

CDP Supplier Engagement Assessment Scoring Introduction 2026

CDP Full Corporate Scoring Methodology – Climate change

Version Control

Version no.	Date of publication	Revision summary
1.0	June 12 2026	Publication of the CDP supplier engagement assessment introduction for 2026.

Contents

Introduction	4
Principles of the CDP Supply Chain Program’s Supplier Engagement Assessment	4
Organizations included in the Supplier Engagement Assessment	4
Other scoring resources	4
Approach to Scoring of the Supplier Engagement Assessment	5
Calculation of a final SEA score.....	5
Scoring approaches	6
Best row scoring.....	7
Scoring routes	7
Cross-checking.....	8
Assessing an integrated questionnaire	8
Summary of Supplier Engagement Assessment scoring per scoring category	10
Risks Management Processes	10
Governance and Business Strategy.....	11
Supplier Engagement.....	12
Scope 3 Emissions	14
Targets	15
Score Appeals.....	16
Disclaimer Surrounding Scores	16
Feedback and Support.....	17
Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs).....	18

Introduction

Principles of the CDP Supply Chain Program's Supplier Engagement Assessment

Purchasing organizations have potential to incentivize significant environmental changes in their supply chain. By establishing a system to evaluate supplier engagement practices and recognize best practice, CDP aims to increase buyer engagement to accelerate action on emissions in global supply chains. The Supplier Engagement Assessment (formerly the Supplier Engagement Rating) seeks to achieve this in two ways:

1. Clarifying best practice expectations on supplier engagement through assessment criteria. The sheer scale of reviewing purchasing processes and creating a strategy to integrate environmental information into purchasing can be daunting, and many organizations have trouble identifying best practice upon which to base their actions. Following the guidance of the Supplier Engagement Assessment methodology can steer companies towards best practice.
2. Recognizing and celebrating leaders in supplier engagement. CDP's experience shows that organizations will improve their performance when presented with an opportunity to gain recognition for their actions. CDP will recognize and award credit for achievements of excellence to support company action and lasting improvements in management practices, such as through the Supplier Engagement Leaderboard published annually.

Organizations included in the Supplier Engagement Assessment

Organizations that respond to the 2026 Full Corporate Questionnaire are included for assessment. Responses must be submitted by the deadline communicated by CDP for inclusion. Companies that disclose to the Small and Medium Enterprise questionnaire in 2026 will **not** be eligible to receive a Supplier Engagement Assessment.

Other scoring resources

This document should be utilised in conjunction with the CDP SEA scoring methodology for 2026 which can be found on the [How to Disclose](#) webpage. Other documents that should be consulted are the CDP Full Corporate Scoring Methodology 2026, CDP's 2026 full corporate questionnaire for Climate change and CDP's 2026 Full Corporate reporting guidance for Climate change. The reporting guidance explains the elements covered in answering the questionnaires. It is important to report data in line with the instructions provided in the reporting guidance, as the scoring methodology functions based on responders providing response data in line with this guidance. These can be found via the 'How to Disclose' webpage with links to the [CDP Portal](#). Organizations will be able to download a PDF copy of these resources through the CDP Portal.

Approach to Scoring of the Supplier Engagement Assessment

Organizations will be evaluated on their supplier engagement through their responses to questions in five key areas of the CDP Full Corporate Questionnaire - Climate change related to governance and business strategy, targets, emissions reporting (Scope 3), risks management processes and supplier engagement. These specific questions offer the opportunity for a company to provide details on supplier engagement in the Governance, Business strategy, Targets, Dependencies, Impacts, Risks and Opportunities Processes, Scope 3 Emissions, and Scope 3 Emissions (Supplier Engagement) sections of the questionnaire. Information provided through the Forests and/or Water Security questionnaire or the additional Supply Chain Module (Climate change/Forests/Water) are not evaluated.

Final score allocation

To achieve a score above D, companies must report engagement with their suppliers (see *Scope 3 Emissions: Supplier Engagement* for more details).

All scored questions in the questionnaire are allocated to a scoring category. The weighting of each category reflects its relative importance for the sector in the overall score.

Weightings are applied by calculating the score per scoring category: Numerator/Denominator * 100. These percentage-based scores are then translated into a category score per level by calculating the proportion of points achieved relative to the category weighting: Category weighting (%) / 100 * Overall score (%). The category scores for each level are then summed together to calculate the overall final score per level.

