

1 POLICY STATEMENT AND PURPOSE

To ensure that all academic grievances are managed in a fair and objective manner, with the desired outcome of reaching a mutually acceptable solution in the shortest possible timeframe.

All students of ICHM as well as those seeking to enrol in a program of the college, are entitled to access the grievance procedures set out in this policy for matters related to student progress, attendance records, assessment, curriculum, timetabling, and awards in a program of study. This policy is published on the College website.

2 SCOPE

This policy applies to all ICHM students and prospective students, regardless of location or study mode.

3 DEFINITIONS

Academic grievance - Any expression of dissatisfaction with an academic aspect of a student's experience with ICHM.

Informal grievance - An initial complaint that is often verbal between the complainant and respondent, generally minor in nature, and does not escalate to a formal grievance (see Informal Internal Process below).

Formal grievance - A formal complaint submitted in writing requiring resolution (see Formal Internal Process below).

Complainant - Person making the complaint.

Respondent - Person against whom the complaint is made.

Support person - A person nominated by the student to provide support and/or represent the student during the process. Example: a friend or a member of the family. This **may not** include legal counsel.

4 POLICY DETAILS

All complaints are taken seriously and are treated in confidence.

The complainant(s) and respondent(s) are to be informed of the College's procedure and offered the option of having a support person present or accessing counselling.

Complaints may be informal or formal. The difference between the two is an informal complaint is unwritten and often unofficial, whereby a formal complaint is in writing.

Where possible, complaints should be discussed at an informal level between the persons involved. However, if this is impracticable and/or the complainant is dissatisfied with this outcome, then complainants have the right to follow the formal complaint resolution process. Please note that there are no fees associated with the internal and external processes.

Internal Mechanisms

Informal Internal Process

The complainant is recommended to address and seek resolution of the grievance initially with the original source of the grievance. For example, for academic matters such as the result for an assessment, this should be done directly with the Lecturer concerned.

If this is not possible or inappropriate, the complainant is encouraged to submit a formal grievance as per the Formal Internal Process outlined below.

Formal Internal Process

- **First stage of the formal process:**

The complainant may submit the complaint in writing to the Program Director Academic in the capacity of Chair of the Academic Committee. Following submission of the complaint, the Program Director Academic will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 5 working days and the complaint will be addressed by the Academic Committee as soon as practicable. The student will be invited to the Academic Committee to present their case and may bring a support person (see Further Information below), however the student is not compelled to attend the meeting. The student will be provided with a written statement of the outcome within 5 working days of the scheduled Academic Committee meeting.

- **Second stage of the formal process:**

If not satisfied with the decision of the Academic Committee, the complainant may submit the complaint in writing to the Chief Executive Officer within 21 working days of receipt of the Academic Committee's decision. The complaint will be addressed within 10 working days of receipt of the complaint, and a written statement of the outcome will be given within 21 days.

External Independent Review

If not satisfied with the decision of the Chief Executive Officer, the complainant may request that the matter be dealt with through an External Visitor appointed for that purpose within 10 working days of receipt of the Chief Executive Officer decision. The Chief Executive Officer will provide the contact details of the External Visitor with the written response to the student, The Visitor will acknowledge receipt of the complaint within 5 working days and provide a written statement of the outcome within 21 days of receipt of the complaint.

*Note – Where a student has chosen not to access the Second stage of the formal process, nor seek an External independent review within the timeframes outlined above, the matter will be deemed closed and no further action is required.

Additional External Mechanisms

In addition to the aforementioned information and informal/formal processes, all students have the right to refer complaints to other bodies. Please note that complainants are generally expected to have exhausted all avenues of appeal provided by ICHM before they submit a complaint to one of the bodies outlined below:

- Office of the Training Advocate
<http://www.trainingadvocate.sa.gov.au>
- Office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman
<https://www.ombudsman.gov.au/How-we-can-help/overseas-students>
- Office of the State Ombudsman of South Australia.
<http://www.ombudsman.sa.gov.au>
- Equal Opportunity Commission of South Australia
<http://www.eoc.sa.gov.au>
- Australian Human Rights Commission
<http://www.hreoc.gov.au>
- TEQSA
<https://www.teqsa.gov.au/complaint-form>

