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Preface

Bernard of Pisa (c.1080s–1153) was one of the most surprising of medieval popes. A native of Pisa, he was a canon of the cathedral chapter and vice-dominus of his archdiocese before entering Clairvaux as a monk in 1138, and becoming abbot of the new Cistercian foundation of Tre Fontane, near Rome, in 1140. He was elected to the papal throne in 1145 as a relative unknown at a time of crisis, and spent much of his pontificate away from Rome. As the first Cistercian monk to become pope, his relationship with his former abbot Bernard of Clairvaux has often been seen as the keynote of his pontificate, and Bernard’s preaching of the Second Crusade has tended to overshadow Eugenius’s role in the design and execution of that expedition. Yet his years as pope saw important developments in the relationship between the papal office and royal authority, in the role of the papacy as a judicial office, and in papal crusading theory. They were also critical years in the history of Rome, and of the Cistercian congregation.

The studies presented in this book consider the many facets of Eugenius as pope, exploring his oversight of judicial practice; theological developments in his pontificate; his treatment of Cistercian monasteries and of constitutional developments in his order; his relationships with Crown and Church in France and Spain, and with Rome and the Romans; his work in building up the papal states, and his view of the crusades in both the Baltic and the Mediterranean. Together these essays offer a new view not only of an under-appreciated pope but also of the institution he headed and of its place in a rapidly changing European society.
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Introduction

Andrew Jotischky

Fonnesberg-Schmidt, Iben and Andrew Jotischky (ed.), *Pope Eugenius III (1145–1153). The First Cistercian Pope*. Amsterdam University Press, 2018

DOI: 10.5117/9789462985964/intro

Pope Eugenius III was the unexpected choice of the cardinals at an election held during a period of intense crisis. His predecessor, Lucius II, died from wounds sustained when in February 1145 he led an army against the commune of Rome in order to re-establish papal control over the city. The expedition failed, and the cardinals had to withdraw to the monastery of St Caesarius to hold the election.\(^1\) In Bernard, who took the name Eugenius, they chose a man with a complex past. As *vicedominus* or episcopal representative in the archbishopric of Pisa from 1133, Eugenius had experience both as a cathedral canon and as a clerical administrator. In 1138, however, he underwent a conversion to the reformed monastic life of Clairvaux. Only two years later he was sent back to Italy to take charge of the re-foundation of the abandoned former Greek Orthodox monastery of SS. Vincenzo e Anastasio as a Cistercian house. The restoration of a ruinous monastery was a demanding task both physically and psychologically. The pioneer monks suffered from attacks of malaria, and although the restoration had been undertaken at the request of Pope Innocent II they did not enjoy the protection or support they had expected. Contrasting this difficult experience with his initial entry into Cistercian life, Eugenius looked back on his brief period at Clairvaux as time spent ‘in the midst of the trees of Paradise’.\(^2\)

Eugenius III’s spiritual mentor, Bernard of Clairvaux, professed himself appalled at the election. The cardinals had brought ‘a man crucified’ back into the world – could they find nobody better suited to leadership than a man in hiding from the world whom they had to drag from his rustic

---


pursuits to the papal throne? Eugenius himself appears to have shared
Bernard’s surprise and sense of apprehension, to judge from the letter he
wrote soon after his election. Other contemporaries appeared to concur,
to judge from Boso’s biography in the continuation of the Liber pontificalis.
No record that survives explains why the cardinals settled on Eugenius
rather than, for example, the most senior cardinal and the man eventually
elected in 1153 as Anastasius IV, Conrad, cardinal-bishop of Sabina, who
as an experienced Roman might have been a more obvious choice in the
circumstances. It is possible that Eugenius’s status as a Cistercian, or as a
spiritual protégé of Bernard of Clairvaux, carried some weight at a time of
 crisis; equally, Eugenius combined the attractions of monastic spirituality
with previous administrative experience in Pisa, an important papal ally. In
any case, the immediate situation was daunting. The commune refused to
recognize the election unless the new pope in turn recognized the Senate
that it had formed and gave up papal claims to control of Rome. Conse-
quently the enthronement took place not at St Peter’s but at the monastery
of Farfa, on 18 February 1145.

