20 15%	19 <i>15%</i>	23 19%	73 28%zg	10 18%	57 20%z	48 17%	8 17%	36 19%	18 16%	44 18%	10 18%	50 19%zo	3 <i>6</i> %
[2] Fairly important	188 39%m	122 43%	48 37%	44 34%	42 35%	188 72%zfg	19 <i>35%</i>	148 51%z	113 <i>39%</i>	14 <i>32</i> %	69 <i>36%</i>	43 <i>38%</i>	102 40%m	13 <i>24</i> %	94 <i>37</i> %	26 45%
[3] Not very important	146 30%a	73 eg <i>25</i> %	45 34%	45 <i>35%</i>	39 <i>32%</i>	-	19 35%e	64 22%e	82 <i>28%</i>	12 <i>27</i> %	58 <i>30%</i>	31 <i>27</i> %	72 <i>2</i> 9%	19 <i>33%</i>	80 <i>31%</i>	16 <i>27</i> %
[4] Not at all important	76 16%eg	49 1 <i>7</i> %	18 <i>14%</i>	20 <i>16%</i>	18 <i>15%</i>	-	6 12%e	19 7%e	46 16%	9 21%	29 15%	20 18%	35 14%	12 <i>22%</i>	33 13%	11 19%
MEAN	2.47egn	2.45	2.47	2.52	2.43	1.72	2.40e	2.16e	2.44	2.52	2.42	2.47	2.39	2.60	2.37	2.61
Important	261 <i>54%</i>	165 <i>57</i> %	69 <i>52%</i>	63 49%	65 <i>53%</i>	261 100%zfg	28 53%	205 71%zf	161 <i>56%</i>	22 49%	105 <i>55%</i>	61 <i>54%</i>	146 <i>58%</i>	23 42%	144 <i>5</i> 6%	29 51%
Not important	222 46%eç	121 3 <i>42</i> %	63 <i>48%</i>	65 <i>51%</i>	57 <i>47</i> %	•	25 47%eg	83 29%e	128 <i>44%</i>	21 <i>47</i> %	87 <i>45%</i>	51 <i>45%</i>	107 <i>42</i> %	31 <i>55%</i>	113 <i>44</i> %	27 46%
NET important	39 8%cr	43 n 15%zb	6 4%	-3 <i>-2%</i>	8 7%c	261 100%zfg	4 7%	122 42%zf	33 11%z	1 2%	18 <i>10%</i>	10 <i>9</i> %	39 15%zm	-7 -13%	31 12%z	3 5%
Don't know	2	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-		-	2 4%zh	-	2 1%	-	2 3%zl	-	2 3%zn

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 167

		GEN	DER			AGI	.				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	АВ	C1	C2	DE	WOR- KING	NOT WOR- KING	YES	NO
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(0)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)
Unweighted Base	345	171	174	42	43	49	51	65	95	85	100	160	156	104	39	46	167	178	79	266
Weighted Base	310	144	166	30*	53*	55*	61*	48*	62*	83*	116*	111	145	90*	37*	38*	189	121	88*	222
Effective Base	238	107	131	32	34	39	36	44	66	62	75	110	105	70	30	34	123	128	59	181
[1] Very important	76 25%ilt	31 <i>21%</i>	45 <i>27</i> %	12 41%zhi	15 <i>27</i> %	20 36%hi	13 <i>21%</i>	7 15%	9 14%	27 32%l	33 28%l	16 <i>15</i> %	30 <i>20%</i>	28 31%	7 20%	11 <i>30%</i>	45 24%	31 <i>26%</i>	33 37%zt	44 20%
[2] Fairly important	154 <i>50</i> %	71 <i>49%</i>	83 <i>50%</i>	13 <i>42</i> %	25 <i>47%</i>	28 51%	32 <i>53%</i>	27 55%	30 <i>48%</i>	38 <i>45%</i>	61 <i>52%</i>	56 <i>51%</i>	69 <i>48%</i>	48 53%	20 <i>55%</i>	17 <i>45%</i>	99 <i>52%</i>	55 46%	44 50%	110 <i>50%</i>
[3] Not very important	56 18%	29 <i>20%</i>	26 16%	4 15%	7 14%	7 12%	11 18%	11 <i>24%</i>	15 <i>24%</i>	12 14%	18 <i>15%</i>	26 24%z	31 <i>22%</i>	11 <i>12</i> %	7 18%	7 18%	34 18%	22 18%	11 13%	44 20%
[4] Not at all important	24 8%s	13 <i>9</i> %	11 <i>6</i> %	1 2%	6 12%e	-	5 <i>8</i> %	3 <i>6</i> %	9 14%ze	7 8%	5 4%	12 11%	15 11%	3 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>7%</i>	3 <i>7</i> %	12 <i>6</i> %	12 10%	-	24 11%zs
MEAN	2.09cen s	2.17	2.01	1.77	2.10	1.76	2.13e	2.21ce	2.38zce	1.99	1.95	2.31zjk	2.22zn	1.88	2.13	2.03	2.06	2.13	1.76	2.22zs
Important	230 74%ilt	102 <i>71%</i>	129 <i>78%</i>	25 83%i	40 74%	49 88%zi	45 74%	34 70%	38 <i>62</i> %	65 <i>78%</i>	94 81%l	72 <i>6</i> 5%	98 <i>68%</i>	76 84%zm	27 <i>7</i> 5%	28 <i>74%</i>	144 <i>76%</i>	86 71%	77 87%zt	154 <i>69%</i>
Not important	80 26%en	42 s 29%	37 <i>22</i> %	5 17%	14 26%	7 12%	16 <i>26%</i>	14 30%	24 38%zc	19 e <i>22</i> %	23 19%	38 35%zk	46 32%n	14 16%	9 <i>25</i> %	10 <i>26</i> %	45 24%	34 29%	11 13%	68 31%zs
NET important	151 49%ail t	59 m <i>41%</i>	91 55%za	20 67%zhi	26 48%i	42 76%zdfh i	29 48%i	19 <i>40%</i>	15 <i>24%</i>	46 55%l	71 61%zl	34 31%	52 <i>36</i> %	62 69%zm	18 <i>49%</i>	19 <i>49%</i>	99 <i>52%</i>	52 <i>43</i> %	66 74%zt	85 <i>38%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 168

			SS TO RNET		TENU	JRE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME		WSPAPER ERSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	345	333	12	162	91	30	59	71	23	71	83	50	47	306	39	67	66	168	23	41	51	186	68	59
Weighted Base	310	298	13**	122	106*	22**	54*	37*	12**	67*	78*	71*	44*	284	26**	55*	62*	148	29**	31*	38**	179	57*	52*
Effective Base	238	231	8	109	69	21	42	56	18	58	63	37	33	212	28	49	43	116	17	30	29	135	45	37
[1] Very important	76 25%	75 25%	1 11%	27 22%	26 24%	7 32%	16 <i>30%</i>	10 <i>27%</i>	5 42%	17 <i>25%</i>	21 <i>27</i> %	9 12%	14 32%k	67 24%	9 <i>35%</i>	14 <i>25</i> %	12 20%	41 <i>28%</i>	5 18%	10 <i>32%</i>	7 18%	45 <i>25%</i>	15 <i>26</i> %	17 <i>32</i> %
[2] Fairly important	154 50%s	147 <i>49%</i>	7 58%	57 <i>47</i> %	64 60%z	10 <i>45%</i>	23 43%	19 <i>52</i> %	5 40%	35 <i>52%</i>	41 53%	35 49%	19 <i>43%</i>	142 <i>50%</i>	13 48%	27 50%	38 <i>61%</i>	65 44%	15 <i>51%</i>	9 <i>30</i> %	16 <i>43%</i>	93 52%s	22 38%	21 <i>40</i> %
[3] Not very important	56 18%g	53 18%	3 <i>26</i> %	22 18%	16 15%	3 14%	10 18%	3 <i>9</i> %	2 15%	14 20%	8 10%	18 <i>25%</i>	11 <i>24%</i>	52 18%	4 15%	9 16%	9 14%	29 19%	7 22%	8 <i>26%</i>	10 <i>25%</i>	30 <i>17</i> %	14 24%	9 17%
[4] Not at all important	24 8%d	23 <i>8%</i>	1 <i>5</i> %	16 13%zd	1 1 1%	2 9%	5 9%d	4 11%l	* 4%	2 3%	8 10%	9 13%	-	23 <i>8%</i>	1 2%	5 <i>9</i> %	3 <i>5</i> %	13 <i>9</i> %	2 9%	4 13%	6 15%	11 <i>6</i> %	6 11%	6 11%
MEAN	2.09d	2.08	2.24	2.22zd	1.92	2.00	2.06	2.05	1.80	2.02	2.04	2.39zi I	1.92	2.11	1.83	2.09	2.04	2.10	2.22	2.20	2.37	2.04	2.21	2.07
Important	230 74%	222 75%	9 <i>70%</i>	84 <i>69%</i>	90 85%zc	17 <i>77</i> %	40 <i>73%</i>	29 <i>79%</i>	10 <i>82%</i>	52 77%	62 79%	44 62%	33 <i>76%</i>	209 <i>74%</i>	22 83%	41 <i>75</i> %	50 <i>81%</i>	106 <i>72%</i>	20 <i>69%</i>	19 <i>61%</i>	23 60%	138 <i>77</i> %	36 <i>64%</i>	37 <i>72</i> %
Not important	80 26%d	76 <i>25%</i>	4 30%	38 31%d	16 15%	5 23%	15 <i>27</i> %	8 21%	2 18%	16 <i>23</i> %	16 <i>21%</i>	27 38%	11 <i>24%</i>	75 26%	4 17%	14 <i>25</i> %	12 19%	42 28%	9 31%	12 <i>3</i> 9%	15 40%	41 <i>23</i> %	20 <i>36%</i>	14 28%
NET important	151 49%cl sv	146 k <i>49%</i>	5 39%	46 <i>37</i> %	73 69%zct	12 54%	25 46%	22 59%k	8 <i>64%</i>	36 53%k	46 59%k	17 24%	23 51%k	133 <i>47%</i>	17 <i>66%</i>	27 50%	38 62%q	64 <i>43%</i>	11 <i>38%</i>	7 23%	8 21%	97 54%s	16 <i>28%</i>	23 44%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 169

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	345	103	134	29	35	11	275	70	263	82	274	49	15	5	192	153	297	48
Weighted Base	310	102*	125*	30**	23**	11**	249	61*	239	72*	242	45*	15**	6**	177	133	267	43*
Effective Base	238	73	95	19	24	9	189	50	179	60	186	36	12	4	130	109	204	34
[1] Very important	76 25%	21 <i>21%</i>	33 <i>27</i> %	3 10%	9 40%	3 <i>26%</i>	61 <i>25%</i>	15 24%	57 24%	19 <i>27</i> %	61 <i>25</i> %	10 <i>22%</i>	5 31%	1 18%	45 <i>25</i> %	31 <i>23</i> %	71 <i>27</i> %	5 12%
[2] Fairly important	154 <i>50</i> %	58 <i>57%</i>	61 <i>49%</i>	11 <i>38%</i>	11 <i>47</i> %	4 41%	123 <i>50%</i>	31 <i>51%</i>	119 <i>50%</i>	35 49%	120 <i>50%</i>	25 <i>55%</i>	7 49%	2 34%	87 <i>49%</i>	67 50%	130 <i>49%</i>	24 56%
[3] Not very important	56 18%	13 13%	26 21%	8 <i>26</i> %	2 7%	4 33%	45 18%	10 <i>17</i> %	43 18%	13 18%	42 17%	8 19%	3 20%	3 49%	31 <i>17</i> %	25 19%	46 17%	9 <i>22%</i>
[4] Not at all important	24 8%b	9 <i>9</i> %	5 4%	8 <i>25</i> %	1 5%	-	19 <i>8%</i>	5 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>8%</i>	4 6%	19 <i>8</i> %	1 <i>3</i> %	-	-	14 <i>8</i> %	10 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>7</i> %	4 10%
MEAN	2.09	2.10	2.02	2.67	1.78	2.07	2.09	2.09	2.11	2.03	2.08	2.03	1.90	2.31	2.08	2.10	2.05	2.30
Important	230 74%	79 <i>78%</i>	94 <i>75%</i>	15 48%	20 <i>87</i> %	7 67%	185 <i>74%</i>	46 <i>75%</i>	176 <i>74</i> %	54 <i>76%</i>	181 <i>75%</i>	35 <i>78%</i>	12 <i>80%</i>	3 51%	132 <i>75%</i>	98 <i>74%</i>	201 <i>75%</i>	29 <i>68%</i>
Not important	80 26%	22 22%	31 <i>25%</i>	15 <i>52%</i>	3 13%	4 33%	64 <i>26%</i>	15 <i>25%</i>	63 <i>26</i> %	17 <i>24</i> %	61 <i>25%</i>	10 <i>22%</i>	3 20%	3 49%	45 <i>25%</i>	35 <i>26%</i>	66 <i>25%</i>	14 <i>32</i> %
NET important	151 <i>49%</i>	57 <i>56%</i>	63 51%	-1 -3%	17 <i>74%</i>	4 34%	121 <i>48%</i>	30 <i>50%</i>	114 <i>48%</i>	37 <i>52%</i>	120 <i>50%</i>	25 56%	9 <i>59%</i>	* 3%	88 <i>50%</i>	63 47%	135 <i>51%</i>	16 <i>36</i> %
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:	-	:	-	-	-	- :	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 170

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	345	143	116	219	102	24	174	169	95	249
Weighted Base	310	132	99*	191	96*	24**	154	155	98*	211
Effective Base	238	106	78	150	76	14	128	109	66	173
[1] Very important	76	45	23	47	26	3	41	34	18	56
	<i>25</i> %	34%z	<i>23%</i>	25%	<i>2</i> 7%	13%	27%	22%	19%	<i>2</i> 7%
[2] Fairly	154	51	51	97	47	11	74	80	48	106
important	50%a	<i>39</i> %	<i>52%</i>	<i>51%</i>	49%	46%	48%	<i>52%</i>	49%	<i>50%</i>
[3] Not very	56	27	16	33	18	5	31	24	21	34
important	18%	20%	<i>16%</i>	17%	19%	20%	20%	16%	<i>22</i> %	16%
[4] Not at all	24	9	10	14	5	5	8	16	10	14
important	<i>8</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>10%</i>	<i>7</i> %	<i>5</i> %	21%	<i>5</i> %	11%	10%	7%
MEAN	2.09	1.99	2.12	2.07	2.03	2.49	2.04	2.15	2.23	2.03
Important	230	96	74	144	72	14	115	114	67	162
	74%	<i>7</i> 3%	74%	<i>76%</i>	75%	59%	<i>75%</i>	<i>74%</i>	<i>68%</i>	<i>77%</i>
Not important	80	35	26	47	23	10	39	41	31	48
	<i>26</i> %	<i>27</i> %	<i>26</i> %	24%	<i>2</i> 5%	41%	<i>25%</i>	26%	<i>32</i> %	<i>23%</i>
NET important	151	61	48	98	49	4	76	73	36	114
	49%h	<i>46%</i>	<i>4</i> 9%	<i>51%</i>	51%	18%	49%	47%	<i>36</i> %	54%zh
Don't know	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 171

		CHOIC POLIT	APPY WITH HOICE OF OLITICAL ACTIV PARTIES SOCIAL			VOTII	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (l)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	345	211	87	132	103	125	56	240	244	30	175	78	219	43	212	45
Weighted Base	310	184	80*	124*	96*	114*	55*	217	220	27**	162	71*	198	37*	186	39**
Effective Base	238	138	66	92	75	82	39	164	167	22	125	49	149	32	146	30
[1] Very important	76	53	17	32	28	36	21	63	60	3	44	17	57	8	56	6
	25%	29%	21%	<i>26%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>32%</i>	38%z	29%z	<i>27%</i>	13%	27%	23%	29%	21%	30%z	17%
[2] Fairly important	154	92	37	61	47	56	25	122	104	16	82	36	94	18	83	23
	50%n	<i>50%</i>	46%	<i>49%</i>	49%	<i>49%</i>	46%	56%z	<i>47%</i>	<i>57</i> %	<i>51%</i>	<i>51%</i>	<i>48%</i>	<i>48%</i>	44%	58%
[3] Not very	56	26	20	22	11	20	4	30	40	6	28	12	32	9	29	8
important	18%g	14%	24%	18%	11%	17%	7%	14%	18%	21%	17%	16%	16%	<i>25%</i>	16%	21%
[4] Not at all important	24	13	7	8	10	2	5	2	16	3	8	7	15	3	18	1
	8%e	g <i>7</i> %	8%	<i>7</i> %	10%	2%	9%g	1%	<i>7</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>5</i> %	9%	<i>7</i> %	<i>7</i> %	10%	4%
MEAN	2.09eg	2.00	2.20	2.06	2.03	1.89	1.88	1.87	2.06	2.27	2.00	2.11	2.02	2.17	2.06	2.12
Important	230	145	54	93	75	92	46	185	163	19	126	53	151	26	138	29
	74%	<i>7</i> 9%	<i>67</i> %	<i>75%</i>	<i>78%</i>	81%	<i>8</i> 4%	85%z	<i>74%</i>	<i>70%</i>	<i>78%</i>	<i>74%</i>	<i>76%</i>	<i>69%</i>	<i>74%</i>	<i>75%</i>
Not important	80	39	26	31	21	22	9	33	57	8	36	18	47	12	48	10
	26%g	<i>21%</i>	33%	<i>25%</i>	<i>22</i> %	19%	16%	15%	<i>26%</i>	30%	<i>22%</i>	<i>26%</i>	24%	31%	<i>26</i> %	<i>25</i> %
NET important	151	105	28	62	55	70	37	152	106	11	90	35	105	14	91	19
	49%b	57%zb	<i>34%</i>	<i>50%</i>	<i>57</i> %	62%z	67%z	70%ze	<i>48%</i>	<i>40%</i>	55%z	<i>49%</i>	<i>53%</i>	<i>37</i> %	<i>49%</i>	<i>50%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 172

		GEN	IDER			AC	βE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	621	316	305	51	70	82	102	123	193	121	184	316	207	204	97	113	295	326	135	486
Weighted Base	607	305	302	44*	93*	95*	130*	108*	138	136*	224	246	202	180	96*	129*	362	245	162	444
Effective Base	401	184	220	39	45	66	69	76	129	78	133	202	136	131	65	72	204	217	100	301
[1] Very important	43	15	28	6	6	10	11	3	8	12	21	11	18	11	5	10	25	18	14	29
	7%l	<i>5</i> %	<i>9%</i>	14%h	<i>6%</i>	11%	<i>8</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>6</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>4</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>6</i> %	<i>5</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>8</i> %	9%	<i>7</i> %
[2] Fairly important	164	74	90	11	21	22	33	33	43	33	55	76	42	50	27	45	88	75	44	119
	27%n	1 24%	<i>30%</i>	<i>26</i> %	<i>23%</i>	<i>2</i> 4%	<i>25%</i>	<i>30%</i>	31%	<i>2</i> 4%	24%	31%	21%	<i>28%</i>	28%	35%m	<i>2</i> 4%	31%	27%	<i>27</i> %
[3] Not very	199	99	100	16	36	33	34	41	40	52	67	81	84	67	17	31	124	75	49	150
important	33%0	<i>33%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>36</i> %	<i>39%</i>	<i>34%</i>	26%	<i>38</i> %	<i>29%</i>	38%	<i>30%</i>	<i>33</i> %	42%zop	37%op	18%	<i>24%</i>	<i>34</i> %	31%	30%	<i>34%</i>
[4] Not at all important	200	116	84	11	30	30	52	32	46	40	82	78	57	52	47	44	125	76	55	146
	33%b	38%b	<i>28%</i>	<i>2</i> 4%	<i>32%</i>	<i>32%</i>	40%	30%	<i>3</i> 4%	29%	<i>37</i> %	<i>32</i> %	28%	<i>2</i> 9%	49%zmn	34%	<i>34%</i>	31%	<i>34%</i>	<i>33%</i>
MEAN	2.92b	3.04zb	2.80	2.71	2.96	2.87	2.99	2.94	2.90	2.88	2.94	2.92	2.90	2.89	3.11	2.85	2.96	2.85	2.89	2.93
Important	207	89	118	17	27	32	43	35	52	44	76	87	60	61	32	54	114	94	58	149
	34%	<i>29%</i>	39%za	40%	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>34</i> %	<i>33</i> %	<i>33</i> %	37%	33%	<i>34%</i>	<i>35</i> %	<i>30%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>33%</i>	42%	<i>31%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>33%</i>
Not important	400	215	184	26	66	62	86	73	86	92	149	159	141	119	64	75	248	151	104	295
	66%b	71%b	<i>61%</i>	<i>60%</i>	71%	<i>66%</i>	<i>67%</i>	<i>67</i> %	<i>63%</i>	<i>67</i> %	<i>66%</i>	<i>65%</i>	<i>70%</i>	<i>66%</i>	<i>67%</i>	58%	<i>69%</i>	<i>62%</i>	<i>64%</i>	<i>67%</i>
NET important	-192	-126	-66	-9	-38	-30	-43	-37	-35	-47	-73	-72	-81	-58	-33	-20	-135	-58	-46	-147
	-32%	<i>-41%</i>	<i>-22%</i>	-21%	-41%	<i>-32%</i>	-33%	-34%	<i>-25%</i>	-35%	<i>-33%</i>	<i>-2</i> 9%	-40%	<i>-32%</i>	-34%	-16%	<i>-37</i> %	<i>-24%</i>	<i>-28%</i>	-33%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-		-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 173

		ACCE	SS TO RNET		TEN	URE		(GOVERN	MENT	OFFICE	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT-	WALES (h)		MID-				BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (o)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	621	578	43	274	161	76	104	96	60	144	138	111	72	549	72	154	114	234	79	82	130	269	82	108
Weighted Base	607	564	42**	220	207	60*	113*	53*	33*	138	135	165*	83*	555	52*	145	112*	204	115*	68*	110*	287	64*	99*
Effective Base	401	374	27	174	122	57	59	74	45	113	103	79	41	354	56	107	81	161	49	55	81	179	56	57
[1] Very important	43 7%	41 <i>7</i> %	2 6%	10 <i>5</i> %	21 10%	2 4%	10 <i>8%</i>	2 4%	4 14%gkl	7 5%	20 15%zg kl	7 ji 4%	3 <i>3</i> %	40 7%	4 7%	9 <i>6</i> %	11 10%	18 <i>9</i> %	4 4%	2 <i>3</i> %	6 <i>5%</i>	21 <i>7</i> %	3 5%	10 10%
[2] Fairly important	164 27%k	147 <i>26%</i>	17 40%	65 <i>30%</i>	49 24%	24 41%zdf	24 21%	12 <i>23%</i>	12 37%k	47 34%k	40 29%k	28 17%	26 31%	143 <i>26%</i>	20 39%z	45 m <i>31%</i>	26 <i>23%</i>	46 <i>23%</i>	36 <i>31%</i>	20 <i>2</i> 9%	40 36%zu	65 <i>23%</i>	13 20%	24 25%
[3] Not very important	199 33%h	187 t <i>33%</i>	12 <i>28%</i>	66 <i>30%</i>	75 36%	15 <i>24%</i>	39 <i>35%</i>	21 40%hi	6 17%	36 <i>26%</i>	39 <i>29%</i>	65 39%h	32 38%h	187 <i>34%</i>	12 <i>2</i> 3%	41 28%	47 42%	67 <i>33%</i>	36 <i>31%</i>	17 <i>2</i> 5%	21 19%	117 41%zs	19 t <i>29%</i>	41 42%
[4] Not at all important	200 33%	189 <i>34%</i>	11 26%	79 <i>36%</i>	62 <i>30%</i>	19 <i>31%</i>	41 <i>36%</i>	17 <i>33%</i>	11 <i>32%</i>	49 <i>35%</i>	36 <i>27%</i>	65 <i>39%</i>	23 <i>27</i> %	185 <i>33%</i>	16 <i>31%</i>	51 <i>35%</i>	28 <i>25%</i>	72 35%	39 <i>34%</i>	29 <i>43%</i>	44 40%	84 <i>2</i> 9%	29 46%zw	23 24%
MEAN	2.92j	2.93	2.74	2.97	2.86	2.83	2.98	3.03j	2.68	2.92	2.68	3.14 <u>z</u> h j	2.90	2.93	2.77	2.92	2.82	2.95	2.95	3.07	2.94	2.92	3.15zw	2.79
Important	207 34%k	188 <i>33%</i>	19 46%	76 34%	70 34%	27 44%	33 <i>29%</i>	14 26%	16 51%zg k	54 39%k	60 44%zg k	35 21%	28 34%	183 <i>33%</i>	24 46%z	54 <i>37</i> %	37 33%	65 <i>32</i> %	41 35%	22 32%	45 41%	85 <i>30%</i>	16 <i>25</i> %	34 35%
Not important	400 66%h n	376 j <i>67%</i>	23 <i>54%</i>	144 <i>66%</i>	137 <i>66%</i>	33 <i>56%</i>	80 <i>71%</i>	39 74%hj	16 <i>49</i> %	85 <i>61%</i>	75 <i>56%</i>	130 79%zhi j	54 66%	372 <i>67%</i>	28 54%	92 <i>63%</i>	75 <i>67%</i>	139 <i>68%</i>	74 65%	46 <i>68%</i>	65 <i>59%</i>	201 <i>70%</i>	48 <i>75%</i>	64 65%
NET important	-192 -32%	-189 <i>-33%</i>	-4 -9%	-69 <i>-31%</i>	-67 <i>-32%</i>	-7 -11%	-47 -41%	-25 -47%	* 1%	-31 <i>-23%</i>	-16 <i>-12%</i>	-95 <i>-58%</i>	-26 -31%	-189 <i>-34%</i>	-4 -7%	-38 <i>-26%</i>	-38 <i>-34%</i>	-75 <i>-37%</i>	-34 <i>-29%</i>	-25 -36%	-20 -18%	-116 <i>-40%</i>	-32 -49%	-30 -30%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 174

Q21_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on

billboards
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	621	174	233	43	66	36	406	215	388	233	460	86	47	25	286	334	442	179
Weighted Base	607	176	228	41*	56*	34**	380	227	363	243	439	91*	51**	25**	271	335	431	176
Effective Base	401	115	154	30	43	23	277	130	261	144	302	55	30	15	191	210	292	109
[1] Very important	43 7%r	16 <i>9</i> %	21 <i>9</i> %	3 <i>7</i> %	1 2%	-	30 <i>8%</i>	14 <i>6</i> %	33 <i>9</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	37 <i>8</i> %	6 <i>7%</i>	1 1%		26 10%	17 <i>5</i> %	39 9%zr	4 2%
[2] Fairly important	164 <i>27</i> %	43 24%	71 31%	9 <i>22%</i>	14 25%	5 14%	96 <i>25%</i>	67 <i>30%</i>	88 <i>24</i> %	75 31%	113 <i>26%</i>	30 <i>34%</i>	17 33%	4 15%	77 28%	87 <i>26%</i>	110 <i>25%</i>	54 31%
[3] Not very important	199 <i>33%</i>	70 40%b	62 <i>27%</i>	14 <i>35%</i>	20 <i>35%</i>	6 18%	133 <i>35%</i>	66 <i>29%</i>	124 <i>34</i> %	75 31%	154 <i>35%</i>	27 <i>2</i> 9%	17 33%	2 6%	82 <i>30%</i>	116 <i>35%</i>	150 <i>35%</i>	49 28%
[4] Not at all important	200 33%	48 <i>27%</i>	74 32%	15 <i>37</i> %	21 <i>37</i> %	23 <i>68%</i>	121 <i>32</i> %	80 <i>35%</i>	118 <i>33</i> %	82 <i>34</i> %	136 <i>31%</i>	28 <i>30%</i>	17 33%	19 <i>78%</i>	86 <i>32</i> %	114 <i>34%</i>	132 <i>31%</i>	69 <i>39</i> %
MEAN	2.92	2.85	2.83	3.01	3.08	3.54	2.91	2.93	2.90	2.94	2.89	2.83	2.99	3.63	2.84	2.98	2.87	3.04
Important	207 <i>34</i> %	58 <i>33%</i>	92 40%z	12 <i>28%</i>	15 <i>27</i> %	5 14%	126 <i>33%</i>	81 <i>36%</i>	121 <i>33</i> %	86 <i>35%</i>	149 <i>34%</i>	37 40%	17 <i>34%</i>	4 15%	103 <i>38%</i>	104 <i>31%</i>	149 <i>35%</i>	58 33%
Not important	400 66%b	118 <i>67%</i>	136 <i>60%</i>	29 <i>72%</i>	41 <i>73%</i>	29 <i>86%</i>	254 <i>67</i> %	146 <i>64%</i>	242 <i>67</i> %	157 <i>65%</i>	290 <i>66%</i>	54 <i>60%</i>	34 <i>66%</i>	21 <i>85%</i>	168 <i>62%</i>	230 <i>69%</i>	282 <i>65%</i>	118 <i>67%</i>
NET important	-192 <i>-32%</i>	-60 <i>-34%</i>	-44 -19%	-18 <i>-43%</i>	-25 -45%	-24 -71%	-128 <i>-34%</i>	-65 <i>-29%</i>	-121 <i>-33%</i>	-71 <i>-29%</i>	-141 <i>-32%</i>	-18 <i>-19%</i>	-17 <i>-33%</i>	-17 -69%	-65 <i>-24%</i>	-126 <i>-38%</i>	-133 <i>-31%</i>	-59 -34%
Don't know	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 175

221_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on

billboards
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		WHEN PEOPLI INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	621	233	226	322	227	72	354	254	168	447
Weighted Base	607	234	217	297	232	78*	355	240	180*	422
Effective Base	401	144	147	206	153	44	227	164	97	307
[1] Very important	43	20	11	21	20	3	23	18	20	22
	7%i	<i>9%</i>	<i>5</i> %	7%	<i>9%</i>	<i>3</i> %	<i>6</i> %	<i>8</i> %	11%i	5%
[2] Fairly	164	64	53	80	59	25	109	51	43	119
important	27%g	27%	24%	<i>2</i> 7%	<i>25%</i>	<i>32</i> %	31%g	<i>21%</i>	24%	<i>28%</i>
[3] Not very	199	81	67	114	70	15	114	82	73	125
important	33%e	i <i>35%</i>	31%	38%ze	<i>30%</i>	19%	32%	<i>34</i> %	41%i	<i>30%</i>
[4] Not at all important	200	68	86	82	83	36	110	88	44	156
	33%c	h <i>29%</i>	40%z	<i>28%</i>	<i>36%</i>	46%c	<i>31%</i>	<i>37</i> %	24%	37%zh
MEAN	2.92	2.85	3.05z	2.87	2.93	3.07	2.88	3.00	2.78	2.99z
Important	207	84	64	101	79	27	131	70	63	141
	<i>34%</i>	<i>36%</i>	29%	<i>34%</i>	<i>3</i> 4%	35%	<i>37</i> %	29%	<i>35%</i>	<i>33%</i>
Not important	400	150	153	196	153	50	224	170	117	281
	<i>66%</i>	<i>64%</i>	<i>71%</i>	<i>66%</i>	<i>66%</i>	<i>65%</i>	63%	<i>71%</i>	<i>65%</i>	<i>67%</i>
NET important	-192	-65	-89	-95	-74	-23	-92	-100	-54	-141
	<i>-32%</i>	<i>-28%</i>	-41%	<i>-32</i> %	-32%	<i>-30%</i>	<i>-26%</i>	<i>-42%</i>	<i>-30%</i>	<i>-33%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 176