The final SEA score will be determined by the overall percentage of points awarded throughout the questionnaire, with each questionnaire section weighted as part of the overall score as follows:

Scoring Category	Weighting in the Supplier engagement assessment
Risk Management Processes	15%
Governance and Business Strategy	15%
Supplier Engagement	35%
Scope 3 Emissions (incl. Verification)	20%
Targets	15%

Table 1 – SEA scoring category weightings.

Calculation of a final SEA score

Responders are allocated a final letter score ranging from A to D- determined by the final percentages score awarded after weightings have been applied. The value of this final percentage score will be equated to a final SEA using the thresholds below.

CDP provisionally sets the thresholds required to move between scoring levels, and these thresholds are reviewed during the scoring period to ensure that the distribution of responses among scoring levels is representative of the current level of progress in the responding population. CDP reserves the right to adjust these thresholds at any point prior to the release of scores.

Final SEA Score	Threshold
A	76% - 100%
A-	66% - 75%
B	56% - 65%
B-	41% - 55%
C	26% - 40%
C-	16% - 25%
D	9% - 15%
D-	1% - 8%
F	0%

Table 2 – Provisional scoring thresholds applied to each CDP scoring level for each scored environmental issue area. The thresholds shown here were applied to calculate the scores released for the 2025 disclosure cycle.

To achieve SEA Leaderboard status an organization must respond publicly to a request from their customer(s) and/or capital markets.

The scoring methodology is outlined by scoring category in further detail below, including a list of the relevant CDP questions and the rationale and summary methodology for each section. *Please refer to the CDP 2026 Supplier Engagement Assessment Methodology document on the [How to Disclose](#) webpage for a detailed breakdown of the scoring methodology, including point values for each question.*

In 2026, the SEA methodology has remained mostly unchanged. There is a minor update to question 4.5, and clarifications to questions 7.53.1 and 7.53.2, but with no change to the scoring intention.

Unanswered questions will be scored zero out of the maximum available points for that question or set of questions. In the instance of certain key data points, an unanswered question will be scored zero out of a denominator that is greater than the maximum available points for that question. These key data points will be highlighted in specific scoring routes in the methodology (labelled 'Non-disclosure routes').

Scoring approaches

Not all responders will see the same questions, as CDP's Full Corporate questionnaire contains multiple routes. Selecting question routes impacts the number of questions presented to a responding organization, and therefore the denominator of their score. Organizations that respond 'Yes' to most questions will generally have a higher denominator compared to those selecting 'No'. Scores are calculated as a percentage to normalize the effect of different question routes. The final score is the number of points awarded divided by the total number of points available to the organization for the question routes selected. If an organization answers fewer questions because they are not relevant to that company, they are not penalized.

The approach by which points are awarded varies on a question-by-question basis, and responders are advised to check the scoring methodology closely when preparing responses to understand how points will be allocated.

For some questions, points or fractions of points are awarded cumulatively – per each data point provided – up to the maximum points available for that question. Other questions require all data points requested to be provided for any points to be awarded. For the latter, leaving information blank or failing to provide a required

data point will lead to zero points being awarded for that question. As a rule, 'Comment' columns are not considered in scoring, unless otherwise specified.

In instances where multiple datasets are required (such as multiple rows of data in a table), a variety of approaches to scoring are employed. All the data provided may be scored, only certain rows may be scored, or only the best scoring row(s) may be scored.

Best row scoring

In some instances, only one row of data from an organization's response is considered in the score. This scoring approach enables organizations to provide comprehensive responses to a question, even if their response is incomplete or if all actions detailed by the organization do not meet the best practice as set out in the scoring criteria. As such, best row scoring is typically used in questions where a single description of an action, process or target is sufficient to be assessed.

The best row scoring approach is denoted in the scoring criteria as 'One row scored'. In these questions, points are awarded in accordance with the points achieved in the row that achieves the highest score across all scoring levels.

Best row scoring is applied to two questions in the Supplier Engagement Assessment methodology, questions 2.2.2 and 5.11.7.

Best row(s) scoring

In some cases, multiple rows from an organization's response may need to be considered in order to meet a criterion. Similarly to best row scoring, this approach enables organizations to provide comprehensive responses to a question, even if their response is incomplete or if all actions detailed by the organization do not meet the best practice as set out in the scoring criteria. However, this also gives organizations the flexibility to report different details about their activities where necessary. Best rows scoring is therefore used in questions where companies may need to disclose information separately to give the detail they need to.

