

DIGITAL SERVICES ACT - TRANSPARENCY REPORT

for Reporting Period ending

February 2025

1 Introduction

This transparency report has been prepared in accordance with Article 15 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, the Digital Services Act (DSA). It outlines our content moderation practices and enforcement decisions relating to specific product areas and aims to provide clear, accessible, and comprehensive information on how we manage and moderate content on our platform.

This report specifically covers moderation actions and procedures applied to the following products: Help Center and Messenger.

This report is part of our ongoing commitment to transparency, accountability, and compliance with the obligations set forth in the DSA.

Name of service provider	Intercom
Date of publication of the report	2025-04-16
Date of publication of the latest previous report	N/A
Starting date of reporting period	2024-02-17
Ending date of reporting period	2025-02-16

2 Orders received from EU Member State authorities

In accordance with Articles 9 and 10 of the DSA, this section provides information on orders received from competent authorities of EU Member States during the reporting period. These orders relate to illegal content and requests for information.

2.1 Orders to action illegal content from Member State authorities Median time to Median time to give Type of illegal content No. of **Member State** orders issuing order confirm receipt effect to the order received N/A N/A N/A **Animal Welfare** 0 N/A N/A N/A Consumer information 0 infringements N/A N/A N/A Cyber violence 0 N/A N/A N/A Data protection and privacy 0 violations N/A N/A N/A Illegal or harmful speech 0 N/A N/A N/A Intellectual property | 0 infringements

2.1 Orders to action illegal content from Member State authorities Type of illegal content Median time to Median time to give No. of **Member State** issuing order confirm receipt effect to the order orders received N/A N/A N/A Negative effects on civic 0 discourse or elections N/A N/A N/A Protection of minors 0 N/A N/A N/A Risk for public security 0 Scams/fraud N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A Self-harm 0 N/A N/A N/A Unsafe, non-compliant 0 or prohibited products N/A N/A N/A Violence 0

2.1 Orders to action illegal content from Member State authorities						
Type of illegal content	No. of orders received	Member State issuing order	Median time to confirm receipt	Median time to give effect to the order		
Type of illegal content not specified by the authority	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
All other types	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

2.2 Order to provide information on recipients of the service from Member State authorities					
Type of illegal content	No. of orders received	Member State issuing order	Median time to inform authority issuing the order of its receipt	Median time to give effect to the order	
Animal Welfare	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Consumer information infringements	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Data protection and privacy violations	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Illegal or harmful speech	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Intellectual property infringements	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Negative effects on civic discourse or elections	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Protection of minors	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Risk for public security	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Scams/fraud	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Self-harm	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsafe, non-compliant or prohibited products	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Violence	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Type of illegal content not specified by the authority	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
All other types	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

3 User reports/notices

This section outlines the number and nature of reports submitted by users, other individuals and entities regarding the content they believe to be illegal or in breach of our platform's terms and conditions. Additionally, it outlines how we handle content moderation actions in response to user reports.

Reports received from users					
Report reason/Type of illegal content	No. of notices received	No. of notices received by trusted flaggers	No. of actions taken on foot of notices	No. processed solely by automated means	Median time to take action
Animal Welfare	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Reports received from users					
Report reason/Type of illegal content	No. of notices received	No. of notices received by trusted flaggers	No. of actions taken on foot of notices	No. processed solely by automated means	Median time to take action
Consumer information infringements	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Data protection and privacy violations (user reported spam)	36	0	Total: 13 On basis of law and contractual terms: 13 legitimate counts out of 36	0	14 hours 11 mins
Illegal or harmful speech	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Intellectual property infringements	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Reports received from users Report reason/Type of No. of No. of actions No. processed Median time to take No. of illegal content taken on foot of notices notices solely by action received received by notices automated trusted means flaggers N/A N/A Negative effects on civic N/A 0 0 discourse or elections N/A N/A N/A Protection of minors 0 0 N/A N/A N/A Risk for public security 0 0 Scams/fraud N/A N/A 0 **AWS Trust &** AWS Trust & Safety: 18 Safety: 2 N/A N/A N/A Self-harm 0 0 N/A N/A N/A Unsafe, non-compliant or 0 0 prohibited products N/A N/A N/A Violence 0 0

Reports received from user	Reports received from users				
Report reason/Type of illegal content	No. of notices received	No. of notices received by trusted flaggers	No. of actions taken on foot of notices	No. processed solely by automated means	Median time to take action
Type of illegal content not specified by the authority	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
All other types	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total:	36	18	Total: 15 On basis of law and contractual terms: 15	0	14 hours 11 mins

4 Content moderation engaged in at Intercom's own initiative

Intercom is committed to maintaining a safe, secure, and abuse-free environment for both our customers and their end users. As a provider of customer service software, our platform enables businesses to engage users via messaging, email, and integrations — all of which carry potential for abuse if not properly safeguarded.

We use a layered approach to content moderation, combining automated detection systems, internal admin tooling, and manual review processes.

This section provides an overview of content moderation actions undertaken on our own initiative, without the prompt of any legal obligation or third-party notice.

Moderation carried out on our own initiative includes both proactive detection using automated systems and manual review by trained content moderators. We are committed to ensuring that all staff involved in content moderation are equipped with the necessary skills, knowledge, and resources to carry out their responsibilities fairly, accurately, and in line with applicable laws and internal policies.

Own-initiative content moderation						
Type of illegal content or other violation of the AUP	No. of items moderated	No. of those items detected using solely automated means	Type of restriction applied			
Scams/fraud	• 13,815,183	 Inbound emails filtered: 13.8M Malicious links found: 13,630 Malicious uploads found: 1399 Articles blocked: 154 	 Visibility restriction Content removal Account suspension 			
Other type of violations of the	• Total spam complaints: 7326	Automated spam complaints: 7290	 Suspend platform permissions 			

platform's terms and conditions			
Total:	13,822,509	13,822,473	-

5 Qualitative description of the automated means

Intercom uses automated systems, including both in-house built and procured software for content moderation. These systems perform checks on various channels.

Precise purposes

The automated tools aim to protect outbound messaging activity, prevent abuse, maintain sender reputation, and ensure compliance with guidelines and legislation (e.g., email sending guidelines, CAN-SPAM compliance). Specific purposes include:

- Detecting suspicious activity and patterns during signup
- Evaluating content at creation and access across links, uploads and other user generated content
- Monitoring rate limits and usage thresholds for key features
- Scoring risk based on historical data

Indicators of accuracy and possible rate of error

Confidence in our tooling is strong, with a low false positive rate. However, customers can appeal with our support team if they believe there has been a false positive in our content moderation processes or anti-abuse tooling.

Safeguards applied

- Workspaces must pass an anti-abuse assessment before they can avail of many features, especially those which allow outbound communication.
- Rate limiting, content scanning and spam pattern detection are in place across many channels of our product.
- If content is not against our terms but a customer wishes to administrate their workspace according to their own terms, they can remove content or block users as they see fit.
- Manual overrides by Customer Support (CS) are available for automated blocks.
- Intercom employees (e.g: Customer Support) have tools to approve or deny reinstatement for blocked email attempts.

6 Complaints received

Number of complaints we received through our internal complaint-handling systems.

Internal complaints mechanism	
No. of complaints submitted	0
Basis of complaint	N/A
Decisions taken following a complaint	N/A
Median time to address complaint	N/A