221_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on

billboards
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI			. MEDIA OICE TO PLE	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR		OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	621	375	139	177	133	157	73	355	375	76	268	153	346	88	319	98
Weighted Base	607	340	156*	169	143*	155*	73*	343	353	77*	264	140*	333	74*	301	91*
Effective Base	401	246	86	124	89	95	52	240	253	58	194	98	236	66	210	61
[1] Very important	43	30	9	17	12	18	12	31	30	7	24	11	27	10	29	7
	<i>7</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>6</i> %	10%	9%	<i>12</i> %	16%z	<i>9</i> %	<i>9</i> %	9%	9%	<i>8</i> %	8%	14%z	10%	7%
[2] Fairly important	164	106	31	32	40	46	15	116	98	13	75	29	88	15	81	24
	27%c	31%z	<i>20%</i>	19%	<i>28%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	21%	34%zf	<i>28%</i>	17%	28%	<i>20%</i>	<i>26%</i>	21%	<i>27%</i>	26%
[3] Not very	199	107	61	61	50	44	29	118	126	20	90	44	114	24	96	28
important	<i>33</i> %	<i>31%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>35%</i>	29%	40%	<i>34</i> %	<i>36%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>34%</i>	31%	<i>34</i> %	33%	<i>32%</i>	<i>30%</i>
[4] Not at all	200	97	54	58	40	47	17	78	99	37	75	57	105	24	95	33
important	33%ag	h <i>29%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>34%</i>	28%	30%	23%	<i>23%</i>	<i>28%</i>	48%zh	28%	40%j	<i>31%</i>	33%	<i>32%</i>	<i>36%</i>
MEAN	2.92agh j	2.80	3.02	2.95	2.83	2.77	2.70	2.71	2.83	3.13h	2.82	3.04	2.89	2.85	2.86	2.96
Important	207	136	41	49	53	64	27	147	129	20	99	40	115	25	109	30
	<i>34</i> %	40%zb	26%	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>37</i> %	41%	<i>37</i> %	43%z	<i>36%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>38%</i>	28%	<i>35%</i>	<i>3</i> 4%	<i>36%</i>	<i>33%</i>
Not important	400	204	115	119	90	91	46	196	224	57	165	101	218	48	192	61
	66%ag	<i>60%</i>	74%a	<i>71%</i>	<i>63%</i>	<i>59%</i>	<i>63%</i>	<i>57%</i>	<i>6</i> 4%	<i>74%</i>	<i>62%</i>	<i>72%</i>	<i>65%</i>	<i>66%</i>	<i>64%</i>	<i>67%</i>
NET important	-192	-69	-74	-70	-38	-27	-19	-49	-96	-37	-66	-61	-103	-23	-82	-31
	<i>-32%</i>	<i>-20%</i>	-48%	-41%	<i>-26%</i>	-18%	<i>-26%</i>	-14%	<i>-27%</i>	-48%	<i>-25%</i>	<i>-43%</i>	<i>-31%</i>	-31%	<i>-27%</i>	-34%
Don't know	-			:	-	:	- :	: 1	-			-	-	:	:	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 177

		GEN	DER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDE HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	213	107	106	46	33	36	30	33	35	79	66	68	82	71	24	36	118	95	50	163
Weighted Base	214	103*	111*	40*	42**	39**	43**	30**	20**	82*	82*	50*	87*	65*	26**	37**	145*	69*	56*	158
Effective Base	147	66	82	32	28	28	22	21	26	59	48	43	59	46	18	25	90	62	36	111
[1] Very important	22 10%	9 <i>9</i> %	13 <i>12%</i>	11 28%z	2 4%	6 14%	* 1%	3 10%	* 2%	13 <i>15%</i>	6 7%	3 <i>7</i> %	4 5%	11 18%m	2 7%	5 12%	14 <i>9</i> %	8 12%	6 10%	16 10%
[2] Fairly important	77 36%l	33 <i>32</i> %	45 40%	21 52%z	12 28%	15 40%	19 <i>43</i> %	7 23%	3 17%	33 40%l	34 42%l	11 <i>21%</i>	31 <i>36%</i>	19 <i>30%</i>	10 <i>38</i> %	17 46%	53 <i>36</i> %	24 <i>3</i> 5%	17 31%	60 <i>38%</i>
[3] Not very important	56 26%c	26 25%	30 <i>27</i> %	4 10%	23 56%	7 18%	7 15%	12 39%	3 16%	27 33%	14 17%	15 <i>30%</i>	25 28%	19 <i>2</i> 9%	9 <i>33</i> %	4 11%	42 29%	14 20%	16 <i>2</i> 9%	40 25%
[4] Not at all important	56 26%bc	36 j 35%zb	20 18%	4 10%	5 13%	11 <i>28%</i>	14 <i>34</i> %	8 28%	13 <i>64</i> %	9 12%	25 31%j	21 42%zj	26 30%	15 24%	6 22%	8 <i>23</i> %	37 <i>2</i> 5%	19 <i>28%</i>	17 30%	39 <i>25%</i>
MEAN	2.69bcj	2.86b	2.53	2.02	2.78	2.59	2.87	2.85	3.42	2.41	2.74	3.08zj	2.84	2.59	2.70	2.48	2.70	2.67	2.79	2.65
Important	99 46%l	42 40%	58 <i>52</i> %	32 80%z	13 <i>31%</i>	21 <i>54%</i>	19 <i>44%</i>	10 33%	4 20%	45 55%l	40 49%l	14 28%	36 41%	30 <i>47%</i>	12 <i>45</i> %	21 <i>58%</i>	67 <i>46%</i>	33 <i>48%</i>	23 41%	76 48%
Not important	112 52%c	62 60%	50 <i>45%</i>	8 20%	29 <i>69%</i>	18 <i>46%</i>	21 <i>49%</i>	20 <i>67%</i>	16 <i>80%</i>	37 45%	39 <i>47</i> %	36 72%zjk	51 59%	34 <i>53%</i>	14 <i>55</i> %	12 <i>34%</i>	79 <i>54%</i>	33 <i>48%</i>	33 <i>59%</i>	79 <i>50%</i>
NET important	-13 -6%	-20 -20%	8 <i>7</i> %	24 60%z	-16 <i>-37%</i>	3 9%	-2 -5%	-10 <i>-34%</i>	-12 -60%	9 11%	1 2%	-22 -45%	-15 <i>-18%</i>	-4 -6%	-2 -9%	9 24%	-12 <i>-8%</i>	-1%	-10 -18%	-2 -2%
Don't know	3 1%	-	3 <i>3</i> %	-	-	-	3 <i>7</i> %	-	-	-	3 4%	-	-	-	:	3 <i>8%</i>	-	3 4%zq	-	3 <i>2</i> %

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 178

		ACCES			TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	213	211	2	79	59	30	44	36	14	44	50	28	41	180	33	42	51	98	14	23	26	111	31	31
Weighted Base	214	213	1**	72*	74*	24**	43*	22**	7**	46*	50*	46**	43*	192	22**	43**	49*	96*	21**	18**	16**	126*	26**	29**
Effective Base	147	146	2	49	48	18	32	26	12	33	38	22	31	127	23	28	34	70	11	13	20	82	19	20
[1] Very important	22 10%	22 10%	-	7 10%	6 <i>9</i> %	3 12%	6 13%	1 <i>5</i> %	1 11%	5 12%	9 18%	4 9%	2 5%	19 10%	3 15%	7 15%	6 13%	5 <i>6%</i>	2 10%	1 <i>8%</i>	1 5%	10 <i>8</i> %	2 9%	:
[2] Fairly important	77 36%	77 36%	-	21 <i>30%</i>	33 44%	6 <i>26%</i>	16 <i>37</i> %	6 <i>28%</i>	4 52%	15 <i>32%</i>	18 <i>36%</i>	14 <i>31%</i>	20 48%	67 <i>35</i> %	11 48%	12 <i>2</i> 9%	27 56%zd	31 33%	5 22%	5 28%	5 34%	44 35%	12 46%	18 <i>62</i> %
[3] Not very important	56 <i>26</i> %	56 <i>26</i> %	-	19 <i>27</i> %	23 31%	5 <i>22</i> %	9 <i>20%</i>	6 <i>28%</i>	2 33%	10 <i>22%</i>	10 <i>20%</i>	10 <i>21%</i>	18 41%z	50 <i>26%</i>	6 <i>28%</i>	10 <i>24%</i>	11 <i>2</i> 3%	31 <i>33%</i>	1 5%	3 15%	4 26%	37 <i>30%</i>	5 20%	6 21%
[4] Not at all important	56 26%lp	55 26%	1 100%	24 34%	12 17%	6 <i>26</i> %	13 <i>30%</i>	8 <i>39</i> %	* 5%	13 28%l	13 27%l	18 <i>40%</i>	3 <i>6</i> %	54 28%	2 9%	14 32%	4 8%	28 29%p	10 48%	6 <i>33</i> %	6 <i>35</i> %	35 <i>28%</i>	6 25%	5 17%
MEAN	2.69p	2.68	4.00	2.85	2.56	2.71	2.66	3.00	2.31	2.70	2.56	2.91	2.49	2.73	2.32	2.72	2.27	2.85p	3.07	2.87	2.91	2.78	2.62	2.55
Important	99 <i>46%</i>	99 <i>47</i> %	-	28 <i>39</i> %	39 <i>52%</i>	9 <i>39</i> %	22 50%	7 33%	5 <i>62</i> %	20 44%	27 53%	18 <i>39%</i>	22 52%	85 44%	14 <i>63%</i>	19 44%	33 68%zd	37 38%	7 32%	6 <i>36</i> %	6 <i>39</i> %	53 42%	14 <i>55%</i>	18 <i>62</i> %
Not important	112 52%p	111 <i>52</i> %	1 100%	44 61%	35 48%	12 48%	21 <i>50%</i>	14 <i>67</i> %	3 <i>38%</i>	23 50%	23 47%	28 61%	20 48%	104 <i>54%</i>	8 <i>37</i> %	24 56%	15 <i>32</i> %	59 62%zp	11 53%	9 48%	10 <i>61%</i>	73 <i>58%</i>	12 <i>45%</i>	11 38%
NET important	-13 <i>-6%</i>	-12 <i>-5%</i>	-1 -100%	-15 <i>-22%</i>	4 5%	-2 -9%	* 1%	-7 -34%	2 <i>25%</i>	-3 -6%	3 7%i	-10 <i>-21%</i>	2 5%	-18 <i>-10%</i>	6 <i>26</i> %	-5 -12%	18 37%z	-23 <i>-23%</i>	-4 -21%	-2 -12%	-4 -22%	-19 <i>-15%</i>	2 9%	7 24%
Don't know	3 1%	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3 6% 7	-	-	-	3	-		-	-	3 15%	3	-	-	-	- 1

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 179

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT	,	KNOWLE UK POI		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	213	46	99	18	21	11	160	53	158	55	162	33	10	8	123	90	171	42
Weighted Base	214	45*	98*	18**	17**	14**	153	62*	148	66*	161	31**	13**	9**	122*	92*	166	48**
Effective Base	147	30	68	13	15	9	108	40	108	41	110	24	8	6	84	64	118	30
[1] Very important	22 10%	4 8%	11 11%	1 <i>6</i> %	* 1%	3 24%	11 <i>8%</i>	11 <i>17</i> %	13 <i>9</i> %	9 13%	17 10%	2 6%	-	3 39%	11 <i>9</i> %	11 <i>12%</i>	17 10%	5 10%
[2] Fairly important	77 36%	16 <i>35</i> %	36 <i>37</i> %	2 13%	9 <i>55</i> %	7 46%	55 <i>36%</i>	23 <i>37</i> %	54 <i>36%</i>	23 <i>35%</i>	53 <i>33%</i>	17 <i>54%</i>	4 32%	3 39%	52 <i>42%</i>	26 28%	57 <i>35%</i>	20 41%
[3] Not very important	56 26%	10 <i>22</i> %	30 <i>30%</i>	7 37%	3 19%	* 3%	39 <i>26%</i>	17 28%	38 <i>26%</i>	18 <i>27</i> %	46 28%	7 22%	3 <i>23%</i>	* 3%	29 <i>24%</i>	27 29%	43 26%	13 <i>27</i> %
[4] Not at all important	56 26%h	16 <i>35</i> %	19 19%	8 43%	4 24%	4 27%	48 31%zh	8 13%	42 29%	13 20%	43 26%	5 18%	6 <i>45%</i>	2 19%	30 <i>25%</i>	26 28%	49 29%	7 15%
MEAN	2.69h	2.83	2.59	3.18	2.66	2.32	2.80zh	2.39	2.74	2.56	2.72	2.51	3.13	2.03	2.64	2.76	2.74	2.50
Important	99 <i>46%</i>	20 <i>43%</i>	47 48%	4 19%	9 <i>57</i> %	10 <i>70%</i>	66 <i>43%</i>	33 <i>54%</i>	67 <i>45%</i>	32 48%	70 43%	18 <i>60%</i>	4 32%	7 77%	63 <i>51%</i>	36 40%	75 <i>45%</i>	25 <i>51%</i>
Not important	112 <i>52</i> %	26 <i>57</i> %	49 49%	15 <i>81%</i>	7 43%	4 30%	87 <i>57%</i>	25 41%	81 <i>55%</i>	31 <i>47</i> %	89 <i>55%</i>	12 40%	9 <i>68%</i>	2 23%	59 <i>49%</i>	53 <i>57</i> %	92 <i>55%</i>	20 <i>42%</i>
NET important	-13 -6%	-6 -14%	-2 -2%	-11 -61%	2 13%	6 41%	-21 <i>-13</i> %	8 13%	-14 -9%	1 1%	-19 <i>-12%</i>	6 21%	-5 <i>-36%</i>	5 <i>55%</i>	4 3%	-16 <i>-18%</i>	-17 -10%	4 9%
Don't know	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	3

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 180

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY HANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	213	103	54	131	57	25	119	92	48	163
Weighted Base	214	104*	49*	128*	59*	27**	121*	91*	48*	163
Effective Base	147	73	37	93	40	16	82	63	33	112
[1] Very important	22 10%g	12 <i>12</i> %	5 11%	13 10%	6 <i>9</i> %	4 14%	18 15%zg	4 4%	3 7%	18 11%
[2] Fairly important	77 36%h	44 42%	16 <i>32</i> %	44 35%	23 39%	10 <i>36</i> %	44 36%	32 <i>3</i> 5%	10 <i>21%</i>	67 41%zh
[3] Not very important	56 26%a	20 19%	16 <i>33</i> %	36 <i>28%</i>	15 <i>26%</i>	5 18%	29 24%	27 30%	18 <i>38%</i>	38 <i>23%</i>
[4] Not at all important	56 26%	26 <i>25%</i>	12 <i>2</i> 4%	35 <i>27%</i>	12 <i>21%</i>	8 <i>32</i> %	27 22%	29 31%	17 <i>34%</i>	38 <i>23%</i>
MEAN	2.69i	2.58	2.70	2.73	2.61	2.66	2.55	2.89zf	3.00zi	2.59
Important	99 46%h	56 <i>54%</i>	21 <i>43</i> %	57 44%	29 48%	14 51%	62 51%	35 39%	13 <i>28%</i>	85 52%zh
Not important	112 52%a	45 i <i>43%</i>	28 <i>57</i> %	71 <i>56</i> %	28 46%	13 <i>49%</i>	56 46%	56 <i>61%</i>	35 72%zi	75 46%
NET important	-13 -6%	11 10%b	-7 -14%	-14 -11%	1 <i>2</i> %	* 1%	6 <i>5</i> %	-21 <i>-23%</i>	-22 -45%	10 <i>6</i> %
Don't know	3	3	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	3

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 181

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		vотн	NG INFLUE	ENCE		MEDIA OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	MAKES P	E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	213	123	58	112	65	46	55	126	168	21	127	44	142	26	132	44
Weighted Base	214	117*	59*	110*	62*	49*	61*	126*	161	22**	132*	44*	146	25**	131*	45**
Effective Base	147	83	43	79	43	30	41	86	116	16	89	31	101	18	92	29
[1] Very important	22 10%	12 10%	5 9%	13 <i>12</i> %	4 6%	11 22%z	9 14%	17 14%	20 12%	1 <i>6</i> %	21 16%zk	1 <i>2</i> %	20 14%	1 <i>5</i> %	15 <i>12%</i>	4 9%
[2] Fairly important	77 36 %	48 41%	16 <i>26</i> %	41 <i>37</i> %	28 46%	25 <i>50%</i>	30 50%z	51 <i>41%</i>	58 <i>36%</i>	9 <i>39</i> %	51 <i>39%</i>	14 31%	53 <i>36%</i>	8 <i>32%</i>	40 <i>30%</i>	15 <i>33</i> %
[3] Not very important	56 26%e	31 <i>27</i> %	18 <i>30%</i>	29 <i>26%</i>	17 27%	5 11%	16 <i>26%</i>	32 26%e	46 <i>28%</i>	3 14%	34 <i>25%</i>	9 21%	34 <i>23%</i>	7 29%	37 <i>2</i> 9%	11 24%
[4] Not at all important	56 26%fg	26 <i>23%</i>	21 <i>35</i> %	27 24%	13 <i>22</i> %	9 17%	6 <i>9%</i>	22 18%	38 <i>24%</i>	9 41%	26 <i>20%</i>	20 45%zj	39 <i>27%</i>	8 <i>34%</i>	38 <i>29%</i>	15 <i>34%</i>
MEAN	2.69efg j	2.62	2.91	2.63	2.64	2.24	2.31	2.48	2.63	2.90	2.49	3.10zj	2.63	2.92	2.75	2.82
Important	99 <i>46%</i>	59 <i>51%</i>	21 <i>35</i> %	55 <i>49%</i>	32 <i>52</i> %	35 72%zg	39 64%z	69 54%z	78 48%	10 <i>45%</i>	72 55%zk	15 <i>33%</i>	73 50%	9 <i>37</i> %	55 <i>42%</i>	19 <i>42</i> %
Not important	112 52%ef	58 gj <i>49%</i>	39 <i>65%</i>	56 <i>51%</i>	30 <i>48%</i>	14 <i>28%</i>	22 36%	54 <i>43</i> %	83 <i>52%</i>	12 <i>55%</i>	60 <i>45%</i>	30 67%j	73 <i>50%</i>	16 <i>63%</i>	75 <i>58%</i>	26 <i>58%</i>
NET important	-13 <i>-6%</i>	2 1%	-18 <i>-30%</i>	-1 -1%	2 3%c	22 44%zg	18 29%zg	14 11%z	-6 -3%	-2 -10%	13 10%z	-15 <i>-33%</i>	:	-7 -26%	-20 -15%	-7 -16%
Don't know	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 182

Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		GEN	DER				iΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
																	WOR-	NOT WOR-		
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	KING (a)	KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	448	228	220	53	64	62	75	82	112	117	137	194	186	165	48	49	234	214	107	341
Weighted Base	436	214	222	46*	81*	75*	89*	70*	76*	127*	164	145	179	148	58*	51*	284	152	126*	310
Effective Base	317	147	171	40	48	50	55	58	81	85	105	137	131	119	32	40	178	160	78	243
[1] Very important	78 18%	34 16%	45 20%	16 36%zdfl i	12 1 15%	14 19%	16 <i>17%</i>	11 16%	10 <i>13%</i>	28 <i>22%</i>	30 18%	20 14%	29 16%	34 23%	6 11%	10 19%	51 <i>18%</i>	27 18%	24 19%	54 17%
[2] Fairly important	235 54%lr	109 <i>51%</i>	126 <i>57</i> %	23 50%	55 69%zhi	41 55%	47 53%	33 48%	36 <i>47%</i>	78 62%l	88 <i>54%</i>	69 48%	97 <i>54%</i>	73 50%	35 61%	30 <i>58%</i>	162 <i>57</i> %	73 48%	71 <i>57</i> %	164 <i>5</i> 3%
[3] Not very important	71 16%b	46 22%zb	25 11%	6 13%	12 15%	10 13%	14 15%	16 <i>23%</i>	14 18%	18 14%	23 14%	30 <i>21%</i>	33 19%	22 15%	9 16%	7 13%	45 16%	27 18%	15 12%	56 18%
[4] Not at all important	51 12%c	25 dj <i>12</i> %	26 12%	1 2%	1 1%	10 14%d	13 15%cd	9 13%d	17 22%zc	2 1 2%	23 14%j	26 18%zj	20 11%	19 <i>13%</i>	7 12%	5 10%	26 <i>9%</i>	25 17%zq	15 12%	35 11%
MEAN	2.22cj	2.29	2.15	1.81	2.03	2.21c	2.27c	2.34cd	2.49zcd	1.95	2.24j	2.42zj	2.24	2.18	2.30	2.15	2.16	2.33z	2.17	2.24
Important	314 72%ili	143 <i>67%</i>	171 77%za	39 85%zhi	67 83%hi	55 <i>73%</i>	63 <i>70%</i>	44 64%	45 60%	106 84%zkl	118 <i>72%</i>	90 <i>62</i> %	126 <i>70%</i>	107 <i>72%</i>	41 <i>72%</i>	40 77%	214 <i>75%</i>	100 <i>66%</i>	95 <i>76%</i>	218 70%
Not important	122 28%b	71 cj 33%b	51 <i>23%</i>	7 15%	13 <i>17</i> %	20 <i>27</i> %	27 30%	25 36%cd	30 40%zcc	20 1 16%	46 28%j	56 38%zj	53 <i>30%</i>	41 28%	16 <i>28%</i>	12 <i>23</i> %	71 <i>25%</i>	52 34%z	30 <i>24%</i>	92 30%
NET important	192 44%a rt	72 nil <i>34</i> %	119 54%za	33 71%zefl i	54 n 67%zefh i	35 47%hi	36 41%i	19 <i>27</i> %	15 <i>20%</i>	86 68%zkl	71 43%l	34 <i>23%</i>	73 41%	66 44%	25 43%	28 54%	143 50%zr	48 <i>32</i> %	65 <i>52%</i>	126 41%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 183

Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		ACCE	SS TO		TEN	IRF			GOVER	MENT	OFFICE	REGION	J	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ΔΝΝ	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NE	WSPAPER BSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)			MID-		LONDON (I)		BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	448	433	15	182	135	41	84	75	26	93	114	74	66	401	47	92	98	206	27	41	73	238	75	75
Weighted Base	436	421	15**	145	167	31**	86*	45*	15**	90*	120*	100*	67*	403	33*	85*	99*	192	41**	31*	65*	247	68*	68*
Effective Base	317	306	11	127	104	28	63	56	21	73	81	57	50	285	36	68	69	148	20	31	50	171	51	52
[1] Very important	78 18%c	76 18%	3 18%	17 <i>12</i> %	37 23%c	7 22%	17 19%	8 18%	2 11%	16 <i>17%</i>	18 <i>15%</i>	19 19%	16 <i>25%</i>	70 17%	8 24%	14 16%	16 16%	40 21%	6 16%	8 <i>26</i> %	9 13%	46 19%	10 <i>15%</i>	13 19%
[2] Fairly important	235 54%s	227 54%	9 <i>60%</i>	76 <i>53%</i>	89 <i>53%</i>	18 <i>58%</i>	47 54%	24 54%	8 55%	49 54%	69 <i>58%</i>	52 <i>52%</i>	33 <i>50%</i>	215 <i>53%</i>	20 <i>61%</i>	49 <i>57</i> %	49 49%	104 <i>54%</i>	22 53%	11 <i>36</i> %	32 50%	132 <i>53%</i>	35 <i>51%</i>	38 <i>56%</i>
[3] Not very important	71 16%o	69 16%	3 17%	24 17%	25 15%	4 13%	16 19%	8 17%	4 28%	14 16%	19 16%	15 15%	11 <i>17</i> %	67 17%	4 13%	6 <i>7</i> %	25 25%zc	35 18‰	2 4%	5 15%	12 19%	41 17%	15 <i>23%</i>	10 15%
[4] Not at all important	51 12%n	50 q <i>12</i> %	1 5%	27 18%zd	16 f <i>9</i> %	2 7%	6 <i>7</i> %	5 12%	1 <i>6</i> %	11 12%	14 12%	14 14%	6 <i>9</i> %	50 13%	1 2%	16 19%zo	10 1 10%	13 <i>7%</i>	11 <i>27</i> %	7 23%z	12 18%	27 11%	8 11%	7 10%
MEAN	2.22n q	2.22	2.09	2.42zd f	2.11	2.05	2.14	2.23	2.30	2.23	2.24	2.24	2.09	2.24n	1.92	2.29	2.29	2.11	2.42	2.35	2.42	2.20	2.30	2.17
Important	314 72%c	302 <i>72%</i>	11 <i>78%</i>	94 <i>65%</i>	126 <i>76%</i>	25 80%	63 <i>74%</i>	32 71%	10 <i>66%</i>	65 <i>72%</i>	87 <i>72%</i>	71 <i>71%</i>	50 <i>75%</i>	285 71%	28 86%z	63 74%	64 <i>65%</i>	144 <i>7</i> 5%	28 <i>69%</i>	19 <i>62</i> %	41 <i>63</i> %	178 <i>72%</i>	45 <i>66%</i>	51 <i>75%</i>
Not important	122 28%n	119 <i>28%</i>	3 <i>22</i> %	51 35%z	40 24%	6 20%	23 26%	13 <i>2</i> 9%	5 34%	25 28%	33 <i>28%</i>	29 <i>29%</i>	17 <i>2</i> 5%	117 <i>2</i> 9%	5 14%	22 26%	35 <i>35%</i>	48 <i>25%</i>	13 <i>31%</i>	12 <i>38</i> %	24 37%	69 <i>28%</i>	23 <i>34%</i>	17 25%
NET important	192 44%c pst	183 m <i>44%</i>	8 56%	43 <i>30%</i>	86 51%c	19 <i>60%</i>	41 48%c	19 <i>43%</i>	5 32%	39 <i>43</i> %	54 45%	42 42%	33 49%	168 <i>42%</i>	24 71%z	40 m 47%p	30 <i>30</i> %	96 50%zp	15 38%	8 24%	17 26%	110 44%st	22 <i>32</i> %	34 50%v
Don't know	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 184

Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO	LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA	AMENT	LIKELII		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREN	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	448	125	179	34	55	19	337	111	326	122	357	51	22	15	230	218	374	74
Weighted Base	436	128*	172	39**	45*	19**	325	111*	312	123*	341	52*	23**	15**	215	220	353	83*
Effective Base	317	86	130	24	38	16	241	77	231	87	247	40	17	13	163	155	267	52
[1] Very important	78 18%	20 15%	32 19%	4 10%	8 18%	5 <i>27</i> %	59 18%	19 <i>18%</i>	57 18%	21 <i>17</i> %	63 19%	7 14%	3 15%	4 28%	47 22%	32 14%	67 19%	11 14%
[2] Fairly important	235 54%g	69 oq <i>54%</i>	95 <i>55%</i>	22 56%	21 <i>47</i> %	9 48%	164 <i>51%</i>	71 64%zg	158 51%	77 62%	176 <i>52%</i>	33 <i>64%</i>	15 <i>62%</i>	8 49%	98 <i>45%</i>	137 62%zo	179 <i>51%</i>	57 68%zq
[3] Not very important	71 16%	23 18%	28 16%	7 18%	5 12%	4 23%	58 18%	13 <i>12%</i>	54 17%	17 14%	54 16%	10 19%	4 19%	4 23%	34 16%	37 17%	61 <i>17</i> %	11 13%
[4] Not at all important	51 12%p	16 13%	18 10%	6 16%	11 24%zt	2%	44 13%	7 6%	43 14%	8 <i>6</i> %	48 14%z	2 4%	1 4%	-	37 17%zp	14 <i>7</i> %	46 13%	5 6%
MEAN	2.22	2.28	2.18	2.39	2.42	2.00	2.27	2.07	2.26	2.10	2.25	2.12	2.12	1.95	2.28	2.15	2.24	2.10
Important	314 72%g	89 q <i>69%</i>	126 <i>74%</i>	26 <i>66%</i>	29 <i>64%</i>	14 <i>75%</i>	223 <i>69%</i>	90 82%zg	216 69%	98 <i>79%</i>	239 <i>70%</i>	41 <i>78%</i>	18 <i>77</i> %	12 <i>77</i> %	145 <i>67</i> %	169 <i>77%</i>	246 <i>70%</i>	68 <i>82%</i>
Not important	122 28%h	39 <i>31%</i>	45 26%	13 <i>34</i> %	16 <i>36%</i>	5 25%	102 31%zh	20 18%	97 31%	25 21%	101 <i>30%</i>	12 <i>22</i> %	5 <i>23%</i>	4 23%	71 <i>33%</i>	51 <i>23</i> %	107 30%z	15 18%
NET important	192 44%e oq	50 gik <i>39%</i>	81 47%e	13 <i>32</i> %	13 <i>29</i> %	10 50%	122 <i>37</i> %	70 63%zg	119 38%	73 59%zi	138 <i>41%</i>	29 55%	13 <i>54</i> %	8 54%	74 34%	118 53%zo	139 <i>39%</i>	53 63%zq
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 185

Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM		QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	448	182	159	272	139	37	243	199	111	335
Weighted Base	436	179	157	257	140*	39**	237	193	116*	318
Effective Base	317	137	109	196	97	26	176	136	82	234
[1] Very important	78 18%	39 <i>22</i> %	23 15%	51 <i>20%</i>	20 14%	8 20%	40 17%	37 19%	17 15%	61 <i>19%</i>
[2] Fairly important	235 <i>54%</i>	89 <i>49%</i>	85 <i>5</i> 4%	132 <i>51%</i>	76 54%	27 69%	132 <i>56</i> %	99 51%	59 51%	174 <i>55</i> %
[3] Not very important	71 16%	25 14%	29 18%	49 19%	18 <i>13%</i>	4 11%	36 15%	35 18%	26 <i>23%</i>	45 14%
[4] Not at all important	51 12%	28 15%	20 13%	24 10%	26 19%zc	-	29 1 <i>2</i> %	22 11%	13 <i>12%</i>	38 12%
MEAN	2.22	2.23	2.29	2.18	2.36	1.92	2.23	2.21	2.31	2.18
Important	314 72%	127 <i>71%</i>	108 <i>69%</i>	183 <i>71%</i>	96 <i>68%</i>	35 <i>89%</i>	172 <i>73%</i>	136 <i>71%</i>	76 <i>66%</i>	236 <i>7</i> 4%
Not important	122 <i>28</i> %	52 <i>2</i> 9%	48 <i>31%</i>	74 29%	44 32%	4 11%	65 <i>27</i> %	56 <i>29%</i>	40 <i>34%</i>	82 <i>26%</i>
NET important	192 44%h	75 <i>42</i> %	60 <i>38%</i>	109 <i>43%</i>	52 37%	30 <i>78%</i>	107 <i>45</i> %	80 41%	37 31%	153 48%zh
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 186

Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	NCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	448	260	127	188	111	142	83	291	322	41	225	115	275	55	254	76
Weighted Base	436	240	129*	179	111*	134*	87*	284	316	39*	228	106*	265	47*	234	73*
Effective Base	317	173	97	134	82	99	55	203	226	32	159	81	192	41	184	48
[1] Very important	78	43	27	30	25	30	26	59	64	6	48	16	55	10	41	12
	18%	18%	21%	<i>17</i> %	<i>23%</i>	<i>22%</i>	30%z	21%	20%	15%	21%	<i>15%</i>	21%	<i>21%</i>	<i>18</i> %	16%
[2] Fairly	235	121	72	105	53	77	50	168	168	15	121	53	144	17	125	38
important	54%m	<i>50%</i>	56%	<i>58%</i>	48%	57%	<i>58%</i>	59%z	<i>53%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>53%</i>	<i>50%</i>	54%m	<i>37</i> %	<i>53%</i>	<i>53%</i>
[3] Not very	71	41	20	25	19	24	7	42	47	7	36	16	33	8	32	16
important	16%l	<i>17</i> %	15%	14%	<i>17</i> %	18%	8%	15%	15%	18%	16%	<i>15%</i>	12%	18%	14%	21%
[4] Not at all important	51	35	11	19	14	3	4	15	36	11	23	20	33	11	37	7
	12%ef	g <i>15%</i>	<i>9</i> %	11%	12%	<i>2</i> %	4%	<i>5</i> %	11%	28%zh	10%	19%zj	13%	24%z	16%z	10%
MEAN	2.22efg	2.28	2.12	2.18	2.19	2.01	1.86	2.05	2.17	2.60zh	2.15	2.39z	2.17	2.44	2.27	2.26
Important	314	164	99	135	79	107	76	227	232	21	169	69	199	28	166	50
	72%im	<i>68%</i>	<i>76%</i>	<i>75%</i>	<i>71%</i>	80%z	88%z	80%z	74%i	<i>54</i> %	<i>74%</i>	<i>65%</i>	75%m	58%	<i>71%</i>	<i>69%</i>
Not important	122	76	31	44	33	27	10	57	83	18	60	37	66	20	68	23
	28%ef	g <i>32%</i>	<i>24</i> %	25%	<i>29%</i>	20%	12%	20%	<i>26%</i>	46%zh	<i>26</i> %	<i>35</i> %	<i>25</i> %	42%zl	<i>29%</i>	31%
NET important	192	89	68	91	46	79	66	169	149	3	109	33	133	8	97	27
	44%ail	cm <i>37</i> %	52%za	51%z	41%	59%z	76%zeg	60%z	47%i	<i>8</i> %	48%k	31%	50%zm	1 <i>7%</i>	<i>42</i> %	37%
Don't know	-	:	:	-	-	:	- :		-	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 187

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	261	115	146	63	50	46	53	26	23	113	99	49	94	92	36	39	157	104	82	179
Weighted Base	261	111*	150	50*	60*	55*	63*	20**	13**	110*	118*	34*	107*	78*	38**	38*	187	75*	95*	167
Effective Base	183	70	114	45	35	38	38	21	18	77	75	38	69	65	22	31	115	79	57	129
[1] Very important	27	11	16	7	9	8	2	*	1	16	10	1	5	11	5	6	18	9	11	16
	10%n	1 10%	10%	14%	15%	14%	<i>3</i> %	2%	5%	<i>15%</i>	<i>8</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>5</i> %	14%	14%	15%	10%	12%	12%	<i>9</i> %
[2] Fairly important	103	43	60	20	24	22	26	8	3	44	48	11	41	29	22	11	78	25	43	60
	<i>39%</i>	<i>38</i> %	<i>40%</i>	40%	39%	40%	41%	41%	21%	40%	41%	<i>33</i> %	<i>38%</i>	37%	58%	28%	<i>42%</i>	34%	46%	<i>36</i> %
[3] Not very	83	35	48	19	18	18	18	5	5	37	36	10	40	26	9	8	58	25	25	57
important	<i>32</i> %	<i>31%</i>	<i>32</i> %	<i>38%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>33</i> %	<i>2</i> 9%	26%	<i>35%</i>	34%	<i>31%</i>	<i>30%</i>	37%	33%	<i>2</i> 5%	20%	31%	34%	27%	34%
[4] Not at all important	49	22	26	4	10	7	17	6	5	13	24	11	21	12	1	14	33	15	15	34
	19%c	20%	18%	8%	16%	13%	27%c	30%	<i>38%</i>	<i>12%</i>	20%	33%zj	<i>20%</i>	16%	<i>3</i> %	37%zr	18%	20%	16%	20%
MEAN	2.59	2.62	2.57	2.40	2.46	2.44	2.79c	2.84	3.07	2.44	2.63	2.93zj	2.72	2.51	2.16	2.80	2.57	2.63	2.47	2.66
Important	130	54	76	27	33	30	28	9	4	59	58	12	46	40	28	16	95	34	54	75
	<i>50</i> %	48%	<i>50%</i>	54%	<i>55%</i>	<i>54%</i>	44%	44%	27%	<i>54%</i>	49%	<i>37</i> %	<i>43</i> %	51%	<i>72%</i>	<i>43</i> %	51%	46%	<i>57%</i>	45%
Not important	132	57	74	23	27	25	35	12	10	50	60	21	61	38	11	22	91	40	40	91
	<i>50%</i>	51%	50%	46%	45%	46%	<i>56%</i>	56%	<i>73%</i>	46%	51%	<i>63%</i>	<i>57%</i>	49%	<i>28</i> %	57%	<i>49%</i>	54%	43%	<i>55%</i>
NET important	-2	-3	1	4	5	5	-7	-3	-6	9	-2	-9	-15	1	17	-5	4	-6	14	-16
	-1%	<i>-3%</i>	1%	7%z	9%z	9%z	-11%	-13%	-47%	8%zkl	I <i>-2</i> %	<i>-26%</i>	-14%	2%	45%	-14%	2%z	-8%	15%z	-10%
Don't know	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-		-	*	-	-	*	:	-	*

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 188

Q21_6 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog

			SS TO RNET		TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	1	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	261	259	2	70	86	34	67	53	14	56	62	32	44	223	38	49	75	116	5	31	23	137	34	31
Weighted Base	261	260	2**	61*	110*	23**	62*	32*	8**	55*	65*	52**	48*	237	24**	47*	75*	119*	8**	20**	20**	154	32**	31**
Effective Base	183	182	2	49	65	24	50	42	13	46	43	25	34	160	29	39	51	85	3	23	15	101	21	21
[1] Very important	27 10%	27 10%	-	4 7%	11 10%	7 32%	4 7%	3 <i>8</i> %	1 11%	8 15%	11 <i>17</i> %	1 2%	3 <i>7</i> %	23 10%	3 14%	7 15%	6 <i>8</i> %	9 <i>8</i> %	1 12%	2 9%	3 15%	12 <i>7</i> %	2 7%	4 12%
[2] Fairly important	103 <i>39</i> %	103 <i>40%</i>	-	25 40%	46 41%	11 <i>47</i> %	22 35%	16 51%i	3 <i>39%</i>	16 <i>29%</i>	21 <i>32</i> %	27 52%	19 40%	92 <i>39</i> %	11 46%	17 <i>3</i> 5%	36 48%	42 35%	6 74%	6 31%	3 15%	62 40%	11 <i>35%</i>	16 52%
[3] Not very important	83 <i>32</i> %	82 <i>32%</i>	1 <i>63%</i>	18 <i>30%</i>	42 38%	1 5%	20 <i>32</i> %	9 <i>2</i> 9%	4 44%	20 <i>36%</i>	23 <i>35</i> %	12 <i>2</i> 4%	14 <i>30</i> %	75 <i>32</i> %	8 <i>32</i> %	9 <i>20%</i>	21 <i>28</i> %	47 39%z	- o -	5 24%	5 <i>26</i> %	59 <i>38%</i>	6 21%	6 19%
[4] Not at all important	49 19%d	48 18%	1 <i>37</i> %	14 <i>2</i> 3%	12 11%	4 16%	16 26%d	4 12%	* 5%	11 20%	10 16%	12 <i>23%</i>	11 <i>24</i> %	47 20%	2 8%	14 <i>30%</i>	12 16%	21 18%	1 14%	7 36%	9 44%	23 15%	12 <i>38%</i>	5 18%
MEAN	2.59	2.58	3.37	2.70	2.49	2.06	2.77	2.44	2.43	2.61	2.50	2.67	2.71	2.61	2.33	2.65	2.51	2.67	2.16	2.86	3.00	2.60	2.90	2.43
Important	130 <i>50</i> %	130 <i>50%</i>	-	29 <i>47</i> %	57 52%	18 <i>79%</i>	26 <i>42%</i>	19 <i>59%</i>	4 51%	24 44%	32 49%	28 54%	22 46%	115 <i>49%</i>	14 60%	23 50%	42 57%	51 <i>43</i> %	7 86%	8 40%	6 <i>30</i> %	73 <i>47</i> %	13 <i>42%</i>	19 <i>64%</i>
Not important	132 <i>50%</i>	130 <i>50%</i>	2 100%	33 <i>53%</i>	53 48%	5 21%	36 <i>58%</i>	13 41%	4 49%	31 <i>56%</i>	33 51%	24 46%	26 <i>54%</i>	122 <i>51%</i>	9 40%	23 49%	33 <i>43</i> %	68 <i>57</i> %	1 14%	12 <i>60%</i>	14 <i>70%</i>	81 <i>53%</i>	18 <i>58%</i>	11 36%
NET important	-2 -1%	*	-2 -100%	-4 -6%	3 3%z	13 <i>58%</i>	-10 -16%	6 19%zj	* I 2%	-7 -12%	-1 -2%	4 7%	-4 -7%	-7 -3%	5 20%	* 1%	10 13%z o	-17 -14%	6 <i>72</i> %	-4 -19%	-8 -41%	-8 -5%	-5 -17%	8 27%
Don't know	*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	* 1%	*		-	-	-		-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 189

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	261	47	124	16	37	13	191	70	180	81	205	29	19	6	134	127	213	48
Weighted Base	261	56*	120*	21**	28**	14**	181	80*	174	88*	206	33**	14**	5**	128*	133*	203	58*
Effective Base	183	32	90	12	28	10	137	48	128	56	144	21	16	5	96	88	152	33
[1] Very important	27 10%	4 8%	13 11%	2 11%	2 8%	2 16%	19 <i>11%</i>	7 9%	17 10%	9 11%	18 <i>9</i> %	3 10%	4 28%	1 21%	12 <i>9</i> %	15 11%	23 11%	4 6%
[2] Fairly important	103 39%b	33 i 58%zb	35 29%	6 27%	13 <i>47%</i>	7 55%	65 <i>36%</i>	38 <i>48%</i>	58 <i>34%</i>	45 51%zi	75 <i>37</i> %	18 <i>54%</i>	4 26%	2 48%	49 <i>38%</i>	54 40%	74 36%	29 51%
[3] Not very important	83 <i>32</i> %	13 <i>24%</i>	48 40%z	5 <i>25%</i>	7 25%	1 10%	61 <i>33%</i>	22 28%	62 <i>36</i> %	21 <i>2</i> 4%	70 34%	8 24%	4 25%	1 <i>28%</i>	37 <i>2</i> 9%	46 34%	66 <i>32%</i>	17 30%
[4] Not at all important	49 19%	6 11%	24 20%	8 <i>37</i> %	6 20%	2 18%	37 <i>20%</i>	12 <i>15</i> %	36 <i>21%</i>	12 14%	42 20%	4 11%	3 21%	-	30 <i>23%</i>	19 <i>14%</i>	41 20%	8 13%
MEAN	2.59	2.37	2.70	2.88	2.58	2.30	2.63	2.48	2.67	2.42	2.66z	2.37	2.39	2.08	2.66	2.51	2.61	2.50
Important	130 50%b	37 ik 66%zb	48 40%	8 <i>38%</i>	15 <i>55%</i>	10 <i>71%</i>	84 <i>46%</i>	46 <i>57</i> %	76 44%	54 62%zi	94 46%	21 <i>64%</i>	8 54%	3 <i>69%</i>	61 <i>48%</i>	68 <i>52%</i>	97 <i>48%</i>	33 <i>57</i> %
Not important	132 50%a	19 j <i>34%</i>	72 60%za	13 a <i>62</i> %	13 <i>45%</i>	4 28%	98 <i>5</i> 4%	34 <i>43%</i>	98 56%zj	34 <i>38</i> %	112 54%z	12 <i>36%</i>	7 46%	1 <i>28%</i>	67 <i>52%</i>	64 <i>48%</i>	107 <i>52%</i>	25 43%
NET important	-2 -1%	18 32%z	-24 -20%	-5 <i>-25%</i>	3 <i>9</i> %	6 <i>43%</i>	-14 <i>-7</i> %	12 15%z	-22 -13%	21 23%z	-18 <i>-9%</i>	10 <i>29%</i>	1 <i>8%</i>	2 40%	-6 -5%	4 3%z	-10 -5%	8 14%z
Don't know	*	-	-	- :	-	* 10/	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 190

		INVOLVED IN P		HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM		QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	261	132	69	162	61	38	147	109	51	209
Weighted Base	261	126*	72*	156	70*	36**	140	117*	54*	207
Effective Base	183	98	44	119	43	23	104	76	38	145
[1] Very important	27	19	3	13	8	6	18	7	5	22
	10%	15%z	<i>5</i> %	<i>8</i> %	12%	17%	13%	6%	10%	10%
[2] Fairly important	103	48	38	48	37	18	57	45	23	79
	39%c	38%	<i>52</i> %	31%	53%zc	50%	40%	39%	43%	<i>38%</i>
[3] Not very	83	37	16	64	12	7	43	38	17	66
important	32%d	29%	<i>23%</i>	41%zd	<i>17</i> %	19%	31%	<i>32</i> %	31%	<i>32%</i>
[4] Not at all important	49	21	15	31	13	5	22	27	9	40
	19%	<i>17</i> %	<i>21%</i>	20%	18%	13%	16%	23%	16%	19%
MEAN	2.59	2.48	2.60	2.73z	2.43	2.28	2.49	2.72	2.53	2.60
Important	130	68	41	61	45	24	75	53	28	100
	50%c	<i>54%</i>	<i>56%</i>	<i>39%</i>	64%zc	68%	54%	<i>45%</i>	<i>53%</i>	<i>49%</i>
Not important	132	59	32	95	25	11	65	65	25	106
	50%d	46%	44%	61%zd	<i>36</i> %	<i>32</i> %	46%	<i>55%</i>	47%	<i>51%</i>
NET important	-2	9	9	-35	20	13	10	-12	3	-6
	-1%	7%z	13%z	<i>-22%</i>	29%z	<i>36</i> %	7%z	-10%	5%z	-3%
Don't know	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 191

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT	CE OF	ACTIV	/E ON				SOCIAL GIVES V		SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI	DOWN			SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE	OLITICAL
	l l	PAR	TIES	SOCIAL	MEDIA	VOTII	NG INFLUE	NCE	PEO	PLE	VOT	ERS	DIVI	SIVE	SUPER	FICIAL
	TOTAL	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	261	143	74	155	72	57	129	150	216	12	161	45	176	32	146	51
Weighted Base	261	147*	70*	147	76*	55*	130*	155	214	13**	164	48*	181	27**	141	52*
Effective Base	183	97	54	110	52	37	87	101	152	10	113	32	124	23	105	32
[1] Very important	27 10%	17 12%	6 <i>9%</i>	20 14%	3 4%	6 11%	27 21%zg	16 11%	26 12%	1 7%	24 14%z	2 5%	20 11%	4 14%	18 <i>13%</i>	6 12%
[2] Fairly important	103 39%k	63 <i>43</i> %	27 39%	50 <i>34%</i>	34 <i>45%</i>	22 40%	103 79%zeg	68 9 44%	84 <i>39%</i>	3 20%	74 45%zk	9 18%	76 <i>42%</i>	8 28%	48 <i>34%</i>	26 51%
[3] Not very important	83 32%f	39 <i>26%</i>	28 <i>39%</i>	51 <i>34%</i>	24 <i>32</i> %	20 36%f	-	51 33%f	65 <i>30%</i>	3 22%	48 <i>29%</i>	17 <i>35%</i>	52 <i>2</i> 9%	6 22%	47 33%	11 22%
[4] Not at all important	49 19%fo	28 gj 19%	9 13%	26 18%	14 19%	7 12%f	-	20 13%f	39 <i>18%</i>	6 50%	18 11%	20 42%zj	33 18%	10 <i>36%</i>	28 <i>20%</i>	8 16%
MEAN	2.59fj	2.52	2.56	2.56	2.65	2.50f	1.79	2.48f	2.55	3.15	2.37	3.14zj	2.54	2.79	2.60	2.42
Important	130 50%k	81 <i>55%</i>	34 <i>48%</i>	71 <i>48%</i>	37 <i>49%</i>	28 51%	130 100%zeg	84 54%	110 <i>51%</i>	3 28%	98 60%zk	11 <i>23%</i>	96 <i>53%</i>	11 <i>42%</i>	66 <i>47%</i>	33 <i>62%</i>
Not important	132 50%fj	66 <i>45</i> %	37 <i>52%</i>	77 52%	38 <i>51%</i>	27 49%f	-	71 46%f	104 <i>49%</i>	9 <i>72%</i>	66 <i>40%</i>	37 77%zj	85 <i>47%</i>	15 <i>58%</i>	75 <i>53%</i>	20 <i>38%</i>
NET important	-2 -1%	14 10%zb	-3 -4%	-6 -4%	-1 -1%	1 <i>3</i> %	130 100%zeg	13 9%z	6 3%z	-6 -45%	32 19%zk	-26 -55%	11 6%z	-4 -15%	-9 -6%	13 25%zn
Don't know	*	-		:	*	:	- 1		:	-	:	:	:	- 1	:	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 192

Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		GEN	NDER			AC	GE.				AGE			SOCIAL G	RADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	875	444	431	99	101	103	123	157	292	200	226	449	292	292	136	155	402	473	189	686
Weighted Base	851	407	443	85*	127*	122*	153*	138	225	212	275	363	280	261	147*	163	483	368	221	630
Effective Base	585	270	316	67	70	80	91	100	192	133	170	290	195	189	92	111	289	315	141	446
[1] Very important	175 <i>21%</i>	83 <i>20%</i>	93 <i>21%</i>	17 20%	25 20%	23 19%	30 19%	31 <i>22%</i>	50 <i>22%</i>	42 20%	53 19%	80 <i>22%</i>	52 19%	55 21%	27 18%	41 25%	87 18%	88 24%z	48 22%	127 20%
[2] Fairly important	407 48%lr	181 <i>44%</i>	226 51%	44 52%	57 45%	61 <i>50%</i>	92 60%zdhi	54 39%	100 <i>44%</i>	100 <i>47</i> %	153 56%zl	154 <i>42%</i>	145 52%o	137 52%o	57 39%	68 <i>42%</i>	245 <i>51%</i>	162 44%	109 <i>49%</i>	298 <i>47</i> %
[3] Not very important	170 20%	93 <i>23%</i>	77 17%	19 <i>23%</i>	34 27%	22 18%	23 15%	34 <i>25%</i>	38 <i>17</i> %	53 <i>25%</i>	45 16%	72 20%	51 <i>18%</i>	48 18%	43 29%zmn	28 p <i>17</i> %	104 <i>22%</i>	66 18%	46 21%	124 20%
[4] Not at all important	97 11%	50 12%	47 11%	5 <i>6</i> %	11 <i>9</i> %	16 <i>13%</i>	9 <i>6%</i>	19 <i>13%</i>	38 17%zc	16 f 8%	25 <i>9</i> %	57 16%zjk	31 11%	21 <i>8%</i>	20 13%	25 16%n	46 10%	51 14%	18 <i>8%</i>	80 13%
MEAN	2.22	2.27	2.18	2.15	2.24	2.26	2.07	2.29	2.29	2.20	2.15	2.29	2.22	2.13	2.38n	2.23	2.23	2.22	2.15	2.25
Important	583 69%lo	264 <i>65%</i>	319 <i>72%</i>	61 <i>71%</i>	82 <i>6</i> 4%	84 <i>69%</i>	122 80%zdhi	85 <i>62%</i>	149 <i>66%</i>	143 <i>67%</i>	206 75%zl	234 <i>65%</i>	197 71%o	192 73%o	84 57%	109 <i>67%</i>	332 <i>6</i> 9%	251 <i>68%</i>	157 <i>71%</i>	425 68%
Not important	268 31%bi	144 k <i>3</i> 5%	124 <i>28%</i>	24 <i>2</i> 9%	45 36%f	38 <i>31%</i>	31 20%	53 38%f	76 34%f	69 <i>33</i> %	70 <i>25%</i>	128 35%zk	83 <i>2</i> 9%	69 <i>26%</i>	63 43%zmn	53 <i>33</i> %	151 <i>31%</i>	117 <i>32%</i>	64 29%	204 <i>32%</i>
NET important	315 37%a	120 nlo <i>30%</i>	195 44%za	37 43%h	37 29%	46 <i>37</i> %	90 59%zcde hi	33 24%	73 <i>33</i> %	73 <i>35%</i>	136 49%zjl	106 <i>2</i> 9%	115 41%0	122 47%zop	21 <i>15</i> %	56 35%o	182 <i>38%</i>	133 <i>36%</i>	94 <i>42%</i>	222 35%
Don't know	*	-	:	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	:	-		-	-	-	:	-	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 193
Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		ACCE	SS TO RNET		TENU	JRE			GOVERN	MENT (OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV	WSPAPER ERSHIP
	TOTAL	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS (i)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (o)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)		NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	875	808	67	395	217	112	146	134	74	204	208	158	97	756	118	196	174	321	118	103	161	375	117	184
Weighted Base	851	778	73*	329	261	97*	157*	76	37*	204	210	225	98*	769	81*	186	163	273	178*	95*	135	390	93*	177
Effective Base	585	538	47	261	159	78	94	100	55	152	150	118	61	509	88	140	122	222	84	70	105	253	78	109
[1] Very important	175 <i>2</i> 1%	160 <i>21%</i>	15 21%	70 21%	51 19%	23 <i>23%</i>	32 20%	14 18%	10 <i>27%</i>	53 26%k	46 22%	36 16%	17 <i>17</i> %	165 <i>21%</i>	11 13%	48 26%q	30 19%	45 16%	42 24%	23 24%	31 <i>23</i> %	81 <i>21%</i>	25 <i>26%</i>	44 25%
[2] Fairly important	407 48%fo	372 48%	36 49%	159 48%f	145 56%zf	45 47%	55 <i>35%</i>	36 48%	16 <i>43%</i>	86 <i>42%</i>	104 <i>49%</i>	114 <i>51%</i>	51 <i>52</i> %	362 47%	45 56%	70 <i>38%</i>	89 54%o	151 55%zo r	74 41%	41 <i>43%</i>	64 <i>47%</i>	193 <i>50%</i>	39 <i>42%</i>	73 41%
[3] Not very important	170 20%	158 <i>20%</i>	12 17%	62 19%	46 18%	19 19%	42 27%	14 18%	9 <i>23%</i>	39 19%	39 19%	45 20%	24 <i>2</i> 5%	155 <i>20%</i>	15 19%	43 <i>23%</i>	29 18%	53 19%	29 16%	17 17%	24 18%	79 <i>20%</i>	20 <i>22%</i>	35 <i>20%</i>
[4] Not at all important	97 11%d	87 11%	10 14%	39 <i>12</i> %	19 <i>7%</i>	10 11%	28 18%zd	12 15%l	3 <i>7%</i>	26 13%	21 10%	30 13%	5 <i>6</i> %	87 11%	10 <i>12%</i>	24 13%	15 <i>9%</i>	24 9%	33 19%z	15 16%	16 <i>12</i> %	37 <i>9</i> %	9 10%	25 14%
MEAN	2.22	2.22	2.24	2.21	2.13	2.17	2.43z d	2.31	2.10	2.19	2.17	2.31	2.19	2.21	2.29	2.24	2.18	2.20	2.30	2.25	2.19	2.19	2.15	2.22
Important	583 69%f	532 <i>68%</i>	51 <i>69%</i>	229 69%f	196 75%zf	68 70%f	86 <i>55%</i>	50 66%	26 <i>70%</i>	139 <i>68%</i>	149 <i>71%</i>	150 <i>67%</i>	68 <i>70%</i>	527 <i>69%</i>	56 <i>69%</i>	118 <i>63</i> %	119 <i>73%</i>	196 <i>72%</i>	116 <i>65%</i>	63 <i>67%</i>	95 <i>70%</i>	274 70%	64 <i>68%</i>	117 <i>66%</i>
Not important	268 31%d	245 <i>32</i> %	22 31%	101 <i>31%</i>	65 <i>25%</i>	29 <i>30%</i>	70 45%zc de	26 34%	11 30%	66 <i>32%</i>	60 <i>29%</i>	75 <i>33</i> %	30 <i>30%</i>	242 31%	25 31%	68 <i>37</i> %	44 27%	77 28%	62 <i>35</i> %	31 <i>33</i> %	40 30%	116 <i>30%</i>	30 <i>32%</i>	59 <i>34</i> %
NET important	315 37%fo	287 <i>37</i> %	28 <i>3</i> 9%	128 39%f	131 50%zct	39 40%f	16 10%	25 <i>32</i> %	15 40%	73 36%	89 <i>42%</i>	75 <i>33</i> %	39 <i>39%</i>	285 <i>37</i> %	31 <i>38%</i>	50 <i>27%</i>	75 46%zo r	119 0 44%zo r	54 <i>30</i> %	32 <i>34</i> %	55 40%	158 <i>41%</i>	34 <i>37</i> %	58 <i>33</i> %
Don't know	*	:	:	1 :	*	- :	- :	*	- :	:	- :	:	- 1	*	- :	:	*	:	- :	-	-	- :	:	*

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 194

Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	IPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STRE	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	875	248	314	61	89	54	571	304	551	324	623	133	74	41	378	497	602	273
Weighted Base	851	253	296	63*	72*	51*	528	323	501	350	597	129*	80*	39*	347	504	570	281
Effective Base	585	162	224	41	62	41	394	197	381	212	418	90	46	32	263	326	404	182
[1] Very important	175 21%l	56 <i>22</i> %	61 <i>21%</i>	10 16%	16 <i>22%</i>	9 18%	111 <i>21%</i>	64 20%	109 <i>22%</i>	66 19%	142 24%zl	17 13%	12 15%	4 11%	78 <i>22%</i>	97 19%	125 <i>22%</i>	50 18%
[2] Fairly important	407 48%f	131 52%f	158 53%zf	25 39%	30 41%	15 <i>30</i> %	265 <i>50%</i>	143 <i>44%</i>	244 49%	164 <i>47</i> %	292 49%	67 <i>52%</i>	35 <i>43</i> %	14 <i>35</i> %	165 <i>47%</i>	243 48%	288 <i>51%</i>	119 <i>43%</i>
[3] Not very important	170 20%0	47 18%	54 18%	13 <i>21%</i>	14 19%	15 <i>30%</i>	95 18%	75 23%	94 19%	76 22%	109 <i>18%</i>	31 <i>24%</i>	17 21%	12 <i>30%</i>	68 <i>20%</i>	102 <i>20%</i>	99 17%	71 25%zq
[4] Not at all important	97 11%b	19 k <i>8</i> %	24 8%	15 23%za b	12 17%al	11 o 21%a	57 b 11%	41 13%	54 11%	43 12%	54 9%	14 11%	16 20%k	9 24%zk	37 11%	61 <i>12%</i>	58 10%	40 14%
MEAN	2.22kq	2.11	2.13	2.51z ab	2.32	2.54za b	2.18	2.29	2.19	2.28	2.13	2.34k	2.47k	2.67zk	2.18	2.25	2.16	2.36zq
Important	583 69%fi	187 nr 74%cf	219 74%zc	35 f <i>55</i> %	45 <i>63%</i>	25 48%	376 71%z	207 <i>64%</i>	353 <i>70%</i>	230 <i>66%</i>	434 73%zm	83 n <i>65%</i>	47 58%	18 <i>46%</i>	242 <i>7</i> 0%	340 <i>68%</i>	413 73%zr	169 <i>60%</i>
Not important	268 31%b	66 gkq <i>26%</i>	77 26%	28 45%ab	26 37%	26 51%z	152 ab <i>29%</i>	116 <i>36%</i>	148 <i>30%</i>	120 <i>34%</i>	163 <i>27%</i>	46 <i>35%</i>	34 42%k	21 53%zk	104 <i>30%</i>	163 <i>32</i> %	156 <i>27%</i>	111 40%zq
NET important	315 37%c mnr	121 fhj 48%zo f	142 e 48%zc	7 ef 11%f	19 27%f	-1 -3%	224 43%zh	91 <i>28%</i>	205 41%zj	110 <i>32</i> %	271 45%zlm	38 nn 29%n	13 16%n	-3 -7%	138 <i>40%</i>	177 <i>35%</i>	257 45%zr	58 21%
Don't know	*	-	-	-	-	1%	-	*	:	*	-	-	-	* 1%	-	*	-	*

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 195
Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	875	317	318	468	293	114	490	365	239	629
Weighted Base	851	319	298	434	309	108*	487	343	258	584
Effective Base	585	218	205	304	204	78	320	250	153	432
[1] Very important	175	87	47	84	74	18	111	62	52	119
	21%b	27%zb	16%	19%	24%	<i>16</i> %	<i>2</i> 3%	18%	20%	<i>20%</i>
[2] Fairly	407	145	135	227	133	48	233	166	133	272
important	48%	<i>45%</i>	<i>45%</i>	52%zd	<i>43%</i>	44%	48%	<i>48%</i>	<i>52%</i>	47%
[3] Not very	170	55	66	85	65	20	93	69	55	113
important	20%	17%	<i>22</i> %	<i>20%</i>	<i>21%</i>	19%	19%	<i>20%</i>	21%	<i>19%</i>
[4] Not at all important	97	32	49	38	38	22	50	46	18	80
	11%c	h <i>10%</i>	17%za	<i>9</i> %	12%	20%zc	10%	13%	<i>7</i> %	14%zh
MEAN	2.22a	2.10	2.40za	2.18	2.22	2.43zc	2.17	2.29	2.15	2.26
Important	583	232	183	311	206	65	344	228	186	391
	69%b	73%b	<i>61%</i>	<i>72%</i>	<i>67%</i>	<i>61%</i>	<i>7</i> 1%	<i>66%</i>	<i>72%</i>	<i>67%</i>
Not important	268	87	116	123	103	42	143	115	72	193
	31%	<i>27</i> %	39%za	<i>28%</i>	<i>33</i> %	39%	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>34</i> %	28%	<i>33%</i>
NET important	315	144	67	188	104	23	201	113	113	198
	37%b	ei 45%zb	<i>22</i> %	43%zde	<i>34%</i>	<i>22</i> %	41%zg	<i>33%</i>	44%zi	<i>34%</i>
Don't know	*	-		-	-	*	-	-	-	*