The best row scoring approach is denoted in the scoring criteria as 'Best row(s) scored'. In these questions, points are awarded in accordance with the points achieved in the combination of rows that achieve the highest score across all scoring levels.

Best row(s) scoring is applied in only one location in Supplier Engagement Assessment methodology for question 4.5.1.

Best question scoring

There is a single location in the Supplier Engagement Assessment methodology where one questions' score is taken forward to contribute to the overall score. For questions 7.53.1 and 7.53.2 (which request information on absolute and intensity emissions reduction targets), only the highest scoring of the two questions is taken forward. This is highlighted explicitly in the methodology.

Scoring routes

In some cases, the scoring criteria for a question are organized into separate scoring routes. These routes detail the different ways that an organization can be scored for a question, depending on their response and the subsequent data points that are relevant to their response. For instance, different scoring routes may be applied if an organization answers 'Yes' or 'No' to a question. Within integrated questions, scoring routes may also be used to denote how each environmental issue is treated if the question is only responded to for certain environmental issue areas.

The scoring methodology will aim to outline all possible routes that can be taken for an organisation to meet the scoring criteria. Where a route is not explicitly defined in the methodology, disclosing in this way will incur a loss of points. In these cases, the maximum loss of points possible is applied in accordance with the highest number of points available for the question level (excluding non-disclosure route denominators).

To ensure that any significant gaps in disclosure are considered when calculating final scores, the scoring methodology utilises distinct non-disclosure routes. These routes are used to balance the point allocations within the methodology in accordance with incentivising disclosure on key data points. These routes will be clearly identified in the methodology with the heading 'NON-DISCLOSURE ROUTE'.

Cross-checking

Throughout the methodologies, scoring criteria will link back to the organization's score or response in related questions. In some instances, the achievement of points in a question will be a pre-requisite to be awarded any points in another question; whilst in other instances the achievement of points in a question will be worth a point(s) in another question. Cross-checking is used as a scoring mechanism to ensure consistency in an organization's response.

To note, in the Supplier Engagement Assessment methodology cross checks may also refer to points awarded in the CDP Full Corporate Scoring methodology 2026. For further details on how those points may be awarded please refer to the CDP Full Corporate Scoring methodology 2026 via the 'How to Disclose' webpage with links to the [CDP Portal](#).

Other, please specify

Many questions allow for responders to select 'Other, please specify', and provide a specification to be provided. CDP carries out automated checks of these fields, to ensure that the field has been completely legitimately. The input of the following characters into these fields will be treated as the equivalent to a blank cell.

Invalid characters				
.	,	:	;	"
,	\	`	°	'
;	-	-	—	—
—	—	—	/	
~	*	—	\	_
?	...	()	[
]	{	}	<	>
±	≤	≥		

Assessing an integrated questionnaire

Through CDP Full Corporate questionnaire, organizations can provide data on multiple environmental issues in a single disclosure, encouraging more holistic and balanced reporting.

Although organizations can now provide data on multiple environmental issues within a single disclosure, only the Climate change issue area will be scored in 2026 for the SEA. The approach to disclosing and scoring data in questions that request data on multiple environmental issues differs depending on the structure of each question and the requested data.

Many questions within integrated modules require organizations to disclose data for each environmental issue area in separate rows. For the SEA in 2026, only data provided in the 'Climate change' row will be assessed for scoring.

In some questions, organizations must specify which rows of data are relevant to each environmental issue area by selecting the relevant environmental issues in a defined column. Here, only rows with 'Climate change' selected as relevant in the defined column will be scored. If organizations fail to select 'Climate change' in the column for any rows, their response may not be considered in SEA scoring, and they may receive a penalty for non-disclosure in the question.

In other questions within integrated modules, all the data provided is assessed for all environmental issue areas that are being disclosed on. These are questions where an organization's response is likely to be consistent for all environmental issue areas and therefore reduces the reporting burden from reporting to multiple issue areas simultaneously. In these questions, all content provided will be scored for the SEA, as part of the 'Climate change' environmental issue area.

In some cases, organizations may be presented with questions that are integrated for multiple environmental issue areas, but that only apply to a subset of environmental issues depending on the questionnaire routes taken for each issue. In these questions, rows or drop-down options for all relevant environmental issue areas will still appear but may be locked and not count towards the organization's response. This is common in follow-up questions that request additional detail to be provided for each environmental issue area for which a specific activity is undertaken. The scoring criteria specify how points are allocated for Climate change in such questions; either through specific routes in the methodology (labelled 'Not applicable routes') or through eligibility criteria that require the relevant Climate change to be reported on to be awarded points for a scoring level.

