Care & Maintenance of Dental Restorations



Course Author(s): Shelly Withers, RDH, MS; Marilynn Heyde, RDH, MPH CE Credits: 1 hour Intended Audience: Dentists, Dental Hygienists, Dental Assistants, Dental Students, Dental Hygiene Students, Dental Assistant Students Date Course Online: 09/01/2015 Last Revision Date: 03/31/2025 Course Expiration Date: 03/30/2028 Cost: Free Method: Self-instructional AGD Subject Code(s): 780

Online Course: <u>www.dentalcare.com/en-us/ce-courses/ce468</u>

Disclaimers:

• P&G is providing these resource materials to dental professionals. We do not own this content nor are we responsible for any material herein.

• Participants must always be aware of the hazards of using limited knowledge in integrating new techniques or procedures into their practice. Only sound evidence-based dentistry should be used in patient therapy.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement

• The authors report no conflicts of interest associated with this course. They have no relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Introduction – Dental Restorations

This free continuing education course will provide an overview of the various types of esthetic restorations and how to properly care for them. The course will also discuss methods for evaluating and maintaining amalgam restorations.

Course Contents

- Overview
- Learning Objectives
- Introduction
- Types of Materials Used in Esthetic Restorations
- Effects of Preventive Procedures on Dental Restorations
- Care Considerations for Esthetic Restorations
- Maintenance of Amalgam Restorations
- Conclusion
- Course Test
- References
- About the Authors

Overview

The Care & Maintenance of Dental Restorations course will provide an overview of the various types of esthetic restorations and how to properly care for them. The course will also discuss methods for evaluating and maintaining amalgam restorations.

Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this course, the dental professional should be able to:

- Discuss the various types of materials used in esthetic restorations.
- Describe the possible damaging effects of routine preventive procedures and the effect of increased bacterial retention.
- Determine appropriate polishing agents for esthetic restorations.
- Evaluate existing amalgam restorations for contraindications to amalgam polishing procedures.

• Recognize that individual state practice acts for dental auxiliaries to perform finishing and polishing procedures may vary.

Introduction

Dental clinicians, especially dental hygienists, play an important role in the maintenance of dental restorations. The dental hygienist has the opportunity to evaluate the condition of restorations at dental hygiene recare appointments, as well as the responsibility to properly maintain them. The challenge lies in maintaining the appearance of the restorations without damaging them in the process. This is especially difficult with esthetic restorations that closely match the appearance of natural teeth.¹

This course will review the effects of common preventive procedures on esthetic restorations and the increased possibility of bacterial retention as a result. Tips for maintaining esthetic restorations will be discussed and suggestions provided for alternatives to regular prophylaxis paste. Indications and contraindications for performing finishing/ polishing procedures on amalgam restorations will also be covered.

Types of Materials Used in Esthetic Restorations

The demand for esthetics in dentistry has created an amazing variety of ceramic, composite and porcelain restorative materials that are available for dental restorations (Table 1). For instance, ceramic restorations

Ceramic	Glass-based and crystalline-based restorative material Lucite, lithium disilicates, alumina-based and zirconia-based ceramics are most widely used Silicate ceramics are excellent for esthetics and used in veneers
Composite	Resin restorative material categorized by particle sizes Nanofilled contain the smallest particles and macrofilled contain the largest particles Packable and flowable types are available
Porcelain	Made of ceramic fired at high temperatures Restorations may be full porcelain or porcelain-fused-to-metal (PFM)

Table 1. Restorative Materials Used in Esthetic Restorations.^{6,19,27,37}

are so natural looking that even the dental professional may need to carefully evaluate what they observe in the patient's mouth. While ceramic restorations have a natural appearance and are pleasing esthetically, there are also limitations that must be considered when the restorations are placed. Ceramics are quite strong, but the occlusal forces of mastication and bruxism increase the risk of failure due to the brittle nature of the material.⁶ It is important for dental hygienists to perform an evaluation of marginal and occlusal integrity of esthetic restorations at each recall appointment.^{6,19,27}