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 196

Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTI\ SOCIAL	-	voтц	NG INFLUE		SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	DLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	875	499	221	222	206	229	97	598	532	101	372	201	481	110	460	131
Weighted Base	851	459	227	206	205	220	101*	583	508	94*	367	190	456	96*	433	123*
Effective Base	585	326	148	159	137	148	64	401	357	75	260	127	320	80	306	78
[1] Very important	175 <i>21%</i>	105 <i>23%</i>	36 16%	41 <i>20%</i>	48 <i>24%</i>	78 35%z	33 33%z	175 30%z	117 <i>2</i> 3%	14 14%	90 25%z	37 20%	104 <i>23%</i>	16 <i>17</i> %	103 <i>2</i> 4%	22 18%
[2] Fairly important	407 48%i	228 <i>50%</i>	117 <i>51%</i>	98 <i>48%</i>	99 <i>48%</i>	128 58%z	52 51%	407 70%ze	256 f 50%i	34 <i>36</i> %	191 <i>52%</i>	85 <i>45%</i>	230 <i>51%</i>	38 <i>40%</i>	205 <i>47%</i>	59 48%
[3] Not very important	170 20%aef hjl	78 g <i>17</i> %	49 22%	45 22%	36 17%	13 6%g	11 11%g	-	87 17%	25 26%	48 13%	41 22%j	74 16%	26 27%l	86 <i>20%</i>	16 13%
[4] Not at all important	97 11%egh	48 1 10%	25 11%	22 11%	22 11%	2 1%	6 6%eg	:	48 9%	21 23%zh	38 10%	26 14%	48 11%	16 <i>16</i> %	39 <i>9</i> %	26 21%zn
MEAN	2.22aef ghjln	2.15	2.27	2.23	2.16	1.72	1.89g	1.70	2.13	2.58zh	2.09	2.30j	2.15	2.44zl	2.14	2.38n
Important	583 69%im	333 73%z	153 <i>67%</i>	140 <i>68%</i>	147 <i>72%</i>	205 93%zf	84 84%z	583 100%ze	374 f 73%zi	47 51%	281 77%zk	122 <i>64%</i>	334 73%zm	54 <i>56%</i>	308 <i>71%</i>	81 <i>66</i> %
Not important	268 31%aef hjl	125 g <i>27%</i>	74 33%	67 <i>32%</i>	58 <i>28%</i>	15 7%g	16 16%eg	-	135 <i>27%</i>	46 49%zh	86 <i>23%</i>	68 36%j	122 <i>2</i> 7%	42 44%zl	125 <i>2</i> 9%	42 34%
NET important	315 37%ikm	208 1 45%zb	79 <i>35%</i>	73 <i>35</i> %	89 <i>43%</i>	190 86%zf	68 67%z	583 100%ze	239 f 47%zi	1 1%	195 53%zk	55 <i>29%</i>	212 46%zm	12 12%	182 42%z	39 <i>31%</i>
Don't know	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 197

Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		GEN	IDER			AC	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	242	118	124	55	48	37	34	33	35	103	71	68	100	76	38	28	139	103	68	174
Weighted Base	238	112*	126*	44*	60*	45*	43**	24**	22**	104*	87*	46*	102*	66*	40**	30**	172	66*	77*	161
Effective Base	171	76	95	38	39	30	24	25	24	75	53	49	70	52	28	21	108	76	51	120
[1] Very important	14 6%n	7 1 6%	8 <i>6</i> %	5 11%	4 6%	3 <i>8</i> %	2 4%	1 <i>3</i> %	-	9 <i>8%</i>	5 <i>6%</i>	1 2%	2 2%	5 <i>8</i> %	4 10%	3 10%	11 <i>7</i> %	3 5%	4 5%	11 <i>7</i> %
[2] Fairly important	98 41%	44 39%	54 <i>43%</i>	19 <i>43%</i>	24 40%	19 <i>43%</i>	16 <i>37</i> %	10 <i>39%</i>	10 <i>45</i> %	43 <i>42%</i>	35 40%	19 <i>42</i> %	43 <i>42</i> %	29 44%	13 <i>33</i> %	13 <i>43%</i>	68 <i>39%</i>	30 46%	33 <i>43%</i>	65 40%
[3] Not very important	89 <i>37</i> %	42 <i>37</i> %	47 38%	17 39%	22 37%	14 <i>33</i> %	20 47%	9 <i>37</i> %	6 <i>26</i> %	40 <i>38%</i>	34 <i>3</i> 9%	15 <i>32</i> %	42 42%	21 <i>31%</i>	17 41%	9 <i>32%</i>	69 <i>40%</i>	20 31%	28 <i>36%</i>	61 <i>38%</i>
[4] Not at all important	37 15%	20 18%	17 13%	3 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>16%</i>	7 17%	5 12%	5 20%	6 <i>2</i> 9%	12 12%	13 <i>15%</i>	11 25%z	14 14%	12 17%	7 16%	4 15%	25 14%	12 18%	12 16%	24 15%
MEAN	2.62	2.66	2.58	2.40	2.64	2.59	2.68	2.74	2.85	2.54	2.63	2.79	2.67	2.58	2.64	2.51	2.62	2.63	2.64	2.61
Important	113 <i>47</i> %	51 <i>45</i> %	62 <i>49%</i>	24 55%	28 46%	23 51%	18 <i>41%</i>	10 <i>43</i> %	10 <i>45</i> %	52 50%	40 46%	20 44%	45 44%	34 <i>52</i> %	17 42%	16 <i>54</i> %	79 46%	33 51%	37 48%	76 47%
Not important	126 <i>53%</i>	62 <i>55</i> %	64 <i>51%</i>	20 <i>45%</i>	32 54%	22 49%	25 59%	14 <i>57</i> %	12 55%	52 50%	47 54%	26 <i>56</i> %	57 56%	32 48%	23 58%	14 46%	93 <i>5</i> 4%	32 49%	40 52%	86 <i>53%</i>
NET important	-13 -6%	-11 -10%	-2 -2%	4 9%	-4 -7%	1 1%	-8 -18%	-4 -15%	-2 -11%	*	-7 -8%	-6 -13%	-11 -11%	2 <i>3</i> %	-6 -15%	2 7%	-14 <i>-8%</i>	1 2%	-3 -4%	-10 -6%
Don't know	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 198

Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		ACCE	SS TO RNET		TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	ETHN	ICITY		EDUCA	TION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NE	WSPAPER RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	242	241	1	76	73	25	66	43	13	45	60	31	50	202	40	41	60	122	5	23	33	128	41	40
Weighted Base	238	238	1**	61*	87*	18**	69*	25*	7**	43*	60*	49**	53*	208	30**	42*	58*	118*	7**	13**	33**	137*	40**	38**
Effective Base	171	170	1	51	56	16	48	33	10	35	45	24	38	144	29	33	38	86	4	19	22	95	26	27
[1] Very important	14 <i>6</i> %	14 <i>6</i> %	-	3 <i>4</i> %	7 9%	-	4 6%	* 1%	1 12%	5 12%	6 10%	1 2%	2 <i>3</i> %	11 <i>5</i> %	3 11%	3 <i>7</i> %	4 7%	5 4%	2 27%	-	2 7%	9 <i>6%</i>	3 <i>7</i> %	3 <i>8</i> %
[2] Fairly important	98 41%o	98 41%	-	30 <i>49%</i>	36 <i>42%</i>	7 39%	25 <i>37</i> %	15 58%z	2 21%	18 <i>42</i> %	26 44%	17 <i>35</i> %	20 <i>38%</i>	82 <i>39%</i>	16 <i>55</i> %	9 21%	27 46%o	53 45‰	5 <i>65</i> %	7 53%	12 <i>36%</i>	58 <i>42%</i>	18 <i>46%</i>	13 34%
[3] Not very important	89 <i>37%</i>	88 <i>37%</i>	1 100%	21 <i>34</i> %	34 <i>39%</i>	5 30%	25 <i>37</i> %	8 30%	2 27%	19 <i>45%</i>	18 <i>31%</i>	16 <i>32%</i>	26 <i>48%</i>	83 <i>40%</i>	6 <i>22</i> %	15 <i>35</i> %	23 40%	45 <i>38%</i>	-	3 <i>23%</i>	11 <i>34%</i>	56 41%	12 <i>2</i> 9%	16 <i>43</i> %
[4] Not at all important	37 15%iu	37 1 <i>5%</i>	-	8 13%	9 10%	6 31%	14 20%	3 10%	3 40%	1 2%	9 16%i	15 <i>30%</i>	6 11%	33 16%	4 13%	15 37%z	4 oq <i>7</i> %	15 13%	1 <i>8</i> %	3 24%	8 24%	14 11%	7 17%	6 16%
MEAN	2.62i	2.62	3.00	2.56	2.51	2.92	2.71	2.50	2.95	2.37	2.53	2.92	2.66	2.66	2.35	3.01z pq	2.46	2.59	1.89	2.72	2.74	2.56	2.56	2.67
Important	113 47%0	113 <i>47</i> %	-	32 <i>53%</i>	44 51%	7 39%	30 <i>43%</i>	15 <i>59%</i>	2 33%	23 53%	32 54%	18 <i>37</i> %	22 41%	93 <i>45%</i>	20 <i>66</i> %	12 <i>28</i> %	31 53%o	58 49%o	7 92%	7 53%	14 <i>43%</i>	66 <i>48%</i>	21 <i>53%</i>	16 <i>41%</i>
Not important	126 <i>53%</i>	125 <i>53%</i>	1 100%	28 47%	43 49%	11 <i>61%</i>	39 <i>57</i> %	10 41%	5 <i>67</i> %	20 47%	28 46%	31 <i>63%</i>	32 59%	115 <i>55%</i>	10 <i>34</i> %	30 72%zp q	27 0 47%	60 <i>51%</i>	1 <i>8</i> %	6 47%	19 <i>57</i> %	71 <i>52</i> %	19 <i>47%</i>	23 59%
NET important	-13 <i>-6%</i>	-13 <i>-5%</i>	-1 -100%	4 6%	1 1%	-4 -22%	-10 -14%	5 19%	-3 -34%	3 <i>7</i> %	4 7%	-13 <i>-26%</i>	-10 -18%	-23 -11%	9 <i>32</i> %	-18 <i>-43%</i>	4 6%q	-1 -1%	6 <i>8</i> 4%	1 5%	-5 -14%	-4 -3%	3 <i>7</i> %	-7 -17%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 199

221_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media

		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STRE	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	242	53	114	13	27	10	180	62	174	68	192	29	11	9	125	117	197	45
Weighted Base	238	53*	109*	15**	21**	14**	169	69*	162	76*	177	35**	12**	11**	115*	123*	186	52*
Effective Base	171	38	80	8	19	9	125	46	119	51	134	22	8	8	85	86	137	34
[1] Very important	14 6%	4 8%	5 <i>5</i> %	-	* 2%	4 27%	12 <i>7</i> %	2 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	6 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	-	4 33%	2 18%	7 6%	7 6%	12 <i>6</i> %	2 5%
[2] Fairly important	98 41%	15 28%	53 49%a	3 18%	13 <i>61%</i>	4 25%	71 <i>42%</i>	28 40%	73 45%	25 33%	70 40%	25 <i>72%</i>	1 7%	2 14%	52 <i>45</i> %	47 38%	79 <i>42</i> %	19 <i>37</i> %
[3] Not very important	89 <i>37</i> %	21 40%	39 <i>36%</i>	8 51%	4 21%	7 48%	62 <i>37</i> %	27 39%	63 <i>39%</i>	26 <i>35%</i>	71 <i>40%</i>	7 20%	3 <i>2</i> 7%	8 <i>68%</i>	42 <i>36%</i>	47 38%	67 <i>36</i> %	22 43%
[4] Not at all important	37 15%i	13 25%b	11 10%	5 31%	3 16%	-	24 14%	12 18%	18 11%	18 24%i	27 15%	3 <i>9</i> %	4 33%	-	15 13%	22 18%	29 16%	8 15%
MEAN	2.62	2.82	2.51	3.13	2.52	2.21	2.58	2.71	2.56	2.74	2.66	2.37	2.59	2.50	2.55	2.68	2.60	2.68
Important	113 <i>47</i> %	19 <i>35%</i>	59 <i>54%</i>	3 18%	13 <i>63%</i>	7 52%	83 <i>49%</i>	30 <i>43%</i>	81 <i>50%</i>	31 <i>41%</i>	79 45%	25 72%	5 40%	4 32%	59 <i>51%</i>	54 44%	91 <i>49%</i>	22 42%
Not important	126 <i>5</i> 3%	34 <i>65%</i>	50 <i>46%</i>	12 <i>82</i> %	8 <i>37</i> %	7 48%	87 <i>51%</i>	39 <i>57%</i>	81 <i>50%</i>	45 <i>5</i> 9%	98 <i>55%</i>	10 <i>28%</i>	7 60%	8 <i>68%</i>	56 <i>49%</i>	69 <i>56%</i>	96 <i>51%</i>	30 <i>58%</i>
NET important	-13 -6%	-16 <i>-30%</i>	9 <i>8</i> %	-10 <i>-64%</i>	6 <i>26</i> %	1 5%	-4 -2%	-9 -13%	*	-13 <i>-17</i> %	-19 <i>-11%</i>	15 <i>43%</i>	-2 -20%	-4 -36%	2 <i>2</i> %	-16 <i>-13%</i>	-5 -3%	-8 -16%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 200

221_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	242	119	68	149	61	32	130	109	57	184
Weighted Base	238	115*	72*	141	64*	33**	123*	113*	60*	177
Effective Base	171	89	46	105	46	20	96	74	41	128
[1] Very important	14 <i>6</i> %	10 <i>8</i> %	3 4%	7 5%	6 10%	2 5%	11 <i>9</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	7 12%	7 4%
[2] Fairly important	98 41%d	45 39%	32 44%	71 51%zd	18 <i>28%</i>	9 <i>26%</i>	45 <i>36%</i>	53 47%	22 37%	76 43%
[3] Not very important	89 <i>37</i> %	46 40%	20 <i>28%</i>	47 33%	28 44%	14 44%	45 36%	42 37%	19 <i>31%</i>	69 <i>39%</i>
[4] Not at all important	37 15%	14 <i>12</i> %	17 <i>23</i> %	17 <i>12%</i>	12 18%	8 <i>25%</i>	22 18%	14 13%	12 <i>2</i> 1%	24 14%
MEAN	2.62c	2.56	2.70	2.52	2.71	2.88	2.63	2.60	2.61	2.62
Important	113 <i>47</i> %	55 <i>48%</i>	35 <i>49</i> %	78 55%z	24 38%	10 <i>32%</i>	56 <i>46%</i>	56 <i>50%</i>	29 48%	83 <i>47%</i>
Not important	126 53%c	60 <i>52%</i>	37 51%	63 <i>45%</i>	40 <i>62%</i>	22 68%	67 <i>54%</i>	57 50%	31 <i>52%</i>	93 <i>53%</i>
NET important	-13 -6%	-5 -4%	-2 -3%	15 10%z	-16 <i>-25%</i>	-12 <i>-37%</i>	-10 <i>-8%</i>	*	-2 -4%	-10 <i>-6%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 201

221_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI	ENCE	GIVES V	MEDIA OICE TO OPLE	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	242	129	78	140	74	72	66	150	190	18	145	46	162	24	146	42
Weighted Base	238	121*	83*	133*	77*	70*	68*	146	191	16**	145	45*	165	19**	146	38**
Effective Base	171	85	60	97	53	47	45	103	136	13	105	31	116	17	105	28
[1] Very important	14 <i>6</i> %	8 7%	5 5%	9 <i>7</i> %	5 <i>6</i> %	8 11%	5 <i>8</i> %	10 <i>7</i> %	14 <i>7</i> %	-	10 <i>7</i> %	2 5%	13 <i>8</i> %	-	9 <i>6</i> %	-
[2] Fairly important	98 41%k	54 <i>45%</i>	31 <i>38%</i>	62 47%	30 <i>39%</i>	35 <i>50%</i>	39 57%z	78 53%z	83 44%	3 17%	69 47%zk	9 19%	76 46%	4 22%	59 40%	18 <i>47</i> %
[3] Not very important	89 37%fg	37 jl <i>30%</i>	36 44%	44 33%	29 <i>37</i> %	23 <i>32%</i>	14 20%	46 31%	65 <i>34%</i>	9 <i>55%</i>	43 <i>30%</i>	24 53%j	53 <i>32</i> %	10 <i>52%</i>	53 <i>36%</i>	14 <i>36</i> %
[4] Not at all important	37 15%eg	22 1 <i>8</i> %	11 <i>13</i> %	18 <i>13%</i>	13 <i>17</i> %	5 <i>7</i> %	10 <i>15%</i>	13 <i>9</i> %	28 15%	4 28%	23 16%	10 <i>23%</i>	23 14%	5 26%	26 18%	7 17%
MEAN	2.62egl	2.60	2.65	2.53	2.65	2.36	2.42	2.42	2.56	3.10	2.55	2.93zj	2.52	3.04	2.66	2.70
Important	113 47%k	62 51%	36 <i>43%</i>	71 <i>53%</i>	35 <i>45%</i>	42 61%z	45 65%z	88 60%z	97 <i>51%</i>	3 17%	79 54%zk	11 <i>25%</i>	89 54%z	4 22%	68 <i>46%</i>	18 <i>47</i> %
Not important	126 53%ef	59 gj <i>49%</i>	47 57%	62 <i>47</i> %	42 55%	27 39%	24 35%	59 40%	93 <i>49%</i>	13 <i>83</i> %	66 <i>46%</i>	34 75%zj	76 46%	15 <i>78%</i>	79 <i>5</i> 4%	20 53%
NET important	-13 <i>-6%</i>	3 <i>3</i> %	-11 -14%	9 7%d	-7 -9%	15 21%z	21 30%z	29 20%z	4 2%	-10 <i>-65%</i>	12 <i>9</i> %	-23 -51%	13 <i>8%</i>	-10 <i>-55%</i>	-11 <i>-8%</i>	-2 -6%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 202

021_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from

a post on social media
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		GEN	IDER			AG	ìΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSEI	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	391	222	169	54	57	56	71	69	84	111	127	153	183	127	40	41	216	175	102	289
Weighted Base	388	209	179	40*	78*	68*	90*	59*	54*	118*	157*	113	193	115*	42**	39*	267	121	118*	270
Effective Base	266	136	130	41	41	46	52	44	64	74	97	102	121	87	29	31	158	128	77	189
[1] Very important	76 20%t	40 19%	36 <i>20%</i>	10 <i>26%</i>	13 <i>16%</i>	16 <i>23%</i>	21 <i>23%</i>	10 <i>17</i> %	7 12%	23 20%	36 <i>23%</i>	17 15%	43 22%	23 20%	6 13%	6 14%	54 20%	22 18%	33 28%zt	43 16%
[2] Fairly important	197 <i>51%</i>	105 <i>50%</i>	92 <i>52%</i>	21 51%	43 56%	35 <i>52%</i>	42 47%	27 45%	29 <i>54%</i>	64 <i>54%</i>	77 49%	56 <i>49%</i>	100 <i>52%</i>	63 <i>55%</i>	20 <i>47%</i>	14 <i>35%</i>	135 <i>51%</i>	62 51%	56 48%	141 <i>52</i> %
[3] Not very important	81 <i>21%</i>	45 <i>22%</i>	36 <i>20%</i>	8 21%	17 <i>22</i> %	13 19%	20 <i>2</i> 3%	15 <i>2</i> 4%	9 16%	25 21%	33 <i>2</i> 1%	23 <i>2</i> 1%	34 17%	24 21%	12 <i>2</i> 9%	11 <i>28%</i>	59 <i>22%</i>	23 19%	23 19%	59 <i>22</i> %
[4] Not at all important	32 <i>8</i> %	18 <i>9</i> %	13 <i>7</i> %	1 1%	4 5%	3 4%	7 7%	8 14%c	9 17%zc	5 e 4%	9 <i>6</i> %	17 15%zjk	15 8%	4 4%	4 10%	8 21%zn	18 nn <i>7</i> %	14 12%	4 3%	27 10%
MEAN	2.18s	2.20	2.15	1.97	2.16	2.03	2.15	2.35c	2.39zce	2.10	2.10	2.37zjk	2.11	2.09	2.36	2.56zmn	2.15	2.24	1.98	2.26zs
Important	273 70%p	144 <i>6</i> 9%	129 <i>72%</i>	31 <i>78%</i>	56 <i>72%</i>	51 <i>75%</i>	63 <i>70%</i>	37 <i>62%</i>	36 <i>67%</i>	87 <i>7</i> 4%	114 <i>72%</i>	72 64%	143 74%p	86 75%p	25 61%	19 <i>49%</i>	189 <i>71%</i>	84 <i>69%</i>	90 <i>76%</i>	184 <i>68%</i>
Not important	113 29%	63 <i>30%</i>	49 <i>28%</i>	9 <i>22</i> %	21 <i>27</i> %	15 <i>23%</i>	27 30%	23 38%	18 <i>33%</i>	30 <i>25%</i>	43 27%	41 <i>36</i> %	49 <i>25%</i>	29 <i>25</i> %	16 <i>39%</i>	19 49%zn	76 nn <i>29%</i>	37 <i>30</i> %	27 23%	86 <i>32</i> %
NET important	160 41%h	81 lpt <i>39%</i>	79 44%	22 56%zhi	35 45%h	36 53%hi	35 39%	14 <i>2</i> 4%	18 <i>33%</i>	57 49%l	71 45%l	32 <i>28</i> %	94 49%zp	57 50%p	9 21%	*	113 <i>42%</i>	47 39%	63 53%zt	98 <i>36%</i>
Don't know	2 1%t	1 1%	1	*	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	- 1	1 1%	*	-	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 203

Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from

a post on social media
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		ACCE			TEN	URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION	1	ETHNI	CITY		EDUCA	TION		ANN	UAL INCO	ME	DAILY NEV	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH	LONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	391	387	4	159	118	34	75	62	23	80	94	65	67	336	54	60	88	206	20	34	55	224	69	48
Weighted Base	388	386	2**	130	151*	25**	77*	38*	12**	72*	95*	99*	72*	351	37*	58*	88*	194	33**	23**	48*	250	61*	51**
Effective Base	266	264	4	108	94	22	45	46	17	62	72	51	42	232	38	41	62	145	13	25	36	158	46	25
[1] Very important	76 20%p	76 20%	-	25 19%	32 <i>2</i> 1%	4 18%	15 19%	9 <i>23%</i>	3 21%	12 16%	19 <i>20%</i>	19 19%	16 <i>22</i> %	68 19%	8 <i>23</i> %	11 19%	10 11%	47 24%p	5 16%	2 8%	3 <i>7</i> %	58 23%t	10 16%	12 24%
[2] Fairly important	197 <i>51%</i>	197 <i>51%</i>	-	67 <i>52%</i>	83 <i>55%</i>	12 46%	32 41%	19 <i>51%</i>	8 <i>63%</i>	38 <i>53%</i>	51 <i>53%</i>	47 47%	34 <i>47</i> %	175 <i>50%</i>	22 61%	23 40%	56 63%zo	96 <i>50%</i>	17 51%	11 <i>47</i> %	24 50%	130 <i>52%</i>	29 <i>47%</i>	20 39%
[3] Not very important	81 <i>21%</i>	80 <i>21%</i>	1 56%	22 17%	28 18%	7 28%	23 <i>30%</i>	7 18%	1 12%	16 <i>22%</i>	19 <i>20%</i>	20 <i>20%</i>	19 <i>26</i> %	77 22%	4 11%	14 <i>2</i> 5%	19 <i>22%</i>	35 18%	7 21%	6 <i>27</i> %	11 <i>23</i> %	49 <i>20%</i>	11 18%	12 24%
[4] Not at all important	32 8%u	31 <i>8%</i>	* 11%	15 12%d	6 4%	2 8%	7 9%	2 5%	* 3%	6 <i>8%</i>	6 <i>7</i> %	14 14%	3 5%	30 <i>9%</i>	1 4%	9 16%p	2 <i>3</i> %	15 <i>8</i> %	4 12%	4 18%	10 20%zu	11 <i>5</i> %	11 18%z	7 14%
MEAN	2.18u	2.17	3.16	2.21	2.06	2.27	2.29	2.06	1.97	2.22	2.13	2.29	2.14	2.20	1.95	2.38	2.16	2.10	2.30	2.54	2.56zu	2.06	2.38	2.28
Important	273 70%	273 71%	-	93 <i>71%</i>	115 <i>76%</i>	16 <i>64%</i>	47 61%	28 <i>73%</i>	10 <i>85%</i>	50 <i>69%</i>	70 <i>74%</i>	65 <i>66%</i>	50 <i>69</i> %	242 69%	31 <i>84</i> %	34 58%	65 74%	143 <i>74%</i>	22 66%	13 <i>55%</i>	27 57%	188 75%zt	39 <i>64%</i>	32 <i>62%</i>
Not important	113 29%n	111 u <i>29%</i>	1 <i>67</i> %	37 <i>2</i> 9%	34 <i>22</i> %	9 <i>36</i> %	30 39%d	9 <i>23%</i>	2 15%	21 <i>30%</i>	25 <i>26%</i>	33 <i>34%</i>	22 31%	107 30%n	5 14%	23 40%	21 <i>24%</i>	51 <i>26%</i>	11 <i>34%</i>	10 <i>45%</i>	21 43%u	60 <i>24%</i>	22 36%	19 <i>38%</i>
NET important	160 41%fr otv	162 n 42%z	-1 -67%	55 43%f	81 54%zf	7 28%	16 <i>21%</i>	19 <i>50%</i>	8 69%	29 40%	45 47%	32 <i>32</i> %	27 38%	136 <i>39%</i>	25 69%z	11 m <i>18%</i>	44 50%o	93 48%zo	11 33%	2 11%	7 14%	127 51%zt	17 <i>27</i> %	13 25%
Don't know	2 1%a	1 *	1 <i>33</i> %	-	2 1%	-	-	1 3%z	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 *	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 204

Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREM	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE		LIB DEM	-	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	CERTAIN	LIKELY	UNĻĪĶĒLY	CERTA -INLY NOT	STRONG	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED
	(z) 391	(a)	(b) 161	(c) 27	(e) 43	(f) 14	(g) 313	(h) 78	(1)	(j) 80	(k) 327	(l) 40	(m) 13	(n) 10	(o) 212	(p) 179	(q) 346	(r) 45
Unweighted Base	388	116*	155	34**	43 35*	14**	298	78 90*	296	80 92*	327	40 42**	13**	12**	204	179	346	45
Weighted Base Effective Base	266	78	117	19	31	10	296	90 51	296	53	219	30	9	8	145	121	233	33
[1] Very important	76	22	33	3	8	5	62	14	70	53 6	64	6	4	2	55	21	73	3
[1] very important	20%j		21%	8%	23%	36%	21%	16%	24%zj	7%	20%	15%	31%	17%	27%zp	11%	21%r	6%
[2] Fairly important	197 51%i	66 <i>57%</i>	81 <i>52%</i>	13 <i>37</i> %	17 48%	5 38%	148 <i>50%</i>	49 <i>55</i> %	138 <i>47</i> %	58 64%zi	158 <i>49%</i>	28 <i>68%</i>	6 48%	5 46%	92 <i>45%</i>	104 <i>57%</i>	169 <i>49%</i>	28 61%
[3] Not very important	81 <i>21</i> %	23 20%	30 19%	8 24%	5 16%	2 12%	62 <i>2</i> 1%	19 <i>21%</i>	63 <i>21%</i>	18 <i>20%</i>	72 <i>2</i> 3%	6 13%	2 21%	1 <i>7</i> %	37 18%	45 24%	72 21%	10 21%
[4] Not at all important	32 <i>8</i> %	4 4%	10 <i>7%</i>	10 <i>31%</i>	5 13%	1 4%	26 <i>9</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	25 <i>8</i> %	7 7%	25 <i>8</i> %	1 <i>3</i> %	-	2 17%	20 10%	12 <i>6</i> %	28 <i>8</i> %	4 8%
MEAN	2.18	2.08	2.11	2.77	2.19	1.83	2.17	2.19	2.14	2.28	2.18	2.05	1.90	2.28	2.10	2.26	2.16	2.33
Important	273 <i>70</i> %	89 <i>77%</i>	114 <i>74%</i>	15 <i>46%</i>	25 71%	11 <i>74%</i>	210 <i>70%</i>	63 <i>70%</i>	208 <i>70%</i>	65 <i>71%</i>	222 69%	35 <i>83%</i>	9 <i>79%</i>	7 63%	148 <i>72%</i>	126 <i>68%</i>	243 71%	31 <i>67</i> %
Not important	113 <i>2</i> 9%	27 <i>2</i> 3%	40 <i>26%</i>	18 <i>54%</i>	10 <i>2</i> 9%	2 16%	88 <i>30%</i>	25 <i>27%</i>	88 <i>30%</i>	25 27%	98 <i>30%</i>	7 17%	2 21%	3 25%	56 <i>28%</i>	56 31%	99 <i>29%</i>	14 30%
NET important	160 <i>41%</i>	62 53%z	74 48%	-3 <i>-9%</i>	15 <i>43%</i>	8 <i>57</i> %	122 <i>41%</i>	39 <i>43%</i>	121 <i>41%</i>	40 <i>43%</i>	125 <i>39%</i>	28 <i>67%</i>	7 58%	5 <i>38%</i>	91 <i>45%</i>	69 <i>37%</i>	143 <i>42%</i>	17 37%
Don't know	2 1%0	-	1 *	-	-	1 10%	-	2 2%g	-	2 2%i	1		-	1 12%	-	2 1%	1	1 3%q

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 205

Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	391	167	128	257	105	29	181	208	115	276
Weighted Base	388	171	126*	247	112*	29**	184	202	128*	260
Effective Base	266	113	89	172	76	18	123	142	75	195
[1] Very important	76	35	23	47	25	4	40	36	27	50
	20%	21%	18%	19%	22%	15%	<i>22%</i>	18%	21%	19%
[2] Fairly important	197	79	67	128	54	15	85	110	63	134
	<i>51%</i>	46%	<i>53%</i>	<i>52%</i>	49%	<i>51%</i>	46%	<i>55%</i>	<i>49%</i>	<i>52%</i>
[3] Not very	81	41	21	53	23	5	45	37	27	55
important	<i>21%</i>	<i>24</i> %	<i>17</i> %	21%	21%	19%	24%	18%	21%	21%
[4] Not at all important	32	16	13	19	10	3	13	19	12	20
	<i>8</i> %	<i>9</i> %	10%	<i>8%</i>	<i>9</i> %	11%	<i>7</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>8</i> %
MEAN	2.18	2.22	2.19	2.17	2.16	2.26	2.16	2.19	2.18	2.17
Important	273	114	90	175	79	19	125	147	89	184
	70%	<i>67</i> %	<i>71%</i>	<i>71%</i>	71%	<i>66%</i>	<i>68%</i>	<i>72%</i>	<i>70%</i>	<i>71%</i>
Not important	113	57	34	71	33	9	57	56	38	74
	<i>2</i> 9%	33%	<i>2</i> 7%	<i>2</i> 9%	29%	<i>2</i> 9%	31%	<i>27%</i>	<i>30%</i>	29%
NET important	160	57	56	103	46	11	68	91	51	109
	41%a	33%	<i>45%</i>	<i>42%</i>	41%	<i>36%</i>	<i>37</i> %	<i>45%</i>	<i>40%</i>	<i>42%</i>
Don't know	2 1%	*	2 <i>2</i> %	1	-	1 <i>5</i> %	2 1%	*	-	2 1%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 206

Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PART	E OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTIN	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	391	232	105	176	124	125	78	247	292	36	215	90	253	47	239	68
Weighted Base	388	219	115*	171	125*	121*	78*	246	291	32**	217	85*	249	37*	234	65*
Effective Base	266	156	71	122	80	83	54	173	205	27	153	62	176	35	155	49
[1] Very important	76	53	12	41	27	36	18	58	60	6	43	14	60	7	54	8
	20%b	24%zb	11%	24%	21%	30%z	<i>23%</i>	23%z	<i>21%</i>	20%	20%	16%	24%z	18%	<i>2</i> 3%	12%
[2] Fairly important	197	110	62	84	60	72	44	150	152	14	127	33	128	16	114	39
	51%k	<i>50%</i>	<i>53%</i>	<i>49%</i>	<i>48%</i>	60%z	56%	61%z	<i>52%</i>	43%	58%zk	<i>39%</i>	<i>52%</i>	<i>42%</i>	<i>48%</i>	<i>60%</i>
[3] Not very important	81	36	35	33	26	9	11	32	55	8	34	28	42	9	49	13
	21%ae	gj <i>16%</i>	31%za	19%	21%	<i>7</i> %	14%	13%e	19%	26%	15%	33%zj	17%	<i>23%</i>	21%	20%
[4] Not at all	32	20	5	13	12	4	5	6	23	3	14	10	19	6	18	6
important	8%eg	<i>9%</i>	4%	<i>7</i> %	9%	3%	<i>6%</i>	2%	<i>8</i> %	10%	<i>6%</i>	<i>12</i> %	<i>8%</i>	17%	<i>8%</i>	<i>9</i> %
MEAN	2.18egj I	2.10	2.29	2.11	2.18	1.83	2.03	1.94	2.14	2.27	2.09	2.39zj	2.08	2.39	2.13	2.26
Important	273	163	74	125	87	108	62	208	213	20	169	47	188	22	168	46
	70%k	<i>74%</i>	64%	<i>7</i> 3%	<i>70%</i>	90%z	<i>80%</i>	85%z	<i>73%</i>	<i>63%</i>	78%zk	56%	76%z	60%	<i>72%</i>	71%
Not important	113	56	40	46	38	12	16	38	78	12	47	37	61	15	67	18
	29%eg	jl <i>25%</i>	<i>35%</i>	27%	<i>30%</i>	10%	<i>20%</i>	15%	<i>27%</i>	<i>37</i> %	22%	44%zj	<i>24%</i>	40%	<i>28%</i>	<i>29%</i>
NET important	160	107	34	79	50	96	46	170	135	8	122	10	128	7	101	28
	41%bk	m 49%zb	29%	46%	40%	79%zfg	59%z	69%z	46%z	26%	56%zk	<i>12</i> %	51%zm	1 20%	<i>43%</i>	<i>43%</i>
Don't know	2 1%	1	1 1%	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 207

		GEN	IDER			AC	jE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	86	50	36	15	16	7	17	13	18	31	24	31	40	32	9	5	44	42	16	70
Weighted Base	76*	39*	37**	8**	19**	7**	18**	12**	11**	27**	25**	24**	43**	21**	8**	4**	50*	26*	18**	58*
Effective Base	60	31	29	12	14	6	12	7	16	24	17	19	29	24	6	5	33	34	13	47
[1] Very important	4 5%	1 <i>3</i> %	3 <i>8</i> %	-	2 8%	-	* 2%	2 17%	* 2%	2 <i>6</i> %	* 1%	2 10%	3 <i>7</i> %	1 5%	* 2%	-	2 3%	3 10%	* 2%	4 7%
[2] Fairly important	25 33%	12 30%	13 <i>35%</i>	1 16%	9 45%	5 <i>75</i> %	4 23%	1 11%	4 38%	10 <i>36%</i>	9 <i>37</i> %	6 24%	16 <i>37</i> %	5 <i>26</i> %	3 43%	-	16 <i>33</i> %	8 <i>32</i> %	6 <i>35%</i>	18 <i>32</i> %
[3] Not very important	29 39%	16 <i>41%</i>	14 <i>37</i> %	6 77%	5 <i>25</i> %	2 25%	9 48%	4 35%	4 33%	11 <i>40%</i>	10 <i>42%</i>	8 <i>3</i> 4%	16 <i>38%</i>	7 31%	4 49%	3 <i>6</i> 4%	20 <i>39</i> %	10 <i>38</i> %	9 48%	21 <i>36</i> %
[4] Not at all important	17 23%	10 <i>26</i> %	7 20%	1 7%	4 22%	-	5 27%	4 36%	3 28%	5 18%	5 20%	8 <i>33</i> %	7 18%	8 <i>38</i> %	* 5%	2 36%	12 24%	5 21%	3 15%	15 <i>25%</i>
MEAN	2.80	2.90	2.69	2.91	2.61	2.25	3.01	2.91	2.88	2.70	2.81	2.89	2.66	3.03	2.57	3.36	2.85	2.70	2.77	2.80
Important	29 <i>38%</i>	13 <i>33</i> %	16 <i>43%</i>	1 16%	10 <i>53</i> %	5 <i>75</i> %	4 24%	3 28%	4 39%	12 <i>42</i> %	9 <i>38</i> %	8 <i>3</i> 4%	19 <i>44</i> %	6 <i>30</i> %	4 46%	-	18 <i>36%</i>	11 <i>41%</i>	7 37%	22 39%
Not important	47 62%	26 <i>67%</i>	21 <i>57</i> %	7 84%	9 <i>47</i> %	2 25%	14 76%	9 <i>72%</i>	7 61%	16 <i>58%</i>	15 <i>62</i> %	16 <i>66%</i>	24 56%	15 <i>70%</i>	4 54%	4 100%	32 <i>6</i> 4%	15 <i>59%</i>	11 <i>63%</i>	36 <i>61%</i>
NET important	-18 <i>-24%</i>	-13 <i>-34%</i>	-5 -14%	-6 -68%	1 <i>6</i> %	3 50%	-9 -51%	-5 -43%	-2 -22%	-4 -16%	-6 -24%	-8 <i>-33%</i>	-5 -11%	-8 -39%	-1 <i>-9%</i>	-4 -100%	-13 <i>-27</i> %	-5 -18%	-5 <i>-27</i> %	-13 <i>-23%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 208

		ACCE	SS TO RNET			URE			GOVERI	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	1	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	ОМЕ		WSPAPER RSHIP
				OWNED OUT-	BUYING MORT-	RENTED LOCAL AUTH-	RENTED PRI-	SCOT-			MID-					GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/	A-LVL OR	DEGR/ MAST/	NO FORML	UP TO	£11500	£25000		
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	RIGHT (c)	GAGE (d)	ORITY (e)	VATE (f)	LAND (a)	WALES (h)	NORTH	LANDS (i)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	NVQ12 (0)	EQUIV (p)	PHD (g)	QUAL (r)	£11499 (s)	£24999	PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	86	86	-	36	23	6	21	18	2	15	22	11	18	76	10	16	22	42	2	15	8	44	21	11
Weighted Base	76*	76*	-**	33**	24**	5**	14**	10**	1**	11**	19**	16**	19**	68*	8**	16**	15**	41*	2**	8**	5**	49*	17**	11**
Effective Base	60	60	-	22	19	4	17	14	2	12	17	8	15	53	7	10	15	32	2	13	6	32	16	8
[1] Very important	4 5%	4 5%	-	2 6%	* 1%	* 4%	2 11%	1 <i>8</i> %	-	-	-	2 12%	2 8%	4 6%	-	3 21%	* 1%	* 1%	-	* 2%	* 5%	3 <i>7</i> %	1 7%	1 10%
[2] Fairly important	25 33%	25 <i>33%</i>	-	10 <i>2</i> 9%	11 44%	1 11%	4 29%	6 <i>68%</i>	1 100%	3 <i>27</i> %	5 28%	3 21%	6 29%	23 <i>34%</i>	2 24%	3 21%	2 12%	18 <i>43%</i>	-	3 44%	1 22%	17 34%	6 <i>33</i> %	3 30%
[3] Not very important	29 <i>39%</i>	29 <i>39%</i>	-	12 <i>38%</i>	8 33%	3 <i>55%</i>	6 46%	2 24%	-	5 41%	8 <i>42</i> %	5 30%	10 <i>51%</i>	26 <i>39%</i>	3 <i>3</i> 9%	2 15%	12 <i>83</i> %	14 <i>34%</i>	1 <i>45</i> %	3 <i>45</i> %	2 43%	18 <i>37</i> %	8 46%	6 <i>52</i> %
[4] Not at all important	17 23%	17 <i>2</i> 3%	-	9 <i>27</i> %	5 21%	1 30%	2 15%	-	-	4 32%	6 30%	6 <i>37</i> %	2 13%	15 22%	3 <i>36</i> %	7 43%	1 4%	9 <i>22%</i>	1 55%	1 <i>8</i> %	1 30%	11 <i>22</i> %	2 14%	1 8%
MEAN	2.80	2.80	-	2.85	2.74	3.12	2.64	2.17	2.00	3.05	3.02	2.93	2.68	2.76	3.12	2.81	2.89	2.77	3.55	2.60	2.98	2.74	2.68	2.58
Important	29 <i>38</i> %	29 <i>38%</i>	-	12 <i>3</i> 5%	11 <i>46%</i>	1 15%	6 40%	7 76%	1 100%	3 27%	5 28%	5 33%	7 37%	27 40%	2 24%	6 41%	2 13%	18 <i>44%</i>	-	4 47%	1 27%	20 41%	7 40%	4 40%
Not important	47 62%	47 62%	-	21 <i>65%</i>	13 <i>54%</i>	4 85%	8 <i>60%</i>	2 24%	-	8 <i>73%</i>	14 <i>72%</i>	11 <i>67</i> %	12 <i>63%</i>	41 <i>60%</i>	6 <i>76%</i>	9 <i>59%</i>	13 <i>87</i> %	23 <i>56%</i>	2 100%	4 53%	4 73%	29 <i>59%</i>	10 <i>60%</i>	7 60%
NET important	-18 <i>-24%</i>	-18 <i>-24%</i>	0 <i>0</i> %	-10 <i>-29%</i>	-2 -9%	-3 -71%	-3 -20%	5 51%	1 100%	-5 -46%	-8 -44%	-5 <i>-35%</i>	-5 <i>-26%</i>	-14 <i>-21%</i>	-4 -52%	-3 -17%	-11 <i>-74%</i>	-5 -12%	-2 -100%	-1 <i>-7</i> %	-2 -45%	-9 -18%	-3 <i>-20%</i>	-2 -20%
Don't know	:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	:

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 209

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELII		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE	LABOUR	LIB DEM	OTHER	NONE	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL	CERTAIN	LIKELY	UNLIKELY	CERTA -INLY NOT	STRONG	WEAK	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(0)	(p)	(q)	(r)
Unweighted Base	86	15	47	3	11	1	71	15	71	15	74	9	3	-	58	28	80	6
Weighted Base	76*	16**	41*	4**	7**	1**	60*	16**	61*	14**	64*	9**	3**	-**	52*	24**	69*	6**
Effective Base	60	9	37	2	8	1	47	13	48	12	51	7	2	-	39	22	55	5
[1] Very important	4 5%	2 14%	2 4%	-	* 6%	-	4 6%	* 2%	3 5%	1 <i>6%</i>	4 6%	* 5%	-	-	4 7%	* 1%	4 6%	:
[2] Fairly important	25 33%	2 12%	13 <i>31%</i>	-	6 <i>8</i> 4%	1 100%	20 33%	5 30%	21 <i>33</i> %	4 29%	20 <i>31%</i>	2 26%	2 74%	-	18 <i>34</i> %	7 29%	23 <i>33</i> %	2 25%
[3] Not very important	29 39%	7 42%	17 41%	3 80%	1 <i>9</i> %	-	24 40%	6 <i>36%</i>	24 39%	6 40%	27 42%	2 22%	1 <i>26%</i>	-	18 <i>35</i> %	11 <i>47</i> %	28 41%	1 18%
[4] Not at all important	17 23%q	5 <i>32</i> %	10 <i>25%</i>	1 20%	-	-	13 <i>21%</i>	5 31%	14 22%	4 26%	13 <i>21%</i>	4 48%	-	-	12 <i>2</i> 3%	5 <i>2</i> 3%	14 20%	4 58%
MEAN	2.80	2.92	2.86	3.20	2.03	2.00	2.75	2.97	2.78	2.87	2.78	3.12	2.26	-	2.74	2.91	2.74	3.33
Important	29 38%	4 26%	14 34%	-	6 91%	1 100%	24 40%	5 <i>32</i> %	24 39%	5 34%	24 37%	3 31%	2 74%	-	22 <i>42</i> %	7 30%	27 39%	2 25%
Not important	47 62%	12 <i>74%</i>	27 66%	4 100%	1 9%	-	36 <i>60%</i>	11 <i>68%</i>	37 <i>61%</i>	9 <i>66%</i>	40 <i>63%</i>	6 <i>6</i> 9%	1 <i>26%</i>	-	30 <i>58%</i>	16 <i>70%</i>	42 61%	5 <i>75%</i>
NET important	-18 <i>-24%</i>	-8 -48%	-13 <i>-31%</i>	-4 -100%	5 81%	1 100%	-13 <i>-21%</i>	-6 <i>-35%</i>	-14 <i>-22</i> %	-5 -32%	-16 <i>-26%</i>	-3 <i>-39%</i>	2 49%	0 <i>0</i> %	-9 -17%	-9 -40%	-15 <i>-21%</i>	-3 -51%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 210

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM		QUESTIONS REFERENDUMS	SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	86	44	22	57	23	6	40	46	20	66
Weighted Base	76*	40**	18**	49*	22**	5**	34**	42*	20**	56*
Effective Base	60	29	17	42	14	5	27	33	13	48
[1] Very important	4 5%c	1 3%	1 4%	*	4 16%	* 10%	3 10%	1 2%	2 10%	2 4%
[2] Fairly important	25 33%	9 <i>2</i> 3%	6 <i>36</i> %	20 42%z	4 17%	* 9%	10 <i>30%</i>	15 <i>35</i> %	5 <i>27</i> %	19 <i>34%</i>
[3] Not very important	29 39%	18 <i>45%</i>	7 38%	19 <i>38%</i>	8 34%	3 68%	12 34%	18 <i>43%</i>	4 20%	25 <i>45</i> %
[4] Not at all important	17 23%i	12 <i>2</i> 9%	4 22%	10 20%	7 32%	1 13%	9 <i>25</i> %	9 21%	8 43%	9 16%
MEAN	2.80	3.00	2.79	2.78	2.83	2.85	2.75	2.83	2.96	2.74
Important	29 <i>38</i> %	10 <i>26%</i>	7 39%	21 <i>42</i> %	7 33%	1 19%	14 40%	15 <i>36%</i>	7 37%	22 38%
Not important	47 62%	29 74%	11 <i>61%</i>	28 <i>58%</i>	15 <i>67%</i>	4 81%	20 60%	27 64%	12 <i>63%</i>	35 <i>62%</i>
NET important	-18 <i>-24%</i>	-19 <i>-48%</i>	-4 -21%	-8 -16%	-7 -33%	-3 - <i>63</i> %	-7 -19%	-12 <i>-27</i> %	-5 <i>-26%</i>	-13 <i>-23%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 211

		HAPP) CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTI\ SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	S DOWN RS FOR	DEBATI		SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	86	49	27	59	20	26	23	51	67	6	49	16	52	16	62	13
Weighted Base	76*	39*	24**	51*	19**	21**	19**	41*	58*	6**	45*	14**	49*	13**	57*	8**
Effective Base	60	33	20	41	13	20	17	37	45	4	34	12	37	10	43	10
[1] Very important	4 5%	1 <i>2</i> %	2 9%	4 8%	* 1%	1 <i>3</i> %	2 11%	2 6%	4 6%	* 8%	4 9%	-	1 2%	3 25%	4 7%	2%
[2] Fairly important	25 33%	13 <i>33</i> %	5 <i>23</i> %	18 <i>35%</i>	5 <i>27</i> %	7 32%	7 35%	17 41%	16 <i>28%</i>	2 31%	14 31%	6 44%	14 30%	2 18%	15 <i>27</i> %	2 27%
[3] Not very important	29 39%	14 <i>36%</i>	10 <i>43%</i>	17 <i>3</i> 3%	10 49%	10 <i>46%</i>	8 41%	15 <i>35%</i>	21 <i>36%</i>	3 61%	13 <i>28%</i>	5 <i>37</i> %	19 <i>40%</i>	5 <i>36%</i>	22 39%	3 42%
[4] Not at all important	17 23%	12 <i>30%</i>	6 <i>25%</i>	12 <i>2</i> 4%	5 23%	4 19%	2 13%	8 18%	17 <i>2</i> 9%	-	14 <i>32</i> %	3 19%	14 28%	3 21%	15 <i>27</i> %	2 29%
MEAN	2.80	2.93	2.84	2.73	2.94	2.80	2.56	2.66	2.88	2.53	2.83	2.74	2.94	2.53	2.85	2.98
Important	29 38%	14 <i>35%</i>	8 <i>32%</i>	22 43%	5 28%	7 36%	9 46%	19 <i>47%</i>	20 <i>35%</i>	2 39%	18 <i>40%</i>	6 44%	15 <i>32%</i>	5 43%	19 <i>34%</i>	2 29%
Not important	47 62%	25 <i>65%</i>	16 <i>68%</i>	29 <i>57</i> %	14 <i>72%</i>	13 <i>64%</i>	10 <i>54</i> %	22 53%	38 <i>65%</i>	3 61%	27 60%	8 <i>56</i> %	33 <i>68%</i>	7 57%	38 <i>66%</i>	6 71%
NET important	-18 <i>-24%</i>	-12 <i>-30%</i>	-9 <i>-36%</i>	-7 -14%	-9 -44%	-6 <i>-28%</i>	-2 -8%	-3 -7%	-18 <i>-31%</i>	-1 <i>-22%</i>	-9 <i>-20%</i>	-2 -11%	-18 <i>-36%</i>	-2 -14%	-18 <i>-32%</i>	-3 -42%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 212

		GEN	IDER			AC	jE				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDF HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	72	39	33	7	7	14	14	12	18	14	28	30	40	24	5	3	42	30	20	52
Weighted Base	64*	30**	34**	4**	8**	16**	15**	9**	12**	13**	30**	21**	38*	20**	3**	4**	44*	20**	22**	42*
Effective Base	56	29	27	6	7	11	10	10	16	12	22	26	32	19	4	2	33	26	16	42
[1] Very important	8 12%	3 10%	5 14%	1 21%	2 18%	1 <i>8</i> %	2 10%	1 11%	1 12%	2 19%	3 9%	2 11%	4 11%	3 13%	1 34%	-	3 7%	4 22%	4 16%	4 9%
[2] Fairly important	20 31%	8 <i>27</i> %	12 <i>35</i> %	1 <i>2</i> 9%	2 27%	5 <i>35</i> %	4 28%	3 <i>32</i> %	4 34%	4 28%	10 <i>32%</i>	7 33%	11 <i>2</i> 9%	7 33%	1 <i>2</i> 5%	2 55%	14 31%	7 32%	5 21%	16 <i>37</i> %
[3] Not very important	25 39%	13 <i>43%</i>	12 <i>35</i> %	2 51%	4 42%	8 <i>52%</i>	5 34%	3 <i>33</i> %	3 24%	6 <i>45%</i>	13 <i>43%</i>	6 28%	15 40%	8 41%	* 18%	1 23%	18 <i>42%</i>	6 31%	11 <i>47</i> %	14 34%
[4] Not at all important	12 18%	6 21%	5 16%	-	1 13%	1 6%	4 27%	2 24%	4 30%	1 <i>9%</i>	5 16%	6 <i>27</i> %	8 20%	3 13%	1 <i>23%</i>	1 23%	9 20%	3 15%	4 16%	8 20%
MEAN	2.63	2.75	2.53	2.30	2.50	2.56	2.78	2.70	2.73	2.43	2.66	2.71	2.69	2.55	2.31	2.68	2.74	2.40	2.63	2.64
Important	28 43%	11 <i>37</i> %	17 49%	2 49%	4 45%	7 43%	6 39%	4 43%	5 46%	6 47%	12 41%	9 45%	15 40%	9 45%	2 59%	2 55%	17 <i>38%</i>	11 <i>54</i> %	8 <i>37</i> %	19 <i>46%</i>
Not important	36 <i>57</i> %	19 <i>63</i> %	17 51%	2 51%	5 <i>55%</i>	9 <i>57</i> %	9 61%	5 <i>57</i> %	6 54%	7 53%	18 <i>59%</i>	12 <i>55</i> %	23 60%	11 55%	1 41%	2 45%	27 62%	9 46%	14 63%	22 54%
NET important	-9 -14%	-8 <i>-27</i> %	-1 <i>-2%</i>	-1%	-1 -10%	-2 -15%	-3 -22%	-1 -14%	-1 <i>-8%</i>	-1 <i>-7</i> %	-6 -18%	-2 -11%	-8 <i>-20%</i>	-2 -9%	* 17%	* 9%	-10 <i>-24%</i>	1 <i>7</i> %	-6 <i>-26%</i>	-3 -7%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 213

			SS TO RNET		TEN	URE		GOVER	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	V	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	DAILY NE		
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)			MID-		LONDON (I)		BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)	A-LVL OR EQUIV (p)	DEGR/	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	72	72	-	29	25	7	10	9	4	13	19	11	16	64	8	13	15	40	3	10	13	38	20	11
Weighted Base	64*	64*	-**	24**	26**	5**	8**	6**	2**	12**	18**	10**	16**	59*	5**	13**	14**	34*	3**	6**	11**	39*	17**	11**
Effective Base	56	56	-	23	20	6	7	7	3	10	15	10	12	51	6	11	10	32	3	9	9	31	17	8
[1] Very important	8 12%	8 12%	-	1 <i>6</i> %	5 20%	-	1 11%	-	-	3 28%	4 23%	-	-	8 13%	-	2 12%	2 15%	3 <i>9</i> %	1 <i>32</i> %	-	* 4%	5 13%	3 15%	:
[2] Fairly important	20 31%	20 31%	-	10 <i>41%</i>	5 18%	2 37%	3 <i>38%</i>	3 55%	1 33%	6 48%	4 20%	1 12%	6 <i>35</i> %	18 <i>30%</i>	2 43%	5 40%	4 32%	9 <i>27%</i>	1 41%	3 48%	5 50%	11 <i>2</i> 9%	3 19%	4 35%
[3] Not very important	25 <i>39</i> %	25 39%	-	9 <i>36%</i>	13 <i>48%</i>	1 26%	2 27%	1 19%	* 28%	2 14%	9 <i>52%</i>	6 <i>62%</i>	6 <i>37</i> %	22 38%	2 47%	3 21%	7 49%	15 <i>42</i> %	1 <i>27</i> %	2 24%	4 37%	16 <i>41%</i>	7 41%	5 49%
[4] Not at all important	12 18%	12 18%	-	4 17%	4 14%	2 37%	2 25%	2 25%	1 39%	1 10%	1 <i>6</i> %	3 26%	5 29%	11 19%	1 10%	4 27%	1 4%	8 <i>22%</i>	-	2 28%	1 9%	7 17%	4 25%	2 16%
MEAN	2.63	2.63	-	2.65	2.57	3.00	2.65	2.70	3.06	2.07	2.40	3.13	2.94	2.63	2.67	2.64	2.41	2.79	1.96	2.81	2.51	2.61	2.77	2.81
Important	28 <i>43</i> %	28 <i>43%</i>	-	11 <i>47</i> %	10 <i>38%</i>	2 37%	4 49%	3 <i>55%</i>	1 <i>33</i> %	9 <i>75%</i>	8 <i>42%</i>	1 12%	6 <i>35%</i>	25 <i>43</i> %	2 43%	7 51%	6 47%	12 <i>35</i> %	2 73%	3 48%	6 <i>54%</i>	17 <i>43</i> %	6 <i>34</i> %	4 35%
Not important	36 <i>57%</i>	36 <i>57</i> %	-	13 <i>53%</i>	16 <i>62%</i>	3 <i>63%</i>	4 51%	3 <i>45%</i>	1 <i>67</i> %	3 <i>25%</i>	10 <i>58%</i>	9 <i>88%</i>	11 <i>65%</i>	34 <i>57</i> %	3 <i>57%</i>	6 49%	7 53%	22 <i>65%</i>	1 <i>27</i> %	3 <i>52%</i>	5 46%	23 <i>57%</i>	11 <i>66</i> %	7 65%
NET important	-9 -14%	-9 -14%	0 <i>0%</i>	-2 -7%	-6 -24%	-1 <i>-26%</i>	* -3%	1 11%	-1 -34%	6 51%	-3 -15%	-8 - <i>75%</i>	-5 -31%	-8 -14%	-1 -14%	* 3%	-1 -5%	-10 <i>-30%</i>	1 <i>45</i> %	* -5%	1 8%	-6 -15%	-6 <i>-33%</i>	-3 -30%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 214

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SI	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO		KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELII		VOTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREI	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	72	14	37	6	9	1	57	15	56	16	66	4	2	-	49	23	63	9
Weighted Base	64*	15**	34*	5**	7**	***	49*	15**	49*	16**	58*	5**	1**	-**	43*	21**	56*	8**
Effective Base	56	10	31	6	6	1	43	14	42	14	51	4	1	-	37	19	48	8
[1] Very important	8 12%	1 7%	7 19%	-	-	-	5 10%	2 16%	4 8%	4 23%	6 10%	2 31%	-	-	5 11%	3 14%	8 13%	
[2] Fairly important	20 31%	3 <i>23%</i>	11 <i>32%</i>	1 15%	3 47%	* 100%	17 <i>35%</i>	3 21%	15 <i>32</i> %	5 31%	18 <i>32%</i>	2 33%	* 10%		12 <i>28</i> %	8 <i>38%</i>	17 31%	3 36%
[3] Not very important	25 39%	8 <i>52</i> %	11 <i>34%</i>	2 50%	2 27%	-	17 <i>35</i> %	8 51%	19 <i>40%</i>	5 35%	23 <i>3</i> 9%	1 24%	1 <i>90%</i>		18 <i>41%</i>	7 34%	21 <i>37</i> %	4 51%
[4] Not at all important	12 18%	3 18%	5 15%	2 35%	2 26%	-	10 20%	2 12%	10 <i>20%</i>	2 12%	11 19%	1 12%	-		9 <i>20%</i>	3 14%	11 19%	1 13%
MEAN	2.63	2.81	2.45	3.20	2.78	2.00	2.65	2.59	2.73	2.35	2.67	2.18	2.90	-	2.71	2.48	2.61	2.77
Important	28 43%	4 30%	17 51%	1 15%	3 <i>47%</i>	* 100%	22 45%	6 <i>37</i> %	19 <i>40%</i>	8 <i>54%</i>	24 <i>42</i> %	3 <i>63%</i>	* 10%	-	17 <i>39</i> %	11 <i>52</i> %	25 44%	3 36%
Not important	36 <i>57</i> %	10 <i>70%</i>	17 49%	4 85%	4 53%	-	27 <i>55%</i>	10 <i>63%</i>	29 <i>60%</i>	7 46%	34 <i>58%</i>	2 37%	1 90%	-	26 <i>61%</i>	10 <i>48%</i>	31 <i>56%</i>	5 64%
NET important	-9 -14%	-6 -41%	1 2%	-3 -70%	* -6%	* 100%	-5 -10%	-4 -26%	-10 <i>-20%</i>	1 <i>8</i> %	-9 -16%	1 <i>27</i> %	-1 <i>-80%</i>	0 <i>0%</i>	-10 <i>-22%</i>	1 <i>3</i> %	-6 -12%	-2 -28%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	:	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 215

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	72	38	22	52	16	4	32	40	11	61
Weighted Base	64*	31**	22**	46*	14**	4**	28**	36*	12**	52*
Effective Base	56	29	18	41	12	3	25	31	10	46
[1] Very important	8 12%	6 20%	-	4 8%	1 <i>8%</i>	2 69%	5 16%	3 <i>8</i> %	-	8 14%
[2] Fairly important	20 31%	10 <i>31%</i>	4 18%	14 30%	6 43%	* 8%	11 <i>39%</i>	9 <i>26</i> %	2 17%	18 <i>35%</i>
[3] Not very important	25 39%	9 <i>2</i> 9%	13 <i>61%</i>	20 <i>43</i> %	4 27%	1 24%	10 <i>36</i> %	15 41%	7 61%	18 <i>34%</i>
[4] Not at all important	12 18%	6 <i>20%</i>	5 21%	9 19%	3 21%	-	3 9%	9 <i>25%</i>	3 <i>2</i> 3%	9 17%
MEAN	2.63	2.49	3.03	2.72	2.61	1.55	2.38	2.84	3.06	2.54
Important	28 43%	16 <i>51%</i>	4 18%	18 <i>38%</i>	7 52%	3 76%	16 <i>55%</i>	12 <i>34</i> %	2 17%	26 49%
Not important	36 <i>57</i> %	15 <i>49</i> %	18 <i>82%</i>	29 <i>62%</i>	7 48%	1 24%	13 <i>45</i> %	24 66%	10 <i>83</i> %	27 51%
NET important	-9 -14%	1 <i>2</i> %	-14 <i>-65%</i>	-11 <i>-24%</i>	* 3%	2 52%	3 10%	-12 <i>-32%</i>	-8 -67%	-1 <i>-2%</i>
Don't know	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 216