One of the reasons given by Bernard of Clairvaux for his opposition to
Eugenius’ election was his doubt as to whether his protégé was up to the
job. Could he, Bernard wondered, exercise sufficient firmness in fulfilling
the role of the apostolate? This collection of essays is, in part, an attempt to
answer that question by examining Eugenius’s approach to the task to which
he had been called across a range of activities. The verdict on Eugenius
III’s pontificate has been, it is fair to say, rather mixed. Famously, John of
Salisbury, calling upon his memories as a papal clerk during Eugenius’s
pontificate, remembered him as a pope who trusted too much in his own
judgment, did not rate the advice of his counsellors highly enough, and
whose suspicious nature meant that he was inclined to disbelieve most
people on principle. This was the only reason John could suggest for the
revoking of many of Eugenius’s judgments by his successors. Yet on one
occasion at least, John praised Eugenius’s conduct of a legal case in which he
displayed a combination of discernment and emotional strength. Hearing

4 JL, ii, 21; Eugenius III, Epistolae, PL, clxxx, 1015.
5 Boso, Vita Eugenii, 386; see C. Egger, ‘Curial Politics and Papal Power: Eugenius III, the Curia,
and contemporary theological controversy’, Ch. 2, below.
7 For fuller discussion, see J. Doran, ‘Eugenius III and the Roman Commune’, Ch. 9, below.
9 JS HistPont, 51, c.21.
the divorce suit of Hugh, count of Molise, the pope's natural suspicion led him to dismiss the testimony of witnesses who had been bribed, and to resolve the case by an eloquent personal appeal to the count. Caught up in the intensity of the moment, Eugenius was prepared to risk potential personal ridicule in order to resolve a legal dispute through the force of his character. Getting up from his throne, he prostrated himself before the count, his mitre falling from his head to the floor as he did so, and begged him to abandon his suit for divorce and to be absolved of any misconduct of his own in the matter. The count and his wife were duly reconciled, and Eugenius was seen by all those present to have resolved the situation through an act of charitable mercy rather than strict application of the law.10

Such shafts of light illuminating the process of governance and administration remind us of personal qualities that are for the most part hidden from us. Over a thousand letters were issued by the papal chancery during Eugenius's eight years on the papal throne. Although many are routine, some provide insights into his conception of papal office, and a few are of a personal nature. Contemporary observers of the papacy – many of them critical – leave no doubt about the increase in papal business in the middle of the twelfth century. Much of the time of any pope was taken up with hearing and judging cases in law. The role of the pope in such cases was to act as ultimate arbiter: to hear arguments from witnesses and petitioners on both sides, to consult with his own legal experts in the Curia and to give a verdict based on his judgment. Bernard of Clairvaux, to whom the whole process was inimical, thought that it consisted of listening to learned men tell lies and trying to find some truth buried beneath their eloquence.11 The sheer variety of such cases was daunting. Many of them concerned disputes between bishops over jurisdictional rights and possessions, or between bishops and monasteries claiming exemption from episcopal oversight. Verdicts were given, but even a papal judgment was no guarantee that it would be followed, and enforcement might be difficult especially in distant parts of Christendom. Cases could reappear before the Curia years after initial verdicts had been given. Eugenius's pontificate saw a marked increase in the range and scope of papal justice. In part this was the continuation of a trend that had begun in the 1130s, but it must also have reflected Eugenius's own conception of his office. Anne Duggan's

10 JS HistPont, 81–2, c.41. On this case, see also John Noonan's description of John's characterization of Eugenius as 'a kind of Dostoevskyan saint': 'Was Gratian Approved at Ferentino?', BMCL, 6 (1976), 19; G. Ladner, 'Greatness in Mediaeval History', Catholic Historical Review, 50 (1964), 21.
11 Bernard, 'De consideratione', 408 (i.10); Bernard, On Consideration, 44.
comprehensive essay on Eugenius and the law shows that, in this respect, Eugenius was a man of his times; that in Italy especially the law had always been a prominent feature of civic administration; and that the increased handling of cases by the Curia was a mark of the ever-expanding intellectual horizons of the papacy. As she points out, Eugenius, a Pisan, was familiar with a thoroughly developed system of civic law; nor was he in any way daunted by the professionalization of legal practice that was so evident in mid-century, and which made Bernard of Clairvaux so uncomfortable. Eugenius understood the importance of developments that were already well under way when he became pope, and the need for the papacy to grasp opportunities to exercise authority by deploying the weapons of the law and of legal learning.