Restoration Identification

There are various types of restorations that the dental professional may observe in a typical day. They range from slightly radiopaque to completely radiopaque on a radiographic image. Figure 1 shows an example of the following restorations:



Figure 1. Various Types of Restorative. Image courtesy of Dr. Luke Iwata, Loma Linda, CA



Figure 3. Zirconia crowns that appear very radiopaque and similar to a metallic restoration. Image courtesy of Dr. Luke Iwata, Loma Linda, CA

- Tooth #13 exhibits a CEREC ceramic restoration comprised of lithium disilicate.
- Tooth #14 has a PFM (porcelain-fused-tometal) restoration and gutta percha in the root canals from endodontic therapy.
- Teeth #15, 18 and 19 have been restored with gold crowns and have smooth contours that follow the anatomical crown closely. They are completely radiopaque.

Figure 2 shows an intraoral photo of the maxillary restorations present in the radiograph. It is very helpful to compare radiographic findings with a clinical evaluation when determining the patient's existing restorations. For example, some newer esthetic materials appear very similar to metal restorations on radiographs alone. In Figure 3, zirconia crowns are present on teeth #5, 28, 29 and 30. However, they look like they could be metal, but a visual inspection would reveal an esthetic, tooth-colored restoration (Figure 4).



Figure 2. Intraoral photo of the maxillary restorations shown in Figure 1. Image courtesy of Dr. Luke Iwata, Loma Linda, CA



Figure 4. Zirconia esthetic restoration. Image courtesy of Dr. Luke Iwata, Loma Linda, CA

Zirconia Restorations

Figure 5 demonstrates an implant and crown (#10) made of zirconia with porcelain layered on the facial to give it a more natural appearance. The porcelain makes the incisal edge look more translucent in the radiograph. The image contrasts the different radiopacities of the metal titanium implant base, the opaque zirconia core and the translucent layered porcelain. Figure 6 is a clinical photo of the zirconia crown with porcelain on the facial surface. The dentist who performed the procedure gave a lot of credit to the talented ceramist who created the restoration.

Hopefully, the radiographs and clinical photos presented in this section are helpful as clinicians review their patients' existing restorations of their patients. There is a wide variety of restorative materials available, which creates opportunities and challenges as well.

Effects of Preventive Scaling and Ultrasonic use on Dental Restorations

Many patients receive preventive dental hygiene procedures twice a year and periodontal maintenance procedures up to four times per year. The instrumentation technique and products selected by the dental hygienist can be beneficial or detrimental to the patient's dental restorations. Therefore, it is imperative to identify the restorative materials that are present before starting treatment. Restorations



Figure 5. Titanium implant made with Zirconia crown and porcelain on the facial.

can be identified through reviewing radiographs, tactile detection and applying air to the surface of the restoration. Often times, a black line of metal may be apparent when an explorer is used on the restoration. Esthetic restorations may also reveal a dry, chalky appearance when air is applied.¹

Preventive and maintenance procedures are often performed using a combination of hand and ultrasonic instrumentation, which is usually followed by polishing. It is important to use the combination that will be most effective for deposit removal, while causing the least amount of damage to restoration and tooth structure. There is conflicting evidence regarding the effect of scaling with hand instruments versus ultrasonic instrumentation and the amount of tooth structure that is lost in each case. Some studies report that scaling with hand instruments produces greater loss of tooth structure.^{2,23,24} However, other studies indicate that there is not a significant difference in the amount of tooth structure lost when comparing hand and ultrasonic instrumentation.^{25,26} A recent systematic review indicated that both manual and ultrasonic instrumentation helped improve clinical outcomes.³⁸

Instrumentation with ultrasonic scalers and hand instruments has the potential to damage composite restorations (hybrid and microfilled), glass ionomers, laminate veneers and titanium



Figure 6. Porcelain crown on #10 to give it a more natural appearance. Image courtesy of Dr. Brian Goodacre, Loma Linda, CA

implant abutments. When using ultrasonic instrumentation, the clinician should always establish proper water flow to prevent overheating, use the appropriate power level that is needed for deposit removal, and maintain correct adaptation of the side of the tip.²¹ Generally, the water flow should be increased as the power setting is increased for more tenacious deposits. Ultrasonics have the potential to alter the margins of amalgam restorations and fracture porcelain. In order to avoid damaging the restoration, the tips of scalers should never be directed into the iunction where the enamel and restorative material meet.³ Make sure not to direct the tip perpendicularly to the restoration or margin, but keep the lower third of the tip parallel with the long axis of the tooth (or surface being instrumented).

