


Restatements

CDP Corporate Questionnaire



Version

Version number	Release / Revision date	Revision summary
1.0	2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• First published version.
2.0	2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Formatting and question numbers updated to align with the 2018 CDP climate change questionnaire.
2.1	April 6, 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minor wording updates.
2.2	January, 7 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Revisions to clarify how to correctly report year-on-year changes in emissions in C7.9a after structural changes
3.0	January 21, 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated to align with the 2022 CDP climate change questionnaire and new question C6.5a on scope 3 restatements.
4.0	January 17, 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated to align with the 2023 CDP climate change questionnaire and the increase to five restatement years.
5.0	June 28, 2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Updated to align with the 2024 CDP corporate questionnaire
6.0	April 20, 2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minor edits

Copyright © 2026 CDP Worldwide

All rights reserved. Copyright in this document is owned by CDP Worldwide, a registered charity (number 1122330) and a company limited by guarantee, registered in England (number 05013650).

Contents

Version	2
Contents	3
About this technical note	4
1. Restating emissions data in the CDP Corporate Questionnaire	4
2. Restatements, and comparing gross global emissions with the previous year in 7.10.1 5	
2.1 Case 1: Insufficient data leads to the exclusion of the acquired company in the first year after change	5
2.2 Case 2: Sufficient data provided in first year after change	6

About this technical note

A company that has previously responded to CDP's Corporate Questionnaire may wish in the current reporting period to restate historical emissions data. While this is not strictly necessary, restatements can be warranted in some cases. Below is an outline of a few cases in which a company may wish to restate historical emissions data, as well as when it is best practice to do so.

1. Restating emissions data in the CDP Corporate Questionnaire

A prerequisite for a meaningful emissions data comparison is a consistent data set over time, or in other words, comparison of like with like over time. Therefore, previous year emissions recalculation and restatement may be necessary when structural changes (such as acquisitions or divestments) occur in the company that change the inventory boundary.

To make restatements, the responder must first enter the current reporting year (i.e. the latest/most recent 12-month period for which data is reported) followed by the restatement periods in question 1.4. To do this, you are required to report the dates of those reporting periods in 1.4 by selecting "Yes" in column 3 "Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years". Then select in the following columns how many years of emissions data you will be providing for Scope 1, Scope 2, and Scope 3 (limited to five years). Once the question is saved, the relevant Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions data questions (7.6 and 7.7) will present additional rows in the new disclosure Portal for your additional specified reporting periods, and the Scope 3 emissions restatement question (7.8.1) will be presented with a row for each of the specified Scope 3 reporting periods in 1.4. When entering restatements please use the "Methodological details" column in questions 7.6 and 7.7, and the column "Comment" in question 7.8.1 for more details.

Financial services companies who indicate in 1.4 that they will be providing scope 3 emissions data for past reporting years, and then in 12.1 indicate that they calculate their portfolio emissions, will also be presented with 12.1.2, where they may disclose or restate their portfolio emissions for up to five previous years.

Verification of restated emissions should be reported in question 13.1.1.

2. Restatements, and comparing gross global emissions with the previous year in 7.10.1

In question 7.10.1, respondents are asked how their gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to the previous year. The comparison of this year's figure should be made with the total of Scope 1 and Scope 2 figures reported in the previous year unless restatements are made in 7.6 and 7.7. The cases presented below illustrate the correct ways to compare emissions over time.

2.1 Case 1: Insufficient data leads to the exclusion of the acquired company in the first year after change

Company A merges with/acquires Company B in Year X but excludes Company B from reporting boundary. (From the perspective of Company A):

Year	Reports	Restatement needed/possible?	When comparing with previous year in 7.10.1:
X	<p><u>Company A only reports as Company A</u></p> <p>Company A excludes Company B from their reporting boundary since the major structural change is recent, the transition has not fully taken place, and all necessary data cannot be gathered in time for reporting as a single entity (AB).</p>	<u>Not applicable</u>	Since Company B is excluded in Year X, Company A compares emissions figure in Year X with the one supplied by Company A in Year X - 1.
X + 1	<p><u>Company reports as Company AB</u></p> <p>Company A and Company B have successfully completed major structural change and have gathered all necessary data from both Year X and Year X+1. They can report as a single entity (AB) and can restate what their emissions were in Year X if they wish.</p>	<p><u>Yes</u></p> <p>Company AB may wish to restate data from Year X since it gathered the relevant data from last reporting period (Year X). It can restate data from Year X and effectively compare Year X with Year X+1.</p>	Since Company AB recalculated its data for Year X, Company AB uses the recalculated figure (the sum of restated Scope 1 and Scope 2 in "Past year 1" in 7.6 and 7.7) when comparing this year's emissions figures with last year's.

2.2 Case 2: Sufficient data provided in first year after change

Company A merges with/acquires Company B in Year X and includes it in its reporting boundary. Company A has two options, and the comparison of emissions should be done accordingly. (From the perspective of Company A):

Year	Reports	Restatement needed/possible?	When comparing with previous year in 7.10.1:
X	<p><u>Company reports as Company AB in first year of change</u></p> <p>Company A and Company B merge in Year X and can gather all necessary data in time for reporting as a single entity (AB).</p>	<p><u>Option 1: no restatement</u></p>	<p>In Year X, Company AB compares emissions figure to Company A emissions figure in Year X-1. Company AB reports the merger/acquisition as the reason that triggered the significant change in emissions figure in 7.10.1.</p>
		<p><u>Option 2: Company AB restates its emissions in year X-1</u></p>	<p>Since Company AB recalculated its data for Year X-1, Company AB uses the recalculated figure (the sum of restated Scope 1 and Scope 2 in “Past year 1” in 7.6 and 7.7) when comparing this year’s emissions figures with last year’s. Any change in emissions reported in 7.10.1 will be due to reasons other than structural changes (i.e. merger/acquisition).</p>
X + 1	<p><u>Company reports as Company AB</u></p> <p>Company AB continue to report as single entity.</p>	<p><u>No*</u></p> <p>Company AB could gather all the necessary reporting data following the major structural change. They have no need to recalculate since they can already compare Year X and Year X+1</p>	<p>In Year X+1, Company AB compares emissions figures with the figures that Company AB provided in Year X.</p>

* Note, that the company has an option to restate emissions in year X-1 if they did not do it in year X. Emissions for up to 5 past reporting years can be restated in 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8.1.