In describing Eugenius to the cardinals as a rustic whom they had dragged away from his mattock and hoe, Bernard of Clairvaux was appealing to the image of the ideal monk as a man of wisdom rather than intellect; of simplicity rather than of deep knowledge. Yet as pope he had to deal with troubling questions of theology. Christoph Egger shows how he negotiated the treacherous paths of theological orthodoxy between a multitude of errors or potential errors born from the fast-developing theological cursus in the schools. The sojourn in France and Germany in 1147–8 brought Eugenius into contact with two quite different examples of the problem: the case of Gilbert of Poitiers that came before him at Reims in 1148, and the request from the mystic Hildegard of Bingen for ratification of her writings. In the latter case, Eugenius had to evaluate the quality and nature of a body of work unfamiliar to him. As Egger shows, he did so in a manner that echoes in some respects the techniques of legal judgment: sending for a representative body of her work, having it read in consistory and taking advice from experts (including the ubiquitous Bernard) before reaching a verdict. The case of Gilbert was far more fraught, and here Eugenius had to deal with a cardinalate that resented the imputation of interference from the ecclesia gallicana in theological matters, and that required reassurance that the case of a suspect theologian would not result in changes to the creed.

It is tempting to assume that we can know something of Eugenius through his close relationship with Bernard of Clairvaux, a relationship documented by a mutual exchange of letters as well as Bernard’s treatise De consideratione, written for the pope as he grappled with the burden of his office. As Bernard himself advised, in order to understand the office-holder, you must first strip away titles and trappings and see the person himself.12

Bernard’s attitude to episcopal office, indeed, lent particular weight to the moral and spiritual qualities of candidates above all. Yet Bernard’s view of the Church and Christian society can sometimes appear to overshadow Eugenius III’s pontificate; he is, in many ways, inseparable from Eugenius’s occupancy of the throne of St Peter. Eugenius was drawn into existing problems in which Bernard had already immersed himself, such as the case of the disputed election to the archbishopric of York. As Emilia Jamroziak demonstrates in her essay, Eugenius’s involvement in the question was coloured by the mode of his introduction to the affair. In the same letter Bernard first congratulated Eugenius, in ambivalent terms, on his election, then, having established the nature of their newly reversed relationship as father and son, launched into his own version of the problematic election and the conduct of the archbishop, William Fitzherbert. Dependence on Bernard’s views seems to be the hallmark of Eugenius’s own attitude to the question.

Dependence on Bernard is often, likewise, seen as a striking feature of the preaching of the Second Crusade, which Eugenius proclaimed in the letter _Quantum praedecessores_ in December 1145. Eugenius’s approach to the launching of the crusade is, inevitably, contrasted with that of Urban II in 1095, at least in respect of Eugenius’s delegation of preaching to Bernard. Whereas Urban is associated indelibly with the First Crusade because of the dramatic narrative accounts of his preaching written by a group of chroniclers after the success of the crusade, the military failure of the Second Crusade serves only to expose the absence, in retrospect, of such a decisive moment. In fact, Eugenius’s approach reflected both the development of holy war practices in the West since 1095 and the more business-like setup of the papal office fifty years after Urban. Eugenius’s crusade was more centrally planned than Urban’s, and, as Jonathan Phillips demonstrates, the pope’s role in its multi-directional design and execution was critical. The Second Crusade featured a number of innovations, from the issue of the bull to the involvement of kings that reveals a considered and creative approach to holy war. For Eugenius, the threat to Frankish settlers in the East posed by the loss of Edessa in 1144 opened wider questions about the defence of Christendom and the meaning both of Urban’s role in 1095 and of subsequent developments in the defence of the Holy Land.