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTI\ SOCIAL	/E ON . MEDIA	VOTII	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI DIVI	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES P DEBATI SUPER	OLITICAL E MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	72	37	22	45	20	19	16	41	51	6	39	19	43	10	41	18
Weighted Base	64*	31**	20**	41*	18**	17**	13**	38*	45*	6**	34**	18**	36*	9**	37*	15**
Effective Base	56	29	17	36	14	14	12	31	39	4	29	14	34	7	32	14
[1] Very important	8 12%	3 9%	5 24%	6 15%	1 <i>8</i> %	3 15%	4 28%	5 12%	8 17%		5 14%	2 11%	7 18%	:	5 14%	3 16%
[2] Fairly important	20 31%	11 <i>36%</i>	3 15%	12 <i>2</i> 9%	6 <i>35%</i>	6 <i>35%</i>	3 <i>25%</i>	18 46%z	12 <i>27</i> %	3 54%	8 24%	9 <i>50%</i>	10 <i>27</i> %	4 50%	10 <i>27</i> %	5 30%
[3] Not very important	25 39%	9 <i>2</i> 9%	11 <i>55</i> %	16 <i>39%</i>	6 <i>35%</i>	8 46%	6 43%	15 40%	17 <i>38%</i>	1 24%	15 <i>43%</i>	6 31%	13 <i>35</i> %	1 16%	16 <i>44%</i>	5 30%
[4] Not at all important	12 18%g	8 <i>26%</i>	1 <i>7</i> %	7 16%	4 23%	1 4%	3%	* 1%	8 18%	1 <i>22%</i>	7 20%	1 <i>7</i> %	7 19%	3 34%	6 1 <i>6</i> %	4 24%
MEAN	2.63g	2.71	2.45	2.57	2.73	2.39	2.22	2.30	2.58	2.69	2.69	2.35	2.56	2.85	2.62	2.60
Important	28 <i>43</i> %	14 <i>45</i> %	7 38%	18 <i>44</i> %	8 <i>42%</i>	9 <i>50%</i>	7 53%	22 59%z	20 44%	3 54%	13 <i>37</i> %	11 <i>61%</i>	16 <i>45%</i>	4 50%	15 <i>40%</i>	7 47%
Not important	36 57%g	17 <i>55%</i>	12 <i>62%</i>	23 <i>56%</i>	10 <i>58%</i>	9 <i>50%</i>	6 47%	16 <i>41%</i>	25 <i>56%</i>	3 46%	21 <i>63%</i>	7 39%	20 <i>55%</i>	4 50%	22 60%	8 53%
NET important	-9 -14%	-3 -10%	-5 -24%	-5 -11%	-3 -15%	*	1 7%	7 17%	-6 -13%	* 8%	-9 <i>-26%</i>	4 23%	-3 -10%	* -1%	-7 -19%	-1 <i>-7%</i>
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 217

Q21 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? - Summary Table
BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20

	Printed newspapers or magazines (a)	Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians (b)	Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards (c)	Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media (d)	Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face (e)	Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog (f)	News or news programmes on TV or radio (g)	Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media (h)	Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media (i)	Other politically related websites, such as blogs (j)	Non- politically related websites, such as a charity (k)
Unweighted Base	518	345	621	213	448	261	875	242	391	86	72
Weighted Base	484	310	607	214	436	261	851	238	388	76*	64*
Effective Base	332	238	401	147	317	183	585	171	266	60	56
[1] Very important	73	76	43	22	78	27	175	14	76	4	8
	15%chj	25%acdefhjk	7%	10%	18%cdfhj	10%	21%acdfhj	<i>6</i> %	20%cdfhj	5%	12%
[2] Fairly important	188	154	164	77	235	103	407	98	197	25	20
	39%c	50%acdfjk	<i>2</i> 7%	36%c	54%acdfhjk	39%c	48%acdfjk	41%c	51%acdfhjk	33%	31%
[3] Not very important	146	56	199	56	71	83	170	89	81	29	25
	30%begi	18%	33%begi	26%e	16%	32%begi	<i>20%</i>	37%bdegi	<i>21%</i>	39%begi	39%begi
[4] Not at all important	76	24	200	56	51	49	97	37	32	17	12
	16%bgi	<i>8</i> %	33%abefghik	26%abeghi	<i>12%</i>	19%begi	11%b	15%bi	<i>8</i> %	23%begi	18%bi
MEAN	2.47begi	2.09	2.92abdefghik	2.69abegi	2.22	2.59begi	2.22b	2.62abegi	2.18	2.80abegi	2.63begi
Important	261	230	207	99	314	130	583	113	273	29	28
	54%cj	74%acdfhjk	<i>34%</i>	46%c	72%acdfhjk	50%c	69%acdfhjl	k 47%c	70%acdfhjk	38%	43%
Not important	222	80	400	112	122	132	268	126	113	47	36
	46%begi	<i>26%</i>	66%abdefghi	i 52%begi	<i>28%</i>	50%begi	31%	53%begi	<i>2</i> 9%	62%abegi	57%begi
NET important	39	151	-192	-13	192	-2	315	-13	160	-18	-9
	<i>8%</i>	<i>49%</i>	<i>-32%</i>	<i>-6%</i>	<i>44</i> %	-1%	<i>37</i> %	<i>-6%</i>	<i>41%</i>	<i>-24%</i>	-14%
Don't know	2	-	-	3 1%ceg	-	*	*	-	2 1%	-	-

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 218

Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements
Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		GEN	IDER			AG	iΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSEI	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	226 18%ilp	112 r <i>19</i> %	114 <i>18%</i>	35 25%i	56 26%zhi	48 24%i	37 17%i	26 15%	23 <i>8%</i>	91 26%zl	85 20%l	50 11%	70 21%p	66 19%	50 <i>20%</i>	39 13%	165 23%zr	60 12%	74 21%	151 <i>17</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	447 36%ilo	225 38%	222 35%	63 44%i	85 40%i	78 39%i	81 37%i	67 37%i	74 27%	148 42%zl	159 <i>38%</i>	140 <i>31%</i>	144 44%zop	133 39%o	71 28%	100 <i>33%</i>	273 <i>38%</i>	174 <i>34%</i>	134 <i>38%</i>	313 <i>36</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	364 30%ce	169 ij <i>28%</i>	196 <i>31%</i>	27 19%	58 28%	38 19%	68 31%e	56 32%ce	116 42%zcc f	86 de <i>24%</i>	106 <i>25%</i>	172 38%zjk	82 25%	94 <i>27%</i>	81 <i>32%</i>	108 35%m	192 <i>27%</i>	173 34%zq	92 <i>26</i> %	272 31%
[4] Tend to disagree	85 <i>7</i> %	48 <i>8</i> %	36 <i>6%</i>	9 <i>7</i> %	7 4%	21 11%d	14 <i>6</i> %	18 10%d	15 <i>6%</i>	17 <i>5</i> %	35 <i>8%</i>	33 <i>7</i> %	20 <i>6%</i>	25 <i>7</i> %	21 <i>8</i> %	19 <i>6%</i>	53 <i>7</i> %	32 <i>6</i> %	29 <i>8%</i>	56 <i>6</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	67 5%dj	34 9 <i>6</i> %	33 <i>5</i> %	5 4%	3 1%	10 <i>5</i> %	14 6%d	8 <i>5</i> %	27 10%zd	8 <i>2</i> %	24 6%	35 8%zj	11 <i>3</i> %	16 <i>5</i> %	15 <i>6</i> %	24 8%m	27 4%	41 8%zq	11 <i>3</i> %	56 <i>6</i> %
MEAN	2.43cdj mq	2.44	2.42	2.19	2.12	2.32	2.47cd	2.51cd	2.80zcd efh	2.15	2.40j	2.68zjk	2.26	2.38	2.50m	2.62zmn	2.30	2.62zq	2.32	2.47
Agree	673 55%ilp	337 r <i>56%</i>	336 <i>53%</i>	97 69%zfhi	141 67%zfhi	127 63%zi	117 53%i	93 52%i	97 <i>35%</i>	239 68%zkl	244 58%l	190 <i>42%</i>	214 65%zop	199 58%op	121 <i>48%</i>	139 <i>46%</i>	438 61%zr	235 46%	209 <i>60%</i>	464 <i>53%</i>
Disagree	152 12%dj	82 14%	70 11%	14 10%	10 <i>5</i> %	32 16%d	28 13%d	26 14%d	42 15%d	25 <i>7</i> %	59 14%j	68 15%j	31 <i>9</i> %	41 12%	37 14%	43 14%	80 11%	72 14%	40 11%	112 <i>13</i> %
NET Agree	521 42%ilo rt	254 p <i>42</i> %	266 <i>42%</i>	83 59%zfhi	131 62%zefh i	95 47%i	90 41%i	67 38%i	55 20%	214 61%zkl	185 44%l	122 <i>27</i> %	183 56%znop	158 46%op	84 <i>3</i> 3%	95 <i>31%</i>	358 50%zr	163 <i>32</i> %	169 48%zt	352 40%
Don't know	41 3%aji	12 mq2%_	29 <u>5</u> %za	2 1%	1 1%	4 2%	7 3%	3 2%	23 8%zc	3 deh1%	11 <i>3</i> %	26 6%zj	3 1%	8 2%	15 6%m	15 5%m	10 1%	31 6%zq	9 2%	32 4%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 219

G22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements
Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		ACCES INTER			TENL	JRE			GOVERI	NMENT (OFFICE F	REGION		ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	JAL INCO	OME	DAILY NEV READE	
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)		RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND (g)	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS (j)	SOUTH (k)	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	CSE/		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	226 18%bc rs	225 20%zb	1 1%	60 14%	80 22%c	35 21%	48 17%	25 23%i	15 25%i	44 15%	66 <i>20%</i>	47 17%	29 18%	197 <i>18%</i>	28 20%	53 18%r	50 22%r	81 24%zr	25 9%	13 <i>9</i> %	40 20%s	110 23%zs	30 27%zw	39 17%
[2] Tend to agree	447 36%be r	434 38%zb	13 13%	151 <i>36%</i>	140 39%e	45 28%	107 <i>38%</i>	48 45%z	25 41%	103 <i>35</i> %	111 <i>35%</i>	97 <i>34%</i>	64 <i>38</i> %	398 <i>37%</i>	49 <i>35%</i>	103 35%r	96 41%r	158 48%zo r	69 <i>24%</i>	46 30%	65 <i>33</i> %	223 46%zs	48 t 44%	77 33%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	364 30%ad gquv	300 <i>27%</i>	64 62%z	137 a 33%d	88 <i>24%</i>	52 <i>32</i> %	85 31%	19 18%	12 20%	89 30%g	93 29%g	100 36%gh	51 31%g	317 <i>2</i> 9%	46 33%	81 <i>27</i> %	58 <i>25%</i>	70 21%	135 48%zc q	50 p 33%u	66 34%u	101 <i>21%</i>	20 18%	70 30%v
[4] Tend to disagree	85 7%gh	81 q <i>7</i> %	4 4%	25 <i>6%</i>	30 <i>8%</i>	11 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>7</i> %	2 2%	1 1%	25 9%gh	29 9%gh	16 1 <i>6%</i>	12 <i>7</i> %	79 <i>7</i> %	6 <i>4</i> %	34 12%zqı	14 6%	14 <i>4</i> %	11 <i>4</i> %	15 10%	8 <i>4</i> %	32 <i>7</i> %	10 <i>9</i> %	22 10%
[5] Strongly disagree	67 5%aqı	56 u <i>5</i> %	11 11%a	27 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>4</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %	13 <i>5</i> %	7 7%l	4 6%	23 8%l	19 <i>6%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	63 <i>6%</i>	4 3%	17 <i>6</i> %	11 <i>5</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	22 8%q	13 9%u	14 <i>7</i> %	17 <i>4</i> %	2 <i>2</i> %	11 <i>5</i> %
MEAN	2.43a ghquv	2.37	3.12za	2.52d	2.33	2.47	2.42	2.21	2.18	2.58z gh	2.44	2.44	2.34	2.44	2.31	2.52q	2.30	2.13	2.76zo pq	2.77zt u	2.43u	2.22	2.14	2.49v
Agree	673 55%bc rs	659 58%zb	14 14%	211 <i>50%</i>	220 61%zce	80 e 49%	154 <i>56%</i>	73 68%zi jk	40 66%zi k	147 <i>50%</i>	177 <i>55%</i>	143 <i>51%</i>	93 <i>56%</i>	595 <i>55%</i>	77 55%	155 53%r	146 62%zr	240 72%zo pr	94 <i>33</i> %	59 <i>39</i> %	105 53%s	333 68%zs	78 t 71%zw	117 <i>50%</i>
Disagree	152 12%nq	137 <i>12</i> %	15 14%	52 12%	46 13%	22 14%	32 11%	10 <i>9</i> %	5 <i>7</i> %	48 16%z	47 15%	27 10%	15 <i>9</i> %	142 13%n	10 <i>7</i> %	51 17%zq	25 11%	23 <i>7</i> %	34 12%	28 18%u	21 11%	49 10%	12 11%	33 14%
NET Agree	521 42%bc iors	522 46%zb	-1) -1%	159 <i>38%</i>	174 49%zce	58 9 <i>35</i> %	123 <i>44</i> %	63 59%zi jk	36 58%zi jk	98 <i>33%</i>	129 <i>40%</i>	116 <i>41%</i>	78 47%i	453 <i>42%</i>	68 <i>48%</i>	104 35%r	121 52%zo r	217 65%zo pr	61 <i>21%</i>	31 <i>20%</i>	83 42%s	284 58%zs	66 t 60%zw	83 <i>36%</i>
Don't know	41 3%adj qu	31 3%	10 10%z	20 a 5%d	5 1%	9 6%d	7 2%	5 5%j	4 7%j	11 4%j	3 1%	10 4%	7 5%j	33 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	8 3%q	5 2%	1 *	21 7%zo q	16 p 11%ztu	4 1 2%	5 1%	-	12 5%v

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 220

Don't know

Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements

15

2

1%

Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18- IN GREAT BRITAIN

POLITICAL PARTY KNOWLEDGE OF **KNOWLEDGE OF** LIKELIHOOD TO VOTE IN GENERAL SUPPORT POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT **UK POLITICS** PARLIAMENT STRENGTH INTEREST IN POLITICS **ELECTION** NOT NOT KNOW A KNOW A VERY VERY **GREAT** MUCH/ **GREAT** MUCH/ DEAL/ NOTH DEAL/ NOTH CERTA VERY/ NOT VERY/ CONSER FAIRLY NOT AT ALL FAIR -ING FAIR -ING -INLY TOTAL LIB DEM OTHER NONE CERTAIN LIKELY UNLIKELY STRONG WEAK -VATIVE LABOUR **AMOUNT** AT ALL AMOUNT AT ALL NOT INTERESTED INTERESTED (e) (f) (m) (z) (b) (c) (h) (n) (q) (r) (a) (g) (o) **Unweighted Base** 1230 308 450 68 116 122 688 541 660 569 793 199 124 98 481 741 738 492 1230 313 448 70* 97* 132* 637 592 607 622 759 206 146* 101* 456 763 703 527 Weighted Base Effective Base 813 202 309 46 77 83 468 353 442 377 523 133 86 64 321 491 491 325 [1] Strongly agree 226 60 22 20 129 97 126 100 144 31 131 76 18% 19% 19% 13% 23% 15% 20% 16% 16% 19% 15% 20% 16% 21% 21%zr 14% 30 34 82 41 174 [2] Tend to agree 447 115 173 41 262 186 255 192 288 34 273 285 162 36%hji 37% 39% 43% 35% 31% 41%zh 31% 42%zj 31% 38% 40% 28% 33% 38% 36% 41%zr 31% [3] Neither agree 364 88 120 21 20 53 154 210 138 226 212 63 45 37 121 236 177 188 24% 37% 25% nor disagree 28% 27% 30% 20% 40%zab 35%z0 23% 36%z 28% 31% 31% 27% 31% 36%za 30%aia [4] Tend to 85 23 34 11 45 39 42 43 50 15 17 48 44 41 disagree 7% 7% 8% 5% 11% 4% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 11% 3% 8% 6% 6% 8% 19 5 38 29 38 29 42 9 9 22 37 [5] Strongly 67 18 6 45 30 Ω disagree 5% 6% 4% 7% 8% 6% 6% 5% 6% 5% 6% 4% 4% 9% 5% 6% 5% 6% MEAN 2.43ig 2.42 2.37 2.50 2.47 2.54 2.37 2.50 2.35 2.51zi 2.40 2.45 2.49 2.54 2.37 2.46 2.32 2.57zq Agree 673 175 291 113 435 238 56% 58% 56% 58% 46% 61%zh 48% 63%zi 47% 57% 55% 48% 49% 59%z 53% 62%zr 45% 41 53 19 14 84 68 72 92 24 23 12 58 93 81 71 Disagree 152 a۸ 12% 13% 12% 12% 20%z 11% 13% 12% 13% 12% 12% 12% 16% 12% 13% 12% 11% 14% 521 207 307 89 47 38 NET Agree 134 31 37 214 302 219 340 211 310 354 166 42%himr 43% 46% 44% 38% 36% 48%zh 36% 50%zj 35% 45%m 43% 32% 37% 46% 41% 50%zr 32%

33

23

2

5%

29

30

6%zq

32

41

3%giog

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 221

G22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements
Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH					IMPORTANT	QUESTIONS	SATISFACTION	WITH PRESENT
		THE UK		HOW VO	TED AT EU REFER	RENDUM	DETERMINED BY		SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL	AGREE	DISAGREE	REMAIN	LEAVE	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO	AGREE	DISAGREE	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	226 18%	94 22%z	88 <i>20%</i>	104 <i>20%</i>	79 19%	42 15%	140 <i>20%</i>	83 19%	57 16%	166 20%z
[2] Tend to agree	447 36%d	164 le <i>39%</i>	157 <i>36%</i>	243 46%zde	127 <i>30%</i>	77 28%	266 <i>37</i> %	163 <i>37%</i>	136 <i>38%</i>	302 <i>37</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	364 30%b	115 ocfi <i>27%</i>	99 <i>23%</i>	130 <i>24%</i>	129 <i>30%</i>	105 38%zc	192 <i>27%</i>	140 <i>31%</i>	117 <i>3</i> 3%	218 <i>27%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	85 7%a	17 c 4%	43 10%za	24 4%	42 10%zc	19 <i>7</i> %	56 <i>8%</i>	27 <i>6</i> %	24 7%	61 <i>7%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	67 <i>5%</i>	21 <i>5</i> %	33 <i>7</i> %	25 <i>5</i> %	27 <i>6</i> %	15 <i>6</i> %	41 <i>6</i> %	22 5%	14 <i>4</i> %	51 <i>6%</i>
MEAN	2.43ac	2.28	2.47a	2.28	2.53c	2.57c	2.41	2.41	2.43	2.41
Agree	673 55%d	258 le 61%z	245 <i>56</i> %	347 65%zde	207 49%	119 <i>44</i> %	407 <i>57%</i>	246 <i>55%</i>	193 <i>54%</i>	468 57%z
Disagree	152 12%a	37 ic 9%	76 17%za	48 9%	69 16%zc	34 13%	97 14%	49 11%	38 11%	112 14%
NET Agree	521 42%d	221 le 52%zb	168 <i>38%</i>	298 56%zde	138 <i>32%</i>	85 <i>31%</i>	310 <i>43</i> %	197 <i>44%</i>	155 <i>43</i> %	356 <i>43%</i>
Don't know	41 3%c	12 3%	19 <i>4</i> %	7 1%	20 5%c	15 5%c	18 2%	10 2%	9 3%	24

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 222

G22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements
Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF FICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUI	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES VO PEO	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL	Agree	Disagre e	Active social media user	Non- active social media user	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt)	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e	Agree	Disagre e
Umunimba d Dana	(z) 1230	(a) 654	(b) 296	(c) 280	(d) 273	(e) 269	(f) 129	(g) 598	(h) 700	(i) 152	(j) 496	(k) 278	(l) 624	(m) 161	(n) 588	(o) 180
Unweighted Base Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	273	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	226 18%ikm	128	59 19%	78 30%z	61 <i>22%</i>	56 22%	57 44%ze	122	226 34%zi	-	170 35%zk	32 12%	175 29%zm	16	146 26%z	39 22%
[2] Tend to agree	447 36%ikm	237 1 <i>39%</i>	114 <i>36%</i>	120 46%z	121 44%z	105 <i>40%</i>	52 40%	251 43%z	447 66%zi	-	259 53%zk	79 <i>30%</i>	310 51%zm	30 20%	273 49%zo	58 <i>33%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	364 30%acc ghijklm no	156 df <i>26%</i>	86 <i>27%</i>	42 16%	57 20%	72 27%f	17 13%	146 25%f	-	-	37 <i>8</i> %	41 15%j	76 13%	15 10%	79 14%o	8 5%
[4] Tend to disagree	85 7%fgh	42 ijl 7%	25 <i>8</i> %	14 <i>5</i> %	22 <i>8</i> %	16 <i>6%</i>	3 <i>2</i> %	25 4%	-	85 56%zh	13 <i>3</i> %	60 22%zj	24 4%	48 31%zl	34 <i>6%</i>	35 20%zn
[5] Strongly disagree	67 5%cef jl	29 igh <i>5%</i>	23 <i>7%</i>	7 3%	13 <i>5</i> %	6 <i>2</i> %	1 1%	23 4%	-	67 44%zh	9 <i>2</i> %	51 19%zj	19 <i>3</i> %	44 28%zl	24 4%	34 20%zn
MEAN	2.43acd efghjln	2.33	2.47	2.06	2.29c	2.26f	1.75	2.25f	1.66	4.44zh	1.83	3.07zj	2.01	3.49zl	2.13	2.82zn
Agree	673 55%ikm	365 1 60%z	173 <i>55%</i>	198 75%zd	183 66%z	161 62%z	110 84%ze	374 g 64%z	673 100%zi	-	429 88%zk	112 <i>42%</i>	485 80%zm	46 30%	419 75%zo	97 <i>55%</i>
Disagree	152 12%cfg jl	71 h <i>12</i> %	48 15%	22 8%	36 13%	22 8%	3 <i>3</i> %	47 8%	-	152 100%zh	22 4%	111 42%zj	43 7%	92 60%zl	59 10%	69 40%zn
NET Agree	521 42%ikm	294 no 48%zb	126 40%	176 67%zd	147 53%z	139 53%z	106 82%ze	326 g 56%z	673 100%zi	-152 -100%	407 83%zk	*	442 73%zm	-46 -30%	360 64%zo	27 16%

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 222

G22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements
Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate
BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

		-	-	/E ON MEDIA	VOTII	NG INFLUI		GIVES V	MEDIA OICE TO PLE	BREAK! BARRIE	MEDIA S DOWN RS FOR ERS	MAKES P DEBAT	MEDIA POLITICAL E MORE SIVE	MAKES P	E MORE
TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
41 3%c	19 dhjl <i>3</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	1 *	3 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	:	16 <i>3</i> %	-	-	1 *	4 1%	-	*	4 1%	*

Weighted Base Don't know

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 223

		GEN	DER			AG	E				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDR HOUSEI	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (l)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	110 9%ilr	52 <i>9</i> %	58 <i>9</i> %	22 15%zi	29 14%i	20 10%i	18 8%i	16 9%i	6 <i>2</i> %	50 14%zl	38 9%l	22 5%	37 11%	37 11%	18 <i>7</i> %	19 <i>6%</i>	76 11%r	34 7%	39 11%	71 <i>8</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	379 31%ilr	188 <i>31%</i>	191 <i>30%</i>	63 45%zfhi	80 38%hi	73 37%i	65 30%i	45 25%	52 19%	143 41%zl	139 33%l	97 <i>21%</i>	107 <i>32%</i>	113 <i>33%</i>	79 31%	80 <i>26%</i>	256 36%zr	123 <i>2</i> 4%	124 <i>35%</i>	255 <i>2</i> 9%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	438 36%cjr	223 ns <i>37</i> %	214 <i>34%</i>	37 <i>2</i> 7%	69 <i>32%</i>	60 <i>30%</i>	76 34%	59 <i>33%</i>	138 50%zcd fh	106 e <i>30%</i>	135 <i>32%</i>	197 43%zjk	99 30%	113 <i>33</i> %	102 40%m	125 41%m	233 <i>32</i> %	205 40%zq	105 <i>30%</i>	333 38%zs
[4] Tend to disagree	167 <i>14%</i>	76 13%	91 <i>15%</i>	12 <i>8%</i>	28 13%	29 15%	34 15%	36 20%zci	28 10%	40 11%	63 15%	64 14%	52 16%	49 14%	25 10%	41 14%	98 14%	69 14%	49 14%	118 <i>13</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	100 8%dj	51 <i>9%</i>	49 <i>8</i> %	6 <i>4%</i>	5 <i>2</i> %	14 <i>7</i> %	22 10%d	19 11%d	35 12%zcd	10 <i>3%</i>	36 9%j	54 12%zj	31 10%	24 7%	19 <i>7</i> %	26 <i>8</i> %	48 7%	52 10%z	26 <i>7%</i>	74 8%
MEAN	2.81cdj q	2.81	2.80	2.40	2.53	2.71c	2.89cd	2.98zcd e	3.13zcd ef	2.48	2.80j	3.07zjk	2.80	2.73	2.79	2.91	2.70	2.96zq	2.71	2.85
Agree	489 40%ilp t	240 r 40%	249 40%	85 60%zefh i	109 51%zfhi	94 47%hi	83 38%i	61 34%i	58 21%	193 55%zkl	177 42%l	119 <i>26%</i>	143 44%p	150 44%p	97 <i>38%</i>	99 <i>33</i> %	332 46%zr	157 <i>31%</i>	163 47%zt	326 <i>37</i> %
Disagree	267 22%cj	127 <i>21%</i>	140 <i>22%</i>	17 12%	33 16%	43 21%	56 25%c	55 31%zcd	63 23%c	50 14%	99 24%j	118 26%zj	84 <i>2</i> 5%	73 21%	44 17%	67 <i>22%</i>	146 <i>20%</i>	121 <i>24%</i>	75 22%	192 <i>22%</i>
NET Agree	222 18%hil rt	113 p <i>19%</i>	109 <i>17%</i>	67 48%zefh i	76 36%zfhi	51 25%zfhi	27 12%hi	6 3%i	-5 -2%	143 41%zkl	78 19%l	1 *	60 18%p	77 22%zp	53 21%p	32 11%	186 26%zr	35 <i>7%</i>	88 25%zt	134 <i>15%</i>
Don't know	36 3%ajr	9 ng <i>2</i> %	26 4%za	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	6 <i>3%</i>	3 <i>2%</i>	20 7 %zcd	3 eh <i>1%</i>	10 <i>2%</i>	23 5%zj	3 1%	7 2%	11 4%m	14 5%m	8 1%	28 5%zq	7 2%	29 <i>3</i> %

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 224

		ACCES			TENII	DE			00VED	NACNIT A	055105.1	TO ON		FT! IN!	OITV		FRUO	TION		4 5 1 5			DAILY NE	
		INTER	NEI		TENU	RENTED I			GOVER	NMENI	OFFICE I	REGION		ETHNI	CITY	GCSE/	EDUC/	ATION		ANN	UAL INC)ME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL	YES	NO	OWNED OUT- RIGHT	BUYING MORT-		RENTED PRI- VATE	SCOT- LAND	WALES	NORTH	MID- LANDS S	SOUTH L	ONDON	WHITE	BME	O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD	NO FORML QUAL	UP TO £11499	£11500 - £24999	£25000 PLUS	QUALITY	POPULAR
	(z)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	110 9%bc rw	110 10%zb	1 1%	26 <i>6</i> %	31 <i>9</i> %	23 14%zc	26 <i>9</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	5 9%	25 <i>8%</i>	28 <i>9</i> %	28 10%	16 10%	90 <i>8%</i>	20 14%z	22 m <i>7</i> %	36 15%zo r	34 10%r	9 <i>3</i> %	9 <i>6</i> %	17 9%	50 10%	13 11%w	10 <i>4%</i>
[2] Tend to agree	379 31%bc krs	370 33%zb	8 <i>8</i> %	102 <i>24%</i>	144 40%zce	40 24%	91 <i>33</i> %	38 36%k	17 28%	88 <i>30%</i>	118 37%zk	60 21%	58 35%k	330 <i>30%</i>	48 34%	98 33%r	78 34%r	136 41%zı	53 19%	30 <i>20%</i>	52 <i>26</i> %	205 42%zs	33 t <i>30%</i>	64 <i>27</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	438 36%ad qu	372 <i>33%</i>	66 64%z	171 a 41%zo	97 i <i>27</i> %	59 <i>36%</i>	110 40%d	34 <i>32</i> %	18 <i>30</i> %	107 <i>36%</i>	103 <i>32</i> %	110 <i>39</i> %	66 40%	385 <i>35%</i>	52 <i>37</i> %	91 <i>31%</i>	75 <i>32</i> %	89 <i>27</i> %	155 55%zc q	66 op 43%u	82 42%u	122 <i>2</i> 5%	37 <i>34%</i>	84 <i>36</i> %
[4] Tend to disagree	167 14%n	160 <i>14%</i>	7 7%	59 14%	54 15%	19 <i>12%</i>	32 12%	11 10%	10 <i>17</i> %	35 12%	42 13%	55 19%zgl	14 <i>9</i> %	158 15%n	9 <i>7</i> %	50 17%p	23 10%	45 14%	28 10%	22 14%	27 14%	66 14%	20 18%	42 18%
[5] Strongly disagree	100 8%n	89 <i>8%</i>	11 11%	46 11%zf	26 <i>7%</i>	14 9%	14 <i>5</i> %	11 10%l	6 10%	31 10%l	25 <i>8%</i>	21 <i>8%</i>	6 4%	95 <i>9%</i>	6 4%	28 <i>9%</i>	17 <i>7</i> %	27 8%	24 <i>8</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %	14 <i>7</i> %	41 <i>8</i> %	8 <i>7</i> %	20 <i>9</i> %
MEAN	2.81a Inpqu	2.77	3.22za	2.99zd ef	2.72	2.75	2.69	2.79	2.921	2.85	2.74	2.941	2.60	2.85z n	2.50	2.87p	2.60	2.68	3.01zp q	2.98u	2.84	2.67	2.80	3.00z
Agree	489 40%bc krsw	480 43%zb	9 <i>9</i> %	128 <i>31%</i>	176 49%zce	62 <i>38%</i>	117 42%c	46 43%k	22 <i>36</i> %	113 <i>38%</i>	146 46%zk	88 31%	74 45%k	420 39%	68 48%z	120 m 41%r	114 49%zr	170 51%zo r	62 22%	39 <i>26%</i>	69 <i>35%</i>	255 52%zs	45 t 41%	73 <i>32</i> %
Disagree	267 22%ln	249 <i>22</i> %	19 18%	104 25%f	80 <i>22%</i>	33 <i>20%</i>	46 17%	22 20%	16 27%l	66 22%l	67 21%	76 27%l	20 12%	253 23%zn	15 1 11%	78 26%p	40 17%	72 22%	52 18%	33 <i>22</i> %	42 21%	107 <i>22%</i>	28 <i>25%</i>	62 <i>27%</i>
NET Agree	222 18%bc hkmrs w	231 21%zb	-10 <i>-9%</i>	24 6%	95 27%zc	29 18%c	71 26%zc	24 23%hk	6 (9%	47 16%k	79 25%zhi k	12 4%	54 33%zł ik	168 1 <i>15%</i>	53 38%z	42 m 14%r	74 32%zo r	98 29%z	11 or 4%	6 4%	28 14%s	148 30%zs	18 t 16%w	11 5%
Don't know	36 3%aq	26 u <i>2</i> %	10 10%z	17 a <u>4%</u>	5 1%	9 6%d	5 <i>2</i> %	5 5%j	4 7%zj	11 <i>4</i> %	4 1%	7 2%	5 <i>3</i> %	30 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	8 <i>3</i> %	5 2%	2 1%	15 <u>5</u> %q	15 10%zt	3 u <u>2%</u>	5 1%	-	12 5%v

