



Reimagining Compliance, and KYC / AML: Better Ways to Catch Bad Actors

June 13, 2025 | Dirksen Senate Office Building

Co-Chairs: Michelle O'Connor, Taxbit; Shih Yun Chia, VerifyVASP



These summaries are provided for educational purposes, and their inclusion does not imply endorsement of their content, perspectives, or viewpoints by GBBC or any of its subsidiaries. GBBC USA is a non-profit focusing on education, partnerships and standards for the blockchain and digital assets community. GBBC USA does not lobby.



1. The Nature of Bad Actors and Evolving Threats

- Decentralized and sophisticated: Bad actors operate globally with professional-grade operations, mirroring the capabilities of modern tools (e.g. AI).
- Cybercrime is primary, not just a digital version of traditional crime.
- Jurisdictional fragmentation complicates enforcement (e.g. OFAC vs China lists).
- Supply chain-level granularity: Organized crime has infiltrated entire systems, not isolated points.
- Existing safeguards are inadequate and reactive, not preventative.

2. Limitations of Current Compliance Structures

- Traditional financial crime is no longer the central concern for compliance. Misinformation, disinformation, and even climate-linked externalities are creeping into the scope.
- Typology-focused risk assessments are outdated. Behavioral and contextual models are needed.
- Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) have been misapplied; they were meant to surface behaviour, not to solve crimes.
- Compliance often lacks nuance, lumping together:
 - Criminal laundering
 - Regulatory non-compliance
 - Market manipulation (e.g. meme coins, pump-and-dump)
 - Informal but non-illicit behavior
- This contributes to exclusion of legitimate users from financial systems.



3. Systemic & Structural Issues

- Compliance is often a "copy-paste" from TradFi models ill-suited for decentralized systems.
- Tools intended for inclusion (e.g. blockchain) often replicate exclusion.
- The regulatory focus is misaligned regulators expect to see known patterns, not emerging behaviours.
- Regulatory perimeter is tightening, driven by FATF Recommendations 10, 15, 16 and Travel Rule enforcement.
- Greylisting and mutual evaluations are influencing regulatory aggression, especially toward VASPs.
- Current models favor the well-funded and centralized actors; smaller players are penalized by overhead.

4. Digital Identity & Data Handling

- Digital identity should be modular (boolean attributes) not monolithic.
- Real-world examples highlight inefficiencies:
 - Singapore: Over-collection via digital onboarding.
 - USA: Manual, in-branch processes still dominate.
- Data permissioning can allow PII to be used without overexposure.
- In a DeFi context, KYC obligations are unclear and peer-to-peer interactions blur responsibility.

5. Governance & Community

- Governance in a decentralized identity system remains unresolved.
- There's power in industry collaboration, even if informal:



- The ByBit example demonstrates community-led risk flagging.
- SARs are siloed, even though sharing them could dramatically improve detection and prevention.

6. Technology: Opportunity vs Risk

- AI codifies existing biases unless consciously addressed.
- Introduces concentration risk, especially in compliance tooling.
- 'Six hops' rules and transaction tracing lack context and may produce false risk flags.
- Emphasis on human-in-the-loop systems to apply judgment and context.

7. Reframing Compliance

- We need to flip compliance models:
 - Focus on enabling safe participation, not only blocking risk.
 - Embed tooling that addresses distinct risk categories individually.
 - Reframe compliance from punitive to enabling support innovation while safeguarding integrity.

Suggestion: Industry-led regulation drafting, demonstrating what works, not waiting for prescriptive frameworks.