---

It was in Eugenius's pontificate that the papacy for the first time issued crusading privileges for war against the pagans of the Baltic region. Iben Fonnesberg-Schmidt argues that Eugenius was, in a general sense, reactive rather than proactive in adopting this theatre of war under the crusading formula of *Quantum praedecessores*, but that he formed his own distinctive idea about the value of the Wendish expedition. The fact that Eugenius appears to have endorsed actions already taken by Bernard of Clairvaux in offering a crusade indulgence do not necessarily deny Eugenius agency in the planning of the Wendish Crusade; he personally met representatives of the crusaders in March 1147, before the issue of *Divini dispensatione*, the bull authorizing the expedition.\(^\text{15}\) Although undeniably influenced by Bernard, Eugenius was capable of understanding and articulating specific regional concerns within the wider framework of crusading that he was developing. This is also clear from contemporary remarks on the conduct of the crusade in the Iberian peninsula, where Eugenius encouraged the intervention of crusaders from northern Europe.\(^\text{16}\) Indeed, his actions in the period 1145–7 stamped papal authority over ‘the business of the Cross’; an authority it would never lose.

The preaching and preparations for the crusade took Eugenius to France. This journey was in itself a major undertaking involving administrative, liturgical, and diplomatic complexities, here examined in detail for the first time by Anne Duggan. France provided the papal Curia with a place of refuge between March 1147 and May 1148, but it was important to be able to present the French sojourn in a positive light rather than simply as an escape from troubles in Rome. The council over which Eugenius presided at Reims in 1148 was thus an opportunity to exercise personal authority over the French Church at a time when the king was absent from the kingdom on crusade. As Pascal Montaubin demonstrates, the papacy was the Capetian kingdom’s protector during this period, a position that reflects the relationship of trust that Eugenius had established with Louis VII, Abbot Suger of Saint-Denis, and other high clergy since the issue of *Quantum praedecessores*. Eugenius was sensitive enough to realize that Suger should be left in effective control over most affairs, but his presence in France allowed him to consecrate new churches, to issue privileges, and to preside as judge over difficult cases in law. His continued concern for Capetian affairs is shown by the solicitude he showed to Louis and Eleanor of Aquitaine in trying to patch up their

\(^{15}\) For the title, see below Ch. 5, n. 52.

\(^{16}\) D. Smith, ‘A Golden Rose and the Deaf Asp that Stoppeth her Ears: Eugenius III and Spain’, below, Ch. 8.
failing marriage when they passed through Italy on return from the East in the autumn of 1149. John of Salisbury claimed that Eugenius loved more the Church in France than in any other kingdom of Christendom; certainly his pontificate cemented a relationship between Capetians and the papacy that was to have an enduring legacy.17

Involvement with ‘national’ Churches could only be fruitful where a good relationship existed with the Crown. The situation faced by Eugenius in the Iberian peninsula was entirely different from France owing to the fluid frontiers created by the Reconquista. The primacy of the archbishopric of Toledo had been recognized by Urban II in 1088 and by Eugenius’s immediate predecessor as recently as 1144, but, as Damian Smith explains, the political implications of this, implying as they did recognition of the primacy of León-Castile, were by the 1140s no longer acceptable to other Christian rulers in the peninsula. The archbishop of Braga resisted formal recognition of Toledan primacy until 1150, while other prelates from bishoprics outside the Castilian political orbit found a variety of strategies to avoid such recognition. Eugenius’s response to the problem was not invariably the same, and in the case of Pamplona he was unable to prevent the bishop from stalling for time.

Closer to home, Eugenius was eventually able to enforce his authority within Rome. The inauspicious start to his pontificate, and the need to rule from outside Rome for much of the time, can lead to an evaluation of Eugenius as a weak pope. John Doran, in the last essay he wrote before his tragically early death, argues the contrary: that Eugenius’s consummate political skills allowed him to understand and to deal with the two besetting Roman problems, namely the commune and the city prefecture. Doran’s claim that without Eugenius the pontificate of Innocent III would not have been possible is based on an appreciation of Eugenius’s skill in compromising with the leadership of the commune so as to allow the restoration of the prefecture but in a limited capacity. Eventually Eugenius was able to use the Senate to dominate the administration of the city. Moreover, Eugenius used his periods of absence from Rome to establish papal control over the Roman patrimony. Brenda Bolton demonstrates how Eugenius’s experience as vicedominus of Pisa before his election had already prepared him for the political and legal complexities of securing and managing large ecclesiastical estates, often in the face of determined secular lordship, and how he applied this experience in building up the papal patrimony. It is Eugenius,

17 JS HistPont, 62, c.30.
she argues, rather than any of his successors, who deserves to be seen as the father of the Papal States.