Summary of Supplier Engagement Assessment scoring per scoring category

Risks Management Processes

Question(s): 1.24, 2.2, 2.2.1, 2.2.2

- 1.24 Has your organization mapped its value chain?
- 2.2 Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?
- 2.2.1 Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?
- 2.2.2 Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Rationale:

A key element of supplier engagement is mapping of the organizations value chain as well as having a process in place identifying, assessing and managing environmental risks. Coverage of upstream value chain in this process is also important for managing climate change in their supply chain.

Summary of scoring methodology:

Value Chain mapping

Full points are awarded for organisations who have already mapped their value chain or are in the process of doing so. Partial points are available for planning to in the next two year. If there is no plan to do so there are no points available.

Process for dependencies and impacts

If an organization already has a process in place for identifying, assessing and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts then full points are awarded. Partial points are awarded for planning to develop a process in the next two years. If there is no plan to then no points are available.

Process for risks

If an organization has a process in place for identifying, assessing and managing both risks and opportunities full points are available. If it is only opportunities or only risks, partial points are available, similarly if there is a plan to assess these in the next two years there are partial points available. There is also incentivisation here to complete the information in these questions. The process for identifying, assessing and managing risks scoring incentivises disclosing as completely as possible on the process in place in addition to covering the upstream value chain.

Governance and Business Strategy

Question(s): 4.5, 4.5.1, 5.2

- 4.5 Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?
- 4.5.1 Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).
- 5.2 Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

Rationale:

Whether an organization provides staff monetary incentives for supplier engagement is a simple indicator of the internal importance placed on company's approach to managing climate change in their supply chain.

Summary of the scoring methodology:

Incentives

Question 4.5.1 solely focuses on monetary incentives. Points are awarded for reporting details on the monetary incentives related to supplier engagement on climate change. Full points are awarded for reporting that monetary are related to the following:

- Buyers/purchasers are entitled to receive benefits for the management of climate change issues;
- Chief Purchasing Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Procurement manager are entitled to receive benefits for the management of climate change issues;
- Incentivized performance indicators for environmental criteria included in supply chain engagement and/or supplier compliance with environmental requirements.

Transition plans

Full points are awarded for already having a publicly available transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world and a well-defined feedback mechanism in place, to track progress or a plan to introduce one if applicable to the organization. Transition plans that meet all of the 2026 CDP Full Corporate Management scoring criteria will be awarded full points.

Supplier Engagement

Question(s): 5.11, 5.11.1, 5.11.2, 5.11.5, 5.11.6, 5.11.7

- 5.11 Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?
- 5.11.1 Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?
- 5.11.2 Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?
- 5.11.5 Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?
- 5.11.6 Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.
- 5.11.7 Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Rationale:

Through these questions, organizations are able to demonstrate the comprehensiveness and impact of their supplier engagement strategy in deeper context.

Summary of the scoring methodology:

Value chain engagement

It is important to note to achieve a score above a D companies must indicate they engage with suppliers in 5.11. Points will be awarded for engaging with suppliers on climate change.

Supplier assessment and classification

Points are awarded for assessing the impacts and/or dependencies of suppliers on the environment where the criteria for assessment is based on contribution to the supplier-related scope 3 emissions. Additional to this, responders need to have assessed the percentage of tier one suppliers that meet their thresholds for having a substantive impact and/or dependency on the environment.

Prioritization of engagement

- If there is a prioritization structure in place organizations are encouraged to do so in line with criteria classifying supplies as having substantive dependencies and /or impacts relating to climate change.
- If there is no prioritization structure in place it is encouraged in the scoring that this should be the case only if the organization is already engaging with all suppliers.

Environmental requirements for suppliers

- For climate-related requirements full points are awarded for indicating that climate-related requirements are included in supplier contracts. Partial points are available if suppliers have to meet climate-related requirements but these are not included in supplier contracts or if there are plans to introduce them within the next two years. Points will not be awarded if there are no plans to introduce these within the next two years. Additional points are available if as an organization there is a policy in place for addressing non-compliance of suppliers.
- Further points are available if setting a science-based emissions reduction target is part of the climate-related requirement. Partial points are available for other relevant environmental requirements. Additional points are available for having a mechanism for monitoring compliance with the disclosed environmental requirement as well as having a response to supplier non-compliance with the environmental requirement.