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 225

		PC	LITICAL	PARTY SU	JPPORT		KNOWLE UK PO	LITICS	KNOWLE PARLIA	MENT	LIKELIH	OOD TO V		NERAL	POLITICA SUPF STREI	PORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL (z)	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	110	21	43	7	12	10	68	42	69	42	78	11	14	6	45	65	79	31
	9%jr	<i>7</i> %	10%	10%	12%	<i>8</i> %	11%z	7%	11%zj	7%	10%	<i>5</i> %	10%	<i>6</i> %	10%	<i>9</i> %	11%zr	<i>6</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	379	86	149	23	34	36	207	171	193	186	239	79	35	23	143	236	236	143
	<i>3</i> 1%	<i>27</i> %	<i>33%</i>	33%	<i>35%</i>	<i>27</i> %	<i>33%</i>	<i>29%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>32</i> %	38%zmi	n <i>24%</i>	23%	<i>31%</i>	<i>31%</i>	34%z	<i>27</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	438 36%g	104 ikq <i>33</i> %	154 <i>34%</i>	25 36%	30 <i>31%</i>	62 47%z e	187 ab <i>2</i> 9%	251 42%zg	178 <i>2</i> 9%	260 42%zi	247 33%	80 <i>39%</i>	57 <i>39%</i>	47 47%k	149 <i>33%</i>	282 <i>37</i> %	216 <i>31%</i>	222 42%zq
[4] Tend to disagree	167	60	61	6	13	10	99	68	95	72	112	18	23	10	69	97	96	71
	<i>14%</i>	19%zf	14%	<i>9</i> %	<i>13</i> %	<i>8</i> %	16%	11%	16%	12%	<i>15</i> %	<i>9</i> %	16%	10%	<i>15%</i>	13%	14%	14%
[5] Strongly disagree	100	33	28	6	6	10	66	34	63	37	60	14	13	12	40	60	65	36
	8%h	j 11%	<i>6%</i>	<i>8</i> %	<i>6</i> %	<i>7</i> %	10%zh	<i>6</i> %	10%zj	<i>6%</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>7</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>12</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>8</i> %	<i>9%</i>	<i>7%</i>
MEAN	2.81	3.00zb e	2.73	2.70	2.65	2.78	2.82	2.79	2.82	2.79	2.78	2.73	2.90	3.00	2.81	2.80	2.75	2.88
Agree	489	107	192	31	45	47	276	213	262	227	317	90	50	29	188	301	315	174
	40%r	<i>34%</i>	43%a	<i>44</i> %	<i>47</i> %	35%	43%zh	<i>36%</i>	43%z	<i>37</i> %	42%n	44%n	<i>34%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>41%</i>	<i>39%</i>	45%zr	<i>33%</i>
Disagree	267	94	89	12	19	20	165	102	159	109	172	32	36	22	110	157	160	107
	22%h	j 30%zb	of <i>20%</i>	<i>17</i> %	19%	15%	26%zh	<i>17</i> %	26%zj	<i>17</i> %	<i>2</i> 3%	16%	<i>25%</i>	22%	<i>24%</i>	<i>21%</i>	<i>23%</i>	<i>20%</i>
NET Agree	222	13	103	19	27	27	110	111	103	119	145	58	13	7	78	144	155	67
	18%a	mnr 4%	23%za	1 26%a	28%za	a 20%a	<i>17</i> %	<i>19%</i>	<i>17</i> %	<i>19%</i>	19%mi	n 28%zkm	nn <i>9</i> %	6%	17%	19%	22%zr	13%
Don't know	36	9	12	2	3	4	9	26	9	26	22	5	3	2	9	23	11	24
	3%g	iq <i>3</i> %	<i>3</i> %	3%	<i>3</i> %	3%	1%	<u>4</u> %zg	2%	4%i	3%	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	2%	<i>2</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	5%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 226

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEI	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	110	52	35	48	34	28	69	40	27	81
	<i>9</i> %	12%z	<i>8%</i>	<i>9%</i>	<i>8</i> %	10%	10%	<i>9</i> %	8%	<i>10%</i>
[2] Tend to agree	379	155	118	211	112	56	242	123	112	260
	31%e	37%zb	<i>2</i> 7%	40%zde	<i>26</i> %	20%	34%z	<i>28%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>32</i> %
[3] Neither agree	438	133	144	159	158	121	243	159	129	274
nor disagree	36%c	i <i>32</i> %	<i>33%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>37</i> %	44%zc	<i>34%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>33%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	167	40	81	71	63	33	94	68	62	104
	14%a	9%	18%za	13%	15%	<i>12%</i>	13%	15%	17%	<i>13%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	100	31	47	36	43	21	53	43	19	79
	<i>8%</i>	<i>7</i> %	11%	<i>7</i> %	10%	<i>8</i> %	<i>7</i> %	10%	<i>5%</i>	10%zh
MEAN	2.81ac	2.62	2.97za	2.69	2.92zc	2.86	2.74	2.89	2.81	2.80
Agree	489	207	153	258	146	84	311	163	139	341
	40%b	de 49%zb	<i>35%</i>	49%zde	<i>34</i> %	31%	44%z	<i>37</i> %	<i>3</i> 9%	<i>42</i> %
Disagree	267	71	128	108	106	54	147	111	81	184
	22%a	<i>17</i> %	29%za	<i>20%</i>	<i>25%</i>	20%	21%	<i>2</i> 5%	<i>23%</i>	<i>22</i> %
NET Agree	222	135	25	151	41	30	163	52	58	157
	18%b	deg 32%zb	<i>6</i> %	28%zde	10%	11%	23%zg	12%	16%	<i>19%</i>
Don't know	36	11	14	7	15	14	12	11	8	22
	3%c	f <i>3</i> %	<i>3%</i>	1%	<i>4%</i>	5%c	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>2</i> %	3%

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 227

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF TICAL	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIE VOT	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL E MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPER	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	110 9%ik	57 <i>9</i> %	37 12%	47 18%zd	28 10%	23 <i>9</i> %	32 25%ze	72 g 12%z	107 16%zi	1 *	110 23%zk	-	91 15%zm	8 1 <i>5</i> %	69 12%z	26 15%z
[2] Tend to agree	379 31%ikn	203 n <i>33%</i>	103 <i>32%</i>	97 37%z	122 44%z	82 31%	65 50%ze	209 g 36%z	322 48%zi	21 <i>14%</i>	379 77%zk	-	268 44%zm	26 1 17%	230 41%zo	50 <i>29%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	438 36%ac ghijklm no	187 df <i>31%</i>	109 <i>34%</i>	61 <i>23%</i>	79 <i>28%</i>	91 35%fg	21 <i>16</i> %	167 29%f	129 <i>19%</i>	19 <i>12%</i>	-	-	119 <i>20%</i>	20 13%	119 21%0	20 12%
[4] Tend to disagree	167 14%fj	102 17%zb	32 10%	33 <i>13%</i>	29 10%	39 15%f	8 <i>6</i> %	76 13%f	84 13%	57 37%zh	-	167 62%zj	88 14%	48 31%zl	84 15%	44 25%zn
[5] Strongly disagree	100 8%fhj	43 I <i>7</i> %	31 10%	25 <i>9</i> %	19 <i>7</i> %	22 9%f	3 2%	46 8%f	27 4%	55 36%zh	-	100 38%zj	36 <i>6%</i>	51 33%zl	55 10%	33 19%zn
MEAN	2.81cdf ghjln	2.78	2.74	2.58	2.60	2.82fg	2.11	2.68f	2.41	3.94zh	1.77	4.38zj	2.52	3.70zl	2.69	3.05zn
Agree	489 40%ikn	260 n <i>43%</i>	140 <i>44%</i>	144 55%z	150 54%z	105 <i>40%</i>	98 75%ze	281 g 48%ze	429 64%zi	22 14%	489 100%zk	-	359 59%zm	34 1 <i>22%</i>	298 53%z	76 44%
Disagree	267 22%fhj	144 <i>2</i> 4%	63 <i>20%</i>	57 <i>22%</i>	48 17%	61 23%f	11 <i>8</i> %	122 21%f	112 <i>17</i> %	111 73%zh	-	267 100%zj	124 <i>20%</i>	99 65%zl	139 25%z	77 44%zn
NET Agree	222 18%im	116 o <i>19%</i>	77 24%z	86 33%z	102 37%z	44 17%	87 67%ze	159 g 27%ze	318 47%zi	-89 <i>-59%</i>	489 100%zk	-267 -100%	236 39%zm	-65 1 <i>-42%</i>	159 28%zo	-1
Don't know	36 3%cd Ino	19 hjk <i>3</i> %	5 2%	-	*	4 1%	-	12 <i>2</i> %	3	-	-	-	3	-	3	*

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 228

		GEN	DER			AG	iΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING		CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL (z)	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (o)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	212	110	102	33	38	44	31	30	36	71	76	65	76	60	38	37	135	77	70	142
	17%ilp	18%	<i>16%</i>	24%i	18%	22%i	<i>14%</i>	<i>17</i> %	13%	<i>20%</i>	18%	14%	23%zop	18%	15%	12%	19%	15%	20%	<i>16</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	393	195	198	49	86	66	73	53	65	136	139	118	117	121	72	83	250	142	113	280
	32%ilr	<i>32%</i>	<i>31%</i>	35%i	41%zi	<i>33%</i>	33%i	<i>30%</i>	<i>23%</i>	38%zl	<i>33%</i>	<i>26%</i>	<i>36%</i>	<i>35%</i>	28%	<i>27%</i>	35%r	<i>28%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>32</i> %
[3] Neither agree	429	207	222	41	70	56	79	62	121	111	135	183	97	111	101	120	235	194	112	318
nor disagree	35%m	<i>35%</i>	35%	<i>2</i> 9%	33%	28%	<i>36%</i>	<i>3</i> 5%	44%zce	31%	<i>32</i> %	40%zjk	29%	<i>32</i> %	40%m	39%m	<i>33%</i>	<i>38%</i>	<i>32</i> %	<i>36</i> %
[4] Tend to disagree	90	48	42	12	10	22	19	16	10	23	41	26	21	27	18	24	62	28	37	53
	7%irt	<i>8</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>9%</i>	<i>5</i> %	11%i	<i>9</i> %	9%i	<i>4</i> %	<i>7</i> %	10%	<i>6</i> %	<i>6%</i>	8%	<i>7</i> %	8%	9%	<i>6</i> %	11%zt	<i>6</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	63	29	34	3	5	8	13	11	23	8	21	34	13	17	7	26	25	38	11	52
	5%jq	<i>5</i> %	<i>5%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>2</i> %	4%	<i>6%</i>	<i>6</i> %	8%zcc	I <i>2</i> %	<i>5</i> %	8%zj	<i>4%</i>	<i>5</i> %	3%	8%zo	<i>3</i> %	7%zq	<i>3</i> %	<i>6</i> %
MEAN	2.49cjm q	2.48	2.51	2.29	2.33	2.40	2.58c	2.57c	2.69zcd e	2.31	2.49	2.64zj	2.31	2.46	2.51	2.72zmn	2.42	2.60zq	2.43	2.52
Agree	605	305	300	83	124	111	104	82	101	207	215	183	194	181	110	120	386	219	183	422
	49%ilp	r <i>51%</i>	<i>48%</i>	59%zi	59%zi	55%i	47%i	46%	<i>36%</i>	59%zl	51%l	<i>40%</i>	59%zop	53%p	<i>43</i> %	<i>39%</i>	54%zr	<i>43</i> %	<i>52%</i>	48%
Disagree	153	77	76	15	16	30	32	27	33	31	62	61	34	44	25	50	87	66	48	105
	12%j	13%	12%	11%	<i>7</i> %	15%	14%	15%d	12%	<i>9</i> %	15%j	<i>13</i> %	10%	13%	10%	16%	12%	13%	14%	<i>12</i> %
NET Agree	452	228	224	68	108	81	72	55	67	176	153	123	160	137	85	70	299	153	135	317
	37%ilp	r <i>38%</i>	<i>36</i> %	48%zfhi	51%zfhi	40%i	33%	31%	24%	50%zkl	36%l	<i>27</i> %	48%zop	40%p	33%p	<i>23%</i>	42%zr	<i>30%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>36</i> %
Don't know	43	10	33	2	2	4	5	7	23	4	9	29	4	6	17	16	11	32	7	37
	4%ajı	mq2%	<u>5</u> %za	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	8%zcc	lef 1%	<i>2</i> %	<u>6</u> %zjk	1%	<i>2</i> %	<u>7</u> %zmr	1 5%m	<i>2</i> %	<u>6</u> %zq	2%	<i>4%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 229

		ACCES			TEN	IDE			00//55		055105	DEOLO		ETHN	OITY		EDUO	TION		A N I N I			DAILY NEV	
		INTER	NEI		TENU	RENTEDI			GOVER	NMENT	OFFICE	REGIO	N	EIHN	CHY	GCSE/	EDUC/	ATION		ANN	UAL INC)ME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)			RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND	WALES (h)	NORTH	MID- LANDS	SOUTH	LONDON	WHITE	BME (n)	O-LV/ CSE/		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 £24999	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
	212	209	3	66	69	27	44	21	14	48	56	51	22	187	25		42	76	28	18	29	117	30	20
[1] Strongly agree	17%br w	19%zb		16%	19%	17%	16%	19%	23%	16%	18%	18%	13%	17%	18%	53 18%r	18%r	23%zr	10%	12%	15%	24%zs		8%
[2] Tend to agree	393 32%be irs	381 34%zb	11 11%	128 <i>30%</i>	129 36%e	39 24%	95 <i>34%</i>	39 36%i	21 <i>35</i> %	77 26%	105 <i>33%</i>	89 <i>32%</i>	62 37%i	348 <i>32</i> %	43 31%	90 <i>31%</i>	78 33%r	135 40%zo r	61 21%	36 <i>23%</i>	60 31%	181 37%zs	45 40%	81 <i>35%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	429 35%ag hquv	366 32%	64 62%z	154 a <i>37</i> %	111 <i>31%</i>	59 <i>36%</i>	104 <i>37</i> %	26 <i>25%</i>	15 24%	113 38%gh	112 n 35%g	102 36%g	61 <i>37</i> %	380 <i>35%</i>	49 <i>35%</i>	95 <i>32</i> %	76 <i>32%</i>	90 <i>27%</i>	142 50%zc q	61 op 40%u	76 39%u	136 <i>28%</i>	26 <i>23%</i>	85 36%v
[4] Tend to disagree	90 7%c	87 <i>8</i> %	3 <i>3</i> %	20 <i>5%</i>	32 <i>9</i> %	17 10%c	20 <i>7</i> %	6 <i>6</i> %	2 4%	28 10%	28 <i>9</i> %	17 <i>6</i> %	9 <i>5%</i>	79 <i>7</i> %	12 <i>8%</i>	30 10%r	20 <i>9</i> %	23 <i>7%</i>	12 <i>4</i> %	11 <i>7</i> %	16 <i>8</i> %	31 <i>6%</i>	4 4%	17 <i>7</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	63 5%aq	51 5%	12 12%z	30 a 7%zd	10 <i>3</i> %	12 7%d	10 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	4 6%	18 <i>6</i> %	13 <i>4%</i>	12 4%	7 4%	56 <i>5</i> %	6 5%	20 7%q	12 5%	9 <i>3</i> %	21 7%q	13 <i>8%</i>	11 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>4</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	17 <i>7</i> %
MEAN	2.49a quv	2.44	3.10za	2.55	2.38	2.66d	2.47	2.43	2.29	2.62z h	2.48	2.45	2.48	2.50	2.49	2.56q	2.48q	2.26	2.76zp q	2.75zu	2.59u	2.28	2.19	2.69zv
Agree	605 49%be irs	590 52%zb	15 14%	194 <i>46</i> %	198 55%zce	66 41%	139 <i>50%</i>	59 55%i	35 57%i	125 <i>42</i> %	161 <i>50%</i>	140 <i>50%</i>	84 51%	535 49%	68 <i>49</i> %	143 48%r	120 51%r	210 63%zc pr	89 31%	53 <i>35%</i>	89 <i>45</i> %	298 61%zs	74 t 67%zw	100 <i>43</i> %
Disagree	153 <i>12</i> %	138 <i>12</i> %	15 15%	51 <i>12</i> %	42 12%	29 18%z	30 11%	15 14%	6 <i>9</i> %	46 16%	41 13%	29 10%	16 10%	135 <i>12</i> %	18 <i>13%</i>	50 17%zo	32 14%	31 <i>9%</i>	33 12%	24 16%	27 14%	50 10%	10 <i>9%</i>	34 15%
NET Agree	452 37%be irsw	452 40%zb	*	143 34%e	157 44%zce	37 23%	109 39%e	45 42%i	29 48%zi	79 <i>27</i> %	120 38%i	111 39%i	68 41%i	400 <i>37</i> %	50 <i>36</i> %	94 32%r	88 38%r	179 54%zo pr	56 20%	30 19%	62 31%s	248 51%zs	64 t 58%zw	66 <i>28%</i>
Don't know	43 4%ao	33 u <i>3</i> %	10 10%z	21 a <i>5</i> %	8 <i>2</i> %	9 5%	5 <i>2</i> %	7 6%j	5 9%zj	11 4%	5 2%	10 4%	5 <i>3</i> %	37 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	8 3%q	6 3%q	1 *	21 7%z	15 10%zt	4 J 2%	5 1%	1 1%	13 <i>5%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 230

		PC	POLITICAL PARTY SUPPORT					DGE OF	KNOWLE PARLIA		LIKELIH		OTE IN GE	NERAL	POLITICA SUPP STREM	ORT	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
	TOTAL	CONSER -VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (g)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (h)	KNOW A GREAT DEAL/ FAIR AMOUNT (i)	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/ NOTH -ING AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (l)	UNLIKELY (m)	CERTA -INLY NOT (n)	STRONG (0)	WEAK (p)	VERY/ FAIRLY INTERESTED (q)	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	212	66	80	11	14	18	138	74	131	81	153	23	22	11	83	129	147	65
	17%hj	Ir <i>21%</i>	18%	16%	14%	13%	22%zh	13%	22%zj	<i>13</i> %	20%zl	11%	15%	11%	18%	<i>17</i> %	21%zr	12%
[2] Tend to agree	393	90	150	22	38	39	203	189	202	191	240	80	36	31	170	223	232	160
	32%p	<i>29%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>32</i> %	<i>39%</i>	<i>30</i> %	<i>32%</i>	<i>32</i> %	<i>33%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>32%</i>	39%m	<i>25%</i>	<i>31%</i>	37%zp	<i>2</i> 9%	33%	<i>30%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	429 35%gi q	107 iko <i>34</i> %	142 <i>32</i> %	25 <i>36%</i>	29 29%	59 44%b	191 <i>30%</i>	238 40%zg	176 <i>2</i> 9%	254 41%zi	244 <i>32</i> %	75 <i>36</i> %	60 41%	43 43%	124 <i>27</i> %	298 39%zo	212 <i>30</i> %	217 41%zq
[4] Tend to disagree	90	23	44	4	8	4	53	37	52	38	60	14	14	3	44	46	57	33
	<i>7</i> %	<i>7</i> %	10%z	5%	<i>9</i> %	3%	<i>8%</i>	<i>6</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>6%</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>7</i> %	<i>9</i> %	<i>3</i> %	10%	<i>6</i> %	<i>8</i> %	<i>6</i> %
[5] Strongly disagree	63	19	18	6	5	7	42	21	39	24	41	6	7	9	28	35	41	22
	<i>5</i> %	<i>6%</i>	<i>4%</i>	9%	<i>6%</i>	5%	7%zh	<i>4</i> %	<i>6%</i>	4%	5%	<i>3</i> %	4%	<i>9</i> %	<i>6%</i>	<i>5</i> %	<i>6</i> %	4%
MEAN	2.49	2.47	2.46	2.58	2.50	2.56	2.45	2.54	2.45	2.54	2.45	2.50	2.61	2.67	2.47	2.50	2.44	2.57
Agree	605	156	231	33	52	57	341	264	333	272	393	103	58	42	253	351	379	225
	49%hj	pr <i>50%</i>	<i>52%</i>	<i>48%</i>	<i>54%</i>	43%	54%zh	44%	55%zj	44%	52%zm	<i>50%</i>	40%	42%	55%zp	<i>46%</i>	54%zr	43%
Disagree	153	42	61	10	14	11	95	58	91	62	101	20	20	12	72	81	98	54
	12%hi	ip 13%	14%	14%	14%	<i>9</i> %	15%zh	10%	15%zj	10%	<i>13%</i>	10%	14%	12%	16%zp	11%	14%	10%
NET Agree	452	114	170	24	38	46	246	206	241	211	292	83	38	30	181	270	281	171
	37%m	ir <i>36</i> %	<i>38%</i>	33%	<i>40%</i>	<i>35</i> %	<i>39%</i>	<i>35</i> %	40%	<i>34</i> %	38%m	40%m	<i>26%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>40%</i>	<i>35</i> %	40%zr	<i>32%</i>
Don't know	43	9	13	2	2	5	9	33	8	35	22	8	7	3	7	32	13	30
	4%gi	ioq3%	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	2%	4%	1%	6%zg	1%	6%zi	<i>3</i> %	4%	5%	<i>3</i> %	2%	4%o	<i>2</i> %	6%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 231

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH	OLITICS, THEY ANGE THE WAY		TED AT EU DESE		IMPORTANT		SATISFACTION	
		THE UK	IS RUN	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFE	RENDUM	DETERMINED BY	REFERENDUMS	SYSTEM OF GOV	
	TOTAL	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	212	97	72	102	76	34	122	86	52	156
	<i>17</i> %	23%zb	16%	<i>19%</i>	18%	13%	<i>17</i> %	19%	15%	19%z
[2] Tend to agree	393	140	142	199	116	78	244	136	117	269
	32%d	<i>33%</i>	<i>32</i> %	37%zde	<i>2</i> 7%	29%	34%	<i>31%</i>	<i>3</i> 3%	<i>33</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	429	129	133	155	159	115	243	152	139	255
	35%b	ci <i>30%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>2</i> 9%	37%c	42%zc	<i>34%</i>	<i>34%</i>	39%i	31%
[4] Tend to disagree	90	26	42	43	28	19	52	34	24	66
	<i>7</i> %	<i>6%</i>	10%	<i>8</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>7</i> %	<i>8</i> %	7%	<i>8%</i>
[5] Strongly disagree	63	17	32	24	26	14	37	22	16	45
	<i>5%</i>	4%	7%z	4%	<i>6</i> %	<i>5</i> %	<i>5</i> %	5%	<i>4</i> %	<i>6</i> %
MEAN	2.49ac	2.33	2.57a	2.40	2.53	2.61c	2.48	2.47	2.52	2.46
Agree	605	237	214	300	192	113	366	222	169	425
	49%e	56%z	<i>4</i> 9%	56%zde	<i>45%</i>	<i>41%</i>	<i>51%</i>	50%	<i>47%</i>	52%z
Disagree	153	43	74	66	54	32	89	57	40	111
	<i>12</i> %	10%	17%za	12%	13%	12%	12%	13%	11%	<i>14%</i>
NET Agree	452	195	140	234	138	80	277	166	130	314
	37%b	e 46%zb	<i>32</i> %	44%zde	<i>32%</i>	<i>29%</i>	39%	<i>37</i> %	<i>36%</i>	<i>38%</i>
Don't know	43	14	18	10	20	13	15	13	9	29
	4%c	f <u>3%</u>	<i>4%</i>	<i>2</i> %	5%c	5%c	<i>2</i> %	<i>3</i> %	<i>2</i> %	<i>4</i> %

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 232

		HAPPY CHOIC POLIT PAR	CE OF	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	NCE		. MEDIA OICE TO PLE	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPERI	OLITICAL MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	212 17%m	123 20%z	58 18%	75 29%zd	47 17%	48 19%	35 27%zg	105 <i>18%</i>	180 27%zi	20 13%	132 27%zk	49 18%	212 35%zm	-	163 29%zo	25 14%
[2] Tend to agree	393 32%im		91 <i>29%</i>	108 41%z	118 42%z	97 <i>37</i> %	61 47%z	229 39%z	305 45%zi	23 15%	227 46%zk	75 28%	393 65%zm		262 47%zo	43 <i>25%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	429 35%ac ghijklm no	176 df <i>29</i> %	119 37%a	54 21%	78 28%	86 33%f	22 17%	175 30%f	138 20%i	16 11%	93 19%	40 15%	-	-	80 14%	36 21%
[4] Tend to disagree	90 7%gh	52 jln <i>8</i> %	25 <i>8</i> %	15 <i>6%</i>	23 <i>8%</i>	12 <i>5</i> %	9 <i>7</i> %	32 <i>5</i> %	30 <i>4%</i>	47 31%zh	23 5%	55 20%zj	-	90 59%zl	27 5%	39 22%zn
[5] Strongly disagree	63 5%hjl	24 4%	17 5%	10 <i>4</i> %	10 <i>3%</i>	11 <i>4</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	22 4%	15 <i>2</i> %	44 29%zh	11 <i>2</i> %	44 17%zj	-	63 41%zl	21 4%	31 18%zn
MEAN	2.49acf ghjln	2.39	2.52	2.15	2.38c	2.38f	2.10	2.35f	2.10	3.48zh	2.08	2.89zj	1.65	4.41zl	2.06	3.04zn
Agree	605 49%im	339 o 55%zb	149 <i>47</i> %	183 70%zd	165 60%z	146 56%z	96 74%zeg	334 57%z	485 72%zi	43 28%	359 73%zk	124 <i>46%</i>	605 100%zm	-	424 76%zo	68 <i>39%</i>
Disagree	153 12%gh n	76 jl <i>12</i> %	41 13%	25 <i>9</i> %	33 12%	23 <i>9</i> %	11 <i>9</i> %	54 <i>9</i> %	46 7%	92 60%zh	34 7%	99 37%zj	-	153 100%zl	48 9%	70 40%zn
NET Agree	452 37%ikn	263 no 43%zb	108 <i>34</i> %	158 60%zd	133 48%z	122 47%z	85 65%zeg	280 48%z	440 65%zi	-48 <i>-32%</i>	325 66%zk	25 <i>9</i> %	605 100%zm	-153 -100%	376 67%zo	-2 -1%
Don't know	43 4%cdl mno	20 hjl <i>3</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	1 *	2 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	-	20 <i>3</i> %	4 1%	1 1%	3 1%	5 <i>2</i> %	-	-	8 1%	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 233

		GEN	IDER			AG	iΕ				AGE			SOCIAL	GRADE		WORKING	STATUS	CHILDR HOUSE	
	TOTAL	MALE (a)	FEMALE (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (h)	65+ (i)	18-34 (j)	35-54 (k)	55+ (I)	AB (m)	C1 (n)	C2 (0)	DE (p)	WOR- KING (q)	NOT WOR- KING (r)	YES (s)	NO (t)
Unweighted Base	1230	625	605	161	166	172	180	199	352	327	352	551	344	387	225	274	576	654	314	916
Weighted Base	1230	600	630	141	212	201	220	178	278	352	421	457	329	342	253	305	719	511	349	881
Effective Base	813	382	432	113	112	128	126	129	229	215	253	356	231	255	155	179	413	429	221	592
[1] Strongly agree	200 16%p	95 16%	106 <i>17%</i>	22 15%	40 19%	30 15%	27 12%	36 20%	46 17%	62 18%	57 13%	82 18%	86 26%znop	50 15%	31 <i>12%</i>	33 11%	113 <i>16%</i>	87 17%	50 14%	150 <i>17</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	360 29%hil t	179 r <i>30</i> %	180 <i>29%</i>	53 38%zhi	65 31%	65 33%h	71 32%h	38 21%	67 <i>2</i> 4%	119 34%l	137 32%l	104 <i>23%</i>	107 <i>33%</i>	102 <i>30</i> %	71 <i>28%</i>	80 <i>26</i> %	233 32%zr	127 <i>2</i> 5%	120 34%zt	239 <i>27%</i>
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	461 37%m	223 <i>37%</i>	238 <i>38%</i>	50 <i>36</i> %	82 <i>39%</i>	67 <i>33%</i>	80 <i>36%</i>	71 40%	111 <i>40%</i>	132 <i>38%</i>	147 <i>35%</i>	182 <i>40%</i>	91 <i>28%</i>	127 37%m	111 44%m	132 43%m	258 <i>36%</i>	203 40%	126 <i>36%</i>	335 <i>38</i> %
[4] Tend to disagree	114 9%ir	59 10%	54 <i>9</i> %	8 <i>5</i> %	19 <i>9%</i>	25 13%i	26 12%i	22 12%i	13 <i>5%</i>	27 8%	51 <i>12%</i>	36 <i>8%</i>	27 8%	40 12%	25 10%	21 <i>7</i> %	80 11%r	34 <i>7</i> %	37 10%	77 9%
[5] Strongly disagree	60 5%jo	32 <i>5</i> %	28 <i>4%</i>	4 3%	4 2%	10 <i>5%</i>	11 <i>5</i> %	10 <i>5</i> %	22 8%zd	8 <i>2</i> %	21 <i>5</i> %	31 7%zj	15 <i>4%</i>	18 <i>5</i> %	5 <i>2</i> %	23 7%o	28 4%	33 <i>6</i> %	11 <i>3</i> %	50 <i>6%</i>
MEAN	2.56jm	2.58	2.54	2.42	2.44	2.59	2.64	2.62	2.61	2.43	2.62j	2.61j	2.32	2.63m	2.60m	2.72zm	2.55	2.58	2.53	2.57
Agree	560 46%lp	274 r 46%	286 <i>45%</i>	75 53%i	105 <i>50%</i>	95 <i>47%</i>	98 <i>45%</i>	73 41%	113 <i>41%</i>	180 51%l	193 <i>46%</i>	186 <i>41%</i>	193 59%znop	152 44%	101 <i>40%</i>	113 <i>37</i> %	346 <i>48%</i>	214 <i>42</i> %	170 <i>49%</i>	390 <i>44%</i>
Disagree	174 14%j	92 15%	82 13%	12 <i>9</i> %	23 11%	35 17%c	37 17%	32 18%c	35 13%	35 10%	72 17%j	67 15%	42 13%	58 17%	30 <i>12%</i>	44 14%	107 <i>15%</i>	67 13%	47 14%	127 14%
NET Agree	386 31%hl	182 p <i>30%</i>	204 <i>32%</i>	63 45%zef i	82 n 39%hi	60 <i>30%</i>	62 <i>28%</i>	41 <i>23</i> %	78 <i>28%</i>	145 41%zkl	122 <i>2</i> 9%	119 <i>26%</i>	151 46%znop	94 27%	71 <i>28</i> %	70 23%	238 <i>33%</i>	147 <i>2</i> 9%	123 <i>35</i> %	263 30%
Don't know	35 3%m	11 q <i>2</i> %	24 <i>4</i> %	4 3%	1	4 2%	5 <i>2</i> %	3 <i>2</i> %	18 7%zd	4 h <u>1%</u>	9 <i>2</i> %	21 5%zj	2 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	11 4%m	16 <u>5</u> %zr	7 nn <u>1%</u>	28 <u>5</u> %zq	6 <i>2</i> %	29 <i>3%</i>