Eugenius had been a Cistercian monk at the time of his election for much less time than he had been a priest, cathedral canon, and ecclesiastical administrator. Because he was the first Cistercian to become pope, however, his pontificate is inescapably seen in terms of his ‘Cistercianism’. Two essays in this volume discuss the pope’s involvement with his monastic congregation. Stuart Morgan’s examination of the privileges granted to the Cistercians between 1145 and 1153 finds that a subtle shift occurred under Eugenius in the relationship between Cistercian houses in England and episcopal authority, exemplified by the absence of the words referring to bishops in the clause ‘salva sedis apostolice auctoritate et diocesani episcopi’ in some instances. At the same time, Eugenius shared Bernard’s misgivings about privileges freeing monastic communities from episcopal oversight, and showed little inclination to use his authority to loosen such ties. In general, Eugenius’s pontificate saw the increasing tendency towards centralization within the congregation, especially after his reissue of the *Carta caritatis* in 1152. Discussing the Cistercian chapter-general of 1147, Clare Oglesby compares Eugenius’s approach to his own monastic brethren with that shown to two other new reforming congregations, the Gilbertines and the community founded by Stephen of Obazine. Siding with those who have dismissed Constance Berman’s argument against the existence of a chapter-general in that year, Oglesby shows that not only did it take place but that Eugenius’s role in the decision to absorb Obazine into the Cistercian congregation was critical. Equally, the decision not to absorb Gilbert of Sempringham’s communities owed much to Eugenius’s judgment that Gilbert should himself lead his distinctive monastic foundation.18

A little over one-third of all the letters emanating from the papal chancery in Eugenius’s reign were concerned with petitions from monasteries in respect of alleged encroachments on their possessions or rights. Two cases discussed by Andrew Jotischky from the kingdom of Jerusalem, that bear comparison with a celebrated dispute between the abbey of Vézelay and the bishopric of Autun, show Eugenius at work. Although he decided in favour of the Benedictine community of Notre-Dame de Josaphat in disputes with the archbishopric of Nazareth over presentations of clergy and tithes, it would be difficult to argue that he did so because as a monk

---

he was predisposed to side with monasteries. His judgment rested, rather, on the quality of the evidence submitted to him. Local considerations were also important. In so far as the judgment appears to contradict a ruling he later made against monks fulfilling parochial ministries, this probably reflected the needs of a Church on the frontier of Christendom where Latin parish clergy were scarce.

Eugenius’s judgment in the case of Gilbert of Poitiers may have been significant, as Christoph Egger observes, in demonstrating the increasing self-confidence of Eugenius’s cardinalate, perhaps at the same time serving to loosen Eugenius’s dependence on Bernard of Clairvaux. There are other clear signs that during his pontificate he either developed or was able to give voice to interests and concerns that were not previously apparent in his career. One of these is exemplified by his interest in the work of Anselm of Havelberg, the German bishop who, besides his important role in the Wendish Crusade, also had wide experience as a papal diplomat in the Greek Orthodox world. Perhaps as a result of his contact with Anselm, Eugenius sought Latin scholarly knowledge of Orthodox patristics, and Burgundio – like the pope, a Pisan – dedicated translations of John Chrysostom’s Homilies to him. Eugenius’s growing interest in the wider Christian world is also signalled in his reception of Armenian envoys in the winter of 1145, and his invitation to them to observe the celebration of a Pontifical High Mass.

It was as he was celebrating Mass on this occasion, Otto of Freising reports, that a halo-shaped sunbeam was clearly seen to frame the pope’s head. Even his most fervent admirers have not claimed sanctity for Eugenius, and it would be difficult to find one single outstanding achievement that characterized his pontificate. He struggled with many aspects of the papal office, and he did not succeed in every initiative. He failed to reconcile Louis VII with Eleanor, some of his judgments were reversed by his successors, and he was unable in the end to deal with Arnold of Brescia and the commune. Most signally, his crusading enterprise in the East was crowned with failure. Nevertheless, he showed himself more than capable of shouldering the burden that in 1145 his mentor doubted he could manage. He was a pope of wide accomplishments, of practical wisdom and personal integrity, and his pontificate saw the papacy develop significantly in its pursuit of progressive, centralized governance of the Church.

19 Duabus civitatibus, 360–3 (vii.32).
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