Details on supplier engagement

- Points are awarded for reporting the number of suppliers that your company engages with and for

reporting the proportion of your total spend or Scope 3 emissions that the suppliers represent.

- The SEA awards points based on the type of engagement reported, and its associated percentage of spend or percentage of Scope 3 emissions covered. The type of engagement is judged on a maturity spectrum where points will be limited in relation to spend:

Spend or Scope 3 emissions	Capacity building	Financial incentives	Information collection	Innovation & collaboration
None OR Unknown	0/2	0/2	0/3	0/2
Less than 1% OR 1-25% OR 26-50%	1/2	1/2	1/3	1/2
51-75% OR 76-99% OR 100%	2/2	2/2	2/3	2/2

Scope 3 Emissions

Question(s): 7.8 and 7.9

- *7.8 Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.*
- *7.9 Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.*

Rationale:

Reporting upstream Scope 3 emissions demonstrates an organization's ability to measure the climate change-related impact of their supply chain.

Third party verification of Scope 3 data indicates that an organization has reported accurate scope 3 emissions data. Providing confidence in an organization's ability to measure the climate change-related impact of their supply chain.

Summary of the scoring methodology:

Scope 3 Emissions

Points are awarded for each category of evaluated and reported Scope 3 emissions (upstream). The scoring criteria for this question is similar to the Scope 3 scoring criteria in the 2025 Full Corporate Questionnaire - Climate change, with one important differentiation – a company can only achieve full points for 'Purchased Goods and Services' if the category is reported as 'Relevant, calculated' and both columns 'Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)' and 'Emissions calculation methodology' are completed.

Scope 3 Emissions verification

Points are awarded if for Scope 3 emissions there is third-party verification, or an assurance process is in place. No points are awarded if there is no third-party verification or assurance process in place or if there is no emissions data provided.

Points are awarded for verification of Scope 3 emissions that meet CDP Full Corporate Leadership scoring criteria.

Targets

Question(s): 7.53; 7.53.1; 7.53.2; 7.54; 7.54.2

- 7.53 Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?
- 7.53.1 Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.
- 7.53.2 Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.
- 7.54 Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?
- 7.54.2 Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Rationale:

Companies that establish an upstream Scope 3 emissions reduction target along with a supplier engagement target demonstrate awareness of emissions in their supply chain.

Summary of the scoring methodology:

Within the CDP Corporate Climate change questionnaire organizations are asked about their absolute emissions targets (7.53.1) and their intensity emissions targets (7.53.2). There are two things to note about the scoring of these two questions:

- Where an organization has both absolute and intensity targets, all targets will be scored and the question that has the most positive impact on the company's Supplier Engagement Assessment score will be recorded (either the question relating to an Absolute target or the question relating to an Intensity target).
- Targets which are set relative to a future "business as usual" (BAU) scenario are not eligible for points. To be eligible, targets must have a base year in or before the reporting year.

Within the Supplier Engagement Assessment methodology companies that do not report an emissions target will not be eligible for points in the associated section. Points are awarded based on the details reported for absolute or intensity targets as follows:

- Both absolute and intensity targets that have been approved by the Science Based Targets Initiative will be awarded points. Alternatively, absolute targets that meet CDP Full Corporate Leadership scoring criteria will be awarded points.
- Points are also awarded for reporting absolute or intensity targets regarding "Scope 3: Purchased goods & Services".
- Partial points are awarded for reporting absolute or intensity targets in any other upstream category.

Companies who do not have any emissions target or other climate-related targets will not be eligible for points in this section.

- Points are awarded for reporting an additional climate related target of the type 'Engaging with suppliers'.

Score Appeals

If a responding organization reviews their final and or/category scores and has evidence or believes evidence exists that indicates their response has not been evaluated correctly according to CDPs scoring methodology, they may initiate an appeal surrounding their score.

Responding organizations can appeal their score on this basis of individual scoring categories, or on the basis of individual essential criteria. Appeals must be based on factual evidence of being scored incorrectly – as much detail as possible should be provided as part of the appeal form. Speculative appeals based on disagreement with a score but with no evidence will not be considered valid.

Only information that was disclosed in the original submission will be considered as part of the appeal process. No new information provided in the appeal form will be considered. Further, the appeal process does not allow the responding organization to change between being scored for the Full or SME version of the questionnaire.