Instrumentation Around Dental Implant Restorations

Due to the potential for damage to titanium implant abutments, clinicians can use specialized instruments while scaling around them. There are plastic tips to cover inserts when using an ultrasonic scaler (Figure 7). Tips are available for both magnetostrictive and piezoelectric models. There are also titanium (Figure 8) and plastic tipped hand instruments that are best for deposit removal around implants.

Air Polishing Around Restorations

For clinicians who prefer to use air-powder polishing systems, some studies show that air polishing may be more effective at plaque and stain removal than polishing with rotating cups and abrasive pastes.^{28,29} In comparison, Chowdhary and Mohan found that polishing with a rubber cup was more effective than air polishing for smoothing and debris removal.³³ Air polishing is also effective when preparing teeth for sealants. However, air polishing should be avoided once sealants have been placed.³¹ Care should be taken when using air polishers near restorations. An in vitro study using bovine mandibular incisors found that air-powder polishing devices created larger marginal gaps in Class V restorations than when prophylaxis was performed with a rubber cup and pumice powder.³⁰

Traditionally, sodium bicarbonate powders have been used for air-powder polishing devices.³¹ However, there are additional powders available for use with air polishers. These include glycine, calcium sodium phosphosilicate, calcium carbonate and aluminum trihyrdoxide powders.^{19,31} These new powders have the added benefit of containing very little or no sodium, which is beneficial for patients on sodium-restricted diets.³¹ Clinicians should be familiar with the properties of each agent and understand the manufacturers' respective recommendations.³¹ For example, due to the surface alterations that were observed visually and with a Scanning Electron Microscope, aluminum trihydroxide powder should be avoided on resin composites, resinmodified composites and around the margins of cemented restorations.⁴ In general, dental clinicians should avoid the use of air polishers on composite restorations.¹ However, glycinebased powders were found to create fewer defects on restorative material and tooth structures³¹ and may be preferable for that reason.



Figure 7. Plastic tip for safe ultrasonic instrumentation near implants. *Retrieved from Dentsply Sirona*



Figure 8. Titanium implant scalers. *Retrieved from <u>www.hufriedygroup.com</u>*

Effects of Fluoride and Prophy Paste on Restorations

Fluoride application is beneficial for preventing recurrent decay near dental restorations. According to Artopoulou et al., 1.1% sodium fluoride (NaF) is the preferable choice for esthetic restorations. Sodium fluoride has been shown to cause less stain and deterioration of porcelain surfaces than 0.4% stannous fluoride (SnF2).⁵ Dental hygienists should also avoid the use of acidulated phosphate fluoride, which may cause alteration of the filler particles and discoloration of the resin. If fluoride mouthrinses are recommended for home care. avoid suggesting rinses that contain alcohol, which acts as a solvent for the BIS-GMA resin. This results in softening the material, which can increase roughness and stain.^{1,39}

The use of CAD/CAM (computer-aided design/ computer-aided manufacturing) restorations within dental practices has increased and dental clinicians will need to be familiar with their characteristics in order to properly maintain them.⁶ Some materials, such as e.max CAD lithium disilicate ceramic (Figure 9), have good abrasion resistance, but prophylactic pastes produced a reduction in translucency.⁷ In a study comparing the effects of prophylaxis on surface gloss and roughness of CAD/CAM composite resin and ceramic blocks (intended for indirect restorations), it was found that surface changes from using course paste were not improved by subsequent polishing with fine paste.³⁴ This suggests the importance of using the finest paste possible to perform the procedure. In order to keep the restoration looking new and as natural as possible, it is important to follow manufacturers' recommendations regarding the appropriate product to use for maintaining the restoration.¹

