

# A Clinician's Guide to Clinical Endodontics



**Course Author(s):** James Bahcall, DMD, MS, FICD, FACD

**CE Credits:** 2 hours

**Intended Audience:** Dentists, Dental Hygienists, Dental Assistants, Dental Students, Dental Hygiene Students, Dental Assistant Students

**Date Course Online:** 09/01/2018

**Last Revision Date:** 11/01/2021

**Course Expiration Date:** 10/31/2024

**Cost:** Free

**Method:** Self-instructional

**AGD Subject Code(s):** 70

**Online Course:** [www.dentalcare.com/en-us/professional-education/ce-courses/ce562](http://www.dentalcare.com/en-us/professional-education/ce-courses/ce562)

**Disclaimer:** Participants must always be aware of the hazards of using limited knowledge in integrating new techniques or procedures into their practice. Only sound evidence-based dentistry should be used in patient therapy.

## Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement

- The author reports no conflicts of interest associated with this course. He has no relevant financial relationships to disclose.

## Introduction - Endodontics

The clinical advances and changes in thought within the field of endodontics during the last few years have been significant. Unfortunately, these changes have led to difficulties for the general dentist in keeping up in everyday practice. A Clinician's Guide to Clinical Endodontics will encompass diagnosis, local anesthesia, instrumentation/obturation, and pain medications and antibiotics in clinical endodontic treatment.

## Course Contents

- Overview
- Learning Objectives
- Introduction
- Clinical Tests
  - Cold Test, EPT, and/or Heat Test for Pulp Sensibility
  - Percussion Tests for Determining the Status of the Periodontal Ligament
  - Palpation of the Buccal and Lingual/Palatal Gingival Tissue of the Tooth
  - Periodontal Examination Including Periodontal Probing and Tooth Mobility
  - Current Radiographic Examination Including Periapical, Bitewings, and/or CBCT
- Pulpal Diagnosis
- Periradicular Diagnosis
- Local Anesthesia
- Regional and Supplemental Local Anesthesia
- Choosing the Correct Local Anesthetic
  - Regional Block
  - Buccal Block
  - Periodontal Ligament Block
  - Intraosseous Block
  - Intrapulpal Block
- NSAID Usage Preoperatively Before Local Anesthesia
- Conventional Endodontic Treatment
- Modified Crown-Down Filing Technique
- Residual Canal Debris
- Obturation
- Pain Medication and Antibiotics
- Conclusion
- Course Test
- References
- About the Author

## Overview

The clinical advances and changes in thought within the field of endodontics during the last few years have been significant. Unfortunately, these changes have led to difficulties for the general dentist in keeping up in everyday practice. This course will encompass diagnosis, local anesthesia, instrumentation/obturation, and pain medications and antibiotics in clinical endodontic treatment.

## Learning Objectives

**Upon completion of this course, the dental professional should be able to:**

- Describe the clinical tests needed to obtain a pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis.
- Describe the correct pulpal and periradicular diagnosis terms in endodontics.
- Explain how to objectively test for pulp anesthesia.
- Explain how to integrate supplemental anesthesia techniques.
- Describe the modified crown-down filing technique.
- Explain the importance of removing residual canal debris.
- Discuss the current recommended NSAIDs for postoperative pain after conventional endodontic treatment.
- Discuss the current antibiotic recommendations for endodontic therapy.

## Introduction

Successful endodontic treatment is predicated on obtaining a pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis. The pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis of a tooth begins with a review of the patient's medical and dental history. Pretreatment also includes taking a patient's blood pressure, pulse, and temperature (if indicated). If a patient presents in pain, the etiology of the pain must be identified before any emergency dental treatment is performed. The first step in determining this etiology is listening to the patient's perception of the problem, followed by a dentist's objective clinical testing to reproduce the patient's subjective pain symptoms.

If a patient presents with an asymptomatic dental condition, as often occurs in restorative dentistry, the same objective tests described below must be completed to properly make a pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis. To arrive at a proper pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis, clinicians may be uncertain of which test to perform. The following are the five objective clinical tests that a dentist must use to determine the pulpal and periradicular diagnosis.

## Clinical Tests

### Cold Test, EPT, and/or Heat Test for Pulp Sensibility

Pulp sensibility tests (thermal and electric) have been used to indirectly determine the state of

pulpal health by assessing the condition of the dental pulp nerves. Pulp vitality, on the other hand, is the direct assessment of pulp blood flow.<sup>1</sup> This assessment is obtained with laser doppler flowmetry (LDF) or pulse oximetry (PO). The reason clinicians perform sensibility tests rather than pulp vitality tests is that LDF and PO applications in dentistry are limited (i.e., they have not been designed for specific usage in dentistry).

Heat and cold tests do not jeopardize the health of the pulp.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, teeth with porcelain or metal crowns conduct temperature and, therefore, can be tested for pulpal sensibility with cold or heat.<sup>3</sup>

With an electric pulp test (EPT), the clinician should understand what the numerical readings represent. Although the use of an EPT can establish pulp sensibility, the numerical readout should not be used to determine the overall health of the pulp.<sup>4</sup> For example, if tooth No. 8 has an EPT reading of 12 and tooth No. 9 has an EPT reading of 24, it does not mean tooth No. 8 is twice as vital as tooth No. 9. The EPT is used to determine whether the pulp is vital. In addition, when using an EPT, the clinician must be aware that teeth with metal restorations can give false-positive or false-negative responses.

Weisleder et al<sup>5</sup> reported that the cold test and EPT used in conjunction resulted in a more accurate method for proper pulpal diagnostic testing. In another study, Jespersen et al<sup>6</sup> reported

that a pulp-testing spray and EPT are accurate and reliable methods for determining pulpal sensibility.

### **Percussion Tests for Determining the Status of the Periodontal Ligament**

Percussion tests may be considered mistakenly to directly correlate to a pulp's sensibility. Although a tooth's sensitivity to percussion tests may be due to a pulpitis or pulpal necrosis, they are only indirectly associated. This specific test aids only in determining the status of the periodontal ligament (Figure 1). A bite test may also be necessary if a patient complains about pain while masticating.

