



DFINITY



INTRODUCING DFINITY Crypto Techniques

V1 - 19th May 2017





Threshold Relay

Produce randomne unmanipulable

- Produce randomness that is incorruptible,
 - unmanipulable and unpredictable

Explain "unique deterministic" threshold signatures...

BACKGROUNDER

Usually a signer creates a signature on message data



AUTHORIZED SIGNER

Shared seed data ("message")

> 01010101010 11010111011 01010101010 10101001010

Signature

10101010101 00101101010 10010101010 10010101001







That can be verified using the signer's public key



AUTHORIZED SIGNER

If scheme unique and deterministic then only 1 correct signature



AUTHORIZED SIGNER

Shared seed data ("message")

> 01010101010 11010111011 01010101010 10101001010

Signature

10101010101 00101101010 10010101010 10010101001 THE SIGNATURE IS A RANDOM NUMBER, AS IF **IT WERE PREDICTABLE, THE SIGNATURE** SCHEME WOULD NOT BE SECURE





Unique and deterministic threshold signature scheme possible



THRESHOLD GROUP

Shared seed data ("message")

01010101010 11010111011 01010101010 10101001010

Signature

10101010101 00101101010 10010101010 10010101001





Whatever subset (threshold) of group sign still same signature



THRESHOLD GROUP

Shared seed data ("message")

01010101010 11010111011 01010101010 10101001010

Signature

10101010101 00101101010 10010101010 10010101001









1. A group identified by its threshold public key can only produce a single valid output signature on given seed data



2. A group is *fault tolerant* and *any subset of threshold size* can distribute signature shares for combination into the signature



- 2. A group is *fault tolerant* and *any subset of threshold size* can distribute signature shares for combination into the signature
- The resulting threshold signature can be validated by anyone who has the group's public key and the seed data

- 2. A group is *fault tolerant* and *any subset of threshold size* can distribute signature shares for combination into the signature
- The resulting threshold signature can be validated by anyone who has the group's public key and the seed data
- 4. The signature is a deterministically produced *random number*

- 2. A group is *fault tolerant* and *any subset of threshold size* can distribute signature shares for combination into the signature
- The resulting threshold signature can be validated by anyone who has the group's public key and the seed data
- 4. The signature is a deterministically produced random number
- 5. Given a group's public key and the input seed data the verifiers reach *immediate consensus* on the random number produced *without running a consensus protocol...*

A unique deterministic threshold signature scheme Boneh-Lynn-Shacham signatures (BLS)

TIP 1 Ben Lynn is a full time member of the DFINITY team

TIP 2 You don't need to understand this crypto to understand the remaining slides...

Parameters

- Two groups G₁, G₂ of prime order r
 (on two elliptic curves)
- Generators $Q_1 \in G_1, Q_2 \in G_2$
- Bi-linear pairing $e: G_1 \times G_2 \mapsto G_T$

Key Generation

- Secret key: $x \mod r$
- Public key: $P = xQ_2 \in G_2$

Signing

- Message hashed to $H(m) \in G_1$
- Signature: $s = xH(m) \in G_1$

Verification $e(s, Q_2) = e(H(m), P)$?

BLS, 2001 (Stanford University)

DECENTRALIZED VERIFIABLE RANDOM FUNCTION

Relay between groups to create a random sequence

A vast peer-to-peer broadcast network of mining clients...

Whose public keys are registered on a supporting ledger

PUBKEY 0x9a197453dcface85be2fbe32c8cc19bd30576ee1

DEPOSIT: 1000 DFN

PUBKEY 0x2b197453dcfabe85be2fbe31c8cc19bd30576ed0

DEPOSIT: 1000 DFN

Each client ("process") belongs to threshold groups

Whose public keys are also registered on the supporting ledger

GRP PUBKEY 0x1a7234e...

GRP PUBKEY 0xb6e1a33...

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

At each height in the sequence, there is a current group...

7 h

That signs the previous group's signature...

