# The Smart Choice for Conversational AI: Build vs Buy

In the fast-paced world of digital transformation enterprises face the pivotal decisions of 'build vs. buy,' particularly when it comes to implementing a Conversational AI Assistant. Below we take a look at the critical considerations of this decision, highlighting why purchasing an off-the-shelf solution is often the smarter choice for most organizations.

## There is a long history of failed internal application development - across all companies:

- Fact: the majority of internal projects run over 189% of the original cost.
- Fact: 1/3 of all internal projects will be terminated before they are completed.
- Fact: in large organizations, only 9% of internal projects come in on-time and on-budget.

Source: The Chaos Report

### Understanding the Build vs. Buy Dilemma

When a company decides to implement a Conversational AI Assistant, they stand at a crossroads: should they invest in building a custom solution with in-house development (or PS firm) from the ground up or should they buy a pre-existing, market-ready product?

Both paths have their merits and drawbacks.

## By the Numbers

Average cost to create a single Conversational Al App (e.g. Time Off, Pay)

\$30K-\$90K

Average number of hours to build a single Conversational AI App

100-500 Hours

Hours of creating a single Workgrid Al Assistant App

10-20x Faster

Total savings of leveraging Workgrid for Conversational Al development use cases

\$1.5-\$3M

Average number of apps integrated into a Conversational Al Assistant

10-30 Apps

Average number of FTEs required to build and maintain single pro-code application

**3-8 FTEs** 

Total projected benefit for organizations above 10K users

\$9M-\$20M+

Collected across customer deployments and industry reports.



#### **Building In-House**

#### **Buying Off-The-Shelf**



#### Pros

- **1. Customization** | Tailor-made to fit specific business needs and finite user interactions.
- 2. Control | Complete control over the assessment, scope, development process, build, updates, security, and perpetual R&D.



#### Cons:

- **1. Resource Intensive** | Requires significant time, skilled manpower, and financial resources.
- **2. Longer Time-to-Deployment** | Development and testing phases can prolong deployment.
- **3. Maintenance & Updates** | Continuous need for updates and maintenance.
- **4. Support** | Is managed entirely in-house by dedicated SMEs who built the platform.

#### **Pros**



- **1. Cost-Effective** | Generally, more affordable than building from scratch as development and operational expenses are minimized.
- **2. Quick Deployment** | Allows businesses and various departments to implement the Al Assistant rapidly across populations.
- **3. Proven Reliability** | Pre-tested and refined based on broad customer feedback and use cases.
- **4. Ongoing Support & Updates** | Regular updates and support from the provider with deep knowledge of the product.
- **5. Scalability** | Easily scales with business growth.

#### Cons:



- **1. Potential Limits** | May not fully align with niche business requirements.
- **2. Dependence on Vendor** | Reliant on the vendor for further advancement of product updates.

## Why Buying is Often the Better Choice

For most businesses, purchasing a Conversational Al Assistant emerges as the preferable option. Here's why:

#### **Cost and Time Efficiency**

Building a bespoke solution is a significant investment. It's not just about the initial development; ongoing maintenance and updates add to perpetual operational cost. In contrast, buying a ready-made solution is more cost-effective, with a predictable expense model.

#### **Access to Advanced Technologies**

Purchased solutions often come with the latest technologies and build best-practices. Features are added and regularly updated by the vendor. Building advanced capabilities in-house requires substantial expertise, time, and resources.

#### **Focus on Core Business**

By opting to buy, companies can focus their valuable resources on their core business operations instead of diverting attention to developing and maintaining a complex AI system.

#### Reduced Risk

Off-the-shelf products have been tested across diverse scenarios, reducing the risk of failure. In contrast, a custom-built solution bears the risk of unknown challenges and potential inefficiencies.

#### **Quick Deployment and Immediate ROI**

Time is a crucial factor. Off-the-shelf solutions can be deployed rapidly, enabling businesses to see an immediate return on investment (ROI) of their Al platform in a span of hours vs. months/years leveraging in-house development.

While building a Conversational AI Assistant in-house offers customization and control, **the advantage of buying an off-the-shelf solution wins out.** The cost-effectiveness, quick deployment, access to advanced technology, reduced risk, and the ability to focus on core business tasks make it a compelling choice for most businesses. As the market for Conversational AI Assistants continues to evolve, choosing a reliable and proven off-the-shelf product is not just about convenience; it's a strategic business decision.