### **Palpation of the Buccal and Lingual/Palatal Gingival Tissue of the Tooth**

A palpation examination tests for sensitivity of the gingival tissue and for infection or inflammation of the cortical and medullary bone (Figure 2). Even when there is no radiographic evidence of an apical infection, an infection may be present clinically. A study by Bender et al<sup>7</sup> reported it is not uncommon to have extensive disease of the bone without evidence on a radiograph.

### **Periodontal Examination Including Periodontal Probing and Tooth Mobility**

Periodontal disease can develop anywhere around a tooth; therefore, the entire circumference of the tooth, or teeth, must be probed.

When evaluating tooth mobility, the clinician must remember that movement may be endodontic or



**Figure 1.** Percussion testing on tooth No. 19 performed by tapping the buccal tooth surface with the opposite end of a dental mirror.



**Figure 2.** Palpation testing on tooth No. 19 performed by pressing index finger around the buccal and lingual gingiva.

periodontal in nature. In the case of periodontal disease, the tooth begins to become mobile and loosens as the attachment apparatus and surrounding bone are destroyed. With an acute endodontic infection, mobility is generally associated with an isolated tooth, but when there is generalized mobility involving multiple teeth, mobility suggests a periodontal origin.

### Current Radiographic Examination Including Periapical, Bitewings, and/or CBCT


Uraba et al reported that cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging is effective at detecting approximately 20% more periapical lesions than are periapical radiographs, particularly in the maxillary anterior and posterior teeth.<sup>8</sup>

When a patient presents for restorative treatment and reports that a tooth is asymptomatic, a dentist may assume that the pulpal and periradicular diagnosis is within normal limits and hence may skip the above objective clinical tests, with the possible exception of taking a radiograph. However, using only a dental radiograph to determine the etiology of tooth pain and the pretreatment pulpal and periradicular status may lead to a pulpal and periradicular misdiagnosis (Figure 3). Therefore, a clinician must perform all five objective tests to obtain an accurate pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis.

### Pulpal Diagnosis

The pulpal nerve fibers, A-delta (which respond to cold and the EPT) and C-fibers (which respond to heat and elicit the nerve response when a patient reports spontaneous tooth pain), are nociceptors. Nociceptors are sensory receptors that respond to stimuli by sending nerve signals to the brain. This stimulus can cause the perception of pain in an individual.<sup>9</sup> By objectively testing the pulpal nerve fibers, a dentist can best determine pulpal status. Below are the current pulpal diagnosis terminologies.<sup>10</sup>

*Normal pulp* tests within normal limits to cold. Clinically, a patient will respond to a cold stimulus, and after the stimulus is removed, the cold sensation will dissipate immediately. The length of time it takes for a patient to respond to cold has no correlation to the diagnosis and therefore does not need to be recorded.



**Figure 3.** Determining a diagnosis with a radiograph only can lead the clinician to treat tooth No. 29 because of the distal decay. However, if the proper diagnostic tests are performed on tooth No. 29, it should reveal the pulp tested necrotic and it is tooth No. 30 that has a symptomatic irreversible pulpitis.

*Reversible pulpitis* is pain from an inflamed pulp that can be treated without the removal of the pulp tissue. It is not a disease, but a symptom. Classic clinical symptoms are sharp, quick pain that subsides as soon as the stimulus is removed. Physiologically, it is the A-delta fibers that are firing, not the C-fibers of the pulp.<sup>11</sup> A-delta fibers are the myelinated, low-threshold, sharp/pricking pain nerve fibers that reside principally in the pulp-dentin junction. They can be stimulated by cold and the EPT and cannot survive in a hypoxic (low oxygen) environment. Reversible pulpitis also does not involve an unprovoked (spontaneous) response.

*Symptomatic irreversible pulpitis* is an inflamed pulp that cannot be treated except by the removal of the pulp tissue. Classic clinical symptoms are lingering of cold/hot stimulus greater than 5 seconds and/or patient reporting of spontaneous tooth pain. Physiologically, the A-delta fibers and/or the C-fibers can fire the neural impulses. C-fibers are the unmyelinated, high-threshold, aching-pain nerve fibers. They are distributed throughout the pulp. They are stimulated by heat and can survive in a hypoxic environment.



*Asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis* is a vital pulp that is incapable of healing, and endodontic treatment is consequently indicated. Although asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis is actually a histologic diagnosis to determine the inflammatory extent of the pulp, clinical examples of this diagnosis include a pulp polyp and internal resorption (Figure 4).

*Pulpal necrosis* can result from an untreated irreversible pulpitis or immediately after a traumatic injury that disrupts the vascular system of the pulp. A necrotic pulp does not respond to cold tests, EPT, or heat tests.

*Previously treated:* A tooth that has already been endodontically treated.

*Previously initiated therapy:* Endodontic treatment was started on a tooth but not completed with obturation.

### Periradicular Diagnosis

When clinicians perform restorative or endodontic treatment, they do not often obtain a periradicular diagnosis. However, making a periodontal diagnosis is especially helpful when a patient presents in pain. A study by McCarthy et al<sup>12</sup> demonstrated that patients presenting with periradicular pain can localize the painful tooth 89% of the time and that patients who present with tooth pain without periradicular pain can localize the tooth only 30% of the time.

By objectively testing the periradicular tissue, a dentist can best determine its gingival and periradicular status. Below are the current periradicular diagnosis terminologies.<sup>10</sup>

*Normal periodontal tissue:* Not sensitive to percussion or palpation testing. Also, radiographically, the lamina dura surrounding the root is intact.

*Symptomatic apical periodontitis:* The tooth has a painful response to biting and/or percussion. This may or may not be accompanied by radiographic periradicular changes.

*Asymptomatic apical periodontitis:* The tooth has no pain on percussion or palpation. Radiography reveals apical radiolucency.

*Chronic apical abscess:* Radiography typically reveals a radiolucency. Clinically, there is a sinus tract present on the gingival tissue. The draining sinus tract should be traced with a gutta-percha cone and then confirmed radiographically (Figure 5 and Figure 6).


*Acute apical abscess* is an inflammatory reaction to pulpal infection and necrosis characterized by rapid onset, spontaneous pain, extreme tenderness of the tooth to pressure, pus formation, and swelling of associated tissues. There may be no radiographic signs of destruction, and the patient often experiences malaise, fever, and lymphadenopathy.



**Figure 4.** A pulp polyp is a clinical example of an asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis diagnosis.