 $e(\sigma,g) = e(H(m),g^x)$

BLS Signature Scheme

Their random number selects the next group (the "relay")

$G^{h+1} = \mathcal{G}[\sigma^h \bmod |\mathcal{G}|]$

The relaying between groups is unmanipulable and infinite

This is what Threshold Relay looks like

The signature created at *h-1* selects the group at *h*

 $G^h = \mathcal{G}[\sigma^{h-1} \mod |\mathcal{G}|]$

Group members at h broadcast signature shares

h

BROADCAST

 $\{\sigma_p^h, p \in G^h\}$

Collect threshold of shares & create unique group signature...

h

SIGNATURE

 $\sigma^h = bls(\{\sigma_p^h, p \in G^h\})$

That selects the next group, ad infinitum

$G^{h+1} = \mathcal{G}[\sigma^h \bmod |\mathcal{G}|]$

h + 1

Producing a decentralized Verifiable Random Function (VRF)

$\sigma^{h-7}, \quad \sigma^{h-6}, \quad \sigma^{h-5}, \quad \sigma^{h-4}, \quad \sigma^{h-3}, \quad \sigma^{h-2}, \quad \sigma^{h-1}, \quad \sigma^{h} \implies$

Random number sequence is

Deterministic • Verifiable • Unmanipulable

Next value released on agreement a threshold of the current group... Unpredictable

No consensus protocol is necessary!

- method chosen at random
 - Donald Knuth

TLDR; such unmanipulable randomness is powerful...

Decentralized Protocols for "Scaling Out"

COMING UP...

PSP Blockchain Designs

Validation Towers

Validation Trees

USCIDs

Lottery Charging Lazy Validation

Decentralized Applications with advanced features

E.g. PHI autonomous loan issuance and crypto "fiat"

Validate anything... Fair financial exchanges...

Fault Tolerance Example

NETWORK METRICS

Processes	10 000
Faulty	3,000
(Correct)	7,000
Group Size	400
Threshold	201

Note: in practice the probability 30% of professionally run mining processes "just stop" is very low. Miners will generally deregister IDs to retrieve deposits when exiting.

$P(Faulty \ge 200)$

Probability that a sufficient proportion of the group are faulty that it cannot produce a signature

Calculated using hypergeometric probability e.g. http://www.geneprof.org/GeneProf/tools/ hypergeometric.jsp

> Note: groups should expire to thwart "adaptive" adversaries

Communications Overhead Example

MESSAGE FORMAT

Process ID	20 bytes
Signature share	32 bytes
Signature on comms	32 bytes
Total	84 bytes

In order for a group to produce a threshold signature, its members must broadcast "signature shares" on the message that can be combined. Here is a typical packet carrying a signature share.

GROUP SIZE

Group size	400
Threshold	201

COMMUNICATION OVERHEAD

Expected 22	KB
-------------	----

400 messages involve 34 KB of data transfer. However, only 17 KB (half the messages) are required to construct the signature. Thereafter signature shares are not relayed, so a more typical overhead is 22 KB.

How to setup groups...

BACKGROUNDER

Clients randomly assigned to groups by randomness (VRF)

Need setup threshold scheme within 1000 blocks using DKG...

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

Successful groups register their Public Key on the ledger

GRP PUBKEY

Setup is independent of blockchain progression...

GRP PUBKEY

And occurs asynchronously

New clients and groups activated in CURRENT_EPOCH + 2

In choosing the epoch length there are a number of considerations. For correctness, an epoch must minimally contain more blocks than may ever be present in a chain fork. However, since light clients only require key frame header copies, for reasons of efficiency, epochs may be much longer e.g. one week

Probabilistic Slot Protocol

Extend the Threshold Relay system to produce a more secure and faster (50X faster than Ethereum) blockchain

	σ^{h-1}	σ^h	
x8C2	P_{0x49B}	P_{0xC6A}	
x398	P_{0x621}	P_{0x03E}	
x2DA	$P_{0 \times B 0 B \dots}$	$P_{0 \text{xD1D}}$	
x7A5	P _{0x904}	P_{0x3E1}	

Indexes are priority "slots" for forging (zero highest) σ^{h-1} σ^h $P_{0x8C2...}$ $P_{0x49B...}$ $P_{0xC6A...}$ $P_{0x398...}$ $P_{0x621...}$ $P_{0x03E...}$ $P_{0 \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{A} \ldots}$ $P_{0 \times B 0 B \dots}$ $P_{\texttt{OxD1D...}}$ $P_{0x904...}$ $P_{0x7A5...}$ $P_{0x3E1...}$

Very nice. But usual limitations. O no...

SELFISH MINING ATTACKS

The adversary can <u>withhold</u> <u>blocks</u> to gain an advantage over honest processes.

Selfish mining attacks increase the confirmations necessary for finality.

NOTHING AT STAKE

The adversary can go <u>back in</u> <u>time</u> and create forks from below *h* to Double Spend.

He only needs to be lucky and be granted a sequence of zero slots.

A valid block proposed at h must reference a block that was notarized at h-1

Thus, blocks must be published in good time or have no chance of notarization

Solution?