Care Considerations for Esthetic Restorations

Dental professionals need to understand how to properly maintain and care for the patient's restorations. Through the years it has been maintained that polishing should be "selective" to remove the stain the clinician was not able to remove during scaling. The theory was that polishing was performed for esthetic purposes. However, with the new generation of polishing



Figure 9. These radiographs show crowns made of lithium disilicate (often called e.max). They appear slightly radiopaque radiographically. Image courtesy of Dr. Brian Goodacre, Loma Linda, CA

pastes there has been a paradigm shift that polishing can also be considered therapeutic.

The evidence suggests that conventional prophylaxis pastes have the potential to increase the surface roughness of resin composite, hybrid ionomer and compomer restorative materials. Therefore, Warren and colleagues advise that routine polishing during prophylaxis should be avoided.⁸ However, the clinician must evaluate the needs of the patient and form an individualized care plan using evidence-based information to provide optimal care for the patient. For example, a patient may have ceramic crowns in the anterior region that have a glaze in place to achieve the correct color match.³⁶ If the clinician is not careful, the glaze can be removed during the polishing procedure. Therefore, it is good practice to use the finest grit possible to remove deposits and if a medium or coarse grit is necessary, the procedure should be completed with the finest polish in order to leave the surfaces as smooth as possible.32

Manufacturers are developing prophy pastes that are safe to use on the new esthetic restorations. This new generation of prophy pastes that contain either Calprox, aluminum oxide, or xylitol and fluoride can be used safely on esthetic restorations when the "fine" grit is selected.²² In addition, the desensitizing paste is perfect for the patient who might be experiencing sensitivity and biofilm accumulation near the cervical restoration. These pastes contain 8% arginine and calcium carbonate and are safe to use on resin composite, porcelain, amalgam, gold and dental enamel.¹⁶

Proper adaptation of instruments is crucial in order to prevent scratches, fractures, or chips on the teeth and/or dental materials. Scaling procedures should be performed carefully, and sites that are rough following the procedure may have to be re-polished to prevent plaque accumulation.¹¹ Any areas of roughness will increase bacterial adhesion. In fact, research has shown a positive correlation between surface roughness and the amount of *S. mutans* that adheres to the restoration.^{12,13} The accumulation of biofilm can lead to gingival inflammation and recurrent caries, which will decrease the longevity of the restoration.³²

Maintenance of Amalgam Restorations

Many patients have amalgams, because they are strong, long-lasting, and more effective when moisture is present during placement. They are the least expensive filling material and have been used for 150 years. Amalgam restorations that have been present in the dark, warm, acidic environment of the mouth may be prone to tarnishing and corrosion. *Tarnish* is a surface discoloration resulting from poor oral hygiene, dental biofilm, acidic foods and sulfides. *Corrosion* is deterioration caused by chemical or electro-chemical reactions. Marginal corrosion can lead to recurrent caries and appears as a bluish-black area around the restoration.¹

Finishing and polishing refers to the removal of marginal irregularities, the definition of anatomic contours and the smoothing away of any surface roughness.³ Not only are finished and polished amalgams less prone to plaque retention, they also have greater resistance to the effects of corrosion and tarnish.¹⁷ A study by Cardoso et al. found that existing amalgam restorations (with no visible defects) that had previously been slated for replacement, were no longer perceived as needing replacement after finishing and polishing procedures were performed on the amalgam restoration.¹⁸ For amalgam restorations with defects, such as roughness or defective anatomical form, the 10-year clinical performance was similar whether they were in the group assigned to refurbishment, replacement, or no treatment.³⁵



Figure 10. Example of an amalgam restoration that is a good candidate for polishing and finishing.⁴¹

Crest[®] + Oral-B[®] at dentalcare.com | The trusted resource for dental professionals



Figure 11. Margins of the amalgam restoration on #18 are breaking down and in need of replacement. Image courtesy of Dr. Luke Iwata, Loma Linda, CA