**Figure 5.** Clinical confirmation of the periradicular diagnosis—chronic apical abscess on tooth No. 19. The tooth is not sensitive to percussion or palpation. A gutta-percha cone is inserted into the sinus tract.



**Figure 6.** Radiographic confirmation of periradicular diagnosis—chronic apical on tooth No. 19. Note the tracing of the inserted gutta-percha to the etiology. Local anesthesia is generally not required for a sinus tract tracing.

*Condensing osteitis* is a diffuse radiopaque lesion in the periapical region. The opacity represents a localized osseous reaction to a low-grade inflammatory stimulus.

### Local Anesthesia

A dentist must obtain profound anesthesia when providing endodontic treatment. A common mistake that clinicians may make when attempting to get a patient “numb” is to not objectively test whether pulpal anesthesia has been achieved before initiating endodontic treatment. Often, the only determination of whether a patient is properly anesthetized is the “subjective” anesthesia level as reported by the patient. Studies have demonstrated that inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) anesthetic blocks administered to patients with mandibular teeth diagnosed with irreversible pulpitis on average had only a 55% incidence of profound pulpal anesthesia, even in the presence of 100% lip numbness as reported by the patient.<sup>13,14</sup>

Therefore, before giving local anesthesia for endodontic treatment, the dentist should objectively test the treatment tooth with a cold test and/or EPT. With a preoperative baseline of the pulp sensibility level, after anesthesia is “onboard,” the level of anesthesia can be accessed by re-testing the treatment tooth with cold or EPT (Figure 7). If the post-anesthesia tests are either negative to cold or reveal no



**Figure 7.** An electric pulp test (EPT) is used to test a treatment tooth before and after giving local anesthesia to confirm profound pulpal anesthesia.

response to EPT, there is a high likelihood that profound pulpal anesthesia has been achieved. It is important to note that teeth with metal restorations can provide a false-positive result when using the EPT. Additionally, a study by Fuss et al<sup>15</sup> reported that in young patients, the EPT was less reliable than cold tests.

### Regional and Supplemental Local Anesthesia

Another common clinical mistake that may be made when trying to achieve profound pulpal anesthesia is only giving an infiltration around the treatment tooth. This may be effective for treating a small cavity, but not for endodontic treatment. The dentist should first administer a regional block for local anesthesia. The inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) is a regional block for the mandibular region; for maxillary teeth, a superior alveolar nerve block (SANB) is used. If profound anesthesia cannot be achieved with a regional block alone (as determined from objective testing), supplemental anesthesia should be administered. Examples of supplemental local anesthesia injections are long buccal nerve blocks (mandibular molars), periodontal ligament, intraosseous, and intrapulpal. If supplemental anesthesia is administered before a regional block, it will either be short-acting or not effective enough to provide pulpal anesthesia. In addition, re-injection of local anesthesia in the same regional or supplemental site has shown an increased success rate in achieving pulpal anesthesia.<sup>13</sup>

## Choosing the Correct Local Anesthetic

In conjunction with integrating regional and supplemental local anesthesia, selecting the correct type of local anesthesia plays an important role in a clinician's ability to obtain profound pulpal anesthesia.

### Regional Block

It has been thought that the more local anesthetic containing a vasoconstrictor (i.e., epinephrine) that is administered, the faster and more profound the local anesthetic effects will be for the patient. However, this methodology for administering an anesthetic with only a vasoconstrictor can actually delay the uptake of anesthetic in patients.<sup>16</sup>

Epinephrine is acidic and therefore lowers the pH of the injection site. This lowered pH will enable fewer amounts of base to be available for nerve sheath penetration. Also, although epinephrine acts on both alpha receptors (vasoconstriction) and beta receptors (vasodilatation, bronchodilation, and increased heart rate and contraction), its effect on beta receptors is equal to its effect on alpha receptors.<sup>17</sup> Therefore, the amount of vasoconstriction that will actually occur in the injection site will be affected.

When giving a regional block, either an IANB or SANB, the dentist should administer 1 carpule of an anesthetic with a vasoconstrictor and then wait a few minutes to observe whether the patient reports any subjective signs ("feeling numb" or "feeling my lip or cheek is fat"). The first injection with an anesthetic with vasoconstrictor will help maintain the anesthetic in the region of the block.

If the patient states that he or she feels the anesthetic is taking effect, the clinician did not miss the anatomical block and should proceed with an anesthetic with no vasoconstrictor. If the patient does not report "feeling numb" or any other subjective equivalent, it is a sign that either the anatomical block was missed or there was not enough concentration of base "onboard" to penetrate the nerve sheath. Either way, the second carpule of anesthesia should consist of 3% mepivacaine with no epinephrine. The subsequent injections with 3% mepivacaine

will not further lower the surrounding pH and therefore enhance the amount of base to be available to penetrate the nerve sheath. After administering the second carpule of anesthetic, if the patient begins to feel numb, it was due to anesthetic concentration; if not, the clinician must reevaluate the anatomical placement of the anesthetic.

Since the introduction of articaine into the US market, there have been studies that have demonstrated there is no significant difference between 4% articaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine and 2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine in IANB anesthesia.<sup>18,19</sup> It is important to note that studies have reported a higher incidence of paresthesia when articaine has been administered for IANB. Although the exact etiology of the paresthesia is unknown, it is hypothesized that the neurotoxicity may be due to the higher concentration of local anesthesia used: 4% articaine as compared with 2% lidocaine.<sup>20,21</sup> The clinician must consider these risks along with the benefits of administering 4% articaine for IANB anesthesia.

### Buccal Block

In anesthesia for a buccal block, the use of 4% articaine with 100,000 epinephrine should be the drug of choice.<sup>19</sup> A study by Srinivasan et al<sup>22</sup> reported that the efficacy of 4% articaine with 100,000 epinephrine was superior to 2% lidocaine with 100,000 epinephrine for buccal infiltrations in maxillary posterior teeth. Another study, by Brandt et al,<sup>18</sup> demonstrated better pulpal anesthesia with articaine versus lidocaine when using it as an infiltration local anesthesia.