Threshold groups "notarize" (sign) at least one block at their height before relaying...

When group selected, its members start their timers...

Triggered by propagation threshold signature

Members start processing blocks after expiry BLOCK_TIME. Clocks will be slightly out-of-sync, but that's OK!

Queue blocks score order while waiting BLOCK_TIME

Highest scoring chain head

PRIORITY QUEUE OF **CHAIN HEADS** SEEN WHILE WAITING

Block @ h received from P

Signed higher scoring chain?

NO

Thresh. sig. on block at h received

When **BLOCK_TIME** expires, witness by notarizing... Group members sign until ≥ 1 blocks receive threshold signature

Stop signing, relay and halt

An important observation

In normal operation, if BLOCK_TIME is sufficiently large considering network synchrony, each group member will remove from its queue and process the highest scoring chain head first...

Consequently, the group will ONLY witness (notarize/sign) the block representing the highest scoring chain head

This prevents and immediately collapses forks in normal operation driving extremely high consistency and rapid finality

TLDR; tweaking to address the threat of equivocation

A faulty process in SLOT 0 controlled by an adversary might wish to broadcast vast numbers different versions of its block to DOS...

Of course, this faulty process will later be expelled for its provably Byzantine actions, but why provide room for misbehavior...

SOLUTION if process sees equivocated highest scoring block(s), only forward to peers that haven't detected equivocation yet. If group member sees equivocated highest scoring block, don't sign it, and instead start signing next highest scoring block seen when from a different slot

Fair mining, super high consistency and rapid finality

and included....

Optimal case. Overwhelming finality in 2 blocks + relay

No alternative chain head

or even partially signed chain head is visible. Yet, for a viable chain head to exist, it must have been shared with some correct processes to collect signatures, and they would have propagated (broadcast) it...

The trap shuts! Now group *h*+1 has relayed it will not notarize/sign any more blocks. Too late for any alternative chain head at h to "appear" and get notarized...

Gains from Notarization

Fast Optimal Avg. Finality $BLOCK_TIME = 5s$

Addresses Key Challenges

- -

- Selfish Mining

- Nothing At Stake

Equivocation

Quantifiable finality

Hooks make possible calculate probabilities more meaningfully

SPV

Light client needs only Merkle root of groups

Relative Performance Copper Release

Block Time

Average 10 mins varies wildly

"TX finality" (speed) 6 confirmations avg. 1 hr

Gas available

Average 20 secs varies wildly

37 confirmations avg. 10 mins

Low due to Poisson distribution

Average 5 secs low variance

2 confirmations+relay *avg. 7.5 secs Optimal case normal operation*

50X+ Ethereum

Unlimited scale-out achieved by applying randomness in following techniques...

Death By Poisson Process

The Simplest Flaws Are The Worst...

50% of Ethereum blocks are empty !

Miners prefer to build on empty blocks since no need validate/delay = more profitable

An empty block has more chance being confirmed....

Duh !

Bitcoin Could Consume as Much Electricity as Denmark by 2020, Motherboard 3/29/2016

Separate and decouple concerns

Proof-of-Work Blockchain

Computer Science should not go out of fashion

DFINITY

Consensus

Validation

State storage

Sybil resistance

TCP/IP

Application

Transport

Internet

Network Access

"Scale-out" using 3-layer architecture

 $(TX, Read_{TX}, \Delta S)$

TX

CONSENSUS

Threshold Relay chain generates randomness and records network metadata and Validation Tree "state root".

RANDOM BEACON DRIVES TREE

STATE SHARDS

<u>(((•))</u>

VALIDATION

Asynchronous "Validation Tree" composed "Validation Towers". Does for state validation what Merkle tree does for data.

STORAGE

State and updates to state stored on shards. State transitions passed to Validation Tree.

Near Term Client Releases

COPPER 1

- Threshold Relay + PSP
- Blockchain Nervous System (BNS)
- Security deposits
- State-root-only-chain (transaction logging not necessary)

ZINC 2

- Special features enabling creation robust and high performance private networks using unlimited
- host computers
- Single *atomic* call from smart contract on private cloud into smart contract on public cloud network

TUNGSTEN 3

- State sharding (basic)
- Validation Towers (basic)
- Asynchronous model for cross-shard programming
- USCIDs (Unique State Copy IDs)
- Advancements in BNS

http:// twitter.com /dominic_williams President/Chief Scientist DFINITY Stiftung **President/CTO String Labs** http://linkedin.com/in/thedwilliams/