When evaluating amalgam restorations for their suitability for finishing and polishing procedures, there are several items that need to be considered. First, there must not be any recurrent caries or fractures in the restoration or surrounding tooth structure. Second, a proximal contact must be present. Third, amalgams should only be polished if the anatomy can be maintained or improved. For example, deep occlusal anatomy or marginal ridges that are below the plane of occlusion cannot be improved. Finally, if all margins can be contoured to be continuous and smooth with the cavosurface margin, the amalgam can benefit from the finishing and polishing procedure.¹

Restorations with open margins or large voids at the cavosurface margin are contraindicated for finishing and polishing procedures (Figure 11). A restoration that has gross overhangs, or is present on a tooth that is treatment planned for extraction or a crown, is not a good candidate



Figure 12. Radiographic image of the patient in Figure 11 after placement of an MO CEREC restoration on tooth #18. Image courtesy of Dr. Luke Iwata, Loma Linda, CA

for the finishing and polishing procedure.¹ In cases where a restoration would not benefit from being polished, it is best to replace the restoration. Figure 12 shows a radiograph of tooth #18, which was restored with a CEREC restoration after the amalgam was removed.

Conclusion

Dental practitioners must understand the composition and properties of esthetic and restorative materials and their respective biocompatibility. Individualized plans should be developed when performing preventive procedures that are based on patient health and restorative needs. Dental hygienists should closely monitor restorations for signs of wear and the need for replacement. For instance, it can be beneficial to polish the amalgam before replacing the restorative material. Through consistent documentation of findings and good communication with the dentist, it will be possible to take excellent care of the patient's dental restorations.

Course Test Preview

To receive Continuing Education credit for this course, you must complete the online test. Please go to: <u>www.dentalcare.com/en-us/ce-courses/ce468/start-test</u>

1. Which of the following statements is true regarding a dental hygienists responsibility for restoration maintenance?

- A. The dental hygienist has the responsibility to properly maintain dental restorations.
- B. The dental hygienist only needs to clean the teeth without regard to the status of restorations.
- C. The dentist is the only one responsible for maintaining restorations.

2. Which of the following is NOT a type of ceramic used for esthetic restorations?

- A. Zirconia
- B. Nano-filled composite
- C. Lucite
- D. Lithium disilicate

3. Which of the following techniques is NOT used to identify a dental restorations?

- A. Radiographic images
- B. Tactile detection with the explorer
- C. Applying air to the surface of the restoration
- D. Applying water to the restoration surface

4. Which of the following is NOT considered safe practice while using the ultrasonic scaler?

- A. Proper adaptation of the side of the ultrasonic tip
- B. Directing tip of the ultrasonic scaler into the junction where enamel and restoration meet
- C. Establishing adequate water flow to prevent overheating
- D. Selecting appropriate power for effective deposit removal

5. Aluminum trihydroxide is an abrasive agent that is safe to use on which of the following restoration types?

- A. Resin composites
- B. Resin-modified composites
- C. Amalgam
- D. Margins of cemented restorations

6. Which fluoride application is the preferred choice for esthetic restorations?

- A. 0.4% Stannous Fluoride (SnF2)
- B. 1.1% Sodium Fluoride (NaF)
- C. Acidulated Phosphate Fluoride (APF)
- D. Silver diamine fluoride

7. Why should clinicians be careful using conventional prophylaxis paste on esthetic restorations?

- A. It does not remove stain effectively
- B. It increases surface roughness on resin composites.
- C. It causes staining on glazed ceramics

8. What was the original type of powder used in air polishing systems?

- A. glycine
- B. calcium sodium phosphosilicate
- C. aluminum trihyrdoxide
- D. sodium bicarbonate

9. Which of the following is NOT an alternative to regular prophylaxis paste?

- A. Desensitizing paste with 8% arginine and calcium carbonate
- B. Specialty pastes created for esthetic restorations
- C. Fine polishing paste with xylitol and fluoride
- D. Silver jewelry polish

10. What term is used to describe deterioration of amalgam restorations caused by chemical or electro-chemical reactions?