### Periodontal Ligament Block

The use of 2% lidocaine with 100,000 epinephrine has been demonstrated to be significantly better in achieving pulpal anesthesia through a periodontal ligament injection than using a local anesthetic without a vasoconstrictor.<sup>23,24</sup>

### Intraosseous Block

Although the literature supports the use of an anesthetic with vasoconstrictor for administering for an intraosseous block,<sup>25</sup> the clinician needs to be aware that this will increase heart rate in most patients.<sup>26</sup> Therefore, the clinician needs to balance a longer anesthetic effect along

with a patient's tachycardia response versus using 3% mepivacaine (with no epinephrine) and eliminating the cardiac effect but shortening the duration of the local anesthetic effect. A study by Reisman et al<sup>27</sup> reported that when a repeated intraosseous injection with 3% mepivacaine (with no epinephrine) was administered, there was an increase in anesthetic success to 98%. Empirically, most patients get an uncomfortable feeling when their heart begins to race after the epinephrine enters their system as the result of the intraosseous block.

### **Intrapulpal Block**

The main objective in administering an intrapulpal anesthesia is to give it under pressure. Although it has been stated in the scientific literature that saline is as effective as 2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine in providing anesthesia intrapulpally,<sup>28,29</sup> it is recommended to use 2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine. Empirically, the use of anesthetic with a vasoconstrictor will provide some vasoconstriction on the pulpal vascular system. This is important because most pulps with irreversible pulpitis can be hyperemic due to the body's attempt to address the localized inflammation.

### **NSAID Usage Preoperatively Before Local Anesthesia**

In a recent systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis by Nagendrababu et al,<sup>30</sup> it was reported that a preoperative oral dosage of ibuprofen greater than 400 mg can increase the success of local anesthesia when an IANB is used on patients with irreversible pulpitis. The report also stated that ketorolac 10 mg and diclofenac 50 mg are effective alternative premedications that have shown to increase the efficacy of IANB in patients with irreversible pulpitis.

### **Conventional Endodontic Treatment**

After proper diagnosis and profound local anesthesia, a dentist can then proceed with clinical conventional endodontic treatment in performing a pulpectomy (the complete removal of the pulp tissue) while using a rubber dam to isolate the treatment tooth. For a pulpectomy, chemomechanical preparation of the entire root-canal system should be performed. This type of chemomechanical canal preparation involves

using endodontic files, sodium hypochlorite, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) gel placement on each file (Figure 8). Also, if the dentist is not doing a single-visit treatment, calcium hydroxide should be placed in the canal(s) before temporizing the tooth in cases of irreversible pulpitis.<sup>16</sup> If the canal(s) is/are necrotic, it is recommended to also irrigate with chlorhexidine before placing a calcium hydroxide in the canal and temporizing the tooth.<sup>31</sup>

### **Modified Crown-Down Filing Technique**

Initially, hand files should be used to access the root canal, create a glide path, and determine working length. Hand files should then enlarge the canal at working length to at least a 20/.02 to 30/.02 file size. The size will depend on the actual tooth that is being treated. After this step, rotary-file instrumentation should be initiated. It has been documented in scientific literature that rotary nickel-titanium (NiTi) files can prepare a canal faster than hand files.<sup>32</sup>

Although there are many different file techniques for conventional endodontic treatment, the modified crown-down technique is a consistent and efficient method of treatment.<sup>33</sup> The technique involves opening the coronal two-thirds of the canal with rotary files. Several types of "orifice opener" rotary files are on the market. Next, the rotary files should be taken to working length and worked up from smaller- to larger-size files. It is recommended to use a 0.04 taper rotary-file system when preparing the root canal



**Figure 8.** The placing of an EDTA gel on an endodontic file before placement in a canal.



for obturation. The last rotary-file size that can be taken to working length in a canal is considered the master apical file.

A clinician must use a rubber dam for tooth isolation when performing endodontic treatment. Also, the occlusion should be adjusted before endodontic access on posterior teeth. This will aid in providing consistent file reference points and reducing postoperative periodontal-ligament inflammation.<sup>34</sup>

### Residual Canal Debris

Residual canal debris is organic and/or inorganic material that remains on the dentinal wall after conventional endodontic chemomechanical canal preparation is completed.<sup>35</sup> This residual canal debris is also referred to as the smear layer. The organic and/or inorganic substance is derived from ground dentin; pulp remnants; and, in cases of infected root-canal systems, bacteria.<sup>36</sup>

A possible explanation for the residual canal debris after chemomechanical canal preparation is that NiTi rotary files remain centered in the canal and therefore will not make contact with all the dentinal walls due to various invaginations and irregularities.<sup>37</sup> An *in vitro* study by Chuste-Guillot demonstrated that regardless of which NiTi rotary-file system a clinician used to prepare an infected root-canal system, the root dentin that remained was infected and not bacteria-free.<sup>38</sup> Lin et al reported that the major factors associated with endodontic failures were the persistence of bacterial infection in the canal space and/or the periradicular area.<sup>39</sup>

Another explanation for the presence of residual canal debris after canal instrumentation and irrigation may be that a clinician is not being vigilant in using EDTA and sodium hypochlorite.<sup>40</sup> Lastly, canal morphology can be complex, making it difficult for the chemomechanical canal preparation to be effective in removing all the canal debris.<sup>41</sup>

The three main factors in removing residual canal debris are irrigation activation, mechanical debridement, and chemical debridement. Irrigation activation with ultrasonic, a polymer finishing file, polymer ultrasonic tip, positive pressure syringe, and negative pressure device have all demonstrated various abilities in removing residual canal debris.<sup>41,42</sup>

### Obturation

The clinical goal of endodontic obturation of a root-canal system is to fill empty spaces, promote hermetic sealing, and prevent bacterial activity from infiltrating the periapical tissues.<sup>43</sup> Although gutta-percha has been a consistent material used in canal obturation for the last 40 years, techniques and sealers have changed during that time. Various techniques, such as lateral compaction, warm vertical compaction, and carrier-based obturation, have been reported in the scientific literature.<sup>44,45</sup> In regard to sealers, the most current trend is the bioceramic sealer.<sup>46</sup> It has been reported that bioceramic sealers are nontoxic, are hydrophilic, expand upon setting, and are antimicrobial.<sup>47</sup>

### Pain Medication and Antibiotics

The most consistent predictive factor for postoperative endodontic pain is the presence of preoperative hyperalgesia (spontaneous pain, reduced pain threshold, and/or increased perception of noxious stimuli).<sup>48</sup> A clinical study by Ali et al<sup>49</sup> showed that postoperative pain was present in 54.5% of patients treated. A common clinical mistake in endodontic-treatment pain management is prescribing drugs after treatment without critically assessing whether the drugs are pharmacologically treating inflammation and/or infection. An example would be prescribing antibiotics for tooth pain that has an inflammatory rather than an infection etiology. Fouad<sup>50</sup> reported that antibiotics do not have an analgesic effect on odontogenic inflammatory pain. The pretreatment endodontic and periradicular diagnosis is a clinical guide for determining inflammation and/or infection. If the treatment diagnosis is irreversible pulpitis with or without symptomatic apical periodontitis, the condition is strictly inflammation, and anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the medication of choice.