In addition to the above, international students may also contact:

- ESOS Enquiry Form
The purpose of the **ESOS enquiry form** is to provide general advice and assistance to persons on provider obligations and students' rights pertaining to the ESOS legislative framework administered by the Department of Industry, Innovation, Science, Research and Tertiary Education (DIISRTE). The Department does not give legal advice.
<https://internationaleducation.gov.au/Regulatory-Information/Education-Services-for-Overseas-Students-ESOS-Legislative-Framework/Pages/ESOSEnquiry.aspx>

Further information

The complainant and respondent will not be victimised or discriminated against in any procedures set out in this policy and is entitled to remain enrolled in their program of study and attend classes.

These policies are communicated to academic and support staff. The Program Director Academic is responsible for the training of academic and support staff in the application of the policy.

The complainant and/or respondent have the right to be represented by a third person (such as a family member, friend, counselor or other professional support person) if they so desire. This person should not be a legal practitioner. If a complainant wishes to be represented by a third person, 24 hours' notice should be given.

At all stages of the process, reasons and a full explanation in writing for decisions and actions taken as part of the procedures must be given if so requested by the complainant and/or respondent.

Records of all grievances and applications for review of decisions and outcomes must be kept for a period of 5 years. Such records will be strictly confidential and kept in a separate file (not kept in the student or staff file). The Program Director Academic is responsible to maintain the record of grievances and appeals to the Visitor, and they will be stored in the Program Director Academic's Office for 5 years. Parties to the complaint will be allowed supervised access to these records.

Students of the College are entitled to access and use the grievance procedures set out in this policy, regardless of the location of the campus of the College at which the grievance has arisen, the student's place of residence or the mode in which they study.

5 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Not applicable.

6 RESPONSIBILITIES AND AUTHORITIES

The Program Director Academic is the policy owner and any changes to this policy must be approved by the Academic Board.

7 REVIEW

The Program Director Academic is responsible for the review of this policy on a 3-yearly basis.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (if applicable)

Not applicable.

9 APPROVAL

ACADEMIC GRIEVANCE	
Policy Owner	Program Director Academic
Version Number	8.2
Approval Authority	Academic Board
Approval Date	15 March 2022
Next Review Date	March 2025

Version Control and Modification History Table				
Date	Version	Modification	Approval Authority	Approved & Published Date
19/01/2011	1.0	This policy was approved by the College Council on 30 th June 2007. Change were made on 19 January 2011 following advice from DEEWR.	Principal, Dr Ian Whyte	19/01/2011
28/11/2011	1.1	New version based on previous.	Principal, Dr Ian Whyte	28/11/2011
06/11/2012	2.0	Addition of changes to the policy section.	Principal Dr Ian Whyte and Chief Executive, Mr Gerald Lipman	06/11/2012
07/01/2014	3.0	Academic and Non-academic Grievance policies combined into one Grievance policy following recommendations by TEQSA in letter of 19/12/2013.	Principal Dr Ian Whyte and Chief Executive, Mr Gerald Lipman	07/01/2014
07/01/2014	4.0	Addition of reference to Overseas Students Ombudsman as recommended by TEQSA in letter of 19/12/2013.	Principal Dr Ian Whyte and Chief Executive, Mr Gerald Lipman	07/01/2014
Jan 2017	5.0	Non-academic policy created requiring change to title of Grievance policy.	Principal Dr Ian Whyte and Chief Executive, Mr Gerald Lipman	Jan 2017
Oct 2019	6.0	Removed reference to the Office of the Training Advocate.	Principal Dr George Brown and Chief Executive Mr Gerald Lipman	Oct 2019
Nov 2019	7.0	Review of policy as part of cyclical review and alignment with National Code 2018.	Principal Dr George Brown and Chief Executive Mr Gerald Lipman	Nov 2019
March 2020	8.0	Change to ensure College Council is the Approval Authority.	College Council	March 2020
August 2020	8.1	Additional clarifications for student attendance at Academic Committee Meetings and non-access to Second Stage and External Review	College Council	September 2020
March 2022	8.2	Change of position titles, update to broader business name	Academic Board	Approved by Academic Board 15/03/2022