- A. Tarnish
- B. Pitting
- C. Corrosion
- D. Staining

11. Which of the following statements regarding polishing amalgams is NOT correct?

- A. Amalgams with fractures should not be polished.
- B. It is acceptable to polish amalgam restorations that have recurrent caries present.
- C. If an amalgam does not have a good proximal contact, it should not be polished.
- D. If the anatomy can be maintained, an amalgam can be polished.

12. Which of the following conditions would be an appropriate indication for performing a finishing and polishing procedure on an amalgam restoration?

- A. Open margins
- B. Large voids at the cavosurface margin
- C. Excess material that extends over the cavosurface margin
- D. Teeth that are treatment planned for extraction
- E. Gross overhangs

13. It is the dental hygienist's responsibility to document their findings and report them to the dentist.

- A. True
- B. False

*Use Image For Questions 14 and 15.



14. What is the restorative material used on tooth #15?

- A. Gold
- B. Amalgam
- C. Porcelain fused to metal
- D. Composite

15. What is the restorative material used on tooth #13?

- A. Gold
- B. Amalgam
- C. Ceramic
- D. Composite

16. Which esthetic restoration appears most like a gold crown in radiographs?

- A. Composite
- B. Porcelain fused to metal
- C. Stainless steel
- D. Zirconia

References

- 1. Gibson-Howell JC. Care of dental restorations. In: Wilkins EM. Clinical Practice of the Dental Hygienist. Baltimore: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2005: 742-756.
- 2. Rühling A, Wulf J, Schwahn C, et al. Surface wear on cervical restorations and adjacent enamel and root cementum caused by simulated long-term maintenance therapy. J Clin Periodontol. 2004 Apr;31(4):293-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051x.2004.00482.x.
- 3. Barnes CM. Polishing esthetic restorative materials: The successful maintenance of esthetic restorations. Dimensions of Dental Hygiene. 2010 Jan;8(1):24,26-28. Accessed March, 2022.
- 4. Johnson WW, Barnes CM, Covey DA, et al. The effects of a commercial aluminum airpolishing powder on dental restorative materials. J Prosthodont. 2004 Sep;13(3):166-72. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-849X.2004.04026.x.
- 5. Artopoulou II, Powers JM, Chambers MS. In vitro staining effects of stannous fluoride and sodium fluoride on ceramic material. J Prosthet Dent. 2010 Mar;103(3):163-9. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3913(10)60023-6.
- 6. Noble W, Gupta S, Vallee J, et al. Advances in all-ceramic restorations. Dimensions of Dental Hygiene. 2012 May;10(5):60-63. Accessed March, 2022.
- Monaco C, Arena A, Özcan M. Effect of prophylactic polishing pastes on roughness and translucency of lithium disilicate ceramic. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2014 Jan-Feb;34(1):e26-9. Accessed July 31, 2018
- 8. Monaco C, Arena A, Özcan M. Effect of prophylactic polishing pastes on roughness and translucency of lithium disilicate ceramic. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2014 Jan-Feb;34(1):e26-9. doi: 10.11607/prd.1155.
- 9. Yurdaguven H, Aykor A, Ozel E, et al. Influence of a prophylaxis paste on surface roughness of different composites, porcelain, enamel and dentin surfaces. Eur J Dent. 2012 Jan;6(1):1-8.
- 10. Serio FG, Strassler HE, Litkowski LJ, et al. The effect of polishing pastes on composite resin surfaces. A SEM study. J Periodontol. 1988 Dec;59(12):837-40. doi: 10.1902/jop.1988.59.12.837.
- 11. Arabaci T, Ciçek Y, Ozgöz M, et al. The comparison of the effects of three types of piezoelectric ultrasonic tips and air polishing system on the filling materials: an in vitro study. Int J Dent Hyg. 2007 Nov;5(4):205-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5037.2007.00265.x.
- 12. Yap AU, Wu SS, Chelvan S, et al. Effect of hygiene maintenance procedures on surface roughness of composite restoratives. Oper Dent. 2005 Jan-Feb;30(1):99-104.
- 13. Al-Marzok MI, Al-Azzawi HJ. The effect of the surface roughness of porcelain on the adhesion of oral Streptococcus mutans. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2009 Nov 1;10(6):E017-24.
- 14. Kimyai S, Mohammadi N, Alizadeh Oskoee P, et al. Effect of different prophylaxis methods on microleakage of microfilled composite restorations. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2012 Spring;6(2):65-9. Epub 2012 Jun 6. doi: 10.5681/joddd.2012.014.
- 15. Covey DA, Barnes C, Watanabe H, et al. Effects of a paste-free prophylaxis polishing cup and various prophylaxis polishing pastes on tooth enamel and restorative materials. Gen Dent. 2011 Nov-Dec;59(6):466-73; quiz 474-5.
- 16. Garcia-Godoy F, Garcia-Godoy A, Garcia-Godoy C. Effect of a desensitizing paste containing 8% arginine and calcium carbonate on the surface roughness of dental materials and human dental enamel. Am J Dent. 2009 Mar;22 Spec No A:21A-24A.
- 17. Dais J. Polishing procedures: tips for safely polishing amalgam and esthetic restorations. Dimensions of Dental Hygiene. 2006 June;4(6):22,24. Accessed March, 2022.
- 18. Cardoso M, Baratieri LN, Ritter AV. The effect of finishing and polishing on the decision to replace existing amalgam restorations. Quintessence Int. 1999 Jun;30(6):413-8.
- 19. Mossman SL. Material selection and maintenance. Dimensions of Dental Hygiene. 2014 Mar;12(3):63-67. Accessed March, 2022.
- 20. Barnes CM. The science of polishing. Dimensions of Dental Hygiene. 2009 Nov;7(11):18-20,22.
- 21. Nield-Gehrig JS. Ultrasonic and Sonic Instrumentation. In Fundamentals of Periodontal Instrumentation and Advanced Root Instrumentation: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins; 2013: 646-652.
- 22. Hodsdon K. Polishing for brilliance. RDH. 2000; 20(4). Accessed March, 2022.