Significant reduction in odontogenic pain from inflammation can be seen from 400 mg to 800 mg of ibuprofen.<sup>51</sup> A recent study by Taggar et al<sup>52</sup> reported that ibuprofen sodium dihydrate provided faster pain relief than ibuprofen acid. In cases when ibuprofen alone is not effective in reducing postoperative pain for an endodontic patient, administering a combination of ibuprofen and acetaminophen can produce

significantly effective pain management for odontogenic-type inflammation.<sup>53</sup> Acetaminophen, alone or in combination with an opioid (eg, hydrocodone), is a good alternative analgesic for a patient who cannot take NSAID medication.<sup>35</sup>

There will be cases in which NSAIDs do not relieve a patient's odontogenic postoperative pain. Although opiate medications are commonly prescribed in these scenarios, a dentist also should consider prescribing dexamethasone, a synthetic adrenocortical steroid.<sup>54</sup>

If there is an odontogenic infection, a patient should additionally be placed on an antibiotic because steroids can block or mask the body's response to infection. When dexamethasone is prescribed, the patient should stop taking NSAIDs if they are currently being taken for pain because they can lead to an increased risk of developing stomach problems, such as a bleeding stomach ulcer.

The current trend in prescribing antibiotics in endodontic treatment is as adjunctive treatment to conventional or surgical endodontic treatment. Adjunctive antibiotic treatment may be necessary for preventing the spread of infection, in acute apical abscesses with systemic involvement, and for progressive and persistent infections.<sup>55</sup> Systemic involvement in clinical infection can appear as fever, swelling, malaise, a compromised airway, or cellulitis, as well as in a medically compromised patient.

Penicillin V potassium (pen VK) has been documented in the scientific literature as the antibiotic of choice for endodontic infections.<sup>56</sup> It has been demonstrated that the pen VK spectrum of antimicrobial activity includes many of the bacteria that have been isolated in endodontic infections.<sup>57</sup> Segura-Egea et al<sup>55</sup> reported that amoxicillin or amoxicillin with clavulanic acid showed a better absorption, higher blood levels, better tissue penetration, and fewer adverse side effects than pen VK. Amoxicillin and amoxicillin with clavulanic acid have a wider spectrum of activity than pen VK.<sup>58</sup> This spectrum includes many species of bacteria found elsewhere in the body and may increase the risk of selecting bacteria resistant outside the oral cavity. However, amoxicillin and amoxicillin with

clavulanic acid are indicated for the treatment of immunocompromised patients who may have odontogenic infections containing non-oral bacteria.<sup>57</sup>

For the patient allergic to penicillin/amoxicillin or if penicillin/amoxicillin has been ineffective, clindamycin is the second antibiotic of choice. Clindamycin is beta-lactamase resistant (unlike pen VK) and has a good spectrum against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria.<sup>58</sup> Another option if pen VK is ineffective is to add metronidazole along with the pen VK. Metronidazole should not be given as the sole antibiotic, but rather in combination with pen VK. Metronidazole has a narrow therapeutic spectrum against obligate anaerobic bacteria.<sup>59</sup>

When prescribing antibiotics, it is important to use a loading dose. Antibiotics with long half-lives can require several days of therapy to achieve effectiveness. In addition, the most critical time for antibiotic effectiveness is the first 24 hours, which is typically when inoculum of infection is high and likely to harbor resistant subpopulations of bacteria.<sup>60,61</sup>

Scientific literature has stated that clinicians prescribe antibiotics in courses of 3 to 7 days.<sup>62</sup> Some evidence suggests that perhaps shorter courses (2 to 3 days) of antibiotic therapies may be as successful.<sup>63</sup> The use of amoxicillin for 7 days has been shown to increase the population of resistant strains of bacteria.<sup>64</sup> In addition, the dentist should be in close contact with the patient who is taking antibiotics in the event that clinical symptoms worsen or there is a drug allergy.<sup>65</sup> Finally, if an endodontic patient presents with an intraoral fluctuant swelling, the clinician should perform an incision-and-drain procedure.<sup>66</sup>

## Conclusion

Although the clinical advances and changes in thought within the field of endodontics have been significant in recent years, general dentists and associated professionals who become educated on the changes will remain up-to-date. By explaining currently accepted practices in endodontics regarding diagnosis, local anesthesia, instrumentation/obturation, and pain medications and antibiotics, this course serves as a guide for clinicians to stay updated in the field.

## Course Test Preview

To receive Continuing Education credit for this course, you must complete the online test. Please go to: [www.dentalcare.com/en-us/professional-education/ce-courses/ce562/test](http://www.dentalcare.com/en-us/professional-education/ce-courses/ce562/test)