If a responding organization believes they are able to properly evidence their appeal, they may raise this with their local CDP contact in order to initiate the score appeal process. If you do not have a local CDP contact, please contact CDP through our [Help Center](#).

All appeals will be assessed by CDPs Scoring team, to assess if the response has been evaluated correctly against the scoring methodology. CDP will provide feedback for each scoring category/essential criteria, either:

- a) Explaining in detail why the response was scored correctly against the methodology
- or
- b) Explaining in detail why the response was not scored correctly against the methodology, and any change to final or category scores.

CDP will provide a response to appeals only after the appeal window has shut. All organizations that submit an appeal will receive the results of their appeal at the same time, irrespective of when they submitted their appeal. Given uncertainty surrounding the number of appeals received, it is not possible to provide a guaranteed date of when feedback will be made available. However, an expected processing date will be provided to all organizations who have submitted an appeal once the appeal submission window has closed.

CDP's decisions on scores made during the appeal process are final, unless otherwise informed. CDP reserves the right to examine the scoring of your entire response (not just any sections highlighted by the appealing organization) during the review process and reminds organizations that your score could increase or decrease during the appeal process.

Disclaimer Surrounding Scores

The CDP score is based on activities and positions disclosed in the CDP response. The score is not a comprehensive metric of an organization's level of sustainability or 'green-ness', or a specific metric on the environmental footprint, but rather an indication of the level of action taken by the organization to assess and manage its impacts on, and from, environmental related issues during the reporting year.

CDP's 2026 scoring methodologies have been published to indicate to responding organizations how scores will be awarded this year. CDP reserves the right to make adjustments to the criteria or weighting of questions before and throughout the scoring period, based on emerging risk management strategies and best practice, quality of response data or scoring outcomes.

Feedback and Support

If you would like information about receiving feedback on your score, make suggestions about CDP's scoring methodologies, or ask a general question, please contact the [CDP Help Center](#).

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Does CDP publish SEA scores?

SEA scores are private and will not be published on CDP's website or in any reports, unless a company receives an A. SEAs based on responses submitted in 2026, will not be shared with requesting capital markets, but will be shared with requesting customers if submitted to them.

Do companies need to complete a separate questionnaire/answer additional questions to be assessed for the SEA?

No. CDP uses a company's existing response to the CDP Full corporate questionnaire to assess selected questions governance, business strategy, targets, dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities processes, scope 3 emissions, and scope 3 emissions (supplier engagement). The SEA methodology is available on CDP's guidance page.

Who receives a SEA score?

CDP provides a Supplier Engagement Assessment (SEA) to all companies who respond to the CDP Full corporate questionnaire. To be eligible companies must respond by the deadline. Companies who respond to the SME version of the questionnaire are not eligible.

Why can companies get a different score for their value chain engagement category in the CDP Full Corporate Scoring methodology for Climate change to the SEA?

The CDP Climate change score - and its categories – are not comparable to the SEA. The value chain engagement section within the CDP Climate change score focuses on the answers to questions in module 5 – on both upstream and downstream value chain engagement. The SEA focuses on upstream only using answers not just on module 5, but also on other areas such as governance and the overall CDP score.

The reason for this difference is because of the purposes and aims of each score. The value chain engagement section category score concentrates on a company's upstream and downstream value chain strategy as part of the wider CDP Climate change methodology – it is one part of wider indicators used to inform stakeholders and assess benchmark companies on their overall approach to managing climate change. However, the SEA is developed to highlight supplier engagement leaders and help companies benchmark their impact on cascading action to their supply chain and necessarily must include an element of a company's wider approach to climate change, in order to cascade this action effectively.

'The SEA was created partly because the CDP Climate change score doesn't provide companies with a communicable score about their supplier engagement achievements and therefore does not enable recognition of the work companies are specifically doing to tackle supply chain emissions. Many companies are still not taking the action needed beyond their direct operations and so the methodology to identify SEA leaders is much simpler, though like the other CDP methodologies we plan to modify and tighten the SEA methodology over time to meet the needs of its user and drive the appropriate corporate behaviours.

How does the SEA relate to Financial Institutions?

The SEA focuses on key areas of a companies response in relation to their engagement with their suppliers. All organizations responding to the full version of the CDP Climate change request will be evaluated including Financial Institutions. Whilst supplier engagement is a key area for all disclosing companies, it is important to note that the most critical engagements of financial institutions are through their portfolios, with their investees and clients, which are not captured by the supplier-focused SEA methodology.