- 23. Mittal A, Nichani AS, Venugopal R, et al. The effect of various ultrasonic and hand instruments on the root surfaces of human single rooted teeth: A Planimetric and Profilometric study. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2014 Nov-Dec;18(6):710-7. doi: 10.4103/0972-124X.147405.
- 24. Mishra MK, Prakash S. A comparative scanning electron microscopy study between hand instrument, ultrasonic scaling and erbium doped:Yttirum aluminum garnet laser on root surface: A morphological and thermal analysis. Contemp Clin Dent. 2013 Apr;4(2):198-205. doi: 10.4103/0976-237X.114881.
- 25. Amid R, Kadkhodazadeh M, Fekrazad R, et al. Comparison of the effect of hand instruments, an ultrasonic scaler, and an erbium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet laser on root surface roughness of teeth with periodontitis: a profilometer study. J Periodontal Implant Sci. 2013 Apr;43(2):101-5. doi: 10.5051/jpis.2013.43.2.101. Epub 2013 Apr 30.
- 26. Singh S, Uppoor A, Nayak D. A comparative evaluation of the efficacy of manual, magnetostrictive and piezoelectric ultrasonic instruments--an in vitro profilometric and SEM study. J Appl Oral Sci. 2012 Feb;20(1):21-6.
- 27. Shenoy A, Shenoy N. Dental ceramics: An update. J Conserv Dent. 2010 Oct;13(4):195-203. doi: 10.4103/0972-0707.73379.
- 28. Bühler J, Amato M, Weiger R, et al. A systematic review on the effects of air polishing devices on oral tissues. Int J Dent Hyg. 2016 Feb;14(1):15-28. doi: 10.1111/idh.12120. Epub 2015 Feb 17.
- 29. Graumann SJ, Sensat ML, Stoltenberg JL. Air polishing: a review of current literature. J Dent Hyg. 2013 Aug;87(4):173-80.
- 30. Kimyai S, Pournaghi-Azar F, Daneshpooy M, et al. Effect of two prophylaxis methods on marginal gap of Cl Vresin-modified glass-ionomer restorations. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2016 Winter;10(1):23-9. doi: 10.15171/joddd.2016.004. Epub 2016 Mar 16.
- 31. Graumann SJ, Sensat ML, Stoltenberg JL. Air polishing: a review of current literature. J Dent Hyg. 2013 Aug;87(4):173-80.
- 32. Liebermann A, Spintzyk S, Reymus M, et al. Nine prophylactic polishing pastes: impact on discoloration, gloss, and surface properties of a CAD/CAM resin composite. Clin Oral Investig. 2018 Apr 16. doi: 10.1007/s00784-018-2440-z.
- 33. Chowdhary Z, Mohan R. Efficiency of three different polishing methods on enamel and cementum: A scanning electron microscope study. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2018 Jan-Feb;22(1):18-24. doi: 10.4103/jisp.jisp_40_17.
- 34. Sugiyama T, Kameyama A, Enokuchi T, et al. Effect of professional dental prophylaxis on the surface gloss and roughness of CAD/CAM restorative materials. J Clin Exp Dent. 2017 Jun 1;9(6):e772-e778. doi: 10.4317/jced.53826. eCollection 2017 Jun.