- 1. The pretreatment pulpal and periradicular diagnosis of a tooth begins with \_\_\_\_\_.**
  - A. taking a cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) scan of the tooth or teeth in question.
  - B. cold-testing the tooth or teeth in question.
  - C. reviewing the patient's medical and dental history.
  - D. percussing the tooth or teeth in question.
- 2. The first step in determining a patient's tooth pain etiology is \_\_\_\_\_.**
  - A. listening to the patient's perception of the problem.
  - B. performing objective tests of the tooth or teeth in question.
  - C. prescribing pain medications.
  - D. None of the above.
- 3. The difference between pulp sensibility tests and pulp vitality tests is \_\_\_\_\_.**
  - A. pulp sensibility assesses the blood flow and pulp vitality assesses the condition of the nerve.
  - B. pulp sensibility assesses the condition of the nerve and pulp vitality assesses the blood flow.
  - C. pulp sensibility uses a laser Doppler flowmetry or pulse oximetry.
  - D. Two of the above.
- 4. Percussion tests determine \_\_\_\_\_.**
  - A. the status of the pulp.
  - B. the health of the gingival tissue.
  - C. the status of the periodontal ligament.
  - D. None of the above.
- 5. Uraba et al reported that CBCT imaging is effective at detecting approximately which percentage more periapical lesions than are periapical radiographs?**
  - A. 10%
  - B. 39%
  - C. 20%
  - D. 5%
- 6. The pulpal diagnosis of reversible pulpitis is \_\_\_\_\_.**
  - A. pain from an inflamed pulp that cannot be treated without the removal of the pulp tissue.
  - B. pain from an inflamed pulp that can be treated without the removal of the pulp tissue.
  - C. not a disease, but a symptom.
  - D. B and C
- 7. A pulpal diagnosis of symptomatic irreversible pulpitis is \_\_\_\_\_.**
  - A. an inflamed pulp that can be treated without the removal of the pulp tissue.
  - B. an inflamed pulp that cannot be treated except by the removal of the pulp tissue.
  - C. a diagnosis that determines the health of the periodontal ligament of a tooth.
  - D. A and C

- 8. Which of the following statements is true in regard to the periradicular diagnosis of chronic apical abscess?**
- A. The draining sinus tract should be traced with a gutta-percha cone and then confirmed radiographically.
  - B. The radiograph typically does not reveal a radiolucency.
  - C. The sinus tract does not need to be traced.
  - D. B and C
- 9. Before giving local anesthesia to a patient \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. the dentist should objectively test the treatment tooth with a cold test and/or electric pulp test (EPT).
  - B. the dentist does not need to objectively test the treatment tooth with a cold test and/or EPT.
  - C. no testing is necessary.
  - D. only subjective testing is necessary.
- 10. If profound anesthesia cannot be achieved with a regional block alone, supplemental anesthesia should be administered, such as \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. long buccal nerve blocks (mandibular molars).
  - B. inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB).
  - C. superior alveolar nerve block (SANB).
  - D. None of the above.
- 11. Chemomechanical root-canal preparation involves \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. files.
  - B. sodium hypochlorite.
  - C. EDTA, calcium hydroxide, and chlorhexidine.
  - D. All of the above.
- 12. When initiating a modified crown-down filing technique \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. hand files should be used to access the canal, create a glide path, and determine working length.
  - B. rotary files should be used to access the canal, create a glide path, and determine working length.
  - C. ultrasonic files should be used to access the canal, create a glide path, and determine working length.
  - D. None of the above.
- 13. The modified crown-down technique involves using \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. rotary files to open the coronal two-thirds of the canal.
  - B. rotary files at working length from smaller to larger in size.
  - C. a rubber dam.
  - D. All of the above.
- 14. Occlusion should be adjusted before performing endodontic treatment on posterior teeth because \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. it aids in providing consistent file reference points.
  - B. it aids in reducing postoperative periodontal-ligament inflammation.
  - C. None of the above.
  - D. A and B



- 15. Residual canal debris is defined as \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. organic and/or inorganic material that remains on the dentinal wall after conventional endodontic chemomechanical canal preparation is completed.
  - B. a separated endodontic file.
  - C. None of the above.
  - D. A and B
- 16. A possible explanation(s) for residual canal debris is/are \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. NiTi files remain centered in the canal and therefore will not make contact with all the dentinal walls.
  - B. clinicians are not vigilant in using EDTA and sodium hypochlorite.
  - C. canal morphology can be complex.
  - D. All of the above.
- 17. The most current trend in regard to sealers is \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. AH+ sealer.
  - B. bioceramic sealers.
  - C. zinc oxide and eugenol sealers.
  - D. sealer-infused gutta-percha.
- 18. The most consistent predictive factor for postoperative endodontic pain is \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. multi-roots on a tooth.
  - B. not using local anesthesia when performing endodontic treatment.
  - C. preoperative hyperalgesia.
  - D. None of the above.
- 19. It has been reported that significant reduction in odontogenic pain from inflammation can be seen from \_\_\_\_\_.**
- A. oxycodone.
  - B. 400 mg to 800 mg of ibuprofen.
  - C. using a chlorhexidine mouthrinse.
  - D. All of the above.
- 20. In a study by Segura-Egea et al, which antibiotic was more effective and had fewer side effects than pen VK?**
- A. amoxicillin or amoxicillin with clavulanic acid
  - B. clindamycin
  - C. metronidazole
  - D. None of the above.