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 234

		ACCES																					DAILY NEV	
		INTER	NET		TENL				GOVER	NMENT	OFFICE	REGION	<u> </u>	ETHN	ICITY		EDUC	ATION		ANN	UAL INC	OME	READE	RSHIP
	TOTAL (z)	YES (a)	NO (b)	OWNED OUT- RIGHT (c)	BUYING MORT- GAGE (d)	RENTED LOCAL AUTH- ORITY (e)	RENTED PRI- VATE (f)	SCOT- LAND \	WALES (h)	NORTH (i)	MID- LANDS : (j)	SOUTH	LONDON (I)	WHITE (m)	BME (n)	GCSE/ O-LV/ CSE/ NVQ12 (0)		DEGR/ MAST/ PHD (q)	NO FORML QUAL (r)	UP TO £11499 (s)	£11500 - £24999 (t)	£25000 PLUS (u)	QUALITY (v)	POPULAR (w)
Unweighted Base	1230	1131	99	486	295	197	240	182	108	291	301	193	155	1028	200	307	247	393	180	167	219	463	136	239
Weighted Base	1230	1127	103*	420	358	163	278	107	61*	296	320	281	166*	1087	141	296	234	333	284	153	197	488	110*	232
Effective Base	813	747	66	318	218	137	152	133	72	217	215	141	96	688	139	214	175	273	128	106	138	319	93	145
[1] Strongly agree	200 16%rs	192 17%z	8 <i>8</i> %	81 <i>19%</i>	53 15%	24 15%	41 <i>15</i> %	17 16%	8 14%	45 15%	46 15%	57 20%	27 16%	181 <i>17</i> %	19 <i>14%</i>	51 17%r	43 18%r	75 22%zr	19 <i>7</i> %	14 9%	40 20%s	103 21%zs	34 31%zw	39 17%
[2] Tend to agree	360 29%bi r	351 31%zb	8 <i>8</i> %	116 <i>28%</i>	119 <i>33%</i>	42 26%	82 <i>2</i> 9%	40 38%zi	16 26%	65 <i>22%</i>	102 32%i	75 27%	62 37%i	314 <i>2</i> 9%	45 32%	98 33%r	67 <i>29%</i>	112 34%r	62 <i>22</i> %	39 <i>25</i> %	45 23%	168 34%zt	31 <i>28%</i>	64 28%
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	461 37%aç quv	397 35%	64 62%z	154 a <i>37</i> %	119 <i>33</i> %	62 <i>38%</i>	118 <i>42</i> %	30 <i>28%</i>	21 34%	125 42%g	123 38%g	111 <i>39</i> %	51 <i>31%</i>	403 <i>37</i> %	57 40%	98 <i>33</i> %	92 39%q	97 <i>29%</i>	142 50%zc	62 oq 40%u	84 43%u	140 <i>2</i> 9%	27 25%	85 37%v
[4] Tend to disagree	114 9%	110 <i>10%</i>	4 3%	30 <i>7</i> %	46 13%zc	13 <i>8%</i>	25 <i>9</i> %	5 <i>5</i> %	5 <i>8</i> %	34 11%g	33 10%	20 <i>7</i> %	16 10%	104 <i>10%</i>	10 <i>7</i> %	30 10%	16 <i>7</i> %	35 11%	24 9%	13 <i>9%</i>	12 <i>6</i> %	57 12%	9 <i>8</i> %	23 10%
[5] Strongly disagree	60 <i>5%</i>	51 <i>5</i> %	9 <i>9</i> %	25 <i>6</i> %	16 4%	13 8%f	8 <i>3</i> %	8 <i>8</i> %	7 11%zjl	16 <i>5%</i>	12 4%	12 <i>4</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	56 <i>5%</i>	4 3%	13 <i>4</i> %	12 <i>5</i> %	14 <i>4</i> %	19 <i>7</i> %	8 <i>5%</i>	11 <i>6</i> %	16 <i>3</i> %	9 8%w	7 3%
MEAN	2.56a quv	2.53	2.97za	2.51	2.58	2.66	2.55	2.47	2.75	2.69z I	2.57	2.48	2.44	2.56	2.52	2.50	2.51	2.40	2.86zo pq	2.72u	2.54	2.41	2.35	2.51
Agree	560 46%bi rs	543 48%zb	17 16%	197 <i>47</i> %	172 48%	66 41%	122 44%	57 54%zi	24 39%	109 <i>37</i> %	148 46%i	132 <i>47</i> %	89 54%i	495 46%	64 46%	148 50%r	110 47%r	186 56%zr	81 <i>28</i> %	53 <i>35</i> %	85 <i>43%</i>	271 55%zs	65 t 59%zw	104 <i>4</i> 5%
Disagree	174 14%	161 <i>14%</i>	13 <i>12%</i>	54 13%	61 17%	26 16%	33 12%	13 <i>12</i> %	12 19%	50 17%	46 14%	33 12%	21 12%	160 <i>15%</i>	14 10%	43 14%	28 12%	49 15%	43 15%	21 14%	24 12%	74 15%	18 <i>16%</i>	30 13%
NET Agree	386 31%bh irs	382 n 34%zb	4 4%	143 <i>34%</i>	111 <i>31</i> %	40 <i>25%</i>	90 <i>32</i> %	44 41%zh i	12 20%	59 <i>20%</i>	103 32%i	99 35%hi	68 41%zh i	335 31%	50 <i>35%</i>	106 36%r	82 35%r	137 41%zr	37 13%	32 21%	61 <i>31%</i>	197 40%zs	47 43%z	74 32%
Don't know	35 3%aj u	25 q <i>2</i> %	10 10%z	15 a 4%	6 <i>2</i> %	9 5%d	5 <i>2</i> %	7 6%zj	4 7%zjk	11 <i>4</i> %	3 1%	6 <i>2</i> %	5 <i>3</i> %	29 <i>3</i> %	6 4%	7 2%q	4 2%	1	17 6%zc	17 11%zt	4 u 2%	4 1%	-	13 6%zv

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 235

				D 4 D T 1/ O 1			KNOWLE				LIKELIH		VOTE IN GEN	NERAL	POLITICA	ORT	WITEDEAT	N DOLUTION
		PC	DLITICAL	PARTY SU	PPORT		UK POL		PARLIA		L	ELE	CTION		STRE	NGTH	INTEREST I	N POLITICS
					-		KNOW A GREAT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/	KNOW A GREAT	KNOW NOT VERY MUCH/								
		CONSER					DEAL/ FAIR	NOTH -ING	DEAL/ FAIR	NOTH -ING				CERTA -INLY		=	VERY/ FAIRLY	NOT VERY/ NOT AT ALL
	TOTAL (z)	-VATIVE (a)	LABOUR (b)	LIB DEM (c)	OTHER (e)	NONE (f)	AMOUNT (g)	AT ALL (h)	AMOUNT (i)	AT ALL (j)	CERTAIN (k)	LIKELY (I)	UNLIKELY (m)	NOT (n)	STRONG (o)	WEAK (p)	INTERESTED (q)	INTERESTED (r)
Unweighted Base	1230	308	450	68	116	122	688	541	660	569	793	199	124	98	481	741	738	492
Weighted Base	1230	313	448	70*	97*	132*	637	592	607	622	759	206	146*	101*	456	763	703	527
Effective Base	813	202	309	46	77	83	468	353	442	377	523	133	86	64	321	491	491	325
[1] Strongly agree	200 16%hji r	66 o 21%zf	66 15%	13 19%	19 <i>20%</i>	13 10%	146 23%zh	54 9%	142 23%zj	58 <i>9</i> %	152 20%zln	16 <i>8%</i>	18 <i>12%</i>	9 <i>9</i> %	102 22%zp	98 13%	150 21%zr	50 10%
[2] Tend to agree	360 <i>29</i> %	88 <i>28%</i>	142 <i>32</i> %	17 24%	31 <i>32%</i>	28 21%	192 <i>30%</i>	168 <i>28%</i>	190 <i>31%</i>	170 <i>27%</i>	224 30%	76 37%zn	32 1 <i>22</i> %	24 24%	132 <i>2</i> 9%	227 30%	223 <i>32</i> %	136 <i>26</i> %
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	461 37%gil q	105 so <i>34%</i>	163 <i>36%</i>	27 38%	27 27%	72 54%z e	197 ab <i>31%</i>	263 44%zg	177 , 29%	284 46%zi	245 <i>32%</i>	92 45%k	66 45%k	50 50%zk	145 <i>32%</i>	308 40%zo	212 <i>30%</i>	249 47%zq
[4] Tend to disagree	114 9%l	33 11%	48 11%	6 <i>8</i> %	7 8%	7 5%	56 <i>9%</i>	58 10%	52 <i>9</i> %	61 <i>10%</i>	77 10%l	9 <i>4%</i>	22 15%zln	5 <i>5</i> %	47 10%	67 9%	67 <i>9</i> %	47 9%
[5] Strongly disagree	60 <i>5</i> %	13 <i>4</i> %	16 <i>4%</i>	7 10%	11 11%za	6 ab <i>5</i> %	38 <i>6%</i>	23 4%	39 6%zj	21 <i>3</i> %	41 <i>5</i> %	7 3%	5 <i>3</i> %	7 7%	22 5%	39 <i>5</i> %	42 <i>6</i> %	19 <i>4</i> %
MEAN	2.56gik oq	2.47	2.55	2.65	2.58	2.72	2.44	2.69zg	2.43	2.69zi	2.50	2.57	2.75	2.76	2.45	2.62zo	2.46	2.70zq
Agree	560 46%fhj npr	154 m 49%f	208 46%f	30 <i>43%</i>	50 51%f	41 31%	338 53%zh	222 37%	332 55%zj	228 <i>37</i> %	376 50%zmi	93 n <i>45%</i>	50 <i>34%</i>	33 <i>33</i> %	235 51%zp	325 <i>43%</i>	373 53%zr	187 <i>35%</i>
Disagree	174 14%l	46 15%	64 14%	13 18%	18 19%	13 10%	94 15%	80 14%	91 <i>15</i> %	83 13%	118 16%l	15 <i>7</i> %	27 19%l	12 12%	69 15%	105 <i>14%</i>	108 <i>15%</i>	66 12%
NET Agree	386 31%fhj r	108 m 34%f	144 <i>32</i> %	17 <i>25</i> %	32 <i>33</i> %	29 <i>22%</i>	244 38%zh	142 <i>2</i> 4%	241 40%zj	145 <i>23%</i>	258 34%zmi	77 n 38%mi	23 n <i>16%</i>	21 <i>21</i> %	166 36%zp	220 <i>2</i> 9%	265 38%zr	121 <i>23%</i>
Don't know	35 3%gid	8 1 3%	13 <i>3</i> %	1 1%	2 2%	7 5%	8 1%	27 <u>5</u> %zg	7 1%	27 4%zi	19 <i>3%</i>	5 <i>3</i> %	3 2%	5 <i>5</i> %	7 2%	24 3%	10 1%	25 5%zq

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 236

		WHEN PEOPLE INVOLVED IN P CAN REALLY CH THE UK	OLITICS, THEY IANGE THE WAY	HOW VO	TED AT EU REFEF	RENDUM	IMPORTANT DETERMINED BY		SATISFACTION SYSTEM OF GOV	ERNING BRITAIN
	TOTAL (z)	AGREE (a)	DISAGREE (b)	REMAIN (c)	LEAVE (d)	DID NOT VOTE/TOO YOUNG TO (e)	AGREE (f)	DISAGREE (g)	COULD NOT BE IMPROVED/ IMPROVED IN SMALL WAYS (h)	COULD BE IMPROVED QUITE A LOT/ A GREAT DEAL (i)
Unweighted Base	1230	422	450	576	396	258	705	460	335	850
Weighted Base	1230	423	439	532	425	274	713	444	357	821
Effective Base	813	276	293	376	266	174	463	304	213	574
[1] Strongly agree	200	77	67	94	81	26	105	92	66	132
	16%e	18%	15%	18%e	19%e	<i>9</i> %	<i>15%</i>	21%zf	19%	<i>16</i> %
[2] Tend to agree	360	145	126	173	118	68	234	112	99	256
	<i>29%</i>	34%z	<i>2</i> 9%	<i>33%</i>	<i>28%</i>	<i>25%</i>	33%zg	<i>2</i> 5%	<i>28%</i>	31%
[3] Neither agree	461	135	148	169	161	131	260	164	135	291
nor disagree	37%a	c <i>32%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>38%</i>	48%zcd	<i>36%</i>	<i>37</i> %	<i>38%</i>	<i>35%</i>
[4] Tend to disagree	114	32	54	58	32	24	74	37	32	80
	<i>9</i> %	<i>8</i> %	12%z	11%	7%	9%	10%	<i>8</i> %	<i>9</i> %	10%
[5] Strongly disagree	60	22	30	31	19	11	29	30	16	42
	<i>5%</i>	5%	<i>7</i> %	<i>6</i> %	<i>4%</i>	<i>4</i> %	4%	<i>7</i> %	<i>5%</i>	5%
MEAN	2.56a	2.46	2.66a	2.54	2.49	2.71zd	2.55	2.54	2.52	2.56
Agree	560	222	192	267	199	94	339	204	165	387
	46%e	53%zb	<i>44%</i>	50%ze	47%e	34%	<i>48</i> %	46%	<i>46%</i>	47%
Disagree	174	54	83	89	50	34	103	66	49	123
	14%	13%	19%za	17%z	12%	13%	<i>14%</i>	15%	14%	<i>15</i> %
NET Agree	386	169	109	178	149	60	236	138	117	264
	31%b	e 40%zb	<i>25%</i>	33%e	35%e	<i>22%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>31%</i>	<i>33%</i>	<i>32</i> %
Don't know	35	11	15	6	15	14	11	9	8	20
	3%c	f <u>3%</u>	<i>3</i> %	1%	4%c	5%c	<i>2</i> %	<i>2%</i>	<i>2</i> %	<i>2%</i>

J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017 INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 237

		CHOIC POLI	WITH CE OF FICAL TIES	ACTIV SOCIAL		VOTII	NG INFLUE	ENCE	SOCIAL GIVES V	OICE TO	SOCIAL BREAKS BARRIEI VOTI	DOWN RS FOR	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE DIVIS	OLITICAL MORE	SOCIAL MAKES PO DEBATE SUPERI	MORE
	TOTAL (z)	Agree (a)	Disagre e (b)	Active social media user (c)	Non- active social media user (d)	Printed newspap er or magazin es (Very/ fairly importa nt) (e)	Discuss ion on social media (Very/ fairly importa nt) (f)	TV or radio news or news program mes (Very/ fairly importa nt) (g)	Agree (h)	Disagre e (i)	Agree (j)	Disagre e (k)	Agree (I)	Disagre e (m)	Agree (n)	Disagre e (o)
Unweighted Base	1230	654	296	280	273	269	129	598	700	152	496	278	624	161	588	180
Weighted Base	1230	610	318	262	277	261	130*	583	673	152	489	267	605	153	560	174
Effective Base	813	426	195	199	186	171	87	401	480	106	349	178	422	113	393	111
[1] Strongly agree	200 16%o	102 <i>17</i> %	55 17%	67 25%z	55 20%	53 20%	23 18%	115 20%z	154 23%z	24 16%	96 20%z	69 26%z	157 26%zm	25 1 16%	200 36%zo	-
[2] Tend to agree	360 29%mo	194 32%	99 <i>31%</i>	94 36%z	101 37%z	90 <i>35%</i>	43 <i>33%</i>	193 33%z	265 39%zi	35 <i>23</i> %	202 41%zk	70 <i>26%</i>	267 44%zm	23 1 15%	360 64%zo	-
[3] Neither agree nor disagree	461 37%acc ghijklm no	193 df <i>32%</i>	114 <i>36</i> %	61 <i>23%</i>	85 31%	85 <i>33</i> %	31 <i>24</i> %	183 <i>31%</i>	155 <i>23%</i>	24 16%	114 <i>2</i> 3%	51 19%	112 <i>19%</i>	35 <i>23%</i>	-	-
[4] Tend to disagree	114 9%n	70 11%z	28 <i>9</i> %	25 10%	27 10%	20 <i>8</i> %	25 19%ze	56 g 10%	75 11%z	32 21%zh	56 11%	41 15%z	48 <i>8%</i>	35 23%zl	-	114 65%zn
[5] Strongly disagree	60 5%hln	33 5%	18 <i>6</i> %	15 <i>6</i> %	9 <i>3</i> %	10 <i>4</i> %	8 <i>6</i> %	25 4%	22 3%	37 25%zh	20 4%	36 13%zj	20 <i>3</i> %	35 23%zl	-	60 35%zn
MEAN	2.56cde ghjln	2.56	2.54	2.35	2.39	2.39	2.62	2.44	2.32	3.16zh	2.39	2.64j	2.18	3.21zl	1.64	4.35zn
Agree	560 46%mo	296 48%	153 <i>48%</i>	161 61%z	157 57%z	144 55%z	66 <i>51%</i>	308 53%z	419 62%zi	59 <i>39%</i>	298 61%z	139 52%z	424 70%zm	48 1 <i>31%</i>	560 100%zo	-
Disagree	174 14%ln	103 17%z	46 14%	41 <i>16%</i>	36 13%	29 11%	33 25%ze	81 g <i>14</i> %	97 14%	69 46%zh	76 16%	77 29%zj	68 11%	70 46%zl	-	174 100%zn
NET Agree	386 31%ikm	192 no <i>32%</i>	108 <i>34%</i>	120 46%z	121 44%z	114 44%zf	33 <i>26%</i>	227 39%zf	322 48%zi	-11 <i>-7</i> %	222 45%zk	62 <i>23%</i>	356 59%zm	-22 1 -15%	560 100%zo	-174 -100%
Don't know	35 3%cdl	18 hjk <i>3</i> %	4 1%	-	-	3 1%	-	12 <i>2</i> %	3 *	-	1	-	-	-	-	-

<u>J16010060-48-03 01-DEC - 18-DEC 2017</u> INTERNAL/CLIENT USE ONLY - FINAL HANSARD SOCIETY - AUDIT OF POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT 15

Table 238

Q22 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements - Summary Table Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are ...

BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN

	giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate (a)	breaking down the barriers between voters and politicians and political parties (b)	making political debate more divisive than it used to be (c)	making political debate more superficial than it used to be (d)
Unweighted Base	1230	1230	1230	1230
Weighted Base	1230	1230	1230	1230
[1] Strongly agree	226	110	212	200
	18%b	<i>9%</i>	17%b	16%b
[2] Tend to agree	447	379	393	360
	36%bcd	<i>31%</i>	<i>32%</i>	<i>29%</i>
[3] Neither agree	364	438	429	461
nor disagree	<i>30%</i>	36%a	35%a	37%a
[4] Tend to disagree	85	167	90	114
	<i>7%</i>	14%acd	<i>7%</i>	9%a
[5] Strongly disagree	67	100	63	60
	<i>5%</i>	8%acd	<i>5%</i>	<i>5%</i>
MEAN	2.43	2.81acd	2.49	2.56a
Agree	673	489	605	560
	55%bcd	<i>40%</i>	49%bd	46%b
Disagree	152	267	153	174
	<i>12%</i>	22%acd	<i>12%</i>	<i>14%</i>
NET Agree	521	222	452	386
	<i>42%</i>	18%	<i>37%</i>	<i>31%</i>
Don't know	41	36	43	35
	<i>3</i> %	<i>3%</i>	4%d	<i>3%</i>

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	1	1	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	2	1	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	3	2	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	4	2	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	5	3	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	6	3	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	7	4	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	8	4	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	9	5	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	10	5	LTV - And how likely would you be to vote in an immediate general election, on a scale of 1 to 10, where 10 means you would be absolutely certain to vote, and 1 means that you would be absolutely certain not to vote?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	11	6	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	12	6	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	13	7	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	14	7	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	15	8	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	16	8	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	17	9	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	18	9	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	19	10	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	20	10	CU04 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following to influence decisions, laws or policies?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	21	11	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	22	11	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	23	12	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	24	12	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	25	13	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	26	13	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	27	14	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

Pa	age	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
● 28	8	14	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
29	9	15	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
30	0	15	CU05 - Which of the following would you be prepared to do if you felt strongly enough about an issue?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
31	1	16	CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
32	2	17	CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
33	3	18	CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
34	4	19	CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
35	5	20	CU06 - How interested would you say you are in politics?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
36	6	21	CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
37	7	22	CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
38	8	23	CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
39	9	24	CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
40	0	25	CU07_1 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
41	1	26	CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
42	2	27	CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
43	3	28	CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
44	4	29	CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
45	5	30	CU07_2 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about The UK Parliament	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
46	6	31	CU07 - How much, if anything, do you feel you know about Summary Table	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
47	7	32	CU08 - Which of these statements best describes your opinion on the present system of governing Britain?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
48	33	CU08 - Which of these statements best describes your opinion on the present system of governing Britain?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
49	34	CU08 - Which of these statements best describes your opinion on the present system of governing Britain?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
50	35	CU08 - Which of these statements best describes your opinion on the present system of governing Britain?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
51	36	CU08 - Which of these statements best describes your opinion on the present system of governing Britain?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
52	37	CU09 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: When people like me get involved in politics, they really can change the way that the UK is run	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
53	38	CU09 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: When people like me get involved in politics, they really can change the way that the UK is run	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
54	39	CU09 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: When people like me get involved in politics, they really can change the way that the UK is run	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
55	40	CU09 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: When people like me get involved in politics, they really can change the way that the UK is run	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
56	41	CU09 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: When people like me get involved in politics, they really can change the way that the UK is run	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
57	42	CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
58	43	CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
59	44	CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
60	45	CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	61	46	CU09A - To what extent do you agree or disagree that: Important questions should be determined by referendums more often than today?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	62	47	CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	63	48	CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	64	49	CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	65	50	CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	66	51	CU14 - Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, not very strong, or not a supporter at all of any political party?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	67	52	CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	68	53	CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	69	54	CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	70	55	CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	71	56	CU11_1 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	72	57	CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	73	58	CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	74	59	CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	75	60	CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	76	61	CU11_2 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	77	62	CU11 - How much influence, if any, do you feel you have over decision making in Summary table	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	78	63	CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	79	64	CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	80	65	CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	81	66	CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	82	67	CU12_1 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in your local area?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	83	68	CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	84	69	CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	85	70	CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	86	71	CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	87	72	CU12_2 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in the country as a whole?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	88	73	CU12 - To what extent, if at all, would you like to be involved in decision making in Summary table	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	89	74	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	90	74	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	91	75	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	92	75	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	93	76	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	94	76	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	95	77	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	96	77	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	97	78	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	98	78	Q15 - Thinking generally, which two or three of these, if any, are usually most important to you in deciding which political party to vote for? Whether the party	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	99	79	Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing a way for ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	100	80	Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing a way for ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	101	81	Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing a way for ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	102	82	Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing a way for ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	103	83	Q16_1 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing a way for ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	104	84	Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing capable politicians to run the country	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	105	85	Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing capable politicians to run the country	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	106	86	Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing capable politicians to run the country	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	107	87	Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing capable politicians to run the country	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	108	88	Q16_2 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Providing capable politicians to run the country	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	109	89	Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Telling voters about the issues they feel are most important in Britain and how they will work to solve them	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	110	90	Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Telling voters about the issues they feel are most important in Britain and how they will work to solve them	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	111	91	Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Telling voters about the issues they feel are most important in Britain and how they will work to solve them	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	112	92	Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Telling voters about the issues they feel are most important in Britain and how they will work to solve them	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	113	93	Q16_3 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Telling voters about the issues they feel are most important in Britain and how they will work to solve them	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	114	94	Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Ensuring that their candidates for elections represent a cross-section of British society	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	115	95	Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Ensuring that their candidates for elections represent a cross-section of British society	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	116	96	Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Ensuring that their candidates for elections represent a cross-section of British society	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	117	97	Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Ensuring that their candidates for elections represent a cross-section of British society	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	118	98	Q16_4 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Ensuring that their candidates for elections represent a cross-section of British society	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	119	99	Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Creating policy ideas that are in the long-term interests of Britain as a whole	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	120	100	Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Creating policy ideas that are in the long-term interests of Britain as a whole	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	121	101	Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Creating policy ideas that are in the long-term interests of Britain as a whole	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	122	102	Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Creating policy ideas that are in the long-term interests of Britain as a whole	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	123	103	Q16_5 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? Creating policy ideas that are in the long-term interests of Britain as a whole	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	124	104	Q16 - I'd now like you to think about the role of political parties in general. On balance, how good or bad do you think that political parties in Britain are at each of the following? - Summary Table	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	125	105	Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	126	106	Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	127	107	Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	128	108	Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	129	109	Q17_1- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? I was happy with the choice of political parties available to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	130	110	Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	131	111	Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	132	112	Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	133	113	Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	134	114	Q17_2 - I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? There was more than one political party that appealed to me	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	135	115	Q17- I'd like you to think back at the last general election held in June this year. How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements? - Summary Table	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	136	116	Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the views of most Britons are represented	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	137	117	Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the views of most Britons are represented	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	138	118	Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the views of most Britons are represented	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
• 139	119	Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the views of most Britons are represented	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
140	120	Q18_1 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the views of most Britons are represented	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
141	121	Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing Britain with a stable government	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
142	122	Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing Britain with a stable government	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
143	123	Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing Britain with a stable government	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
144	124	Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing Britain with a stable government	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
145	125	Q18_2 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing Britain with a stable government	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
146	126	Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the rights of minority groups are protected	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
147	127	Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the rights of minority groups are protected	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	148	128	Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the rights of minority groups are protected	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	149	129	Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the rights of minority groups are protected	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	150	130	Q18_3 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Ensuring the rights of minority groups are protected	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	151	131	Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Encouraging governments to take long-term decisions	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	152	132	Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Encouraging governments to take long-term decisions	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	153	133	Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Encouraging governments to take long-term decisions	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	154	134	Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Encouraging governments to take long-term decisions	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	155	135	Q18_4 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Encouraging governments to take long-term decisions	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	156	136	Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	157	137	Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	158	138	Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	159	139	Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	160	140	Q18_5 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing ordinary people to get involved with politics	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	161	141	Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing political parties who offer clear alternatives to one another	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	162	142	Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing political parties who offer clear alternatives to one another	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	163	143	Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing political parties who offer clear alternatives to one another	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	164	144	Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing political parties who offer clear alternatives to one another	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	165	145	Q18_6 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Providing political parties who offer clear alternatives to one another	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
• 166	146	Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing for voters to have the final say about Britain's future direction	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
167	147	Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing for voters to have the final say about Britain's future direction	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
168	148	Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing for voters to have the final say about Britain's future direction	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
169	149	Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing for voters to have the final say about Britain's future direction	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
170	150	Q18_7 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? Allowing for voters to have the final say about Britain's future direction	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
171	151	Q18 - I'd now like you to think about how the system of governing Britain is working today. On balance, how good or bad do you think the system of governing Britain is at each of the following? - Summary Table	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
172	152	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
173	152	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
174	153	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
175	153	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
176	154	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
177	154	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
178	155	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
● 179	155	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	180	156	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	181	156	Q19 - In the last 12 months have you done any of the following?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	182	157	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	183	157	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	184	158	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	185	158	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	186	159	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	187	159	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	188	159	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	189	160	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	190	160	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	191	161	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	192	161	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	193	161	Q20 - Thinking back to the General Election held in June this year, can you tell me which, if any, of the following ways you received election related news or information?	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	194	162	Q21_1 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed newspapers or magazines	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	518
•	195	163	Q21_1 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed newspapers or magazines	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	518
•	196	164	Q21_1 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed newspapers or magazines	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	518
•	197	165	Q21_1 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed newspapers or magazines	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	518
•	198	166	Q21_1 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed newspapers or magazines	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	518
•	199	167	Q21_2 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	345
•	200	168	Q21_2 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	345
•	201	169	Q21_2 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	345
•	202	170	Q21_2 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	345

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	203	171	Q21_2 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Debates or interviews with party leaders or other politicians	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	345
•	204	172	Q21_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	621
•	205	173	billboards Q21_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	621
•	206	174	Q21_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on billboards	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	621
•	207	175	Q21_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	621
•	208	176	billboards Q21_3 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Printed campaign publicity from the political parties, for example leaflets or posters on	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	621
•	209	177	billboards Q21_4 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	213
•	210	178	Q21_4 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	213
•	211	179	Q21_4 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	213

Pag	e Table	Title	Base Description	Base
• 212	180	Q21_4 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	213
213	181	Q21_4 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Online campaign publicity from political parties, for example emails or posts on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	213
214	182	Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	448
215	183	Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	448
216	184	Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	448
● 217	185	Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	448
218	186	Q21_5 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions or conversations you had with other people face to face	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	448
219	187	Q21_6 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	261
220	188	Q21_6 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	261
221	189	Q21_6 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	261
222	190	Q21_6 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	261

Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
• 223	191	Q21_6 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Discussions on social media, for example on Facebook, Twitter or a blog	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	261
224	192	Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	875
225	193	Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	875
226	194	Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	875
227	195	Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	875
228	196	Q21_7 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? News or news programmes on TV or radio	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	875
229	197	Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	242
230	198	Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	242
231	199	Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	242
232	200	Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	242
233	201	Q21_8 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Opening a news article online after clicking a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	242

Pag	ge Table	Title	Base Description	Base
• 234	202	Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	391
235	203	Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	391
236	204	Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	391
237	205	Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	391
238	206	Q21_9 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Going directly to online news sites, such as the BBC or newspaper sites, not via a link from a post on social media	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	391
239	207	Q21_10 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Other politically related websites, such as blogs	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	86
240	208	Q21_10 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Other politically related websites, such as blogs	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	86
241	209	Q21_10 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Other politically related websites, such as blogs	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	86
242	210	Q21_10 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Other politically related websites, such as blogs	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	86

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
	243	211	Q21_10 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Other politically related websites, such as blogs	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	86
	244	212	Q21_11 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	72
	245	213	Q21_11 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	72
	246	214	Q21_11 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	72
	247	215	Q21_11 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	72
•	248	216	Q21_11 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? Non-politically related websites, such as a charity	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	72
•	249	217	Q21 - And how important were each of the following in helping you decide which way to vote or not to vote? - Summary Table	BASE: ALL WHO ANSWERED IN Q20	518
•	250	218	Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	251	219	Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	252	220	Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	253	221	Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	254	222	Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	255	222	Q22_1 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are giving a voice to people who would not normally take part in political debate	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	256	223	Q22_2 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are breaking down the barriers between voters and politicians and political parties	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	257	224	Q22_2 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are breaking down the barriers between voters and politicians and political parties	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	258	225	Q22_2 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are breaking down the barriers between voters and politicians and political parties	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	259	226	Q22_2 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are breaking down the barriers between voters and politicians and political parties	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	260	227	Q22_2 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are breaking down the barriers between voters and politicians and political parties	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	261	228	Q22_3 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more divisive than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	262	229	Q22_3 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more divisive than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	263	230	Q22_3 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more divisive than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	264	231	Q22_3 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more divisive than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230

	Page	Table	Title	Base Description	Base
•	265	232	Q22_3 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more divisive than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	266	233	Q22_4 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more superficial than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	267	234	Q22_4 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more superficial than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	268	235	Q22_4 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more superficial than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	269	236	Q22_4 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more superficial than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	270	237	Q22_4 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are making political debate more superficial than it used to be	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230
•	271	238	Q22 - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements - Summary Table Social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter are	BASE: ALL ADULTS AGED 18+ IN GREAT BRITAIN	1230