- 35. Moncada G, Fernandez E, Mena K, et al. Long-term Performance of Refurbished Amalgam Restorations: 10-year Follow-up. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2017;15(5):435-445. doi: 10.3290/j.ohpd. a38775.
- 36. Kanat-Ertürk, B. (2020). Color stability of CAD/CAM ceramics prepared with different surface finishing procedures. Journal of Prosthodontics, 29(2), 166-172.
- 37. Shi HY, Pang R, Yang J, Fan D, Cai H, Jiang HB, Han J, Lee ES, Sun Y. Overview of Several Typical Ceramic Materials for Restorative Dentistry. Biomed Res Int. 2022 Jul 18;2022:8451445. doi: 10.1155/2022/8451445. PMID: 35898679; PMCID: PMC9314004.
- Sabatini, S., Maiorani, C., Bassignani, J., Cotellessa, S., Di Trani, G., Fulgenzi, E., ... & Butera, A. (2024). Effectiveness of Ultrasonic and Manual Instrumentation in Nonsurgical Periodontal Therapy: Are Additional Therapies More Effective? A Systematic Review. Applied Sciences, 14(5), 1950
- 39. Nasoohi N, Hadian M, hoorizad M, Hashemi S, Naziri saeed S. In-Vitro Effect of Alcohol and Non-Alcohol Mouthwash on Color Change of Two Types of Bleach Shade Composite. J Res Dent Maxillofac Sci 2019; 4 (2) :1-6 URL
- 40. https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/dental-devices/dental-amalgam-fillings#benefits
- 41. Torres, C.R.G., Patil, S., Batista, G.R. (2020). Amalgam Restorations. In: Torres, C. (eds) Modern Operative Dentistry. Textbooks in Contemporary Dentistry. Springer, Cham. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-030-31772-0_11

About the Authors

Shelly Withers, RDH, MS



Shelly Withers has been a dental hygiene educator for over 20 years. She has worked in private practice, dental research, and served as DH Chair at LLU. She obtained degrees in Dental Hygiene (BS) and Health Professions Education (MS) from Loma Linda University. She earned a PhD in Psychology from Grand Canyon University. Her research interests include student/faculty well-being and educational psychology. She is a member of Sigma Phi Alpha National Dental Hygiene Honor Society, ADHA, and Psi Chi, the International Honor Society in Psychology.

Email: DrShellyWithers@gmail.com

Marilynn Heyde, RDH, MPH



Marilynn Heyde graduated from Loma Linda University in 1974 with a BS in Dental Hygiene. In 2000, Marilynn completed a Master's in Public Health Education. Marilynn's career has included private practice, public health dental education, and dental hygiene education. Marilynn was an Associate Professor at Loma Linda University for many years. She taught dental hygiene students preclinical courses and anesthesia. Marilynn has taught dental hygienists a variety of courses in the field of dental hygiene for over 17 years.

Email: mheyde@llu.edu