## References

1. Alghathiy RA, Qualtrough AJ. Pulp sensibility and vitality tests for diagnosing pulpal health in permanent teeth: a critical review. *Int Endod J*. 2017 Feb;50(2):135-142. doi: 10.1111/iej.12611. Epub 2016 Feb 11.
2. Rickoff B, Trowbridge H, Baker J, et al. Effects of thermal vitality tests on human dental pulp. *J Endod*. 1988 Oct;14(10):482-5. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(88)80104-3.
3. Miller SO, Johnson JD, Allemang JD, et al. Cold testing through full-coverage restorations. *J Endod*. 2004 Oct;30(10):695-700.
4. Lado EA, Richmond AF, Marks RG. Reliability and validity of a digital pulp tester as a test standard for measuring sensory perception. *J Endod*. 1988 Jul;14(7):352-6.
5. Weisleder R, Yamauchi S, Caplan DJ, et al. The validity of pulp testing: a clinical study. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2009 Aug;140(8):1013-7.
6. Jespersen JJ, Hellstein J, Williamson A, et al. Evaluation of dental pulp sensibility tests in a clinical setting. *J Endod*. 2014 Mar;40(3):351-4. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2013.11.009. Epub 2013 Dec 15.
7. Bender IB, Seltzer S. Roentgenographic and direct observation of experimental lesions in bone: I. 1961. *J Endod*. 2003 Nov;29(11):702-6; discussion 701.
8. Uraba S, Ebihara A, Komatsu K, et al. Ability of Cone-beam Computed Tomography to Detect Periapical Lesions That Were Not Detected by Periapical Radiography: A Retrospective Assessment According to Tooth Group. *J Endod*. 2016 Aug;42(8):1186-90. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2016.04.026. Epub 2016 Jun 29.
9. Mattscheck D, Law AS, Nixdorf DR. Diagnosis of nonodontogenic toothache. In: Hargreaves KM, Berman LH, Rotstein I, eds. *Cohen's Pathways of the Pulp*. 11th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby Elsevier; 2016.
10. Glickman GN. AAE Consensus Conference on Diagnostic Terminology: background and perspectives. *J Endod*. 2009 Dec;35(12):1619-20. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2009.09.029.
11. Kim S. Neurovascular interactions in the dental pulp in health and inflammation. *J Endod*. 1990 Feb;16(2):48-53. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(06)81563-3.
12. McCarthy PJ, McClanahan S, Hodges J, et al. Frequency of localization of the painful tooth by patients presenting for an endodontic emergency. *J Endod*. 2010 May;36(5):801-5. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2009.12.035. Epub 2010 Mar 29.
13. Cohen HP, Cha BY, Spångberg LS. Endodontic anesthesia in mandibular molars: a clinical study. *J Endod*. 1993 Jul;19(7):370-3. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(06)81366-X.
14. Nusstein J, Reader A, Nist R, et al. Anesthetic efficacy of the supplemental intraosseous injection of 2% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine in irreversible pulpitis. *J Endod*. 1998 Jul;24(7):487-91. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(98)80053-8.
15. Fuss Z, Trowbridge H, Bender IB, et al. Assessment of reliability of electrical and thermal pulp testing agents. *J Endod*. 1986 Jul;12(7):301-5. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(86)80112-1.
16. Bahcall JK. Everything I know about endodontics, I learned after dental school, Part 1. *Dent Today*. 2003 May;22(5):84-9.
17. Malamed SF. *Handbook of Local Anesthesia*. 5th ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier Mosby; 2004:45-49.
18. Brandt RG, Anderson PF, McDonald NJ, et al. The pulpal anesthetic efficacy of articaine versus lidocaine in dentistry: a meta-analysis. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2011 May;142(5):493-504.
19. Mikesell P, Nusstein J, Reader A, et al. A comparison of articaine and lidocaine for inferior alveolar nerve blocks. *J Endod*. 2005 Apr;31(4):265-70.
20. Haas DA, Lennon D. A 21 year retrospective study of reports of paresthesia following local anesthetic administration. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 1995 Apr;61(4):319-20, 323-6, 329-30.
21. Garisto GA, Gaffen AS, Lawrence HP, et al. Occurrence of paresthesia after dental local anesthetic administration in the United States. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2010 Jul;141(7):836-44.
22. Srinivasan N, Kavitha M, Loganathan CS, et al. Comparison of anesthetic efficacy of 4% articaine and 2% lidocaine for maxillary buccal infiltration in patients with irreversible pulpitis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2009 Jan;107(1):133-6. doi: 10.1016/j.tripleo.2008.09.002.


23. Meechan JG. Supplementary routes to local anaesthesia. *Int Endod J*. 2002 Nov;35(11):885-96.
24. Gray RJ, Lomax AM, Rood JP. Periodontal ligament injection: with or without a vasoconstrictor? *Br Dent J*. 1987 Apr 11;162(7):263-5.
25. Replogle K, Reader A, Nist R, et al. Anesthetic efficacy of the intraosseous injection of 2% lidocaine (1:100,000 epinephrine) and 3% mepivacaine in mandibular first molars. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1997 Jan;83(1):30-7.
26. Coggins R, Reader A, Nist R, et al. Anesthetic efficacy of the intraosseous injection in maxillary and mandibular teeth. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1996 Jun;81(6):634-41.
27. Reisman D, Reader A, Nist R, et al. Anesthetic efficacy of the supplemental intraosseous injection of 3% mepivacaine in irreversible pulpitis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1997 Dec;84(6):676-82.
28. Malamed SF. The management of pain and anxiety. In: Cohen S, Burns RC, eds. *Pathways of the Pulp*. 7th ed. St. Louis: Mosby; 1998:665-666.
29. VanGheluwe J, Walton R. Intrapulpal injection: factors related to effectiveness. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1997 Jan;83(1):38-40.
30. Nagendrababu V, Pulikkotil SJ, Veettil SK, et al. Effect of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug as an Oral Premedication on the Anesthetic Success of Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block in Treatment of Irreversible Pulpitis: A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis. *J Endod*. 2018 Jun;44(6):914-922.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2018.02.017. Epub 2018 Apr 27.
31. Kuruvilla JR, Kamath MP. Antimicrobial activity of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite and 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate separately and combined, as endodontic irrigants. *J Endod*. 1998 Jul;24(7):472-6.
32. Short JA, Morgan LA, Baumgartner JC. A comparison of canal centering ability of four instrumentation techniques. *J Endod*. 1997 Aug;23(8):503-7.
33. Bahcall J, Johnson B. Clinical guide to treating endodontic emergencies. *Inside Dent*. 2016;12(4):46-51. Accessed November 1, 2021.
34. Rosenberg PA, Babick PJ, Schertzer L, et al. The effect of occlusal reduction on pain after endodontic instrumentation. *J Endod*. 1998 Jul;24(7):492-6. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(98)80054-X.
35. West R, Bahcall J, Olsen K. Removing residual canal debris after rotary nickel titanium instrumentation. *Endod Pract*. 2008;10(2):22-24.
36. Mader CL, Baumgartner JC, Peters DD. Scanning electron microscopic investigation of the smeared layer on root canal walls. *J Endod*. 1984 Oct;10(10):477-83. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(84)80204-6.
37. Guelzow A1, Stamm O, Martus P, et al. Comparative study of six rotary nickel-titanium systems and hand instrumentation for root canal preparation. *Int Endod J*. 2005 Oct;38(10):743-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2005.01010.x.
38. Chuste-Guillot MP, Badet C, Peli JF, et al. Effect of three nickel-titanium rotary file techniques on infected root dentin reduction. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2006 Aug;102(2):254-8. Epub 2006 Apr 21. doi: 10.1016/j.tripleo.2005.09.013.
39. Lin LM, Skribner JE, Gaengler P. Factors associated with endodontic treatment failures. *J Endod*. 1992 Dec;18(12):625-7. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(06)81335-X.
40. Bahcall JK, Olsen FK. Clinically enhancing the connection between endodontic and restorative treatment for better case prognosis. *Dent Today*. 2007 Jan;26(1):98-103; quiz 103, 115.
41. Townsend C, Maki J. An in vitro comparison of new irrigation and agitation techniques to ultrasonic agitation in removing bacteria from a simulated root canal. *J Endod*. 2009 Jul;35(7):1040-3. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2009.04.007.
42. Chopra S, Murray PE, Namerow KN. A scanning electron microscopic evaluation of the effectiveness of the F-file versus ultrasonic activation of a K-file to remove smear layer. *J Endod*. 2008 Oct;34(10):1243-5. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2008.07.006.
43. Bueno CR, Valentim D, Marques VA, et al. Biocompatibility and biomineralization assessment of bioceramic-, epoxy-, and calcium hydroxide-based sealers. *Braz Oral Res*. 2016 Jun 14;30(1). pii: S1806-83242016000100267. doi: 10.1590/1807-3107BOR-2016.vol30.0081.

44. Leduc J, Fishelberg G. Endodontic obturation: a review. *Gen Dent*. 2003 May-Jun;51(3):232-3.
45. Da Silva D, Endal U, Reynaud A, et al. A comparative study of lateral condensation, heat-softened gutta-percha, and a modified master cone heat-softened backfilling technique. *Int Endod J*. 2002 Dec;35(12):1005-11.
46. Koch K, Brave D. A new endodontic obturation technique. *Dent Today*. 2006 May;25(5):102, 104-7.
47. Koch KA, Brave DG, Nasseh AA. Bioceramic technology: closing the endo-restorative circle, Part I. *Dent Today*. 2010 Feb;29(2):100-5.
48. Mattscheck DJ, Law AS, Noblett WC. Retreatment versus initial root canal treatment: factors affecting posttreatment pain. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2001 Sep;92(3):321-4. doi: 10.1067/moe.2001.115135.
49. Alí A, Olivieri JG, Duran-Sindreu F, et al. Influence of preoperative pain intensity on postoperative pain after root canal treatment: A prospective clinical study. *J Dent*. 2016 Feb;45:39-42. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2015.12.002. Epub 2015 Dec 8.
50. Fouad AF, Rivera EM, Walton RE. Penicillin as a supplement in resolving the localized acute apical abscess. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1996 May;81(5):590-5.
51. Torabinejad M, Cymerman JJ, Frankson M, et al. Effectiveness of various medications on postoperative pain following complete instrumentation. *J Endod*. 1994 Jul;20(7):345-54. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(06)80098-1.
52. Taggar T, Wu D, Khan AA. A Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing 2 Ibuprofen Formulations in Patients with Acute Odontogenic Pain. *J Endod*. 2017 May;43(5):674-678. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2016.12.017. Epub 2017 Mar 18.
53. Cooper SA. The relative efficacy of ibuprofen in dental pain. *Compend Contin Educ Dent*. 1986 Sep;7(8):578, 580-1, 584-8 passim.
54. Krasner P, Jackson E. Management of posttreatment endodontic pain with oral dexamethasone: a double-blind study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1986 Aug;62(2):187-90.
55. Segura-Egea JJ, Gould K, Şen BH, et al. Antibiotics in Endodontics: a review. *Int Endod J*. 2017 Dec;50(12):1169-1184. doi: 10.1111/iej.12741. Epub 2017 Jan 16.
56. Kuriyama T, Karasawa T, Nakagawa K, et al. Bacteriologic features and antimicrobial susceptibility in isolates from orofacial odontogenic infections. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2000 Nov;90(5):600-8. doi: 10.1067/moe.2000.109639.
57. Baumgartner JC, Xia T. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria associated with endodontic abscesses. *J Endod*. 2003 Jan;29(1):44-7. doi: 10.1097/00004770-200301000-00012.
58. Gilmore WC, Jacobus NV, Gorbach SL, et al. A prospective double-blind evaluation of penicillin versus clindamycin in the treatment of odontogenic infections. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1988 Dec;46(12):1065-70.
59. Sandor GK, Low DE, Judd PL, et al. Antimicrobial treatment options in the management of odontogenic infections. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 1998 Jul-Aug;64(7):508-14.
60. Drusano GL. Antimicrobial pharmacodynamics: critical interactions of 'bug and drug'. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2004 Apr;2(4):289-300. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro862.
61. Martinez MN, Papich MG, Drusano GL. Dosing regimen matters: the importance of early intervention and rapid attainment of the pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic target. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012 Jun;56(6):2795-805. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05360-11. Epub 2012 Feb 27.
62. AAE Position Statement: AAE Guidance on the Use of Systemic Antibiotics in Endodontics. *J Endod*. 2017 Sep;43(9):1409-1413. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2017.08.015.
63. Martin MV, Longman LP, Hill JB, et al. Acute dentoalveolar infections: an investigation of the duration of antibiotic therapy. *Br Dent J*. 1997 Aug 23;183(4):135-7.
64. Lacey RW, Lord VL, Howson GL, et al. Double-blind study to compare the selection of antibiotic resistance by amoxicillin or cephradine in the commensal flora. *Lancet*. 1983 Sep 3;2(8349):529-32.
65. Bahcall JK. Everything I know about endodontics, I learned after dental school. Part 2. *Dent Today*. 2003 Aug;22(8):62-8.
66. Newman MG, Kornman KS. *Antibiotic-Antimicrobial Use in Dental Practice*. Chicago, IL: Quintessence; 1990.



## About the Author

### **James Bahcall, DMD, MS, FICD, FACD**



Dr. Bahcall is Clinical Associate Professor in the Department of Endodontics, University of Illinois-Chicago College of Dentistry, Chicago, Illinois. He earned his DMD from the Tufts University School of Dental Medicine, a Certificate in Endodontics from the Marquette University School of Dentistry, and an MS from Marquette. His dental teaching career began at the Northwestern University School of Dentistry in the Department of Endodontics, where he eventually became Chair of that department. After Northwestern's dental school closed, he moved on to Marquette, where he eventually became Chair of the Department of Surgical Sciences and Director of the Endodontic Division. He later taught at the Midwestern University College of Dental Medicine before joining the UIC College of Dentistry.

Email: [cjromano@aegiscomm.com](mailto:cjromano@aegiscomm.com)